

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

The unusual value of long-term studies of individuals

Citation for published version:

Pemberton, JM, Kruuk, L & Clutton-Brock, TH 2022, 'The unusual value of long-term studies of individuals: The example of the Isle of Rum red deer project', *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, vol. 53, pp. 327-351. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-012722-024041

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-012722-024041

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



- 1 The Unusual Value of Long-Term Studies of Individuals: the Example of the Isle
- 2 of Rum Red Deer Project
- 3

4 Josephine M. Pemberton

- 5 Institute of Evolutionary Biology
- 6 School of Biological Sciences
- 7 University of Edinburgh
- 8 EH9 3FL
- 9 **UK**
- 10 j.pemberton@ed.ac.uk
- 11
- 12 Loeske E.B. Kruuk
- 13 Institute of Evolutionary Biology
- 14 School of Biological Sciences
- 15 University of Edinburgh
- 16 EH9 3FL
- 17 UK
- 18 Loeske.Kruuk@ed.ac.uk
- 19
- 20 Tim Clutton-Brock
- 21 Department of Zoology
- 22 University of Cambridge
- 23 CB2 3EJ
- 24 UK
- 25 thcb@cam.ac.uk

- 27
- 28
- 29 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34

35 <u>Abstract</u>

36 Long-term studies of individuals enable incisive investigations of questions across ecology and 37 evolution. Here, we illustrate this claim by reference to our long-term study of red deer on 38 the Isle of Rum, Scotland. This project has established many of the characteristics of social 39 organization, selection and population ecology typical of large, polygynous, seasonally-40 breeding mammals, with wider implications for our understanding of sexual selection and the 41 evolution of sex differences, as well as for their population dynamics and their implications 42 for population management. As molecular genetic techniques developed, the project has 43 pivoted to investigate evolutionary genetic questions, also breaking new ground in this field. 44 With ongoing advances in genomics and statistical approaches, and development of 45 increasingly sophisticated ways to assay new phenotypic traits, the questions that long-term studies such as the red deer study can answer become both broader and ever more 46 47 sophisticated. They also offer powerful means of understanding the effects of ongoing climate 48 change on wild populations.

49

Key words: deer, fitness, population density, sexual selection, climate change, inbreeding,
heritability,

52

54 Introduction

Field studies that track the complete lives of individuals can provide unique insights into the 55 56 ecological and evolutionary processes that govern wild animal populations (Clutton-Brock and 57 Sheldon 2010, Festa-Bianchet, et al. 2017, Hayes and Schradin 2017). The combination of field observations with measurements of physiology, phenology and growth as well as with 58 59 estimates of parentage and relatedness from molecular data make it possible to address a 60 wide range of questions in ecology and evolutionary biology with unusual precision. Recognition of individuals and life-time monitoring reveal the effects of sex and age on 61 62 individual performance at different life stages. Data on complete lifespans – from birth to 63 death, through all reproductive events – generate measures of the lifetime breeding success 64 of individuals that can be used to assess variation in fitness. Analyses of the extent of these 65 differences reveals the operation of natural and sexual selection and make it possible to 66 explore the social, environmental and genetic causes of this variation. Continuous records 67 across individuals' lifetimes can also identify the consequences of events at earlier stages of 68 life on growth, breeding success, health and survival at later stages, and hence the costs and 69 benefits of differences in development on reproduction and survival throughout life, including 70 the process of senescence. At a population level, such studies allow analyses of population 71 dynamics to distinguish between the demographic effects of variation in fecundity, mortality 72 and dispersal. Finally, by their nature, long-term field studies provide invaluable information 73 on the effects of current climate change on natural populations, and the mechanisms driving 74 responses to changing environmental conditions.

75

76 <u>Study species, project history and methods</u>

77 The study of red deer (Cervus elaphus) on the Isle of Rum in the Inner Hebrides, Scotland, is 78 one of a small number of individual-based field studies of free-ranging mammals that began 79 in the early 1970s and is still running today (Clutton-Brock 2021). The red deer is a medium-80 sized ungulate native to Europe, North Africa and Asia, closely related to other Cervus species 81 of the Old World and North America. It shows pronounced sexual dimorphism driven by 82 sexual selection through male-male competition, with adult males being on average 50% heavier than females (Figure 1a). Males also develop weaponry in the form of antlers, which 83 84 are cast and regrown each year. Red deer are highly seasonal breeders, with an autumnal 85 mating season and females giving birth to single offspring in late spring. Males play no part in

parental care, instead being under intense selection to acquire matings. Scotland holds the largest concentration of red deer in Europe, and whereas the species is thought to have evolved in forest or forest edge, much of the Scottish population resides in open hill habitat dominated by heaths and bogs (Clutton-Brock and Albon 1989).

90

91 The Isle of Rum (57°N; 6°20'W'; Figure 1b) was purchased by the UK Nature Conservancy for 92 use as a nature reserve and open-air laboratory in 1957 (Eggeling 1964). At the time, the 93 ~100km² island carried a red deer population of around 1,500 animals, and between 1958 94 and 1972 deer in all parts of the island were regularly culled in line with standard 95 management of deer populations across Scotland. Research by ecologists from the UK's then 96 Institute of Terrestrial Ecology investigated red deer growth and reproduction (Mitchell, et al. 97 1976), population structure (Lowe 1969) and habitat use (Charles, et al. 1977), providing a 98 basis for much of our subsequent work. From 1966 to 1972, a Cambridge-based project led 99 by Roger Short and Gerald Lincoln also explored the physiological mechanisms controlling 100 reproduction in both sexes (Guinness, et al. 1971, Lincoln, et al. 1972) and the antler cycle in 101 males (Lincoln, et al. 1972). This project identified most of the individual males using the 102 12km² 'North Block' of the island (Figure 1c). In 1969, it was joined by Fiona Guinness, who 103 learned to recognise the sixty-odd females as well as the males using the North Block, and 104 recorded the movements and breeding success of individuals until 1972.

105

106 In 1972, Tim Clutton-Brock obtained funding from the UK's Natural Environment Research 107 Council (NERC) for a study of social organisation, life histories and population regulation in 108 red deer. The Nature Conservancy also agreed to terminate the annual cull there to allow the 109 deer to habituate to the presence of observers (though study deer continued to be shot if 110 they ranged outside the area). Census routines were developed to measure the activity, 111 habitat use, distribution and association patterns of all individuals using the study area. Fiona Guinness returned to Rum in late 1973, resuming her records of the life histories of individuals 112 113 and the first of a series of PhD students joined the project to work on reproductive and social behaviour in the following year (Gibson 1978, Hall 1978). In 1976, Steve Albon joined, first as 114 115 a research assistant then as a PhD student and post doc, taking charge of data management 116 and statistical analyses. In 1984, Josephine Pemberton joined the project to explore genetic 117 variation in the population and in 1997, Loeske Kruuk arrived to develop research on quantitative genetics and life history evolution. By 2005, the project's research was
concentrating principally on evolutionary genetic questions and it moved from the University
of Cambridge to the University of Edinburgh.

121

122 Figure 1 about here

123

124 Since 1974, we have continuously monitored the distribution, habitat use, behaviour, annual 125 reproductive success and survival, of all individual deer regularly using the North Block in 126 weekly censuses of the population. Each year, around 90% of calves born in the study area 127 are caught, marked (Figure 1d), weighed and sampled for genetic analysis. Unlike several 128 other studies of ungulates (see (Hamel, et al. 2016)), we do not routinely catch individuals 129 later in life and so do not have regular access to variation in body weight. Cast antlers are 130 collected each spring and most can be attributed to individual males from their form, 131 photographs and DNA profiling. At the end of each winter, we search the study area for 132 carcasses, collecting and storing skeletal material. Since 1981, vegetation indices including 133 standing crop and productivity have been measured on the grasslands, and since 2010, we 134 have collected faecal samples for a range of analyses. As a result of genetic studies, we now 135 have pedigree and life history records for over 4,000 individuals that have passed through the 136 population (either as core members, or more briefly) since 1972 (Huisman, et al. 2016).

137

138 <u>Social organisation</u>

139 The project's earliest work provided a quantitative description of social organisation, habitat 140 use, and reproductive behaviour in the deer, building on the qualitative studies of red deer in 141 Torridon by Frank Fraser Darling in the 1930's (Darling 1937). Females adopt home ranges 142 overlapping those of their mother and older sisters, aggregating with them in unstable 143 groups, and temporary groups frequently include members of several matrilineal groups with 144 overlapping ranges (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1982b, Conradt and Roper 2000). Females have well-145 defined home ranges and the ability to dominate or displace other females falls when 146 individuals move outside their own home range (Thouless and Guinness 1986). As in many other social mammals, females in the same matrilineal group establish dominance 147 148 relationships early in life and an individual's dominance status is affected by its birth weight 149 and its mother's social rank as well as by its age (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1984). Social rank in

150 females is, in turn, positively associated with access to resources, reproductive success and
151 longevity (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1988, Thouless 1990, Thouless and Guinness 1986).

152

For most of the year, male red deer live in loose groups in areas peripheral to those used by females (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1982b). Males leave their natal range and form bachelor groups when they are 2-3 years old. Like females, they have well-defined dominance relationships that are associated with their access to resources (Appleby 1980, Appleby 1982). Due to their larger body size, males have greater energy requirements and spend more time than females grazing in areas where food is more abundant but of lower quality, avoiding areas heavily grazed by females (Clutton-Brock and Albon 1989, Conradt, et al. 1999).

160

161 In September, male groups break up and mature males move into female areas and defend 162 'harems' of females against each other, roaring frequently to discourage rivals and attract 163 females (Clutton-Brock and Albon 1979). Work with captive deer has shown that females are 164 attracted to males that roar frequently and that roaring advances oestrus dates in females 165 (McComb 1987, McComb 1991). Males mate with females as they come into oestrus, which 166 usually lasts for less than 24 hours. While mature males defend harems, younger males try 167 to chase females out of harems in order to mate opportunistically (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1979) 168 - though males less than five years old rarely sire calves. Around the time of their oestrus, 169 females are more mobile, moving between harems, partly due to fights and disturbance but 170 also in some cases apparently by choice (Stopher, et al. 2011, unpublished data). Unlike 171 females, males virtually cease feeding during the rut and defend their harems day and night, with the result that they rapidly lose weight (Mitchell, et al. 1976) and are eventually 172 173 displaced by fresher rivals (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1982b). Males engage in 'roaring contests', 174 and do not escalate fights with individuals that they are unlikely to beat (Clutton-Brock and 175 Albon 1979, Reby, et al. 2005). Despite this, escalated fights between mature males are 176 frequent and dangerous, commonly resulting in injury and occasionally in death (Clutton-177 Brock, et al. 1979).

