J/ApJ/893/4 KiDS ultracompact massive galaxies sp. obs. (Scognamiglio+, 2020)

=====

Building the largest spectroscopic sample of ultracompact massive galaxies with

the Kilo Degree Survey.

Scognamiglio D., Tortora C., Spavone M., Spiniello C., Napolitano N.R., D'Ago G., La Barbera F., Getman F., Roy N., Raj M.A., Radovich M., Brescia M., Cavuoti S., Koopmans L.V.E., Kuijken K.H., Longo G., Petrillo C.E.

<Astrophys. J., 893, 4 (2020)>

=2020ApJ...893....4S

=====

ADC_Keywords: Galaxies, spectra; Photometry, ugriz; Redshifts;

Velocity dispersion; Morphology; Surveys

Keywords: Early-type galaxies; Galaxy formation; Galaxy mergers;

Spectroscopy;

Galaxy counts; Galaxy kinematics

Abstract:

Ultracompact massive galaxies (UCMGs), i.e., galaxies with stellar masses $M_* > 8x10^10^M_{sun}$ and effective radii $R_e < 1.5 \, \text{kpc}$, are very rare systems, in particular at low and intermediate redshifts. Their origin as well as their number density across cosmic time are still under scrutiny, especially because of the paucity of spectroscopically confirmed samples. We have started a systematic census of UCMG candidates within the ESO Kilo Degree Survey, together with a large spectroscopic follow-up campaign to build the largest possible sample of confirmed UCMGs. This is the third paper of the series and the second based on the spectroscopic follow-up program. Here, we present photometrical and structural parameters of 33 new candidates at redshifts 0.15 < z < 0.5 and confirm 19 of them as UCMGs, based on their nominal spectroscopically inferred M * and R e . This corresponds to a success rate of ~58%, nicely consistent with our previous findings. The addition of these 19 newly confirmed objects allows us to fully assess the systematics on the system selection-and to finally reduce the number density uncertainties. Moreover, putting together the results from our current and past observational campaigns and some literature data, we build the largest sample of ucmgs ever collected, comprising 92 spectroscopically confirmed objects at 0.1 < z < 0.5. This number raises to 116, allowing for a 3{sigma} tolerance on the M * and R e thresholds for the ucmg definition. For all these galaxies, we have estimated the velocity dispersion values at the effective radii, which have been used to derive a preliminary mass-velocity dispersion correlation.

Description:

The ESO Kilo Degree Survey (KiDS) is one of the ESO public wide-area surveys (1350deg^2^ in total) being carried out with the VLT Survey Telescope. It provides imaging data with unique image quality (pixel scale of 0.21/pixel and a median r-band seeing of 0.65") and baseline. As baseline sample of our search, we use the data included in the third Data Release of KiDS (KiDS-DR3) presented in de Jong+ 2017 (II/347).

Spectroscopic follow-up data have been collected in the years 2017 and 2018 during three separate runs, two carried out with the 3.6m Telescopio Nazionale Galileo (TNG) and one using the 2.54m Isaac Newton Telescope (INT), both located at Roque de los Muchachos

Observatory (Canary Islands).

Data on 13 luminous UCMG candidates have been obtained with the IDS spectrograph during six nights in March 2017 at the INT telescope (wavelength range $4000-8000\{AA\}$ and R=560), in visitor mode (PI: C. Tortora, ID: 17AN005). See Section 3.1.

20 spectra of UCMG candidates have been collected using the Device Optimized for the Low RESolution (DOLORES) spectrograph mounted on the 3.5m TNG, during six nights in March 2017 and 2018 (PI: N.R. Napolitano,

ID: A34TAC_22 and A36TAC_20; resolution R=585 and wavelength range $4000-8000\{AA\}$). See Section 3.2.

