

J Stat Phys (2008) 130: 455–482
DOI 10.1007/s10955-007-9430-x

Travelling Randomly on the Poincaré Half-Plane with a Pythagorean Compass

V. Cammarota · E. Orsingher

Received: 6 June 2007 / Accepted: 10 September 2007 / Published online: 3 October 2007
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2007

Abstract A random motion on the Poincaré half-plane is studied. A particle runs on the geodesic lines changing direction at Poisson-paced times. The hyperbolic distance is analyzed, also in the case where returns to the starting point are admitted. The main results concern the mean hyperbolic distance (and also the conditional mean distance) in all versions of the motion envisaged. Also an analogous motion on orthogonal circles of the sphere is examined and the evolution of the mean distance from the starting point is investigated.

Keywords Random motions · Poisson process · Telegraph process · Hyperbolic and spherical trigonometry · Carnot and Pythagorean hyperbolic formulas · Cardano formula · Hyperbolic functions

1 Introduction

Motions on hyperbolic spaces have been studied since the end of the Fifties and most of the papers devoted to them deal with the so-called hyperbolic Brownian motion (see, e.g., Gertsenshtein and Vasiliev [4], Gruet [5], Comtet and Monthus [1], Monthus and Texier [9], Lao and Orsingher [7]).

More recently also works concerning two-dimensional random motions at finite velocity on planar hyperbolic spaces have been introduced and analyzed (Orsingher and De Gregorio [11]).

While in [11] the components of motion are supposed to be independent, we present here a planar random motion with interacting components. Its counterpart on the unit sphere is also examined and discussed.

The space on which our motion develops is the Poincaré upper half-plane $H_2^+ = \{(x, y) : y > 0\}$ which is certainly the most popular model of the Lobachevsky hyperbolic

V. Cammarota · E. Orsingher (✉)

Dipartimento di Statistica, Probabilità e Statistiche Applicate, University of Rome 'La Sapienza',
P. le Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Rome, Italy
e-mail: enzo.orsingher@uniroma1.it

space. In the space H_2^+ the distance between points is measured by means of the metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{y^2}. \tag{1.1}$$

The propagation of light in a planar non-homogeneous medium, according to the Fermat principle, must obey the law

$$\frac{\sin \alpha(y)}{c(x, y)} = \text{const}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\alpha(y)$ is the angle formed by the tangent to the curve of propagation with the vertical at the point with ordinate y . In the case where the velocity $c(x, y) = y$ is independent from the direction, the light propagates on half-circles as in H_2^+ .

In [2] it is shown that the light propagates in a non-homogeneous half-plane H_2^+ with refracting index $n(x, y) = 1/y$ with rays having the structure of half-circles.

Scattered obstacles in the non-homogeneous medium cause random deviations in the propagation of light and this leads to the random model analyzed below.

The position of points in H_2^+ can be given either in terms of Cartesian coordinates (x, y) or by means of the hyperbolic coordinates (η, α) . In particular, η represents the hyperbolic distance of a point of H_2^+ from the origin O which has Cartesian coordinates $(0, 1)$. We recall that η is evaluated by means of (1.1) on the arc of a circumference with center located on the x axis and joining (x, y) with the origin O . The upper half-circumferences centered on the x axis represent the geodesic lines of the space H_2^+ and play the same role of the straight lines in the Euclidean plane (for an overview on the space H_2^+ and non-Euclidean geometry in general see [3, 6, 8] and [13]).

The angle α represents the slope of the tangent in O to the half-circumference passing through (x, y) (see Fig. 1(a)).

The formulas which relate the polar hyperbolic coordinates (η, α) to the Cartesian coordinates (x, y) are (see Rogers and Williams [12], p. 213)




Fig. 1 (a) Illustrates the hyperbolic coordinates. (b) Refers to the hyperbolic triangle of Carnot formula

$$\begin{cases} x = \frac{\sinh \eta \cos \alpha}{\cosh \eta - \sinh \eta \sin \alpha}, & \eta > 0, \\ y = \frac{1}{\cosh \eta - \sinh \eta \sin \alpha}, & -\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

For each value of α the relevant geodesic curve is represented by the half-circumference with equation

$$(x - \tan \alpha)^2 + y^2 = \frac{1}{\cos^2 \alpha}. \tag{1.4}$$

For $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$ we get from (1.4) the positive y axis which also is a geodesic curve of H_2^+ .

From (1.3) it is easy to obtain the following expression of the hyperbolic distance η of (x, y) from the origin O :

$$\cosh \eta = \frac{x^2 + y^2 + 1}{2y}. \tag{1.5}$$

From (1.5) it can be seen that all the points having hyperbolic distance η from the origin O form a Euclidean circumference with center at $(0, \cosh \eta)$ and radius $\sinh \eta$.

The expression of the hyperbolic distance between two arbitrary points (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) is instead given by

$$\cosh \eta = \frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2}{2y_1 y_2}. \tag{1.6}$$

In fact, by considering the hyperbolic triangle with vertices at $(0, 1)$, (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) , by means of the Carnot hyperbolic formula it is simple to show that the distance η between (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) is given by

$$\cosh \eta = \cosh \eta_1 \cosh \eta_2 - \sinh \eta_1 \sinh \eta_2 \cos(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2), \tag{1.7}$$

where (η_1, α_1) and (η_2, α_2) are the hyperbolic coordinates of (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) , respectively (see Fig. 1(b)). From (1.4) we obtain that

$$\tan \alpha_i = \frac{x_i^2 + y_i^2 - 1}{2x_i} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \tag{1.8}$$

and in view of (1.5) and (1.8), after some calculations, formula (1.6) appears. Instead of the elementary arguments of the proof above we can also invoke the group theory which reduces (x_1, y_1) to $(0, 1)$.

If $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, the hyperbolic Carnot formula (1.7) reduces to the hyperbolic Pythagorean theorem

$$\cosh \eta = \cosh \eta_1 \cosh \eta_2 \tag{1.9}$$

which plays an important role in the present paper.

The motion considered here is the non-Euclidean counterpart of the planar motion with orthogonal deviations studied in Orsingher [10]. The main object of the investigation is the hyperbolic distance of the moving point from the origin. We are able to give explicit expressions for its mean value, also under the condition that the number of changes of direction is known. In the case of motion in H_2^+ with independent components (Orsingher and De Gregorio [11]) an explicit expression for the distribution of the hyperbolic distance η has been obtained. Here, however, the components of motion are dependent and this excludes any possibility of finding the distribution of the hyperbolic distance $\eta(t)$.

We obtain the following explicit formula for the mean value of the hyperbolic distance which reads

$$E\{\cosh \eta(t)\} = e^{-\frac{\lambda t}{2}} \left\{ \cosh \frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2} + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}} \sinh \frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2} \right\} = E e^{T(t)}, \quad (1.10)$$

where $T(t)$ is a telegraph process with parameters $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ and c .

The telegraph process represents the random motion of a particle moving with constant velocity and changing direction at Poisson-paced times (see, for example, [11]).

Section 5 is devoted to motions on the Poincaré half-plane where the return to the starting point is admitted and occurs at the instants of changes of direction. The mean distance from the origin of these jumping-back motions is obtained explicitly by exploiting their relationship with the motion without jumps. In the case where the return to the starting point occurs at the first Poisson event T_1 , the mean value of the hyperbolic distance $\eta_1(t)$ reads

$$E\{\cosh \eta_1(t) | N(t) \geq 1\} = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}} \frac{\sinh \frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}}{\sinh \frac{\lambda t}{2}}. \quad (1.11)$$

The last section considers the motion at finite velocity, with orthogonal deviations at Poisson times, on the unit-radius sphere. The main results concern the mean value $E\{\cos d(P_0 P_t)\}$, where $d(P_0 P_t)$ is the distance of the current point P_t from the starting position P_0 . We take profit of the analogy of the spherical motion with its counterpart on the Poincaré half-plane to discuss the different situations due to the finiteness of the space where the random motion develops.