178

179 <u>Female life histories</u>

180 The red deer study provided some of the first insights into the extent and causes of variation181 in lifetime reproductive success in female mammals in wild populations (Clutton-Brock, et al.

1988). On Rum most female red deer breed for the first time at three or four years old, and 182 183 then continue breeding until they are eleven or twelve years old, when their fecundity begins 184 to fall (Figure 2a; (Mitchell, et al. 1976, Nussey, et al. 2009)). Offspring birth weight, offspring 185 survival and adult survival initially increase with age, plateau in mid-life between the ages of 186 five and eight years, and then decline (Figure 2b; (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1982b, Nussey, et al. 187 2009)). Older females do not range as widely as younger ones and reductions in range size 188 are associated with increased mortality (Froy, et al. 2018). The energy costs of lactation are 189 high: in deer culled on Rum, mothers that rear calves in a given year enter the following winter 190 at lower body weights than those that either fail to conceive or lose their calves shortly after 191 birth (Mitchell, et al. 1976). A mother that successfully rears a calf is more likely to die in the 192 following winter (Clutton-Brock and Albon 1989, Froy, et al. 2016). If she survives, she is less 193 likely to bear a calf the following spring, and if she does breed the following year, she will on 194 average, give birth later and to a lighter calf (Albery, et al. 2021a, Clutton-Brock, et al. 1982b). 195 Following recent monitoring of parasitic helminth egg counts in faecal samples, we now know 196 that these costs of reproduction are in part due to lactating females experiencing higher 197 parasite burdens (Albery, et al. 2021a).

198

199 Figure 2 about here

200

201 As data on individual life histories accumulated, the study explored the correlates of 202 individual differences in reproductive success, both within years and across the entire 203 lifespans of individuals (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1988). We distinguish here between lifetime 204 breeding success (LBS; Figure 3a), the number of offspring that a female gives birth to across 205 her lifetime, and lifetime reproductive success (LRS), the number of offspring that survive to 206 two years. Differences in the survival of offspring through their first year and in the lifespan 207 of females make larger contributions to differences in total LRS between females than 208 differences in their annual fecundity and standardized variance in LRS is greater than in LBS 209 (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1988). Some females consistently fail both to breed and to rear calves, 210 and our recent quantitative genetic analyses have shown that female fecundity and offspring 211 survival rates are positively correlated, both phenotypically and genetically (Morrissey, et al. 212 2012).

213

214 Differences in reproductive success between females are associated both with aspects of their own phenotype and with the characteristics of their matrilineal group. Early work 215 216 showed that reproductive success was positively correlated with a female's social rank as well 217 as with her mother's rank, and that both daughters and sons born to dominant females had 218 higher reproductive success than those born to subordinate mothers (Clutton-Brock, et al. 219 1984, Clutton-Brock, et al. 1986). Aspects of the early development of individuals are also 220 important: females that experience challenging environmental conditions in their first two 221 years of life show faster rates of ageing and reduced reproductive performance in the second 222 half of their lives than those reared under more favourable conditions (Nussey, et al. 2007). 223 Individuals that breed early in their lives senesce faster, indicating the presence of trade-offs 224 between early and late breeding success (Moyes, et al. 2006, Nussey, et al. 2006), although 225 there is no clear support for a genetic basis to this trade-off (Nussey, et al. 2008). The 226 reproductive success of females is also affected by the characteristics of their matrilineal 227 groups. Members of female groups with superior ranges (e.g. those that include grassland 228 fertilised by gull colonies) show higher reproductive performance than those with inferior 229 ranges (lason, et al. 1986). In addition, members of large matrilines compete more frequently 230 for access to resources and associate with each other less frequently, and the reproductive 231 success of females falls as matrilineal group size increases (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1982a).

232

233 Figure 3 about here

234

235 Male life histories and sexual selection

Analyses of the extent and causes of variation in breeding success between males provided 236 237 new insights into the operation of sexual selection in polygynous species. Initially, the 238 breeding success of different males was estimated by back-dating from the birth date of each 239 calf, using a standard gestation length to identify which male's harem a female had been in 240 when she conceived (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1988, Guinness, et al. 1978). These analyses 241 indicated that breeding success was largely confined to mature males, and that there were large differences in breeding success between individuals within and across seasons (Clutton-242 Brock, et al. 1988). Both these conclusions were later confirmed by analyses of male success 243 244 based on DNA fingerprinting (Pemberton, et al. 1992) and other genetic parentage 245 assignment techniques (Figure 3b; see below).

246

247 Individual differences in breeding success among males are associated with their fighting 248 success and body size (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1988) and their early development is also 249 important: the most successful males are those that are born early and heavy (Kruuk, et al. 250 1999b). Breeding competition is intense and few individuals breed successfully until they are 251 seven or eight years old. The average breeding success of males declines rapidly after the age 252 of around eleven, with the result that the effective breeding lives of males are much shorter 253 than those of females (Figure 2b). Comparisons with other polygynous mammals, like land-254 breeding seals, show that sex differences in the duration of breeding are a common feature 255 of polygynous, dimorphic species where individual males compete to guard access to groups 256 of females (Clutton-Brock and Isvaran 2007, Le Boeuf and Reiter 1988, Lukas and Clutton-257 Brock 2014). Adult males experience higher levels of mortality than females (Figure 2b; 258 (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1988, Nussey, et al. 2009)) and, as in females, there is a trade-off 259 between early reproductive effort (in terms of harem-holding), and later senescence in 260 harem-holding (Lemaitre, et al. 2014). As expected for a strongly polygynous species, 261 (standardised) variance in male lifetime breeding success is substantially higher for males 262 than for females (9.80 vs 1.73, data as in Figures 2 & 3). However, sex differences in individual 263 variation in breeding success or reproductive success are often substantially smaller if they 264 are calculated across the lifespans of individuals than if they are based on measures of 265 individual variation in success within particular seasons, which are often used to assess the 266 potential strength of sexual selection in polygamous animals (Clutton-Brock 1983, Lukas and 267 Clutton-Brock 2014).

268

269 Sex differences in survival are not confined to adults. Both in red deer and in some other 270 sexually dimorphic mammals, the faster growth rates of juvenile males are associated with 271 higher energy requirements and with lower survival rates in juvenile males compared to 272 juvenile females when food is scarce or weather conditions are unfavourable (Clutton-Brock,1991b; Clutton-Brock et al 1885a). Sex differences in survival can also occur before 273 274 birth in sexually dimorphic mammals (Clutton-Brock, 1991a). In red deer, males are born 275 around 8% heavier than females, indicating that male fetuses grow slightly faster than female 276 fetuses during gestation (Clutton-Brock, 1991) and comparisons of birth sex ratios between 277 years show that the percentage of males born declines when population density is high or

climatic conditions are unfavourable, suggesting that adverse conditions during gestation are
probably associated with higher mortality of male fetuses compared to female fetuses (Kruuk
et al 1999a)

281

Several lines of evidence also indicate that male offspring are more costly to rear than 282 283 females. Both in red deer and in several other sexually dimorphic mammals, male infants 284 suck from their mothers more frequently than female infants (Clutton-Brock 1991, Clutton-Brock, et al. 1981), extracting more milk (Landete-Castillejos, et al. 2005, Trillmich 1986). 285 286 Early studies showed that red deer mothers who had reared a male calf were less likely to 287 breed in the subsequent year than those that had a female (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1981) and 288 that subordinate females were more likely to die if they had reared sons (Gomendio, et al. 289 1990). Later work based on a larger data set found that across all females, survival and 290 fecundity were both depressed in females after they had reared a son to when they had 291 reared a daughter, though the effect was not large (Froy, et al. 2016).

292

293 Since the costs of raising sons are greater than those of raising daughters, we investigated 294 whether the additional costs of raising males might affect the sex ratio of young produced by 295 different mothers. Early analyses showed that the dominance status of mothers was a 296 stronger predictor of the fitness of their sons than that of their daughters and that dominant 297 females produced more males than subordinate females (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1984, Clutton-298 Brock, et al. 1986), as sex ratio theory predicts (Trivers and Willard 1973). A separate study 299 of deer culled in other parts of Rum showed that females in better condition were more likely 300 to be carrying male embryos and suggested foetal loss of male embryos in poorer condition 301 females as a likely mechanism (Flint, et al. 1997). Similar sex ratio biases have been reported 302 in some other polygamous mammals (Clutton-Brock and Iason 1986, Sheldon and West 2004) 303 but they are often unstable. In the Rum deer, the relationship between maternal dominance 304 and offspring birth sex ratio did not persist after the population reached carrying capacity and 305 the reproductive performance of females fell (Kruuk, et al. 1999a).

306

307 <u>Density-dependent and density-independent effects on population dynamics.</u>

308 Social organisation and reproductive competition have important consequences for the 309 demography and dynamics of populations. In the eight years following the cessation of culling

310 of the study population in 1972, increases in recruitment led to a tripling of the number of 311 females regularly using the North Block study area (Figure 4; (Albon, et al. 2000, Clutton-312 Brock, et al. 1982b, Clutton-Brock, et al. 1985b)). The size of matrilineal groups increased, 313 feeding competition within them became more intense, and females from the same matrilineal group ranged more widely and spent less time together (Albon, et al. 1992). 314 315 Despite this, the number of females emigrating from the North Block remained low (Clutton-316 Brock, et al. 1997). The changes were associated with reductions in the proportions of 317 females that calved as three-year-olds and that calved after raising a calf in the previous year 318 (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1985b). Average calving dates became later and calf mortality in the 319 first winter increased, while average birth weights showed no directional change (Clutton-320 Brock, et al. 1987b). Adult mortality rose and longevity declined (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1987b, 321 Clutton-Brock, et al. 1997). After the first ten years, female density did not continue to 322 increase as rapidly, fluctuating between years in relation to winter weather conditions 323 (Albon, et al. 2000, Coulson, et al. 1999) and variation in the winter mortality of calves and 324 adults became the principal factors responsible for changes in population size (Albon, et al. 325 2000).