In addition to the 33 new UCMG candidates presented in this paper, we also apply the same kinematics procedure to the 28 UCMG candidates from Tortora+ 2018MNRAS.481.4728T

6 observed with TNG and 22 with the New Technology Telescope (NTT), which we refer to as the ucmg_tng_t18 and ucmg_ntt_t18 samples, respectively. See Sections 1 and 3.4.

File Summary:

----FileName Lrecl Records Explanations

FileName	Lrecl	Records	Explanations
ReadMe	80	•	This file
table1.dat candidates	106	33	Integrated photometry for the 33 UCMG
			observed within our spectroscopic program
table2.dat	105	33	Structural parameters derived running 2Dphot on g-, r-, and i-bands
table3.dat	58	33	Results of the fitting procedure on the spectra belonging to the three observational runs
table4.dat	79	28	*Results of the fitting procedure on the spectra for samples ucmg tng t18 and ucmg ntt t18
table5.dat the	36	33	
			validation of the new UCMG candidates
table6.dat the	36	28	Photometric and spectroscopic parameters for
			validation of ucmg tng t18 and ucmg ntt t18
samples			
tablea1.dat	94	55	*Integrated photometry for the 55 systems in the ucmg spec sample
tablea2.dat	112	55	

Note on table4.dat: In addition to the 33 new UCMG candidates presented in this paper, we also apply the same kinematics procedure to the 28 UCMG candidates from Tortora+ 2018MNRAS.481.4728T

6 observed with TNG and 22 with the New Technology Telescope (NTT), which we refer to as the ucmg_tng_t18 and ucmg_ntt_t18 samples, respectively (see Sections 1 and 3.4).

Note on tableal.dat: In order to quantify the impact of the systematics on the

UCMG selection, we have created (in Section 4.2) the ucmg_spec sample: a sample of 55 UCMGs with spectroscopic redshifts from the literature, similar to the sample used in Tortora+ 2018MNRAS.481.4728T, but selected with the new mass setup. We have gathered these spectroscopic redshifts from SDSS (see DR9, V/139 and DR10, 2014ApJS..211...17A),

GAMA (Driver+ 2011, J/MNRAS/413/971), which overlap the KiDS fields in the Northern cap, and 2dFLenS (Blake+ 2016MNRAS.462.4240B), observed in the Southern hemisphere.

```
______
See also:
B/eso : ESO Science Archive Catalog (ESO, 1991-2021)
II/347: KiDS-ESO-DR3 multi-band source catalog (de Jong+, 2017)
      : The SDSS Photometric Catalog, Release 9 (Adelman-McCarthy+, 2012)
J/ApJ/720/723 : Compact galaxies in the local universe (Taylor+, 2010)
J/MNRAS/404/1639 : MILES base models & new line index system (Vazdekis+,
2010)
J/ApJ/739/L44 : Structural data for gal. between 0.2<z<2.7 (Damjanov+,
2011)
J/MNRAS/413/971 : Galaxy And Mass Assembly (GAMA) DR1 (Driver+, 2011)
J/ApJ/762/77 : PM2GC mass-limited sample surface phot. (Poggianti+,
2013)
J/ApJ/793/39 : Sp. properties of BOSS compact galaxies (Damjanov+,
2014)
J/MNRAS/452/2087 : Galaxy And Mass Assembly (GAMA): DR2 (Liske+, 2015)
J/A+A/578/A134 : Compact early-type galaxies in SDSS (Saulder+, 2015)
J/ApJS/218/10 : HET Massive Galaxy Survey (HETMGS) (van den Bosch+,
2015)
J/A+A/632/A34 : KiDS+VIKING-450 opt+NIR dataset (Wright+, 2019)
Byte-by-byte Description of file: table1.dat
  Bytes Format Units Label Explanations
             "Y/M" Date Date of observation (UT)
    7 A7
 1 –
             --- Inst Instrument (1)
 9- 19 A11
             --- Seq [1/33] Running sequence number
21- 22 I2
24- 27 A4
             ---
                   ---
                          [KIDS]
29- 48 A20
             --- KIDS Name (JHHMMSS.ss+DDMMSS.ss)
50- 54 F5.2 --- rmagA [18.56/19.96] r-band KiDS MAG_AUTO
56- 60 F5.2 mag umag [20.4/24.6] KiDS 6" aperture u-band magnitude
(G1)
62- 65 F4.2 mag e umag [0.02/1.76] Uncertainty on the umag
67- 71 F5.2 mag gmag [19.9/21.5] KiDS 6" aperture g-band magnitude
(G1)
73- 77 F5.3 mag e gmag [0.006/0.2] Uncertainty on the gmag
(G1)
85-89 F5.3 mag e rmag [0.002/0.04] Uncertainty on the rmag
91- 95 F5.2 mag imag [18.1/19.5] KiDS 6" aperture i-band magnitude
(G1)
97- 101 F5.3 mag e_{imag} = [0.005/0.08] Uncertainty on the imag
103- 106 F4.2 --- zphot [0.22/0.45] Photometric redshift
```