2 Description of the Planar Random Motion on the Poincaré Half-Plane H_2^+

We start our analysis by considering a particle located at the origin O of H_2^+ . The particle initially moves on the half-circumference with center at $(0, 0)$ and radius 1. The motion of the particle develops on the geodesic lines represented by half-circles with the center located on the x axis. Changes of direction are governed by a homogeneous Poisson process of rate λ .

At the occurrence of the first Poisson event, the particle starts moving on the circumference orthogonal to the previous one.

After having reached the point P_2 , where the second Poisson event happened, the particle continues its motion on the circumference orthogonal to that joining O with P_2 (see Fig. 2).

In general, at the n -th Poisson event, the particle is located at the point P_n and starts moving on the circumference orthogonal to the geodesic curve passing through P_n and the origin O (consult again Fig. 2).

At each Poisson event the particle moves from the reached position P clockwise or counter-clockwise (with probability $\frac{1}{2}$) on the circumference orthogonal to the geodesic line passing through P and O .

The hyperbolic length of the arc run by the particle during the inter-time between two successive changes of direction, occurring at t_{k-1} and t_k respectively, is given by $c(t_k - t_{k-1})$, with $k \geq 1$ and $t_0 = 0$. The velocity c is assumed to be the constant hyperbolic velocity

$$c = \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{y} \sqrt{\frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{dt^2}}. \quad (2.1)$$




Fig. 2 In the first three figures a sample path where the particle chooses the outward direction is depicted. In the last one a trajectory with one step moving towards the origin is depicted

The Cartesian coordinates of the points P_k , where the changes of direction occur, can be explicitly evaluated, but they are not important in our analysis because we study only the evolution of the hyperbolic distance from the origin of the moving particle.

The construction outlined above shows that the arcs OP_{k-1} , $P_{k-1}P_k$, and OP_k form right triangles with the vertex of the right angle at P_{k-1} .

In force of the hyperbolic Pythagorean theorem we have that

$$\cosh d(OP_k) = \cosh d(OP_{k-1}) \cosh d(P_{k-1}P_k). \tag{2.2}$$

The hyperbolic distance $\eta(t)$ of the moving point P_t after n changes of direction is thus given by

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh \eta(t) &= \cosh d(OP_t) = \cosh d(P_n P_t) \cosh d(OP_n) \\ &= \cosh c(t - t_n) \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh c(t_k - t_{k-1}) = \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \cosh c(t_k - t_{k-1}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $t_0 = 0$ and $t_{n+1} = t$. The instants t_k , $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ are uniformly distributed in the set

$$T = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k < \dots < t_n < t_{n+1} = t\}. \tag{2.4}$$

This means that $\cosh \eta(t)$, defined in (2.3), can be viewed as the hyperbolic distance from O of the moving particle for fixed time points of the underlying Poisson process and for a fixed number $N(t) = n$ of changes of direction.

We remark that the geodesic distance (2.3) depends on how much time the particle spends on each geodesic curve (but not on the chosen direction). Of course, (2.3) depends on the number n of changes of direction and on the speed c of the moving particle, as well.




Fig. 3 The set of all possible points reachable by the process for different values of t is drawn. In each domain a trajectory of the process, with $c = 0.05$ and $N(t) = 2$, is depicted

The set of possible positions at different times t is depicted in Fig. 3. The vertices A and B are reached when the particle never changes direction, whereas C and D are reached if the deviation is unique and occurs immediately after the start.

The ensemble of points having the same hyperbolic distance from O at time t , forms the circle with center $C = (0, \cosh \eta(t))$ and radius $\sinh \eta(t)$. Since

$$\cosh \eta(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \cosh c(t_k - t_{k-1}), \tag{2.5}$$

the ordinate of the center C is obtained by successively multiplying the ordinates of the centers of equally distant points at each step. For the radius, however, such a fine interpretation is not possible (the radii do not exhibit the same multiplicative behavior) but nevertheless we will study their product

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \sinh c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \tag{2.6}$$

because

$$\sinh \eta(t) \geq \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \sinh c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \tag{2.7}$$

and (2.6) represents a lower bound for the circle of equally distant points at time t .

3 The Equations Related to the Mean Hyperbolic Distance

In this section we study the conditional and unconditional mean values of the hyperbolic distance $\eta(t)$. Our first result concerns the derivation of the equations satisfied by the mean values

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(t) &= E\{\cosh \eta(t) | N(t) = n\} \\ &= \frac{n!}{t^n} \int_0^t dt_1 \int_{t_1}^t dt_2 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \cosh c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &= \frac{n!}{t^n} I_n(t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$I_n(t) = \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \cosh c(t_k - t_{k-1}), \tag{3.2}$$

and by

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= E\{\cosh \eta(t)\} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E\{\cosh \eta(t) | N(t) = n\} Pr\{N(t) = n\} \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n I_n(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

At first, we state the following result concerning the evaluation of the integrals $I_n(t)$, $n \geq 1$.

Lemma 3.1 *The functions*

$$I_n(t) = \int_0^t dt_1 \int_{t_1}^t dt_2 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \cosh c(t_k - t_{k-1}), \tag{3.4}$$

with $t_0 = 0$ and $t_{n+1} = t$, satisfy the difference-differential equations

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} I_n = \frac{d}{dt} I_{n-1} + c^2 I_n, \tag{3.5}$$

where $t > 0$, $n \geq 1$, and $I_0(t) = \cosh ct$.

Proof We first note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} I_n &= \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-2}}^t dt_{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &\quad + c \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \sinh c(t - t_n) \\ &= I_{n-1} + c \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \sinh c(t - t_n) \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} I_n = \frac{d}{dt} I_{n-1} + c^2 \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \cosh c(t_k - t_{k-1}) = \frac{d}{dt} I_{n-1} + c^2 I_n. \quad \square \tag{3.7}$$

In view of Lemma 3.1 we can prove also the following:

Theorem 3.2 *The mean value $E(t) = E\{\cosh \eta(t)\}$ satisfies the second-order linear homogeneous differential equation*

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(t) = -\lambda \frac{d}{dt} E(t) + c^2 E(t), \tag{3.8}$$

with initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} E(0) = 1, \\ \frac{d}{dt} E(t)|_{t=0} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

The explicit value of the mean hyperbolic distance is therefore

$$E(t) = e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}t} \left\{ \cosh \frac{t\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}} \sinh \frac{t\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}}{2} \right\}. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof From (3.3), it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) = -\lambda E(t) + e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \frac{d}{dt} I_n \tag{3.11}$$

and thus, in view of (3.7) and by letting $I_{-1} = 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(t) &= -\lambda \frac{d}{dt} E(t) - \lambda \left(\frac{d}{dt} E(t) + \lambda E(t) \right) + e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \left(\frac{d}{dt} I_{n-1} + c^2 I_n \right) \\ &= -2\lambda \frac{d}{dt} E(t) - \lambda^2 E(t) + c^2 E(t) + e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \frac{d}{dt} I_{n-1} \\ &= -2\lambda \frac{d}{dt} E(t) - \lambda^2 E(t) + c^2 E(t) + \lambda \left(\frac{d}{dt} E(t) + \lambda E(t) \right) \\ &= -\lambda \frac{d}{dt} E(t) + c^2 E(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

While it is straightforward to see that the first condition in (3.9) is verified, the second one needs some explanations: if we write

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) \Big|_{t=0} = \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} \frac{E(\Delta t) - 1}{\Delta t} \tag{3.13}$$

and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Delta t) &= (1 - \lambda \Delta t) \cosh c \Delta t + \lambda \int_0^{\Delta t} \cosh ct_1 \cosh c(\Delta t - t_1) dt_1 + o(\Delta t) \\ &= (1 - \lambda \Delta t) \cosh c \Delta t + \frac{\lambda \Delta t}{2} \cosh c \Delta t + \frac{\lambda}{2c} \sinh c \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

by substituting (3.14) in (3.13), the second condition emerges. The integral in (3.14) represents the mean value $E\{\cosh \eta(\Delta t) | N(\Delta t) = 1\}$ and is in fact evaluated by applying the Pythagorean hyperbolic theorem, as in (3.1), for $k = 1$ and $t = \Delta t$.