326

327 Figure 4 about here

328

329 The increase in female numbers had important consequences for the number of resident 330 males. As female numbers rose, birth sex ratios became (slightly) less male-biased (Kruuk, et 331 al. 1999a) and the survival of male calves and yearlings declined (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1985b), as did the growth of first antler spikes in yearlings (Schmidt, et al. 2001) and adult antler size 332 333 (Clutton-Brock and Albon 1989). An increasing proportion of males of all ages dispersed from 334 the study area, while permanent immigration of males from neighbouring areas declined 335 (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1997). These trends led to progressive changes in the sex ratio of adults 336 resident in the study area, which became increasingly biased towards females (Clutton-Brock, 337 et al. 1985b, Clutton-Brock, et al. 1997) (Figure 4). Similar changes in adult sex ratios have occurred in other red deer populations (Albon and Clutton-Brock 1988) as well as in 338 populations of other dimorphic ruminants at carrying capacity or subject to adverse 339 340 environmental conditions (Clutton-Brock and Albon 1989).

341

342 The long-term monitoring of the Rum population has also shown how fluctuations in weather conditions in spring influence growth, survival and breeding success in red deer. Late springs, 343 344 dry summers and cold, wet autumns and winters all reduce primary production, while high 345 levels of rainfall in autumn or winter can increase heat loss and depress condition and survival 346 (Albon and Clutton-Brock 1988, Albon, et al. 1987) and delay calving dates the following spring 347 (Nussey, et al. 2005). Adverse weather conditions have disproportionate consequences for 348 weaker animals, including the young, the old and males, all of which experience increased 349 mortality after cold, wet winters (Albon, et al. 1987, Clutton-Brock, et al. 1987a).

350

351 Fluctuations in temperature generate substantial differences in growth, breeding success and 352 survival between cohorts. By delaying the onset of grass growth, low temperatures in late 353 winter and early spring reduce the prenatal growth and postnatal survival of calves, and 354 females born after late springs produce light calves that often fail to survive over the rest of 355 their lives (Albon et al 1987). These effects generate pronounced differences in reproductive 356 success between cohorts (Albon, et al. 1987) though their magnitude declines as cohorts age 357 (Hamel, et al. 2016). As with increases in population density, adverse weather conditions 358 affect males disproportionately, generating larger reductions in juvenile and adult survival 359 and lifetime breeding success in males than in females (Rose, et al. 1998).

360

361 The longevity of the study since carrying capacity was reached has enabled us to explore the 362 consequences of anthropogenic climate change (e.g. (Bonnet, et al. 2019, Coulson, et al. 2003, 363 Moyes, et al. 2011)). Over the last few decades, winters on Rum have become milder and wetter, temperatures have risen and the number of days when conditions have permitted 364 365 grass growth has steadily increased (Moyes, et al. 2011). These changes in climate have had 366 substantial effects on the dates of the start and end of the rut, oestrus, calving, antler cleaning 367 and antler casting, all of which have advanced by 5-12 days (Bonnet, et al. 2019, Moyes, et 368 al. 2011), while antler size has also increased (Moyes, et al. 2011). In part, these changes are 369 a consequence of phenotypic plasticity, with individuals' phenology changing between years 370 in response to variation in climate (Bonnet, et al. 2019, Clements, et al. 2010, Clements, et al. 2011a, Clements, et al. 2011b, Froy, et al. 2019, Stopher, et al. 2014). However recent 371 372 analyses indicate that evolutionary changes have also occurred at least in calving dates 373 ((Bonnet, et al. 2019); see below).

374

375 Molecular analyses

376 Since 1982, we have collected tissue samples from all deer captured or found dead, and cast 377 antlers. These samples have been analysed using a range of molecular techniques as they 378 developed, from allozyme electrophoresis (Pemberton, et al. 1988) through DNA fingerprinting (Pemberton, et al. 1992), microsatellites (Marshall, et al. 1998) to single 379 380 nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (Huisman, et al. 2016). The drive to infer paternity in the 381 Rum deer using microsatellite markers inspired one of the most widely-used parentage 382 inference programs for wild populations, CERVUS (Marshall, et al. 1998). More recently, we 383 developed the SEQUOIA program which uses SNP data to reconstruct multiple different 384 pedigree relationships simultaneously (Huisman 2017). The technique both confirms all field-385 based maternal links and provides incontrovertible assignments of paternity (Huisman, et al. 2016). Our pedigree of deer now stretches over 64 years (since it includes some individuals 386 387 tagged in preceding studies), and includes 7811 mother-offspring and father-offspring links 388 and a maximum depth of 11 generations. Combining this information with measures of 389 phenotypes opened up two major avenues of investigation: on the occurrence and 390 implications of inbreeding, and on the heritable genetic basis of variation of phenotypic traits.

391

392 Inbreeding and inbreeding depression

393 The social organisation and mating system of the deer leads to extensive low-level inbreeding. 394 As described earlier, female deer have characteristic home ranges, and the ranges of 395 matrilineally related females often coincide. Individual males often attempt to (or end up) 396 rutting in the same locations across years and so often mate with multiple members of the 397 same female group within and between years and sometimes with the same female across 398 years. This behaviour increases the average relatedness between individuals in the population 399 (Stopher, et al. 2012a). In addition, although not resident in the study area throughout the 400 year, a high proportion (75%) of males that rut in the study area were born there, possibly 401 because it has one of the highest concentrations of females on the island. Together these 402 patterns promote inbreeding (Stopher, et al. 2012a). However, close inbreeding is relatively 403 rare: just 11 cases of first-degree relatives breeding have occurred over the years, all of which 404 were cases of fathers mating with their daughters (Huisman, et al. 2016). Such matings can

405 only occur if a male is successful in the same area at time points at least three years apart and406 if a daughter matures early, both of which are relatively rare events.

407

408 Successive improvements to estimating individual inbreeding coefficients have yielded 409 increasing evidence of very strong inbreeding depression. Both non-pedigree, microsatellite-410 based estimates of heterozygosity (Coulson, et al. 1998, Slate, et al. 2000) and pedigree 411 inbreeding coefficients (Walling, et al. 2011) found inbreeding in some fitness components 412 and related traits, but the full picture has only emerged with the much greater precision 413 afforded by the SNP-refined pedigree and genomic inbreeding coefficients (F_{grm}, Yang, et al. 414 2011) based on genome-wide SNPs. Inbreeding depresses birth weight, juvenile survival (to 415 two years of age) independently of birth weight, and annual breeding success in both sexes 416 (Huisman, et al. 2016). More remarkably, even though inbreeding depression in juvenile 417 survival reduces the number of inbred females who survive to adulthood and become 418 mothers, the calves of inbred mothers survive less well than those of non-inbred mothers 419 (Huisman, et al. 2016). As a consequence of these effects, inbreeding depression across the 420 lifespan is high: a female with $F_{grm} = 0.125$ (equivalent to the offspring of a mating between 421 half sibs) or more has a 75% reduction in LBS compared with an average female with F_{grm} = 0, 422 and a 79% reduction in her LRS. A male with F_{grm} = 0.125 has a 95% reduction in LBS compared 423 with an average male with $F_{grm} = 0$ (Huisman, et al. 2016).

424

425 While mechanisms to avoid inbreeding have evolved in many species, this is not a universal 426 expectation, since there are trade-offs between the costs and benefits of avoidance (Kokko 427 and Ots 2006, Szulkin, et al. 2013). Despite the severe inbreeding depression, it is not clear 428 that the deer have evolved inbreeding avoidance. To investigate the issue, it is necessary to 429 examine the mating behaviour of prospective parents, not their potentially inbred offspring 430 (Reid, et al. 2015). In the deer, the relatively short breeding lifespans of males and the 431 changing membership of female groups mean that the probability that females will mate with 432 a close relative is low. The act of mating with a relative (or not) has low repeatability in both 433 sexes and hence is likely to have negligible heritability, so although there is some evidence 434 for selection on this trait in males (but not females), an evolved response is unlikely (Troianou, 435 et al. 2018). Given that many males never sire a calf despite surviving to adulthood (Figure 436 3b), it is also likely that siring any offspring at all is more important to males than the low

probability of mating with a close relative, and is the main driver for male mating behaviour.
In this regard our findings parallel those of the intensively studied Mandarte Island song
sparrows (Reid, et al. 2015).

440

441 <u>The additive genetic basis of phenotypic variation</u>

'Quantitative genetic' analyses combine measurements of individual phenotypes with 442 443 information on individuals' relatedness to each other, to estimate heritabilities and levels of 444 genetic variance of quantitative (continuous) traits. In 1999 and 2000, a trio of papers from 445 long-term studies of ungulates, including Rum deer, presented the first application of a 446 quantitative genetic technique developed in animal breeding known as the 'animal model' to 447 studies of wild populations, specifically for bighorn sheep in the Rocky Mountains of Canada 448 (Reale, et al. 1999), Soay sheep on St Kilda, Scotland (Milner, et al. 2000), and the Rum red 449 deer (Kruuk, et al. 2000). The animal model is a form of mixed model that includes a random 450 effect for individual genetic merit (or 'breeding value'), and hence estimates the additive 451 genetic variance in breeding value, as well as information on other components of phenotypic 452 variance, such as those due to maternal effects or shared environmental conditions (Kruuk 453 and Hadfield 2007, Wilson, et al. 2010). The technique spawned a surge of interest in 'wild 454 quantitative genetics', the study of which has to date relied almost exclusively on long-term, 455 individual-based studies with multi-generational pedigrees such as Rum (Charmantier, et al. 456 2014). Expansion to a broader range of studies and taxa will hopefully occur as genomic data 457 provide means of estimating relatedness without the need for pedigrees (Bérénos, et al. 2014, 458 Gienapp, et al. 2017) – but long-term studies will always be necessary for robust estimation 459 of temporal environmental heterogeneity and maternal effects.

460

461 The first analysis of heritability of multiple traits in the Rum red deer population revealed 462 substantial genetic variance for multiple aspects of fitness, ranging from birth weight up to 463 LBS (Kruuk, et al. 2000). This multi-trait comparison tested the widely-held expectation that 464 traits under stronger selection should have lower levels of genetic variance. Although the 465 observation of lower heritability in such traits appeared to support the expectation, the pattern was driven by higher levels of other components of variance, with little indication of 466 467 lower genetic variance (Kruuk, et al. 2000). The importance of other components of overall 468 phenotypic variance for different phenotypic traits has been further explored in analyses of

levels of maternal genetic or environmental variance (Gauzere, et al. 2020) or shared home-469 470 ranges (Stopher, et al. 2012b). The results from these studies nicely reflect the ecology of the 471 deer, with maternal effects (similarity between maternal relatives) typically being larger for 472 female offspring than males (Kruuk, et al. 2000), and larger for early-life than late-life traits 473 (Gauzere, et al. 2020). From an analytical perspective, the red deer study has also repeatedly 474 illustrated the extent to which estimates of genetic variance may be inflated by effects of 475 relatives sharing environments if these are not corrected for (Kruuk and Hadfield 2007, 476 Stopher, et al. 2012b).