Note (1): Instrument as follows:

INT/IDS = the 2.54m Isaac Newton Telescope (13 occurrences) TNG/DOLORES = the 3.6m Telescopio Nazionale Galileo (20 occurrences)

from machine learning

Byt	es	Format	Units	Label	Explanations
1-	2	12		Seq	[1/33] Running sequence number
4 –	7	F4.2	arcsec	Radq	[0.06/0.7] g-band circularized effective
adius				2	
					{theta} e
9-	12	F4.2	kpc	Reffg	[0.3/3.1] g-band circularized effective
adius			-	2	
14-	18	F5.2		ng	[1.5/10.1] g-band Sersic index
20-	23	F4.2			[0.1/0.99] g-band axis ratio
25-	28	F4.2			[0.99/1.1] {chi}^2^ of the surface
hotom	netr	У		_	
		-			fit in g-band
30-	33	F4.2		Chir2g	$[0.9/1.4]$ {chi}^2^ of the surface
hotom				2	
		-			fit including only central pixels in g-
and					
35-	37	I3		SNRq	[36/161] signal-to-noise ratio S/N of th
				2	photometric g-band images (G2)
39-	42	F4.2	arcsec	Radr	[0.06/0.4] r-band circularized effective
adius	5,				
	•				{theta} e
44-	47	F4.2	kpc	Reffr	[0.35/1.55] r-band circularized effective
adius			1 -		
		F4.2		nr	[2.15/9.54] r-band Sersic index
		F4.2			[0.2/0.9] r-band axis ratio
		F4.2		_	[0.98/1.15] {chi}^2^ of the surface
hotom				011121	[0.50/1.10] (onl) 2 of the ballace
		1			fit in r-band
64-	67	F4.2		Chir2r	[0.9/2.8] {chi}^2^ of the surface
hotom				01111111	[0.5, 2.0] (onl) I of one sallage
110 0011		1			fit including only central pixels in r
and					110 inoluging only conclus princis in i
69-	71	Т3		SNRr	[36/421] signal-to-noise ratio S/N of th
J J				~	photometric r-band images (G2)
73-	76	F4.2	arcsec	Radi	[0.1/0.7] i-band circularized effective
adius		• -	a10000	11441	[3.1, 3.7] I Sama Circularized Circulte
30100	,				{theta} e
78-	81	F4.2	kpc	Reffi	[0.45/2.6] i-band circularized effective
adius			-T		
		F4.2		ni	[2.1/9.16] i-band Sersic index
		F4.2			[0.04/0.87] i-band axis ratio
		F4.2			[0.97/1.1] {chi}^2^ of the surface
hotom				V11121	[0.57,71.1] (OH1) 2 Of the Bullace
.110 0 011	. C L L	Ĭ.			fit in i-band
98_ 1	01	F4.2		Chir2i	[0.87/1.1] {chi}^2^ of the surface
hotom			_	CIITT	[0.07/1.1] [CH1] Z OF THE SHITAGE
110 COII	ie c I	У			fit including only central pixels in i
and					ite including only central pixels in I
and	0 =	тЭ		CMD:	[62/2//] cignal-to-naice matic C/N of th
.03- 1	.05	13		SMKT	[62/244] signal-to-noise ratio S/N of the
					photometric i-band images (G2)