The general solution to (3.12) has the form

$$E(t) = e^{-\frac{\lambda t}{2}} \left\{ A e^{\frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}} + B e^{-\frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}} \right\}. \tag{3.15}$$

By imposing the initial conditions, the constants A and B can be evaluated and coincide with:

$$A = \frac{\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}}{2\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}}, \quad B = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2} - \lambda}{2\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}}. \tag{3.16}$$

From (3.15) and (3.16) we obtain

$$E(t) = \frac{e^{-\frac{\lambda t}{2}}}{2} \left\{ \frac{\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}} e^{\frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}} + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}} e^{-\frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}} \right\} \tag{3.17}$$

so that (3.10) emerges. □

Remark 3.1 The mean value $E(t)$ tends to infinity as $t \rightarrow \infty$ so that the moving particle, in the long run, either reaches the x axis or moves away towards the infinity.

Of course, if $c = 0$ we have that $E(t) = 1$, and for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ we have again that $E(t) = 1$ because in both cases the particle cannot leave the starting point.

If $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ we get $E(t) = \cosh ct$ because the particle will simply move on the basic geodesic line and its hyperbolic distance grows linearly with t .

We note that the hyperbolic distance itself tends to infinity as $t \rightarrow \infty$ because

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \cosh \eta(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \cosh d(P_k P_{k-1}) = \infty \tag{3.18}$$

and (3.18) is the infinite product of terms bigger than one.

Remark 3.2 By taking into account the difference-differential equation (3.5), or directly from (3.1), it follows that the conditional mean values $E_n(t)$ satisfy the following equation with non-constant coefficients

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} E_n + \frac{2n}{t} \frac{d}{dt} E_n - \frac{n}{t} \frac{d}{dt} E_{n-1} + \frac{n^2 - n}{t^2} (E_n - E_{n-1}) - c^2 E_n = 0. \tag{3.19}$$

In order to obtain the explicit value of the conditional mean value $E_n(t)$ it is convenient to perform a series expansion of $E(t)$, instead of solving the difference-differential equation (3.19). In this way we can prove the following result.

Theorem 3.3 *The conditional mean values $E_n(t)$, $n \geq 1$, can be expressed as*

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_n(t) &= \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{n!}{(n-2r)!} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{r+j}{j} \frac{(ct)^{2j}}{(2r+2j)!} \\
 &+ \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{n!}{(n-2r-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{r+j}{j} \frac{(ct)^{2j}}{(2r+2j+1)!}. \tag{3.20}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof By expanding the hyperbolic functions in (3.10) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(t) &= e^{-\frac{\lambda t}{2}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k)!} \left(\frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2} \right)^{2k} \right. \\
 &\left. + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} \left(\frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2} \right)^{2k+1} \right]. \tag{3.21}
 \end{aligned}$$

By applying the Newton binomial formula to the terms in the round brackets and by expanding $e^{\frac{\lambda t}{2}}$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(t) &= e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{\lambda t}{2} \right)^m \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k)!} \left(\frac{t}{2} \right)^{2k} \sum_{r=0}^k \binom{k}{r} \lambda^{2r} (2c)^{2k-2r} \right. \\
 &\left. + \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} \left(\frac{t}{2} \right)^{2k+1} \sum_{r=0}^k \binom{k}{r} \lambda^{2r} (2c)^{2k-2r} \right]. \tag{3.22}
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, interchanging the summation order, it results that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(t) &= e^{-\lambda t} \left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!r!} \left(\frac{\lambda t}{2} \right)^{2r+m} \frac{(2r+m)!}{(2r+m)!} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(r+j)!}{j!} \frac{(ct)^{2j}}{(2r+2j)!} \right. \\
 &\left. + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!r!} \left(\frac{\lambda t}{2} \right)^{2r+m+1} \frac{(2r+m+1)!}{(2r+m+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(r+j)!}{j!} \frac{(ct)^{2j}}{(2r+2j+1)!} \right]. \tag{3.23}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$E(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} E_n(t), \tag{3.24}$$

from (3.23) and (3.24), we have that

$$E_n(t) = \sum_{m,r:2r+m=n} \frac{1}{2^{2r+m}} \frac{(2r+m)!}{m!} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{r+j}{j} \frac{(ct)^{2j}}{(2r+2j)!}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{m,r:2r+m+1=n} \frac{1}{2^{2r+m+1}} \frac{(2r+m+1)!}{m!} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{r+j}{j} \frac{(ct)^{2j}}{(2r+2j+1)!} \\
 & = \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{n!}{(n-2r)!} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{r+j}{j} \frac{(ct)^{2j}}{(2r+2j)!} \\
 & + \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{n!}{(n-2r-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{r+j}{j} \frac{(ct)^{2j}}{(2r+2j+1)!}, \tag{3.25}
 \end{aligned}$$

and this represents the explicit form of the conditional mean values. □

Remark 3.3 We check formula (3.20) by evaluating the mean value $E_n(t)$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

It can be noted that for $n = 0$ only the term $r = 0$ of the first sum in (3.20) must be considered, so that

$$E\{\cosh \eta(t) | N(t) = 0\} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ct)^{2j}}{(2j)!} = \cosh ct. \tag{3.26}$$

For $n = 1$ both sums of (3.20) contribute to the mean value with the $r = 0$ term

$$E\{\cosh \eta(t) | N(t) = 1\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ct)^{2j}}{(2j)!} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ct)^{2j}}{(2j+1)!} = \frac{1}{2} \cosh ct + \frac{1}{2ct} \sinh ct. \tag{3.27}$$

For $n = 2$ we have two terms in the first sum (corresponding to $r = 0, 1$) and the term $r = 0$ in the second sum, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\{\cosh \eta(t) | N(t) = 2\} & = \frac{1}{2^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ct)^{2j}}{(2j)!} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{j+1}{j} \frac{(ct)^{2j}}{(2j+2)!} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ct)^{2j}}{(2j+1)!} \\
 & = \frac{1}{2^2} \cosh ct + \left(\frac{1}{2^2 ct} + \frac{1}{2ct} \right) \sinh ct. \tag{3.28}
 \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 3$ we need to consider two terms in both sums

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\{\cosh \eta(t) | N(t) = 3\} & = \frac{1}{2^3} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ct)^{2j}}{(2j)!} + \frac{3!}{2^3} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{j+1}{j} \frac{(ct)^{2j}}{(2j+2)!} \\
 & + \frac{3}{2^3} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ct)^{2j}}{(2j+1)!} + \frac{3}{2^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{j+1}{j} \frac{(ct)^{2j}}{(2j+3)!} \\
 & = \left(\frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{3}{2^3(ct)^2} \right) \cosh ct + \left(\frac{6}{2^3 ct} - \frac{3}{(2ct)^3} \right) \sinh ct. \tag{3.29}
 \end{aligned}$$

The same results can be obtained directly from (3.1) by successive integrations.