477

478 Evolutionary responses to selection are only possible within a population if there is genetic 479 variance for fitness (Fisher 1930, Morrissey, et al. 2010, Walsh and Lynch 2018). Recent 480 analysis using zero-inflated Poisson generalised linear mixed models indicates substantial 481 genetic variance in LBS in the red deer, our estimate of 'fitness' (Bonnet, et al. in press). By 482 Fisher's Fundamental Theorem of Natural Selection, the additive genetic variance in fitness is 483 the change in mean fitness from one generation to the next due to a genetic response to 484 natural selection (Fisher 1930) – in other words, the per-generation rate of evolutionary 485 adaptation in a population. The observed levels of additive genetic variance in fitness in the 486 deer thus indicate ongoing genetic adaptation, and hence that the population is not at an 487 evolutionary equilibrium (Bonnet, et al. in press). The fact that we do not see change in mean 488 fitness at the phenotypic level may imply concurrent environmental deterioration, 489 counteracting the genetic evolution (Bonnet, et al. in press). This result is an important 490 indication, firstly, of the potential for adaptive evolutionary responses to selection within the 491 population (including response to climate-change-induced selection). Secondly, it highlights 492 the need to understand the drivers of the environmental deterioration. These may be related 493 to climate change, but 'environmental deterioration' may also include improvements in the 494 competitive ability of interacting individuals, leading to deterioration in the social 495 environment (Fisher and McAdam 2019, Hadfield, et al. 2011).

496

497 A second important line of investigation has been the constancy of genetic variance. As 498 described above, the deer population experiences substantial levels of environmental 499 heterogeneity due to the effects of population density and weather. We expected that these 500 might affect the expression of genetic variance underlying quantitative traits, generating

501 genotype-by-environment interactions. However, to date, we have found no evidence of 502 these. For example, the best-documented traits of birth date and birth weight are heavily 503 dependent on temperature at conception and during gestation, and yet there is no evidence 504 of variation between females in their response to temperature (i.e. no IxE interactions, or 505 variation in individual reaction norms, Froy, et al. 2019). A lack of phenotypic variance in 506 plasticity means no possibility of genotype-environment, or GxE, interactions (Froy, et al. 507 2019). This lack of GxE mirrors results from several other studies of natural populations 508 (reviewed in Hayward, et al. 2018). Null results obviously raise concerns about statistical 509 power, but power analyses indicate that there is easily sufficient power to detect biologically 510 meaningful GxE with data-sets such as these (Froy, et al. 2019, Hayward, et al. 2018). 511 However, in marked contrast to the analyses of GxE in relation to climatic conditions, the 512 expression of genetic variance increases for several traits with individuals' age (Nussey, et al. 513 2008), supporting the notion of increased genetic variance at older ages (Wilson, et al. 2008).

514

515 <u>Evolutionary dynamics</u>

516 Quantitative genetic analyses also allow us to test if evolution is constrained, and genetic 517 variance maintained, by genetic trade-offs between different traits. Whilst the existence of 518 trade-offs between different life-history components is a corner-stone of much of 519 behavioural ecology, demonstrating that such trade-offs occur at a genetic level has been 520 persistently challenging in wild populations (Teplitsky, et al. 2014). Our analyses of the Rum 521 deer data reflect this, indicating only some evidence of constraint. For example, the structure 522 of the genetic variance-covariance matrix **G** for a suite of female life-history traits reduces the expected rate of adaptation to 60% of the rate predicted if traits were entirely independent 523 524 (Morrissey, et al. 2012). Similarly, considering **G** for life-history traits (survival and fecundity) 525 of both sexes deflects a predicted response to selection away from the direction of fastest 526 adaptation (in multivariate space) to a moderate, but not substantial, degree (Walling, et al. 527 2014). There is moderate if not strong evidence of antagonistic covariance between early- vs 528 late-life performance in female reproductive traits (Nussey, et al. 2008), but no indication of 529 antagonistic covariance between direct and maternal genetic effects on offspring birth weight (Gauzere, et al. 2020). Whether these limited conclusions reflect lack of statistical power in 530 531 demanding analyses, lack of appropriate measures of phenotype, or a true paucity of 532 multivariate genetic constraint remain challenging avenues to be explored.

533

534 Given the study's long-standing interest in sexual dimorphism (see above), one of the most 535 interesting aspects of multivariate analyses involves cross-sex genetic associations. Genetic 536 variance in a population will be sustained, and evolutionary responses constrained, by antagonistic cross-sex covariance in genetic effects, as seen for example in Drosophila 537 538 (Chippindale, et al. 2001). Initial analyses of a measure of individual fitness called 'de-lifing' (a 539 measure of fitness aimed at estimating individuals' contribution to population growth 540 (Coulson, et al. 2006)) indicated that successful males had less successful female relatives, 541 implying the existence of sexually-antagonistic genetic variance (Foerster, et al. 2007). 542 However, subsequent analyses have failed to uphold this initial conclusion, with little 543 evidence of sexually-antagonistic covariance. For example, there is little support for 544 constraint through sexually-antagonistic genetic covariances between different life-history 545 components in the two sexes (Walling, et al. 2014), and our most recent analysis of lifetime 546 breeding success using zero-inflated Poisson models shows no evidence of antagonistic cross-547 sex genetic covariance (T. Bonnet, pers. comm.). The development of these conclusions 548 illustrates both the complexity of measuring fitness appropriately, and the value of being able 549 to return to earlier questions with superior methods and extended datasets.

550

551 Finally, a major aim of evolutionary analyses using long-term data from wild populations has 552 been to understand temporal change in phenotypic traits, and the extent to which these can 553 be predicted from responses to natural selection. This has been challenging, with frequent 554 examples of directional selection on heritable traits apparently not generating the expected 555 change in phenotype (Brookfield 2016, Merila, et al. 2001). Even when mean phenotypes are 556 changing, evidence of underlying genetic change has been notoriously hard to prove, with 557 arguably too little attention being paid to separating evolutionary change from effects of 558 phenotypic plasticity in response to a changing environment (Charmantier and Gienapp 559 2014). In the red deer, calf birth weight shows substantial genetic variance, and is also under 560 positive directional selection (via positive associations firstly between an individual's birth 561 weight and its lifetime breeding success, and secondly between a female's lifetime breeding success and her offspring's birth weight (Gauzere, et al. submitted)). However, in a classic 562 563 example of the 'paradox of stasis', there is no evidence of either phenotypic or genetic change 564 in average birth weight over the study period (Figure 5a & b). Similarly, antler size is heritable,

565 but has shown no evidence of evolutionary response to the directional selection apparently 566 favouring larger antlers (Kruuk, et al. 2014, Kruuk, et al. 2002). In this case, the apparent 567 phenotypic selection is probably due to a confounding association of both antler size and male 568 breeding success with environmental conditions. This generates the appearance of selection, 569 but in the absence of any genetic covariance between antler size and fitness, it will not 570 generate any evolutionary response (Kruuk, et al. 2014).

571

572 In contrast, calf birth date has shown a strong temporal trend, advancing by 4.2 days per 573 decade over the study period (despite becoming later in the early years as density rose; Figure 574 5c). This change is in the direction predicted both by an evolutionary response to selection 575 and by phenotypic plasticity in response to climate change (Bonnet, et al. 2019). Whilst a 576 substantial component of the phenotypic shift is due to plasticity in response to warming 577 temperatures, there is also evidence of genetic change (Figure 5d). Such genetic change could 578 be an adaptive response to the observed directional selection favouring earlier birth dates, 579 supported by genetic covariance between a female's parturition date and her lifetime 580 breeding success. However we cannot yet rule out that it may be due to genetic drift. In 581 addition, we also cannot yet say that this is an evolutionary response to climate change, 582 because it is not yet clear whether the selection favouring earlier calving is driven by warming 583 temperatures.

584

585 Figure 5 about here

586

587 <u>Genetic architecture of quantitative traits</u>

588 Knowledge of the loci underpinning of quantitative traits enables better understanding of 589 responses to selection. For example, a major quantitative trait locus (QTL) affecting horn size 590 in Soay sheep shows heterozygote advantage, which provides an explanation for the 591 persistence of small-horned males in the population despite their very low breeding success 592 (Johnston, et al. 2013). Our studies of the Rum red deer have been in the vanguard of 593 attempts to determine the genetic architecture of quantitative traits in wild populations, and also in showing that finding QTL is remarkably hard in nature. Soon after the first genetic map 594 595 relevant to red deer was established (Slate, et al. 2002a), we genotyped 90 microsatellites in 596 a single extended pedigree of 364 Rum deer, mapped the markers and conducted linkage

597 mapping of birth weight, finding three regions potentially containing QTL (Slate, et al. 2002b). 598 More recently, we refined the red deer genetic map using 38,000 single nucleotide 599 polymorphisms (SNPs) and the pedigree, demonstrating that in this species, unusually, the 600 genetic map is longer in females than males (Johnston, et al. 2017). Using these markers in a 601 genome-wide association study (GWAS) of birth weight in 2,200 individuals, the three regions 602 initially suggested by linkage mapping were not replicated (J. Gauzere, pers. comm.). 603 Similarly, a recent GWAS analysis of various antler traits using the 38K SNP markers did not 604 find any genome-wide significant QTL, indicating a highly polygenic architecture for antler 605 traits (Peters, et al. 2022). Another trait we have been able to derive from analysis of the 606 pedigree is individual autosomal recombination rate (ARR). ARR is heritable in females and, 607 using regional heritability analysis, the variation maps to a genomic region containing the 608 genes REC8 and RNF212B, adding to the evidence that these genes control variation in 609 recombination rate in mammals (Johnston, et al. 2018). The recent assembly of a high quality 610 genome from a Rum deer will greatly assist in future genetic mapping studies (Pemberton, et 611 al. 2021). However, a relative lack of statistical power due to sample sizes that are low 612 compared to studies of human or livestock populations will probably remain a limitation of 613 these studies in the Rum and other wild populations.

614

615 Long term studies of individuals – wider issues

616 Replication

617 Experimental manipulation is the gold standard for proving causation in ecology and 618 evolution, but long-term studies, including ours, yield most findings by correlation using 619 individuals as the unit of analysis, for two main reasons. First, successful manipulations, by 620 their very nature, change the performance of individuals and therefore have the potential to 621 disrupt population dynamics and trait time series. Manipulations therefore need to be 622 recorded meticulously and dealt with appropriately in all subsequent statistical analysis. 623 Second, not all species lend themselves to manipulation. In the deer it would be difficult to 624 give supplementary food or anthelmintics (say) to specific individuals and cross-fostering 625 would be totally impractical, since females are aggressive towards each other's calves.