Byte-by-byte Description of file: table[34].dat

```
1- 2 I2
                          Seq
                                  [1/61] Running sequence number
                zphot [0.2/0.45] Photometric redshift

zspec [0.15/0.47] Measured spectroscopic redshift

e_zspec [0.0001/0.006] zspec uncertainty

km/s sigma [142/432] Velocity dispersion
   4- 7 F4.2
   9- 14 F6.4
  16- 21 F6.4
  23- 25 I3 km/s sigma [142/432] Velocity dispers.
27- 29 I3 km/s e_sigma [22/113] sigma uncertainty
31- 33 I3 --- sige [149/464] The corrected ve.
                        sige [149/464] The corrected velocity dispersion
                                   the effective radius, {sigma}_e_
  35- 38 F4.2 arcsec Ap
                                  [0.69/1.6] The circular aperture
  40- 43 F4.2
                          SR
                                  [0.02/1] The success rate (ratio between
                                   number of accepted fits over the total 257
                                   attempts)
 45- 49 F5.2 --- SNRsp [1.39/15.1] The signal-to-noise ratio per
pixel
                                   calculated in the range 3600-4600{AA}
  51- 55 F5.2 --- SNRk [2/24.6] The signal-to-noise ratio per
pixel
                                   calculated over the region used for the
fit by
                                   OMEGA-K
  57- 58 A2
               --- Qual The quality level of the velocity
dispersion
                                   estimates (2)
  60- 79 A20 --- KIDS KiDS identifier (JHHMMSS.ss+DDMMSS.ss)
                                  for Tortora+ 2018MNRAS.481.4728T sample;
                                   column added by CDS
______
Note (2): Quality level of the velocity dispersion as follows:
    HQ = high-quality if SR>=0.3, SNRsp>=3.5, and SNRk>=6.5/px;
    LQ = low-quality
           See Section 3.4.
______
Byte-by-byte Description of file: table[56].dat
______
  Bytes Format Units Label Explanations
 1- 2 I2 --- Seq [1/61] Running sequence number
4- 7 F4.2 --- zphot [0.2/0.45] Photometric redshift
9- 12 F4.2 --- zspec [0.16/0.47] Spectroscopic redshift
14- 17 F4.2 kpc Reffp [0.35/1.5] Median effective radius,
photometric
  19- 22 F4.2 kpc Reffsp [0.28/1.81] Median effective radius,
                                   spectroscopic
  24- 28 F5.2 [Msun] Massp [10.7/11.4] Stellar mass, photometric
30- 34 F5.2 [Msun] Massp [10.46/11.5] Stellar mass, spectroscopic
36 A1 --- Valid Spectral validation (3)
Note (3): Spectral validation as follows:
   Y = confirmed UCMG (i.e., log_10_(M_*_/M_{sun})>10.9 and R_e<1.5kpc)
```