For each step the ensemble of points with hyperbolic distance equal to $c(t_k - t_{k-1})$ forms a Euclidean circumference C_k with radius $\sinh c(t_k - t_{k-1})$ and center located at

$(0, \cosh c(t_k - t_{k-1}))$. At time t , if n steps have occurred, the set of points C_t with hyperbolic distance equal to $\eta(t)$ is a circumference with center at $(0, \cosh \eta(t))$ and radius $\sinh \eta(t)$. Clearly

$$\cosh \eta(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \cosh c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \tag{3.30}$$

so that the ordinate of the center of C_t is equal to the product of the ordinates of C_k . However

$$\sinh \eta(t) = \sqrt{1 + \cosh^2 \eta(t)} = \sqrt{1 + \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \cosh^2 c(t_k - t_{k-1})} \geq \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \sinh c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \tag{3.31}$$

and this shows that the quantity $\prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \sinh c(t_k - t_{k-1})$ represents a lower bound of the radius of the circle C_t .

Theorem 3.4 *The functions*

$$J_n(t) = \int_0^t dt_1 \int_{t_1}^t dt_2 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \sinh c(t_k - t_{k-1}), \tag{3.32}$$

where $t_0 = 0, t_{n+1} = t > 0$, and $n \geq 1$, take the form

$$J_n(t) = \frac{t^{2n+1} c^{n+1}}{n!} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+r)!}{r!} \frac{(ct)^{2r}}{(2r+2n+1)!}, \tag{3.33}$$

where $J_0(t) = \sinh ct$.

Proof We first note that the functions $J_n(t), n \geq 1, t > 0$ satisfy the difference-differential equations

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} J_n = c J_{n-1} + c^2 J_n, \quad n \geq 1, t > 0. \tag{3.34}$$

Since

$$\frac{d}{dt} J_n = c \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \sinh c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \cosh c(t - t_n), \tag{3.35}$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} J_n &= c \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-2}}^t dt_{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^n \sinh c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &\quad + c^2 \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \sinh c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &= c J_{n-1} + c^2 J_n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

From (3.34), we have that the generating function

$$G(s, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^n J_n \tag{3.37}$$

satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} G = c(s + c)G. \tag{3.38}$$

In fact, by (3.34), we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^n \frac{d^2}{dt^2} J_n = cs \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^{n-1} J_{n-1} + c^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^n J_n \tag{3.39}$$

and this easily yields (3.38). Considering that the general solution to (3.38) is

$$G(s, t) = Ae^{t\sqrt{c(s+c)}} + Be^{-t\sqrt{c(s+c)}} \tag{3.40}$$

and that $G(s, t)$ satisfies the initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} G(s, 0) = 0, \\ \frac{d}{dt} G(s, t)|_{t=0} = c, \end{cases} \tag{3.41}$$

it follows that

$$G(s, t) = \frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{s+c}} \sinh t\sqrt{c(s+c)}. \tag{3.42}$$

By expanding the sinh function in (3.42) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} G(s, t) &= \sqrt{\frac{c}{s+c}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t\sqrt{c(s+c)})^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2k+1} c^{k+1} (s+c)^k}{(2k+1)!} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} s^j c^{k-j} \frac{t^{2k+1} c^{k+1}}{(2k+1)!} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s^j \left\{ \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \binom{k}{j} c^{k-j} \frac{t^{2k+1} c^{k+1}}{(2k+1)!} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s^j \left\{ \frac{t^{2j+1} c^{j+1}}{j!} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+r)!}{r!} \frac{(ct)^{2r}}{(2r+2j+1)!} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

and, in view of (3.37), formula (3.33) appears. □

Remark 3.4 We consider the quantity

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{t^n} J_n(t) Pr\{N(t) = n\} &= e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n J_n(t) = e^{-\lambda t} G(\lambda, t) \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{\lambda+c}} \sinh t\sqrt{c(\lambda+c)} \end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

which represents a lower bound for mean values of the radius of the circle C of points with equal hyperbolic distance from the origin at time t . We note that the bound (3.44) increases if

$$c^2 + c\lambda - \lambda^2 > 0. \tag{3.45}$$

For large values of λ the radius of the circle C tends to decrease because the particle often changes direction and hardly leaves the starting point O .

4 About the Higher Moments of the Hyperbolic Distance

In this section we study the conditional and unconditional higher moments of the hyperbolic distance $\eta(t)$. Our first results concern the derivation of the equations satisfied by the second-order moments

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(t) &= E\{\cosh^2 \eta(t) | N(t) = n\} \\ &= \frac{n!}{t^n} \int_0^t dt_1 \int_{t_1}^t dt_2 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \cosh^2 c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &= \frac{n!}{t^n} U_n(t), \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$U_n(t) = \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \cosh^2 c(t_k - t_{k-1}), \tag{4.2}$$

and by

$$\begin{aligned} M(t) &= E\{\cosh^2 \eta(t)\} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E\{\cosh^2 \eta(t) | N(t) = n\} Pr\{N(t) = n\} \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n U_n(t). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

At first, we state the following results concerning the evaluation of the integrals $U_n(t)$, $n \geq 1$.

Lemma 4.1 *The functions*

$$U_n(t) = \int_0^t dt_1 \int_{t_1}^t dt_2 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \cosh^2 c(t_k - t_{k-1}), \tag{4.4}$$

with $t_0 = 0$ and $t_{n+1} = t$, satisfy the following third-order difference-differential equations

$$\frac{d^3}{dt^3} U_n = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} U_{n-1} + 4c^2 \frac{d}{dt} U_n - 2c^2 U_{n-1}, \tag{4.5}$$

where $t > 0$, $n \geq 1$, and $U_0(t) = \cosh^2 ct$.

Proof We first note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}U_n &= \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-2}}^t dt_{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh^2 c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &\quad + 2c \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh^2 c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \cosh c(t - t_n) \sinh c(t - t_n) \\ &= U_{n-1} + 2c \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh^2 c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \cosh c(t - t_n) \sinh c(t - t_n). \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

A further derivation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2}U_n &= \frac{d}{dt}U_{n-1} + 2c^2 \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \cosh^2 c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &\quad + 2c^2 \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh^2 c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \sinh^2 c(t - t_n) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}U_{n-1} + 2c^2U_n + 2c^2 \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh^2 c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \sinh^2 c(t - t_n). \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Since it is not possible to express the integral in (4.7) in terms of U_n and its first two derivatives, a further derivation is necessary, that, in view of (4.6), leads to the following third-order difference-differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^3}{dt^3}U_n &= \frac{d^2}{dt^2}U_{n-1} + 2c^2 \frac{d}{dt}U_n + 2^2c^3 \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh^2 c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &\quad \times \sinh c(t - t_n) \cosh c(t - t_n) \\ &= \frac{d^2}{dt^2}U_{n-1} + 2c^2 \frac{d}{dt}U_n + 2c^2 \frac{d}{dt}U_n - 2c^2U_{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad \square \tag{4.8}$$

In view of Lemma 4.1 we can prove also the following:

Theorem 4.2 *The function $M(t) = E\{\cosh^2 \eta(t)\}$ satisfies the third-order linear differential equation*

$$\frac{d^3}{dt^3}M(t) = -2\lambda \frac{d^2}{dt^2}M(t) + (4c^2 - \lambda^2) \frac{d}{dt}M(t) + 2c^2\lambda M(t), \tag{4.9}$$

with initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} M(0) = 1, \\ \frac{d}{dt}M(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \\ \frac{d^2}{dt^2}M(t)|_{t=0} = 2c^2. \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

Proof By multiplying both members of (4.5) by λ^n and summing up we have that

$$\frac{d^3}{dt^3} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n U_n = \lambda \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} U_{n-1} + 4c^2 \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n U_n - 2c^2 \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} U_{n-1}, \tag{4.11}$$

and also

$$\frac{d^3}{dt^3} (e^{\lambda t} M(t)) = \lambda \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (e^{\lambda t} M(t)) + 4c^2 \frac{d}{dt} (e^{\lambda t} M(t)) - 2c^2 \lambda e^{\lambda t} M(t), \tag{4.12}$$

so that, after some manipulations, equation (4.9) appears.