626

Ecological and evolutionary phenomena vary in time and space and type 1 error exists, so if experiments are not tenable then replication is desirable. With the exception of some bird

629 and primate species, long-term studies including ours lack replication in terms of multiple 630 study populations of the same species. On the other hand, they have accumulating time 631 series. We believe such studies have a duty to re-analyse earlier findings at intervals to 632 confirm them or determine whether effects have changed and if so why. In our account 633 above, we have documented several instances of repeated analyses. In many cases, findings 634 have proved robust. For example the costs to a mother of rearing a calf from birth into the 635 winter have persisted in all the analyses conducted (Albery, et al. 2021a, Clutton-Brock, et al. 636 1989, Clutton-Brock, et al. 1983, Froy, et al. 2016), as has evidence of the higher costs of 637 raising males (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1981, Froy, et al. 2016, Gomendio, et al. 1990). The 638 associations between spring temperature, birth weight and female lifetime breeding success 639 first found by (Albon, et al. 1983) were repeated in both subsequent analyses (Kruuk, et al. 640 1999b, Stopher, et al. 2014) and advancing calving dates are consistently related to weather 641 in the summer preceding conception (Bonnet, et al. 2019, Froy, et al. 2019, Stopher, et al. 642 2014).

643

644 Other findings have changed, become more nuanced or unrepeatable in later analyses. In 645 some cases, this may be due to changing conditions as the population reached carrying capacity. For example, in the early data, when the population was expanding, dominant 646 647 females produced more sons than subordinates (Clutton-Brock, et al. 1984), but in later years 648 after the population had reached carrying capacity, there was no longer a significant 649 association between maternal dominance and the sex of her offspring, though fewer males 650 were born after winters when population density or rainfall were high (see above (Kruuk, et 651 al. 1999a)). In other cases, findings may change as a result of improvements in analytical 652 methods. Antagonistic cross-sex genetic correlations were found in one analysis (Foerster, et 653 al. 2007) but not in a second which used a more conservative analytical approach (Walling, et 654 al. 2014)

655

Another way to investigate the robustness of results is to compare findings across studies of different species via meta-analyses. Increasing numbers of analyses are being published that include multiple long-term studies of individuals, to which we contribute data. For example, red deer data have contributed to understanding that sex differences in juvenile mortality are commonly associated with sex differences in early growth and adult mass (Clutton-Brock, et

al. 1985a); that senescence is widespread in the animal kingdom (Jones, et al. 2008, Jones, et
al. 2014); to the overwhelming evidence for changing phenology (Thackeray, et al. 2016,
Thackeray, et al. 2010); to evidence that there is selection on phenology which is partly offset
by plasticity (de Villemereuil, et al. 2020); and to evidence for additive genetic variance for
fitness (Bonnet, et al. 2019).

666

667 <u>Public benefits</u>

668 The project has provided insights for those managing red deer and other sexually selected 669 ungulates. These include the role of variation in weather and population density in affecting 670 growth, survival and breeding success; patterns of distribution and dispersal in both sexes; 671 and optimal culling levels and decisions about selective culling. We have published many 672 popular articles and pamphlets and given many talks to the Scottish deer management 673 community summarizing our findings in relation to such issues (e.g. Pemberton and Kruuk 674 2015) and have also published a book summarizing of research on the ecology of red deer 675 across the Scottish Highlands (Clutton-Brock and Albon 1989). A key message is that in 676 sexually dimorphic species like red deer, the effects of increasing density fall 677 disproportionately on males, leading to a female-biased adult sex ratio (Figure 4). If guest 678 stalking is the management objective, more males, with higher body weights and larger 679 antlers can be harvested from populations held below carrying capacity (Clutton-Brock, et al. 680 2002, Clutton-Brock and Lonergan 1994). We have also addressed another widespread 681 management objective, the conservation of upland plant communities, which commonly exist 682 as mosaics of highly-preferred and less preferred patches. Capitalizing on the different deer 683 densities present in the five management blocks of Rum (Figure 1c) we showed that simply 684 by shooting free-ranging deer it would be hard to achieve optimal condition of all plant 685 communities, because deer focus on highly nutritious swards that need intense grazing to 686 maintain their high species richness, but their grazing behavior means that their impacts spill 687 over onto adjacent, less nutritious swards that can be damaged by overgrazing (Moore, et al. 688 2015, Moore, et al. 2018). The manager then has to compromise between different 689 conservation objectives. Culling deer inevitably involves orphaning calves, a rare event in 690 nature, and calves of both sexes, especially males, have low survival and poor performance 691 after orphaning (Andres, et al. 2013).

692

693 Long-term projects offer great opportunities for training in scientific methods and the public 694 understanding of science. Apart from the PhD and MSc students who have worked on the 695 project for their theses, many undergraduate projects have been conducted on the deer data, 696 and we estimate between 150 and 200 people have been short-term helpers at the field site 697 - formerly volunteers but nowadays paid. Many of these people first learned about 698 systematic fieldwork at the field site or cut their teeth analysing the deer data, and many have 699 subsequently pursued careers in conservation and teaching as well as in academia. The deer 700 also make excellent subjects for documentary film-makers, photo-journalists and university, 701 college and school field trips, and we regularly host such visitors. For visiting members of the 702 public, we also have a visitor hide overlooking one of the richest feeding grounds, posters and 703 leaflets.

704

705 <u>Challenges</u>

706 Of course, running long term studies of individuals also has its challenges (Festa-Bianchet, et 707 al. 2017), chief among which is funding. Since 1972, the Rum project has been continuously 708 supported by research grants, mainly from NERC. Like most such studies, the project has 709 rarely had guaranteed research funding for more than three years, imposing on us a relentless 710 cycle of proposal-writing, reviews, responses and outcomes. Successive applications to 711 funding agencies need to ask novel, cutting-edge questions that can be answered within the 712 duration of each grant, and further field data collection must be explicitly justified every time 713 - even if the subject of the proposal is a long-term process such as the response to climate change. A research grant scheme open to universities that explicitly acknowledges the 714 715 benefits of long-term field data collection would be highly beneficial but has never been 716 implemented in the UK.

717

Long-term studies of individuals necessarily take place in specific places where the animals live; they cannot be moved about like populations of lab organisms. Thus changing land management policies can pose challenges to continuity. In the case of Rum, while the island was originally bought for research, many of its plant communities are now designated under the EU Habitats Directive and its post-Brexit equivalent. A general prescription for such habitats is for deer numbers to be reduced by culling, though the grazing preferences of deer do not guarantee this will have the desired effect as grazing behavior is also affected the

spatial arrangement of habitats (Moore, et al. 2015, Moore, et al. 2018). If applied to our
study area this would have major impacts in terms of lost habituation, reduced sample sizes
and a sudden reduction in density and change of selection regime causing loss of the signals
of the response to climate change for many years.

729

730 The future

Colleagues (and relatives) often ask whether we know enough about deer or have invested
enough resources in the project by now. We argue that as data accumulate and technologies
develop, our project can ask ever more sophisticated questions about how the natural world
works. Here we outline four areas where we see future development.

735

736 First, the combination of high-density genomic information (genome-wide SNPs or whole 737 genome sequencing), pedigrees and fitness data for individuals has yet to be fully exploited. 738 For example, at the whole-genome level, the technique of 'genomic prediction', originally 739 developed in animal breeding (Meuwissen, et al. 2001), is not yet widely applied in 740 evolutionary studies, but has substantial promise (Ashraf, et al. 2021, Bosse, et al. 2017, 741 Stocks, et al. 2019). In particular, genomic prediction may provide a clearer picture of genetic 742 trends underpinning trait change than is available from pedigree estimates (Hunter, et al. 743 2022).

744

745 Second, in the last few years we have collected faecal samples non-invasively from individual 746 deer. These samples can be used to assay hormones (Pavitt, et al. 2016, Pavitt, et al. 2015), 747 antibodies and parasite propagules (Albery, et al. 2019, Albery, et al. 2018, Albery, et al. 748 2021a, Albery, et al. 2020) and in principle, to quantify aspects of diet and nutrition and the 749 taxonomic diversity of bacteria (the microbiome), nematodes (nemabiome) and protozoa in 750 the gut via metabarcoding, with implications for understanding how gut health plays into 751 fitness (Wilmanski, et al. 2021). These techniques are currently opening up a wealth of 752 information on gut ecosystems in natural populations, and offer potential for further 753 understanding of the ecology of fitness in the red deer population.

754

Third, we are increasingly interested in the social networks of the deer, which are not whollydetermined by their spatial behaviour (Albery, et al. 2021b). There are important questions

to be asked about the relationship between measures of individual sociality, fitness and
ageing (Albery, et al. in press), and also whether variation in individual sociality can explain
spatial variation in the distribution of parasitism and immunity in the population (Albery, et
al. 2019)

761

Finally, only long-term projects can assess the effects of current anthropogenic climate 762 763 change on natural environments, and individual-based projects with genetic back-up are best 764 placed to tease apart the processes underpinning observed responses. For example, while 765 there are many long-term sampling-based projects which have documented changes in 766 phenology (Thackeray, et al. 2016, Thackeray, et al. 2010), there are far fewer individual-767 based studies that have been able to explore the underlying mechanisms. And if there are 768 impacts on demography and population dynamics, these processes will be best understood 769 through the study of individuals. We therefore believe that the scientific potential of long-770 term studies such as the Rum red deer is not diminished by time, but rather offers increasing 771 potential for continued, multi-disciplinary expansion. If they can maintain continued funding 772 and access to their field sites, long term individual-based studies will continue to generate 773 ground-breaking research and novel insights into the ecology and evolution of natural 774 populations far into the future.