N = candidate is not a confirmed UCMG

Byte-by-byte Description of file: tableal.dat

Byt	es	Format	Units	Label	Explanations
1-	3	A3		ID	Identifier
5-	8	A4			[KIDS]
				KIDS	Name (JHHMMSS.ss+DDMMSS.ss)
31-	35	F5.2	maq	rmaqA	[17/20.94] r-band KiDS MAG AUTO
37-	41	F5.2	mag		[19.2/24.9] KiDS 6" aperture u-band magnitude
(G1)			_	2	
43-	46	F4.2	maq	e umag	[0.01/1.76] Uncertainty on the umag
		F5.2	_	gmag	[17.9/22.6] KiDS 6" aperture g-band magnitude
(G1)	_			9	[= vo, == vo]= v op-= value g value magnification
, ,	58	F5.3	maq	e gmag	[0.002/0.06] Uncertainty on the gmag
		F5.2	_	rmaq	[17/20.91] KiDS 6" aperture r-band magnitude
(G1)	OI	13.2	mag	Imag	[17/20.51] Kibb o apereure i bana magnitude
, ,	71	F6.4	maa	e rmag	[0.0008/0.01] Uncertainty on the rmag
		F5.2	_	e_imag	[16.7/20.2] KiDS 6" aperture i-band magnitude
	/ /	F J . Z	mag	Illag	[10.7/20.2] KIDS o apercure 1-band magnitude
(G1)	0.0	A			50 001/0 041 TT
		F5.3		e_imag	[0.001/0.04] Uncertainty on the imag
		F4.2		zphot	[0.11/0.48] Photometric redshift
90-	94	F5.2	[Msun]	Mass	[10.58/11.3] Stellar mass

Byte-by-byte Description of file: tablea2.dat

	Eomot	IIni+a	Tabal	Evolunations
Bytes	rormat			Explanations
1- 3	A3		ID	Identifier
5- 8	F4.2	arcsec	Radg	[0.05/0.81] g-band circularized effective
radius,				
				{theta}_e_
10- 13	F4.2	kpc	Reffg	[0.24/4.9] g-band circularized effective
radius				
15- 19				[0.69/10.6] g-band Sersic index
21- 24				[0.12/0.92] g-band axis ratio
26- 29			Chi2g	$[0.83/1.2]$ {chi}^2^ of the surface
photomet	ry			fit in a hand
31- 35	T E O		Chinon	fit in g-band
photomet			CHILZG	[0.86/11.6] {chi}^2^ of the surface
phocomet	- т У			fit including only central pixels in q-
band				The including only central pixels in g
37- 39	I3		SNRq	[16/602] Signal-to-noise ratio S/N of the
				photometric g-band images (G2)
41- 44	F4.2	arcsec	Radr	
radius,				
				{theta} e
46- 49	F4.2	kpc	Reffr	[0.48/2] r-band circularized effective
radius				
51- 55				[1.99/10.64] r-band Sersic index
57- 60	F4.2		qr	[0.17/0.89] r-band axis ratio

62- 65 F4.2 photometry		Chi2r	[0.98/1.5] {chi}^2^ of the surface
_			fit in r-band
67- 71 F5.2		Chir2r	$[0.98/25.8]$ {chi}^2^ of the surface
photometry			
1 1			fit including only central pixels in r-
band			
73- 76 I4		SNRr	[74/1109] signal-to-noise ratio S/N of the photometric r-band images (G2)
78- 81 F4.2	arcsec	Radi	[0.1/1.3] i-band circularized effective
radius,			
			{theta}_e_
83- 86 F4.2	kpc	Reffi	[0.4/6] i-band circularized effective radius
88- 92 F5.2		ni	[1/10.1] i-band Sersic index
94- 97 F4.2		qi	[0.17/0.9] i-band axis ratio
99-102 F4.2		Chi2i	[0.98/1.1] {chi}^2^ of the surface
photometry			
			fit in i-band
104-108 F5.2		Chir2i	[0.86/11.1] {chi}^2^ of the surface
photometry			
			fit including only central pixels in i-
band			
110-112 I3		SNRi	[32/641] signal-to-noise ratio S/N of the
			photometric i-band images (G2)

Globale notes:

Note (G1): All the magnitudes have been corrected for Galactic extinction using Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011ApJ...737..103S) maps.

Note (G2): The signal-to-noise ratio S/N of the photometric images, defined as the inverse of the error on MAG_AUTO.

History:

From electronic version of the journal

=====

(End) Emmanuelle Perret [CDS] 04-Oct-2021