While the first condition in (4.10) is obvious, the second one can be inferred from (4.6) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (e^{\lambda t} M(t)) &= \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n U_n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n U_{n-1} + 2c \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh^2 c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &\quad \times \cosh c(t - t_n) \sinh c(t - t_n) \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda e^{\lambda t} M(t) + e^{\lambda t} \frac{d}{dt} M(t) &= \lambda e^{\lambda t} M(t) + 2c \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh^2 c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &\quad \times \cosh c(t - t_n) \sinh c(t - t_n), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} M(t) \right|_{t=0} = 0 \tag{4.14}$$

since $2c \cosh ct \sinh ct|_{t=0} = 0$. By differentiating twice (4.3) and by taking into account (4.7), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda^2 e^{\lambda t} M + 2\lambda e^{\lambda t} \frac{d}{dt} M + e^{\lambda t} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} M \\ &= e^{\lambda t} \left(\lambda^2 M + \lambda \frac{d}{dt} M \right) + 2c^2 e^{\lambda t} M \\ &\quad + 2c^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh^2 c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \sinh^2 c(t - t_n), \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

and therefore, by considering (4.14), we obtain the second condition of (4.10). □

In order to solve the differential equation (4.9) we need to first solve the related third-order algebraic equation

$$r^3 + 2\lambda r^2 - (4c^2 - \lambda^2)r - 2c^2\lambda = 0 \tag{4.16}$$

which can be reduced to the standard form by means of the change of variable

$$s = r + \frac{2\lambda}{3}. \tag{4.17}$$

This leads to

$$s^3 - s \left\{ \frac{\lambda^2}{3} + 4c^2 \right\} + \frac{2\lambda}{3} \left\{ c^2 - \frac{\lambda^2}{3^2} \right\} = 0 \tag{4.18}$$

to which the well-known Cardano formula can be applied. In fact, for the third-order equation

$$s^3 + ps + q = 0, \tag{4.19}$$

the solution can be expressed as

$$s = \sqrt[3]{-\frac{q}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{p^3}{3^3} + \frac{q^2}{2^2}}} + \sqrt[3]{-\frac{q}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{p^3}{3^3} + \frac{q^2}{2^2}}}. \tag{4.20}$$

By comparing (4.18) and (4.19) it results

$$\frac{p^3}{3^3} + \frac{q^2}{2^2} = -\frac{c^2}{3^3} [(2^3 c^2 + \lambda^2)^2 + \lambda^2(\lambda^2 - 3c^2)], \tag{4.21}$$

$$-\frac{q}{2} = -\frac{\lambda}{3} \left(c^2 - \frac{\lambda^2}{3^2} \right). \tag{4.22}$$

The simplest case is that of $c = \frac{\lambda}{3}$ for which the solutions of (4.18) are $s_1 = 0$, $s_2 = \sqrt{7}c$ and $s_3 = -\sqrt{7}c$. After some calculations we get that

$$E\{\cosh^2 \eta(t)\} = \frac{e^{-2ct}}{7} \{1 + 6 \cosh \sqrt{7}ct + 2\sqrt{7} \sinh \sqrt{7}ct\}. \tag{4.23}$$

Following Lemma 4.1 we can prove a more general result:

Theorem 4.3 *The functions*

$$K_n^m(t) = \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \cosh^m c(t_k - t_{k-1}), \tag{4.24}$$

with $t_0 = 0$ and $t_{n+1} = t$, are solutions of difference-differential equations of order $m + 1$.

Proof For $m = 1$ and $m = 2$ this statement has already been shown above since, in Lemmas 3.1 and 4.1, we have obtained that

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} K_n^1 - \frac{d}{dt} K_{n-1}^1 - c^2 K_n^1 = 0, \tag{4.25}$$

and

$$\frac{d^3}{dt^3} K_n^2 - \frac{d^2}{dt^2} K_{n-1}^2 - 4c^2 \frac{d}{dt} K_n^2 + 2c^2 K_{n-1}^2 = 0. \tag{4.26}$$

We easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} K_n^m &= K_{n-1}^m + cm \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh^m c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &\times \cosh^{m-1} c(t - t_n) \sinh c(t - t_n), \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} K_n^m &= \frac{d}{dt} K_{n-1}^m + c^2 m K_n^m + c^2 m(m-1) \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh^m c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &\times \cosh^{m-2} c(t - t_n) \sinh^2 c(t - t_n). \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

In view of (4.27) it also results

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^3}{dt^3} K_n^m &= \frac{d^2}{dt^2} K_{n-1}^m + c^2 m \frac{d}{dt} K_n^m + 2c^2(m-1) \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} K_n^m - K_{n-1}^m \right\} \\ &+ c^3 m(m-1)(m-2) \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh^m c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &\times \cosh^{m-3} c(t - t_n) \sinh^3 c(t - t_n). \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

After $(m - 1)$ derivatives the following equation is obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^{m-1}}{dt^{m-1}} K_n^m &= \frac{d^{m-2}}{dt^{m-2}} K_{n-1}^m + c^2 m \frac{d^{m-3}}{dt^{m-3}} K_n^m + \cdots + c^{m-1} m(m-1) \cdots (m - (m-1) + 1) \\ &\times \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh^m c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \cosh c(t - t_n) \sinh^{m-1} c(t - t_n), \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

and the next derivative gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^m}{dt^m} K_n^m &= \frac{d^{m-1}}{dt^{m-1}} K_{n-1}^m + c^2 m \frac{d^{m-2}}{dt^{m-2}} K_n^m + \cdots \\ &+ c^m m(m-1) \cdots 2 \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh^m c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \sinh^m c(t - t_n) \\ &+ c^m m(m-1) \cdots 2 \cdot (m-1) \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh^m c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &\times \cosh^2 c(t - t_n) \sinh^{m-2} c(t - t_n). \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

The second integral of (4.31) can be expressed in terms of the derivatives of order $(m - 2)$ and lower.

By further differentiating equation (4.31) it turns out that, because of (4.30), the derivative of the first integral in (4.31) can be expressed in terms of the derivatives of order $(m - 1)$ and lower. The theorem is thus proved. □

Likewise Theorem 3.4, the following theorem holds:

Theorem 4.4 *The function*

$$V_n(t) = \int_0^t dt_1 \int_{t_1}^t dt_2 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \sinh^2 c(t_k - t_{k-1}), \tag{4.32}$$

with $t_0 = 0$ and $t_{n+1} = t$, satisfies the third-order difference-differential equation

$$\frac{d^3}{dt^3} V_n = 4c^2 \frac{d}{dt} V_n + 2c^2 V_{n-1}, \tag{4.33}$$

where $t > 0, n \geq 1$, and $V_0(t) = \sinh^2 ct$.

Proof We first note that

$$\frac{d}{dt} V_n = 2c \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \sinh^2 c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \sinh c(t - t_n) \cosh c(t - t_n) \tag{4.34}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} V_n = 2c^2 V_n + 2c^2 \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^n \sinh^2 c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \cosh^2 c(t - t_n), \tag{4.35}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^3}{dt^3} V_n &= 2c^2 \frac{d}{dt} V_n + 2c^2 V_{n-1} + 4c^3 \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \\ &\quad \times \prod_{k=1}^n \sinh^2 c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \sinh c(t - t_n) \cosh c(t - t_n). \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

Finally, by substituting (4.34) in (4.36), we obtain

$$\frac{d^3}{dt^3} V_n = 4c^2 \frac{d}{dt} V_n + 2c^2 V_{n-1}. \quad \square \tag{4.37}$$

5 Motions with Jumps Backwards to the Starting Point

We here examine the planar motion dealt with so far assuming now that, at the instants of changes of direction, the particle can return to the starting point and commence its motion from scratch.