775

776 Acknowledgements

777 For a project spanning five decades we cannot possibly include all the thanks we would like 778 to here, but instead can only write a synopsis. We thank all the assistants and volunteers who 779 have collected field data on Rum through thick and thin, especially Fiona Guinness, Callan 780 Duck, Jerry Kinsley, Angela Alexander, Callum Duck, Jerry Kinsley, Colleen Covey, Derek 781 Thomson, Ailsa Curnow, Sean Morris, Alison Morris and Martyn Baker. We also thank the 19 782 postdoctoral and graduate research assistants and 22 PhD students who have collected, 783 analysed and published data from the red deer project, among whom Steve Albon's 784 contributions have been particularly important. Ian Stevenson has been a champion of data 785 management. There have also been many inspiring collaborators over the years. We are immensely grateful to NatureScot and its antecedents for allowing the project to take place 786 787 and for not culling animals within the study area. We also thank members of the Rum 788 community for their support over the years. Finally, we thank the funding agencies, chiefly

- 789 NERC, but also the UK's Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, the European
- 790 Research Council, the Leverhulme Trust and the Royal Society of London for supporting the
- 791 study, and the many, many anonymous reviewers who have supported our proposals and
- 792 papers over the years: they know who they are.
- 793

794 **REFERENCES Uncategorized References**

- Albery GF, Becker DJ, Kenyon F, Nussey DH, Pemberton JM. 2019. The fine-scale landscape of
 immunity and parasitism in a wild ungulate population. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 59:1165-75
- Albery GF, Clutton-Brock TH, Morris A, Morris S, Pemberton JM, et al. in press. Ageing red
 deer alter their spatial behaviour and become less social. *bioRxiv*:2021.06.11.448092
- Albery GF, Kenyon F, Morris A, Morris S, Nussey DH, Pemberton JM. 2018. Seasonality of
 helminth infection in wild red deer varies between individuals and between parasite
 taxa. *Parasitology* 145:1410-20
- Albery GF, Morris A, Morris S, Kenyon F, Nussey DH, Pemberton JM. 2021a. Fitness costs of
 parasites explain multiple life-history trade-offs in a wild mammal. *American Naturalist* 197:324-35
- Albery GF, Morris A, Morris S, Pemberton JM, Clutton-Brock TH, et al. 2021b. Multiple spatial
 behaviours govern social network positions in a wild ungulate. *Ecology Letters* 24:676 86
- Albery GF, Watt KA, Keith R, Morris S, Morris A, et al. 2020. Reproduction has different costs
 for immunity and parasitism in a wild mammal. *Functional Ecology* 34:229-39
- Albon SD, Clutton-Brock TH. 1988. Climate and population dynamics of red deer in Scotland.
 In *Ecological Change in the Uplands*, ed. MB Usher, DBA Thompson. Oxford: Blackwell
 Scientific Publications
- Albon SD, Clutton-Brock TH, Guinness FE. 1987. Early development and population dynamics
 in red deer. 2. Density-independent effects and cohort variation. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 56:69-81
- Albon SD, Coulson TN, Brown D, Guinness FE, Pemberton JM, Clutton-Brock TH. 2000.
 Temporal changes in key factors and key age groups influencing the population
 dynamics of female red deer. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 69:1099-110
- Albon SD, Guinness FE, Clutton-Brock TH. 1983. The influence of climatic variation on the birth
 weights of red deer (*Cervus elaphus*). *Journal of Zoology* 200:295-98
- Albon SD, Staines HJ, Guinness FE, Clutton-Brock TH. 1992. Density-dependent changes in the
 spacing behaviour of female kin in red deer. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 61:131-37
- Andres D, Clutton-Brock TH, Kruuk LEB, Pemberton JM, Stopher KV, Ruckstuhl KE. 2013. Sex
 differences in the consequences of maternal loss in a long-lived mammal, the red deer
 (Cervus elaphus). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 67:1249-58
- 827 Appleby MC. 1980. Social rank and food access in red deer stags. Behaviour 74:294-309
- Appleby MC. 1982. The consequences and causes of high social rank in red deer stags.
 Behaviour 80:259-73
- Ashraf B, Hunter DC, Bérénos C, Ellis PA, Johnston SE, et al. 2021. Genomic prediction in the
 wild: A case study in Soay sheep. *Molecular Ecology* n/a

- Bérénos C, Ellis PA, Pilkington JG, Pemberton JM. 2014. Estimating quantitative genetic
 parameters in wild populations: a comparison of pedigree and genomic approaches.
 Molecular Ecology 23:3434-51
- Bonnet T, Morrissey MB, de Villemereuil P, Alberts SC, Arcese P, et al. in press. Genetic
 variance in fitness indicates rapid contemporary adaptive evolution in wild birds and
 mammals. Science
- Bonnet T, Morrissey MB, Morris A, Morris S, Clutton-Brock TH, et al. 2019. The role of
 selection and evolution in changing parturition date in a red deer population. *Plos Biology* 17:23
- Bosse M, Spurgin LG, Laine VN, Cole EF, Firth JA, et al. 2017. Recent natural selection causes
 adaptive evolution of an avian polygenic trait. *Science* 358:365-68
- Brookfield JFY. 2016. Why are estimates of the strength and direction of natural selection
 from wild populations not congruent with observed rates of phenotypic change? *Bioessays* 38:927-34
- Charles WN, McCowan D, East K. 1977. Selection of upland swards by red deer (*Cervus elaphus* L) on Rhum *Journal of Applied Ecology* 14:55-64
- Charmantier A, Garant D, Kruuk LEB, eds. 2014. *Quantitative Genetics in the Wild*. Oxford:
 Oxford University Press
- Charmantier A, Gienapp P. 2014. Climate change and timing of avian breeding and migration:
 evolutionary versus plastic changes. *Evolutionary Applications* 7:15-28
- Chippindale AK, Gibson JR, Rice WR. 2001. Negative genetic correlation for adult fitness
 between sexes reveals ontogenetic conflict in Drosophila. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 98:1671-75
- Clements MN, Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Pemberton JM, Kruuk LEB. 2010. Getting the
 timing right: antler growth phenology and sexual selection in a wild red deer
 population. *Oecologia* 164:357-68
- Clements MN, Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Pemberton JM, Kruuk LEB. 2011a. Gestation
 length variation in a wild ungulate. *Functional Ecology* 25:691-703
- Clements MN, Clutton-Brock TH, Guinness FE, Pemberton JM, Kruuk LEB. 2011b. Variances
 and covariances of phenological traits in a wild mammal population. *Evolution* 65:788 801
- Clutton-Brock T, Sheldon BC. 2010. Individuals and populations: the role of long-term,
 individual-based studies of animals in ecology and evolutionary biology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 25:562-73
- Clutton-Brock TH. 1983. Selection in relation to sex. In *Evolution: from Molecules to Men*, ed.
 BJ Bendall. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- 868 Clutton-Brock TH. 1991. *The Evolution of Parental Care*. Princeton NJ: Princeton University
 869 Press
- 870 Clutton-Brock TH. 2021. Social evolution in mammals. *Science* 373:eabc9699
- Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD. 1979. Roaring of red deer and the evolution of honest
 advertisement. *Behaviour* 69:145-&
- 873 Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD. 1989. Red Deer in the Highlands. Oxford: Blackwell
- Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Gibson RM, Guinness FE. 1979. The logical stag: adaptive aspects
 of fighting in red deer (*Cervus elaphus* L). *Animal Behaviour* 27:211-25
- Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Guinness FE. 1981. Parental investment in male and female
 offspring in polygynous mammals. *Nature* 289:487-89

- 878 Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Guinness FE. 1982a. Competition between female relatives in a
 879 matrilocal mammal *Nature* 300:178-80
- Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Guinness FE. 1984. Maternal dominance, breeding success and
 birth sex-ratios in red deer. *Nature* 308:358-60
- Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Guinness FE. 1985a. Parental investment and sex differences in
 juvenile mortality in birds and mammals. *Nature* 313:131-33
- Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Guinness FE. 1986. Great expectations: dominance, breeding
 success and offspring sex ratios in red deer. *Animal Behaviour* 34:460-71
- Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Guinness FE. 1987a. Interactions between population-density
 and maternal characteristics affecting fecundity and juvenile survival in red deer.
 Journal of Animal Ecology 56:857-71
- Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Guinness FE. 1988. Reproductive success in male and female red
 deer. In *Reproductive Success*, ed. TH Clutton-Brock, pp. 325-43. Chicago: Unversity of
 Chicago Press
- Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Guinness FE. 1989. Fitness costs of genstation and lactation in
 wild mammals. *Nature* 337:260-62
- Clutton-Brock TH, Coulson TN, Milner-Gulland EJ, Thomson D, Armstrong HM. 2002. Sex
 differences in emigration and mortality affect optimal management of deer
 populations. *Nature* 415:633-37
- 897 Clutton-Brock TH, Guinness FE, Albon SD. 1982b. *Red deer: Behavior and Ecology of Two* 898 *Sexes*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press
- Clutton-Brock TH, Guinness FE, Albon SD. 1983. The costs of reproduction to red deer hinds.
 Journal of Animal Ecology 52:367-83
- 901 Clutton-Brock TH, Iason GR. 1986. Sex ratio variation in mammals. *Quarterly Review of Biology* 902 61:339-74
- 903 Clutton-Brock TH, Isvaran K. 2007. Sex differences in ageing in natural populations of
 904 vertebrates. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 274:3097-104
- 905 Clutton-Brock TH, Lonergan ME. 1994. Culling regimes and sex-ratio biases in Highland red
 906 deer. Journal of Applied Ecology 31:521-27
- 907 Clutton-Brock TH, Major M, Albon SD, Guinness FE. 1987b. Early development and population
 908 dynamics in red deer 1: density-dependent effects on juvenile survival. *Journal of* 909 *Animal Ecology* 56:53-67
- 910 Clutton-Brock TH, Major M, Guinness FE. 1985b. Population regulation in male and female
 911 red deer. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 54:831-46
- 912 Clutton-Brock TH, Rose KE, Guinness FE. 1997. Density-related changes in sexual selection in
 913 red deer. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B* 264:1509-16.
- 914 Conradt L, Clutton-Brock TH, Thomson D. 1999. Habitat segregation in ungulates: are males
 915 forced into suboptimal foraging habitats through indirect competition by females?
 916 Oecologia 119:367-77
- 917 Conradt L, Roper TJ. 2000. Activity synchrony and social cohesion: a fission-fusion model.
 918 Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 267:2213-18
- Coulson T, Albon S, Pilkington J, Clutton-Brock T. 1999. Small-scale spatial dynamics in a
 fluctuating ungulate population. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 68:658-71
- 921 Coulson T, Benton TG, Lundberg P, Dall SRX, Kendall BE, Gaillard JM. 2006. Estimating
 922 individual contributions to population growth: evolutionary fitness in ecological time.
 923 Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 273:547-55

