

Published in final edited form as:

Atten Percept Psychophys. 2020 July; 82(5): 2738. doi:10.3758/s13414-020-02051-w.

## Correction to: Psychometric evaluation of the Pinocchio Illusion Questionnaire

John R. Purcell<sup>1,2</sup>, John Chen<sup>1</sup>, Alexandra B. Moussa-Tooks<sup>1,2</sup>, William P. Hetrick<sup>1,2,3</sup>
<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Indiana University, 1101 E. 10th St.,
Bloomington, IN 47408, USA

<sup>2</sup>Program in Neuroscience, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA

**Correction to: Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics** https://doi.org/10.3758/s13414-020-02011-4

The authors would like to correct the following:

- 1. The caption for Table 3 states: "Blank spaces indicate less than 0.03 factor loading" when it should indicate "Blank spaces indicate less than 0.30 factor loading."
- 2. There is an unneeded bracket in the abstract of the paper, the following sentence should have read: "Additionally, decreased reports of PI-specific perceptual aberrations during two elicitations of the PI on the PIQ's open-ended free-response section (percentage of sample endorsement = 5%, [first elicitation]; 8.3% [second elicitation]) compared with its 11-item section (endorsement of PI-specific items ranging from 30% to 53.33% [first]; 31.67% to 46.67% [second]) suggest that these responses may be heavily influenced by demand characteristics rather than accurately capturing PI perception."

William P. Hetrick, whetrick@indiana.edu.