The new motion and the original one are governed by the same Poisson process so that changes of direction occur simultaneously in the original as well as in the new motion starting afresh from the origin. This implies that the arcs of the original sample path and those of the new trajectories have the same hyperbolic length. However, the angles formed by successive segments differ in order to make the hyperbolic Pythagorean theorem applicable to the trajectories of the new motion.

In order to make our description clearer, we consider the case where, in the interval $(0, t)$, $N(t) = n$ Poisson events ($n \geq 1$) occur and we assume that the jump to the origin happens

at the first change of direction, i.e., at the instant t_1 . The instants of changes of direction for the new motion are

$$t'_k = t_{k+1} - t_1, \tag{5.1}$$

where $k = 0, \dots, n$ with $t'_0 = 0$ and $t'_n = t - t_1$ and the hyperbolic lengths of the corresponding arcs are

$$c(t'_k - t'_{k-1}) = c(t_{k+1} - t_k). \tag{5.2}$$

Therefore, at the instant t , the hyperbolic distance from the origin of the particle performing the motion which has jumped back to O at time t_1 is

$$\prod_{k=1}^n \cosh c(t'_k - t'_{k-1}) = \prod_{k=1}^n \cosh c(t_{k+1} - t_k) = \prod_{k=2}^{n+1} \cosh c(t_k - t_{k-1}), \tag{5.3}$$

where $0 = t'_0 < t'_1 < \dots < t'_n = t - t_1$ and $t_{k+1} = t'_k + t_1$. Formula (5.3) shows that the new motion has an hyperbolic distance equal to that of the original motion where the first step has been deleted. However, the distance between the position P_t and the origin O of the moving particle which jumped back to O after having reached the position P_1 , is different from the distance of P_t from P_1 since the angle between successive steps must be readjusted in order to apply the hyperbolic Pythagorean theorem.

If we denote by T_1 the random instant of the return to the starting point (occurring at the first Poisson event), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & E\{\cosh \eta_1(t) I_{\{N(t) \geq 1\}} | N(t) = n\} \\ &= E\{\cosh \eta(t - T_1) I_{\{T_1 \leq t\}} | N(t) = n\} \\ &= \int_0^t E\{\cosh \eta(t - T_1) I_{\{T_1 \in dt_1\}} | N(t) = n\} dt_1 \\ &= \int_0^t E\{\cosh \eta(t - T_1) | T_1 = t_1, N(t) = n\} Pr\{T_1 \in dt_1 | N(t) = n\} dt_1. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

By observing that

$$\begin{aligned} E\{\cosh \eta(t - T_1) | T_1 = t_1, N(t) = n\} &= E\{\cosh \eta(t - t_1) | N(t) = n - 1\} \\ &= \frac{(n - 1)!}{(t - t_1)^{n-1}} I_{n-1}(t - t_1), \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

and that

$$Pr\{T_1 \in dt_1 | N(t) = n\} = \frac{n!}{t^n} \frac{(t - t_1)^{n-1}}{(n - 1)!} dt_1, \tag{5.6}$$

with $0 < t_1 < t$, formula (5.4) becomes

$$E\{\cosh \eta_1(t) I_{\{N(t) \geq 1\}} | N(t) = n\} = \frac{n!}{t^n} \int_0^t I_{n-1}(t - t_1) dt_1. \tag{5.7}$$

From (5.7) we have that the mean hyperbolic distance for the particle which returns to O at time T_1 has the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\{\cosh \eta_1(t) | N(t) \geq 1\} &= \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{Pr\{N(t) \geq 1\}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n \int_0^t I_{n-1}(t-t_1) dt_1 \\
 &= \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda t}}{Pr\{N(t) \geq 1\}} \int_0^t e^{\lambda(t-t_1)} E(t-t_1) dt_1. \tag{5.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

We give here a general expression for the mean value of the hyperbolic distance of a particle which returns to the origin for the last time at the k -th Poisson event T_k . We shall denote the distance by the following equivalent notation $\eta(t - T_k) = \eta_k(t)$ where the first expression underlines that the particle starts from scratch at time T_k and then moves away for the remaining interval of length $t - T_k$. In the general case we have the result stated in the next theorem:

Theorem 5.1 *If $N(t) \geq k$, then the mean value of the hyperbolic distance η_k is equal to*

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\{\cosh \eta_k(t) | N(t) \geq k\} &= \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda t}}{Pr\{N(t) \geq k\}} \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{k-1}}^t e^{\lambda(t-t_k)} E(t-t_k) dt_k \\
 &= \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda t}}{Pr\{N(t) \geq k\} (k-1)!} \int_0^t e^{\lambda(t-t_k)} t_k^{k-1} E(t-t_k) dt_k, \tag{5.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $E(t)$ is given by (3.10).

Proof We start by observing that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\{\cosh \eta_k(t) | N(t) \geq k\} &= \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} E\{\cosh \eta_k(t) I_{\{N(t)=n\}} | N(t) \geq k\} \\
 &= \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} E\{\cosh \eta_k(t) I_{\{N(t) \geq k\}} | N(t) = n\} \frac{Pr\{N(t) = n\}}{Pr\{N(t) \geq k\}} \\
 &= \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} E\{\cosh \eta_k(t) I_{\{N(t) \geq k\}} | N(t) = n\} Pr\{N(t) = n | N(t) \geq k\}. \tag{5.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_k = \inf\{t : N(t) = k\}$, the conditional mean value inside the sum can be developed as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\{\cosh \eta_k(t) I_{\{N(t) \geq k\}} | N(t) = n\} &= E\{\cosh \eta(t - T_k) I_{\{T_k \leq t\}} | N(t) = n\} \\
 &= \int_0^t E\{\cosh \eta(t - t_k) I_{\{T_k \in dt_k\}} | N(t) = n\} dt_k \\
 &= \int_0^t E\{\cosh \eta(t - t_k) | T_k = t_k, N(t) = n\} Pr\{T_k \in dt_k | N(t) = n\} dt_k. \tag{5.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.1) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\{\cosh \eta(t - T_k) | T_k = t_k, N(t) = n\} &= E\{\cosh \eta(t - t_k) | N(t - t_k) = n - k\} \\
 &= \frac{(n - k)!}{(t - t_k)^{n-k}} I_{n-k}(t - t_k),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5.12}$$

and on the base of well-known properties of the Poisson process we have that

$$Pr\{T_k \in dt_k | N(t) = n\} = \frac{n!}{t^n} \frac{(t - t_k)^{n-k}}{(n - k)!} \frac{t_k^{k-1}}{(k - 1)!} dt_k,
 \tag{5.13}$$

where $0 < t_k < t$. In conclusion we have that

$$E\{\cosh \eta_k(t) I_{\{N(t) \geq k\}} | N(t) = n\} = \frac{n!}{t^n} \frac{1}{(k - 1)!} \int_0^t t_k^{k-1} I_{n-k}(t - t_k) dt_k
 \tag{5.14}$$

and, from this and (5.10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &E\{\cosh \eta_k(t) | N(t) \geq k\} \\
 &= \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{t^n (k - 1)!} \int_0^t t_k^{k-1} I_{n-k}(t - t_k) dt_k \frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^n}{n! Pr\{N(t) \geq k\}} \\
 &= \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda t}}{Pr\{N(t) \geq k\} (k - 1)!} \int_0^t e^{\lambda(t-t_k)} t_k^{k-1} E(t - t_k) dt_k.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5.15}$$