- Coulson T, Kruuk LEB, Tavecchia G, Pemberton JM, Clutton-Brock TH. 2003. Estimating
 selection on neonatal traits in red deer using elasticity path analysis. *Evolution* 57:2879-92
- 927 Coulson TN, Pemberton JM, Albon SD, Beaumont M, Marshall TC, et al. 1998. Microsatellites
 928 reveal heterosis in red deer. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-* 929 *Biological Sciences* 265:489-95
- 930 Darling FF. 1937. *A Herd of Red Deer*. Oxford: Oxford University Press
- de Villemereuil P, Charmantier A, Arlt D, Bize P, Brekke P, et al. 2020. Fluctuating optimum
 and temporally variable selection on breeding date in birds and mammals.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 117:31969-78
- Eggeling JW. 1964. A nature reserve managmenet plan for the Island of Rhum, Inner Hebrides.
 Journal of Applied Ecology 1:405-19
- Festa-Bianchet M, Douhard M, Gaillard J-M, Pelletier F. 2017. Successes and challenges of
 long-term field studies of marked ungulates. *Journal of Mammalogy* 98:612-20
- Fisher DN, McAdam AG. 2019. Indirect genetic effects clarify how traits can evolve even when
 fitness does not. *Evolution Letters* 3:4-14
- 941 Fisher RA. 1930. The Genetical Theory of Natural Selection. Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press
- Flint APF, Albon SD, Jafar SI. 1997. Blastocyst development and conceptus sex selection in red
 deer *Cervus elaphus*: Studies of a free-living population on the Isle of Rum. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 106:374-83
- Foerster K, Coulson T, Sheldon BC, Pemberton JM, Clutton-Brock TH, Kruuk LEB. 2007.
 Sexually antagonistic genetic variation for fitness in red deer. *Nature* 447:1107-U9
- Froy H, Borger L, Regan CE, Morris A, Morris S, et al. 2018. Declining home range area predicts
 reduced late-life survival in two wild ungulate populations. *Ecology Letters* 21:1001 09
- Froy H, Martin J, Stopher KV, Morris A, Morris S, et al. 2019. Consistent within-individual
 plasticity is sufficient to explain temperature responses in red deer reproductive traits.
 Journal of Evolutionary Biology 32:1194-206
- Froy H, Walling CA, Pemberton JM, Clutton-Brock TH, Kruuk LEB. 2016. Relative costs of offspring sex and offspring survival in a polygynous mammal. *Biology Letters* 12
- Gauzere J, Pemberton JM, Morris A, Morris S, Walling CA. submitted. Maternal effects do not
 resolve the paradox of stasis in birth weight in a wild mammal. *Evolution Letters*
- Gauzere J, Pemberton JM, Morris S, Morris A, Kruuk LEB, Walling CA. 2020. The genetic
 architecture of maternal effects across ontogeny in the red deer. *Evolution* 74:137891
- Gibson RM. 1978. Behavioural factors affecting reproductive success in red deer stags.
 University of Sussex
- Gienapp P, Fior S, Guillaume F, Lasky JR, Sork VL, Csillery K. 2017. Genomic quantitative
 genetics to study evolution in the wild. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 32:897-908
- Gomendio M, Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Guinness FE, Simpson MJ. 1990. Mammalian sex
 ratios and variation in costs of rearing sons and daughters. *Nature* 343:261-63
- Guinness F, Lincoln GA, Short RV. 1971. Reproductive cycle of female red deer, *Cervus elaphus* L. Journal of Reproduction and Fertility 27:427-&
- Guinness FE, Albon SD, Clutton-Brock TH. 1978. Factors affecting reproduction in red deer
 (*Cervus elaphus*) hinds on Rhum. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* 54:325-34

- Hadfield JD, Wilson AJ, Kruuk LEB. 2011. Cryptic evolution: does environmental deterioration
 have a genetic basis? *Genetics* 187:1099-113
- Hall MJ. 1978. Mother-offspring relationships in red deer (Cervus elaphus L.) and the social
 organisation of an enclosed group. University of Sussex
- Hamel S, Gaillard JM, Yoccoz NG, Albon S, Cote SD, et al. 2016. Cohort variation in individual
 body mass dissipates with age in large herbivores. *Ecological Monographs* 86:517-43
- Hayes LD, Schradin CS. 2017. Long-term field studies of mammals: what the short-term study
 cannot tell us. *Journal of Mammalogy* 98:600-02
- Hayward AD, Pemberton JM, Bérénos C, Wilson AJ, Pilkington JG, Kruuk LEB. 2018. Evidence
 for selection-by-environment but not genotype-by-environment interactions for
 fitness-related traits in a wild mammal population. *Genetics* 208:349-64
- Huisman J. 2017. Pedigree reconstruction from SNP data: parentage assignment, sibship
 reconstruction and beyond. *Molecular Ecology Resources*:1009-24
- Huisman J, Kruuk LEB, Ellis PA, Clutton-Brock T, Pemberton JM. 2016. Inbreeding depression
 across the lifespan in a wild mammal population. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 113:3585-90
- Hunter D, Ashraf B, Bérénos C, Ellis P, Johnston S, et al. 2022. Using genomic prediction to
 detect microevolutionary change of a quantitative trait. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*:2021.01.06.425564
- 989 Iason GR, Duck CD, Clutton-Brock TH. 1986. Grazing and reproductive success of red deer :
 990 the effect of local enrichment by gull colonies. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 55:507-15
- Johnston SE, Gratten J, Bérénos C, Pilkington JG, Clutton-Brock TH, et al. 2013. Life history
 trade-offs at a single locus maintain sexually selected genetic variation. *Nature* 502:93-+
- Johnston SE, Huisman J, Ellis PA, Pemberton JM. 2017. A high-density linkage map reveals
 sexual dimorphism in recombination landscapes in red deer (*Cervus elaphus*). *G3 Genes Genomes Genetics* 7:2859-70
- 997Johnston SE, Huisman J, Pemberton JM. 2018. A genomic region containing REC8 and998RNF212B is associated with individual recombination rate variation in a wild999population of red deer (*Cervus elaphus*). G3-Genes Genomes Genetics 8:2265-76
- 1000Jones OR, Gaillard JM, Tuljapurkar S, Alho JS, Armitage KB, et al. 2008. Senescence rates are1001determined by ranking on the fast-slow life-history continuum. Ecology Letters100211:664-73
- 1003Jones OR, Scheuerlein A, Salguero-Gomez R, Camarda CG, Schaible R, et al. 2014. Diversity of1004ageing across the tree of life. Nature 505:169-+
- 1005 Kokko H, Ots I. 2006. When not to avoid inbreeding. *Evolution* 60:467-75
- Kruuk LEB, Clutton-Brock T, Pemberton JM. 2014. Quantitative genetics and sexual selection
 of weaponry in a wild ungulate. In *Quantitative Genetics in the Wild*, ed. A
 Charmantier, D Garant, LEB Kruuk, pp. 160-76. Oxford: Oxford University Press
- Kruuk LEB, Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Pemberton JM, Guinness FE. 1999a. Population
 density affects sex ratio variation in red deer. *Nature* 399:459-61
- Kruuk LEB, Clutton-Brock TH, Rose KE, Guinness FE. 1999b. Early determinants of lifetime
 reproductive success differ between the sexes in red deer. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* 266:1655-61
- Kruuk LEB, Clutton-Brock TH, Slate J, Pemberton JM, Brotherstone S, Guinness FE. 2000.
 Heritability of fitness in a wild mammal population. *Proceedings of the National* Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 97:698-703

- Kruuk LEB, Hadfield JD. 2007. How to separate genetic and environmental causes of similarity
 between relatives. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 20:1890-903
- 1019Kruuk LEB, Slate J, Pemberton JM, Brotherstone S, Guinness F, Clutton-Brock T. 2002. Antler1020size in red deer: Heritability and selection but no evolution. *Evolution* 56:1683-95
- Landete-Castillejos T, Garcia A, Lopez-Serrano FR, Gallego L. 2005. Maternal quality and
 differences in milk production and composition for male and female Iberian red deer
 calves (*Cervus elaphus hispanicus*). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 57:267-74
- 1024Le Boeuf BJ, Reiter J. 1988. Lifetime reproductive success in Northern elephant seals. In1025Reproductive Success, ed. TH Clutton-Brock, pp. 344-62. Chicago: Chicago University1026Press
- Lemaitre J-F, Gaillard J-M, Pemberton JM, Clutton-Brock TH, Nussey DH. 2014. Early life
 expenditure in sexual competition is associated with increased reproductive
 senescence in male red deer. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 281
- 1031Lincoln GA, Guinness F, Short RV. 1972. The way in which testosterone controls social and1032sexual behavior in red deer stags (*Cervus elaphus*). Hormones and Behavior 3:375-96
- 1033Lowe VPW. 1969. Population dynamics of red deer (*Cervus elaphus* L) on Rhum. Journal of1034Animal Ecology 38:425-&
- 1035Lukas D, Clutton-Brock T. 2014. Costs of mating competition limit male lifetime breeding1036success in polygynous mammals. Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological1037Sciences 281:6
- 1038Marshall TC, Slate J, Kruuk LEB, Pemberton JM. 1998. Statistical confidence for likelihood-1039based paternity inference in natural populations. *Molecular Ecology* 7:639-55
- 1040McComb K. 1987. Roaring by red deer stags advances the date of oestrus in hinds. Nature1041330:648-49
- McComb KE. 1991. Female choice for high roaring rates in red deer *Cervus elaphus. Animal Behaviour* 41:79-88
- Merila J, Sheldon BC, Kruuk LEB. 2001. Explaining stasis: microevolutionary studies in natural
 populations. *Genetica* 112:199-222
- Meuwissen THE, Hayes BJ, Goddard ME. 2001. Prediction of total genetic value using genome wide dense marker maps. *Genetics* 157:1819-29
- Milner JM, Brotherstone S, Pemberton JM, Albon SD. 2000. Variance components and heritabilities of morphometric traits in a wild ungulate population. *J. Evolutionary Biology* 13:804-13
- 1051Mitchell B, McCowan D, Nicholson IA. 1976. Annual cycles of body weight and condition in1052Scottish red deer, Cervus elaphus. Journal of Zoology 180:107-27
- 1053Moore EK, Britton AJ, Iason G, Pemberton J, Pakeman RJ. 2015. Landscape-scale vegetation1054patterns influence small-scale grazing impacts. *Biological Conservation* 192:218-25
- Moore EK, Iason GR, Pemberton JM, Bryce J, Dayton N, et al. 2018. Habitat impact assessment
 detects spatially driven patterns of grazing impacts in habitat mosaics but
 overestimates damage. *Journal for Nature Conservation* 45:20-29
- Morrissey MB, Kruuk LEB, Wilson AJ. 2010. The danger of applying the breeder's equation in
 observational studies of natural populations. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 23:2277 88
- Morrissey MB, Walling CA, Wilson AJ, Pemberton JM, Clutton-Brock TH, Kruuk LEB. 2012.
 Genetic analysis of life-history constraint and evolution in a wild ungulate population.
 American Naturalist 179:E97-E114