Finally, in view of Cauchy formula of multiple integrals, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda t}}{Pr\{N(t) \geq k\} (k - 1)!} \int_0^t e^{\lambda(t-t_k)} t_k^{k-1} E(t - t_k) dt_k \\
 &= \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda t}}{Pr\{N(t) \geq k\}} \int_0^t dt_1 \dots \int_{t_{k-1}}^t e^{\lambda(t-t_k)} E(t - t_k) dt_k.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5.16} \quad \square$$

Theorem 5.2 *The mean of the hyperbolic distance of the moving particle returning to the origin at the k-th change of direction is*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &E\{\cosh \eta_k(t) | N(t) \geq k\} \\
 &= \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda t}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2} Pr\{N(t) \geq k\}} \left\{ \frac{e^{At}}{A^{k-1}} - \frac{e^{Bt}}{B^{k-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{B^i} - \frac{1}{A^i} \right) \frac{t^{k-i-1}}{(k - i - 1)!} \right\},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5.17}$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}), \quad B = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}).$$

For $k = 1$, the sum in (5.17) is intended to be zero.

Proof We can prove (5.17) by applying both formulas in (5.9). We start our proof by employing the first one:

$$E\{\cosh \eta_k(t) | N(t) \geq k\} = \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda t}}{Pr\{N(t) \geq k\}} \int_0^t dt_1 \dots \int_{t_{k-1}}^t e^{\lambda(t-t_k)} E(t - t_k) dt_k.
 \tag{5.18}$$

Therefore, in view of (3.17), formula (5.18) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E\{\cosh \eta_k(t) | N(t) \geq k\} \\
 &= \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda t}}{Pr\{N(t) \geq k\}} \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{k-1}}^t e^{\lambda(t-t_k)} \left\{ \frac{e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(t-t_k)}}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}} \right) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \times e^{\frac{(t-t_k)}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}} + \left(\frac{-\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}} \right) e^{-\frac{(t-t_k)}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}} \right] \right\} dt_k.
 \end{aligned}$$

By introducing A and B as in (5.17), we can easily determine the k -fold integral

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E\{\cosh \eta_k(t) | N(t) \geq k\} \\
 &= \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda t}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2} Pr\{N(t) \geq k\}} \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{k-1}}^t \{Ae^{A(t-t_k)} - Be^{B(t-t_k)}\} dt_k \\
 &= \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda t}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2} Pr\{N(t) \geq k\}} \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{k-2}}^t \{e^{A(t-t_{k-1})} - e^{B(t-t_{k-1})}\} dt_{k-1} \\
 &= \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda t}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2} Pr\{N(t) \geq k\}} \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{k-3}}^t \left\{ \frac{e^{A(t-t_{k-2})}}{A} - \frac{e^{B(t-t_{k-2})}}{B} + \frac{1}{B} - \frac{1}{A} \right\} dt_{k-2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

At the j -th stage the integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E\{\cosh \eta_k(t) | N(t) \geq k\} \\
 &= \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda t}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2} Pr\{N(t) \geq k\}} \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{k-j-1}}^t \left\{ \frac{e^{A(t-t_{k-j})}}{A^{j-1}} - \frac{e^{B(t-t_{k-j})}}{B^{j-1}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \left(\frac{1}{B^i} - \frac{1}{A^i} \right) \frac{(t - t_{k-j})^{j-i-1}}{(j-i-1)!} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

At the $k - 1$ -th stage the integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E\{\cosh \eta_k(t) | N(t) \geq k\} \\
 &= \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda t}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2} Pr\{N(t) \geq k\}} \int_0^t dt_1 \left\{ \frac{e^{A(t-t_1)}}{A^{k-2}} - \frac{e^{B(t-t_1)}}{B^{k-2}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \left(\frac{1}{B^i} - \frac{1}{A^i} \right) \frac{(t - t_1)^{k-i-2}}{(k-i-2)!} \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

At the k -th integration we obtain formula (5.17).

By means of the second formula in (5.9) and by repeated integrations by parts we can obtain again result (5.17). □

Remark 5.1 For $k = 1$ we have that

$$E\{\cosh \eta_1(t) | N(t) \geq 1\} = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}} \frac{\sinh \frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}}{\sinh \frac{\lambda t}{2}}. \tag{5.21}$$

It is clear that the mean value (5.21) tends to infinity as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, if $\lambda, c \rightarrow \infty$ (so that $\frac{c^2}{\lambda} \rightarrow 1$) then $E\{\cosh \eta_1(t)I_{\{N(t) \geq 1\}}\} \rightarrow e^t$. It can also be checked that if $c = 0$ then $E\{\cosh \eta_1(t)I_{\{N(t) \geq 1\}}\} = 1$, since the particle never leaves the starting point.

For $k = 2$ formula (5.17) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & E\{\cosh \eta_2(t)|N(t) \geq 2\} \\ &= \frac{\lambda^2 e^{-\frac{\lambda t}{2}}}{c^2 Pr\{N(t) \geq 2\}} \left(\cosh \frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2} - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}} \sinh \frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2} - e^{-\frac{\lambda t}{2}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\lambda^2}{c^2 Pr\{N(t) \geq 2\}} [E\{\cosh \eta(t)\} - Pr\{N(t) \geq 1\}E\{\cosh \eta_1(t)|N(t) \geq 1\} - e^{-\lambda t}]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

Since

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \cosh \frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2} - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2}} \sinh \frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4c^2} - e^{-\frac{\lambda t}{2}} \right\} = \frac{e^{\frac{\lambda t}{2}}}{\lambda^2} Pr\{N(t) \geq 2\}, \tag{5.23}$$

we have, as expected, that

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} E\{\cosh \eta_2(t)|N(t) \geq 2\} = 1. \tag{5.24}$$

Also, when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the same limit as in (5.24). The expression (5.22) suggests the following decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} E\{\cosh \eta(t)\} &= \frac{c^2}{\lambda^2} Pr\{N(t) \geq 2\}E\{\cosh \eta_2(t)|N(t) \geq 2\} \\ &\quad + Pr\{N(t) \geq 1\}E\{\cosh \eta_1(t)|N(t) \geq 1\} + e^{-\lambda t} \\ &= \frac{c^2}{\lambda^2} E\{\cosh \eta_2(t)I_{\{N(t) \geq 2\}}\} + E\{\cosh \eta_1(t)I_{\{N(t) \geq 1\}}\} + e^{-\lambda t}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.25}$$

Remark 5.2 The result in (5.9) appears as the mean hyperbolic distance of a motion starting from the origin and running, without returns, until time $t - T_k$, where T_k has a truncated Gamma distribution (Erlang distribution) with density

$$Pr\{T_k \in dt_k\} = \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda t_k} t_k^{k-1}}{(k-1)! Pr\{T_k \leq t\}} dt_k, \quad 0 < t_k < t. \tag{5.26}$$

In other words we can write (5.9) as

$$E\{\cosh \eta_k(t)|N(t) \geq k\} = E\{E\{\cosh \eta(t - T_k)\}\} = \int_0^t E\{\cosh \eta(t - T_k)\} Pr\{T_k \in dt_k\}. \tag{5.27}$$

Furthermore the expression (5.9) contains a fractional integral of order k for the function $g(s) = e^{\lambda s} E(s)$

$$E\{\cosh \eta_k(t)|N(t) \geq k\} = \frac{\lambda^k}{\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^j}{j!}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\Gamma(k)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{k-1} e^{\lambda s} E(s) ds \right\}. \tag{5.28}$$

If the mean value (5.27) is taken with respect to

$$Pr\{T_\nu \in ds\} = \frac{\lambda^\nu e^{-\lambda s} s^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu) Pr\{T_\nu \leq t\}} ds, \quad 0 < s < t, \tag{5.29}$$

then we have that

$$E\{E\{\cosh \eta(t - T_\nu)\}\} = \frac{\lambda^\nu e^{-\lambda t}}{Pr\{T_\nu \leq t\}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\nu-1} e^{\lambda s} E(s) ds \right\} \tag{5.30}$$

that also contains a fractional integral of order ν in the sense of Riemann-Liouville. The expression (5.30) can be interpreted as the mean hyperbolic distance at time t where the particle can jump back to the origin at an arbitrary instant (different from the instants of change of direction).