- 1064 Moyes K, Coulson T, Morgan BJT, Donald A, Morris SJ, Clutton-Brock TH. 2006. Cumulative 1065 reproduction and survival costs in female red deer. *Oikos* 115:241-52
- Moyes K, Nussey DH, Clements MN, Guinness FE, Morris A, et al. 2011. Advancing breeding
 phenology in response to environmental change in a wild red deer population. *Global Change Biology* 17:2455-69
- 1069 Nussey DH, Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Pemberton J, Kruuk LEB. 2005. Constraints on plastic
 1070 responses to climate variation in red deer. *Biology Letters* 1:457-60
- 1071 Nussey DH, Kruuk LEB, Donald A, Fowlie M, Clutton-Brock TH. 2006. The rate of senescence
 1072 in maternal performance increases with early-life fecundity in red deer. *Ecology* 1073 *Letters* 9:1342-50
- 1074 Nussey DH, Kruuk LEB, Morris A, Clements MN, Pemberton JM, Clutton-Brock TH. 2009. Inter and intrasexual variation in aging patterns across reproductive traits in a wild red deer
 population. American Naturalist 174:342-57
- 1077 Nussey DH, Kruuk LEB, Morris A, Clutton-Brock TH. 2007. Environmental conditions in early
 1078 life influence ageing rates in a wild population of red deer. *Current Biology* 17:R1000 1079 R01
- 1080 Nussey DH, Wilson AJ, Morris A, Pemberton J, Clutton-Brock T, Kruuk LEB. 2008. Testing for
 1081 genetic trade-offs between early- and late-life reproduction in a wild red deer
 1082 population. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 275:745-50
- 1083Pavitt AT, Pemberton JM, Kruuk LEB, Walling CA. 2016. Testosterone and cortisol1084concentrations vary with reproductive status in wild female red deer. Ecology and1085Evolution 6:1163-72
- Pavitt AT, Walling CA, Moestl E, Pemberton JM, Kruuk LEB. 2015. Cortisol but not testosterone
 is repeatable and varies with reproductive effort in wild red deer stags. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 222:62-68
- 1089Pemberton J, Kruuk L. 2015. Red deer research on the Isle of Rum NNR: management1090implications. Scottish Natural Heritage
- Pemberton JM, Albon SD, Guinness FE, Clutton-Brock TH, Berry RJ. 1988. Genetic variation
 and juvenile survival in red deer. *Evolution* 42:921-34
- Pemberton JM, Albon SD, Guinness FE, Clutton-Brock TH, Dover GA. 1992. Behavioral
 estimates of male mating success tested by DNA fingerprinting in a polygynous
 mammal. *Behavioral Ecology* 3:66-75
- Pemberton JM, Johnston SE, Fletcher TJ. 2021. The genome sequence of the red deer, *Cervus elaphus* Linnaeus 1758. *Wellcome Open Research*
- Peters L, Huisman J, Kruuk LEB, Pemberton JM, Johnston SE. 2022. Genomic analysis reveals
 a polygenic architecture of antler morphology in wild red deer (*Cervus elaphus*).
 Molecular Ecology 31:1281-98
- 1101 Reale D, Festa-Bianchet M, Jorgenson JT. 1999. Heritability of body mass varies with age and
 1102 season in wild bighorn sheep. *Heredity* 83:526-32
- Reby D, McComb K, Cargnelutti B, Darwin C, Fitch WT, Clutton-Brock T. 2005. Red deer stags
 use formants as assessment cues during intrasexual agonistic interactions.
 Proceedings Of The Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 272:941-47
- Reid JM, Arcese P, Bocedi G, Duthie AB, Wolak ME, Keller LF. 2015. Resolving the conundrum
 of inbreeding depression but no inbreeding avoidance: Estimating sex-specific
 selection on inbreeding by song sparrows (*Melospiza melodia*). Evolution 69:2846-61
- 1109Rose KE, Clutton-Brock TH, Guinness FE. 1998. Cohort variation in male survival and lifetime1110breeding success in red deer. Journal of Animal Ecology 67:979-86

- Schmidt KT, Stien A, Albon SD, Guinness FE. 2001. Antler length of yearling red deer is
 determined by population density, weather and early life-history. *Oecologia* 127:191 97
- 1114Sheldon BC, West SA. 2004. Maternal dominance, maternal condition, and offspring sex ratio1115in ungulate mammals. American Naturalist 163:40-54
- Slate J, Kruuk LEB, Marshall TC, Pemberton JM, Clutton-Brock TH. 2000. Inbreeding depression
 influences lifetime breeding success in a wild population of red deer (*Cervus elaphus*).
 Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences 267:1657-62
- Slate J, Van Stijn TC, Anderson RM, McEwan KM, Maqbool NJ, et al. 2002a. A deer (subfamily
 cervinae) genetic linkage map and the evolution of ruminant Genomes. *Genetics* 160:1587-97
- Slate J, Visscher PM, MacGregor S, Stevens D, Tate ML, Pemberton JM. 2002b. A genome scan
 for quantitative trait loci in a wild population of red deer (*Cervus elaphus*). *Genetics* 162:1863-73
- 1125Stocks JJ, Metheringham CL, Plumb WJ, Lee SJ, Kelly LJ, et al. 2019. Genomic basis of European1126ash tree resistance to ash dieback fungus. Nature Ecology & Evolution 3:1686-+
- Stopher KV, Bento AI, Clutton-Brock TH, Pemberton JM, Kruuk LEB. 2014. Multiple pathways
 mediate the effects of climate change on maternal reproductive traits in a red deer
 population. *Ecology* 95:3124-38
- Stopher KV, Nussey DH, Clutton-Brock TH, Guinness F, Morris A, Pemberton JM. 2011. The
 red deer rut revisited: female excursions but no evidence females move to mate with
 preferred males. *Behavioral Ecology* 22:808-18
- Stopher KV, Nussey DH, Clutton-Brock TH, Guinness F, Morris A, Pemberton JM. 2012a. Re mating across years and intralineage polygyny are associated with greater than
 expected levels of inbreeding in wild red deer. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 25:2457-69
- Stopher KV, Walling CA, Morris A, Guinness FE, Clutton-Brock TH, et al. 2012b. Shared spatial
 effects on quantitative genetic parameters: accounting for spatial autocorrelation and
 home range overlap reduces estimates of heritability in red deer. *Evolution* 66:2411 26
- 1141 Szulkin M, Stopher KV, Pemberton JM, Reid JM. 2013. Inbreeding avoidance, tolerance, or 1142 preference in animals? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 28:205-11
- 1143 Teplitsky C, Robinson MR, Merilä J. 2014. Evolutionary potential and constraints in wild 1144 populations. In *Quantitative Genetics in the Wild*, pp. 190-208
- Thackeray SJ, Henrys PA, Hemming D, Bell JR, Botham MS, et al. 2016. Phenological sensitivity
 to climate across taxa and trophic levels. *Nature* 535:241-U94
- 1147Thackeray SJ, Sparks TH, Frederiksen M, Burthe S, Bacon PJ, et al. 2010. Trophic level1148asynchrony in rates of phenological change for marine, freshwater and terrestrial1149environments. Global Change Biol 16:3304-13
- Thouless CR. 1990. Feeding competition between grazing red deer hinds. *Animal Behaviour* 40:105-11
- Thouless CR, Guinness FE. 1986. Conflict between red deer hinds : the winner always wins.
 Animal Behaviour 34:1166-71
- 1154Trillmich F. 1986. Maternal investment and sex allocation in the Galapagos fur seal,1155Arctocephalus galapoensis. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 19:157-64
- Trivers RL, Willard DE. 1973. Natural selection of parental ability to vary sex ratio of offspring.
 Science 179:90-92

- Troianou E, Huisman J, Pemberton JM, Walling CA. 2018. Estimating selection on the act of
 inbreeding in a population with strong inbreeding depression. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 31:1815-27
- Walling CA, Morrissey MB, Foerster K, Clutton-Brock TH, Pemberton JM, Kruuk LEB. 2014. A
 multivariate analysis of genetic constraints to life history evolution in a wild
 population of red deer. *Genetics* 198:1735-+
- Walling CA, Nussey DH, Morris A, Clutton-Brock TH, Kruuk LEB, Pemberton JM. 2011.
 Inbreeding depression in red deer calves. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 11
- Walsh B, Lynch M. 2018. Evolution and Selection of Quantitative Traits: Oxford University
 Press
- Wilmanski T, Diener C, Rappaport N, Patwardhan S, Wiedrick J, et al. 2021. Gut microbiome
 pattern reflects healthy ageing and predicts survival in humans. *Nature Metabolism* 3:274-+
- 1171 Wilson AJ, Charmantier A, Hadfield JD. 2008. Evolutionary genetics of ageing in the wild: 1172 empirical patterns and future perspectives. *Functional Ecology* 22:431-42
- Wilson AJ, Reale D, Clements MN, Morrissey MM, Postma E, et al. 2010. An ecologist's guide
 to the animal model. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 79:13-26
- Yang J, Lee SH, Goddard ME, Visscher PM. 2011. GCTA: A tool for genome-wide complex trait
 analysis. *American Journal of Human Genetics* 88:76-82
- 1177 1178
- ----
- 1179
- 1180



1182

Figure 1 (a) Male red deer (left) are about 50% larger than females (right) (Photo Alison Morris); (b) Location of the Isle of Rum, 30km west of mainland Scotland; (c) Map of Rum showing the deer management blocks; the study area, or North Block, is shown as Block 4 (courtesy NatureScot); (d) A marked female calf with tags, coloured plastic ear flashes held in by tags and an expanding collar made from the mouldable plastic Darvic (Photo Alison Morris).





Figure 2 Age-specific (a) number of survivors (b) survival rate and (c) mean breeding success (\pm SE) for 818 females and 783 males born between 1972 and 2003 for which we have high quality life history data and which were not shot (when ranging outside the study area).



1202

Figure 3. Lifetime breeding success (number of calves born or sired) for (a) female and (b) male 1203 red deer. Inset plots show the same data for those individuals that survived to age three, 1204 demonstrating that while nearly all adult females breed, many adult males never sire a calf. 1205 1206 Data selection as for Figure 2. 1207





- 1209
- 1210

Figure 4. The number of females and males older than one year regularly using the study area
in each year since our censusing programme began. To be counted as resident, an individual

1213 has to be seen in at least 10% of study area censuses between January and May of a given

1214 year.



Figure 5. (a) and (c) phenotypic and (b) and (d) breeding value trends in birth weight and birth
date from recent quantitative genetic analyses. The phenotype and the breeding values are
not changing for birth weight, whereas both are changing for birthdate.