6 Motion at Finite Velocity on the Surface of a Three-Dimensional Sphere

Let P_0 be a point on the equator of a three-dimensional sphere. Let us assume that the particle starts moving from P_0 along the equator in one of the two possible directions (clockwise or counter-clockwise) with velocity c .


At the first Poisson event (occurring at time T_1) it starts moving on the meridian joining the north pole P_N with the position reached at time T_1 (denoted by P_1) along one of the two possible directions (see Fig. 4).

At the second Poisson event the particle is located at P_2 and its distance from the starting point P_0 is the length of the hypotenuse of a right spherical triangle with cathetus P_0P_1 and P_1P_2 ; the hypotenuse belongs to the equatorial circumference through P_0 and P_2 .

Now the particle continues its motion (in one of the two possible directions) along the equatorial circumference orthogonal to the hypotenuse through P_0 and P_2 until the third Poisson event occurs.

In general, the distance $d(P_0P_t)$ of the point P_t from the origin P_0 is the length of the shortest arc of the equatorial circumference through P_0 and P_t and therefore it takes values in the interval $[0, \pi]$. Counter-clockwise motions cover the arcs in $[-\pi, 0]$ so that the distance

Fig. 4 Motion on the surface of a three-dimensional sphere



is also defined in $[0, \pi]$ or in $[-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ with a shift that avoids negative values for the cosine.

By means of the spherical Pythagorean relationship we have that the Euclidean distance $d(P_0 P_2)$ satisfies

$$\cos d(P_0 P_2) = \cos d(P_0 P_1) \cos d(P_1 P_2) \tag{6.1}$$

and, after three displacements,

$$\begin{aligned} \cos d(P_0 P_3) &= \cos d(P_0 P_2) \cos d(P_2 P_3) \\ &= \cos d(P_0 P_1) \cos d(P_1 P_2) \cos d(P_2 P_3). \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

After n displacements the position P_t on the sphere at time t is given by

$$\cos d(P_0 P_t) = \prod_{k=1}^n \cos d(P_k P_{k-1}) \cos d(P_n P_t). \tag{6.3}$$

Since $d(P_k P_{k-1})$ is represented by the amplitude of the arc run in the interval (t_k, t_{k-1}) , it results

$$d(P_k P_{k-1}) = c(t_k - t_{k-1}).$$

The mean value $E\{\cos d(P_0 P_t) | N(t) = n\}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(t) &= E\{\cos d(P_0 P_t) | N(t) = n\} \\ &= \frac{n!}{t^n} \int_0^t dt_1 \int_{t_1}^t dt_2 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \cos c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &= \frac{n!}{t^n} H_n(t), \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

where $t_0 = 0, t_{n+1} = t$, and

$$H_n(t) = \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_n \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \cos c(t_k - t_{k-1}). \tag{6.5}$$

The mean value $E\{\cos d(P_0 P_t)\}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= E\{\cos d(P_0 P_t)\} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E\{\cos d(P_0 P_t) | N(t) = n\} Pr\{N(t) = n\} \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n H_n(t). \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

By steps similar to those of the hyperbolic case we have that $H_n(t), t \geq 0$, satisfies the difference-differential equation

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} H_n = \frac{d}{dt} H_{n-1} - c^2 H_n, \tag{6.7}$$

where $H_0(t) = \cos ct$, and therefore we can prove the following:

Theorem 6.1 *The mean value $E(t) = E\{\cos d(P_0 P_t)\}$ satisfies*

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} E = -\lambda \frac{d}{dt} E - c^2 E, \tag{6.8}$$

with initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} E(0) = 1, \\ \frac{d}{dt} E(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{6.9}$$

and has the form

$$E(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{\lambda t}{2}} \left[\cosh \frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 4c^2} + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 4c^2}} \sinh \frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 4c^2} \right], & 0 < 2c < \lambda, \\ e^{-\frac{\lambda t}{2}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda t}{2} \right], & \lambda = 2c > 0, \\ e^{-\frac{\lambda t}{2}} \left[\cos \frac{t}{2} \sqrt{4c^2 - \lambda^2} + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{4c^2 - \lambda^2}} \sin \frac{t}{2} \sqrt{4c^2 - \lambda^2} \right], & 2c > \lambda > 0. \end{cases} \tag{6.10}$$

Proof The solution to the problem (6.8, 6.9) is given by

$$E(t) = \frac{e^{-\frac{\lambda t}{2}}}{2} \left[(e^{\frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 4c^2}} + e^{-\frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 4c^2}}) + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 4c^2}} (e^{\frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 4c^2}} - e^{-\frac{t}{2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 4c^2}}) \right], \tag{6.11}$$

so that (6.10) emerges. □

For large values of λ the first expression furnishes $E(t) \sim 1$ and therefore the particle hardly leaves the starting point.

If $\frac{\lambda}{2} < c$, the mean value exhibits an oscillating behavior; in particular, the oscillations decrease as time goes on, and this means that the particle moves further and further reaching in the limit the poles of the sphere.

Remark 6.1 By assuming that c is replaced by ic in (6.10) we formally extract from the first and the third expression in (6.10) the hyperbolic mean distance (3.10). This is because the space H_2^+ can be regarded as a sphere with imaginary radius. Clearly the intermediate case $\lambda = 2c$ has no correspondence for the motion on H_2^+ because the Poisson rate must be a real positive number.

Acknowledgement The authors want to thank the referees for their suggestions which improved the first draft.

References

1. Comtet, A., Monthus, C.: Diffusion in a one-dimensional random medium and hyperbolic Brownian motion. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **29**, 1331–1345 (1996)
2. De Micheli, E., Scorza, I., Viano, G.A.: Hyperbolic geometrical optics: Hyperbolic glass. *J. Math. Phys.* **47** (2006)
3. Faber, R.L.: *Foundations of Euclidean and Non-Euclidean Geometry*. Dekker, New York (1983)
4. Gertsenshtein, M.E., Vasiliev, V.B.: Waveguides with random inhomogeneities and Brownian motion in the Lobachevsky plane. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **3**, 391–398 (1959)
5. Gruet, J.C.: Semi-groupe du mouvement Brownien hyperbolique. *Stoch. Stoch. Rep.* **56**, 53–61 (1996)
6. Kulczycki, S.: *Non-Euclidean Geometry*. Pergamon, Oxford (1961)
7. Lao, L.J., Orsingher, E.: Hyperbolic and fractional hyperbolic motion. *Stochastics* (2007, in press)

8. Meschkowski, H.: *Non-Euclidean Geometry*. Academic Press, New York (1964)
9. Monthus, C., Texier, C.: Random walk on the Bethe lattice and hyperbolic Brownian motion. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **29**, 2399–2409 (1996)
10. Orsingher, E.: Exact joint distribution in a model of planar random motion with constant velocity. *Stoch. Stoch. Rep.* **69**, 1–10 (2000)
11. Orsingher, E., De Gregorio, A.: Random motions at finite velocity in a non-Euclidean space. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **32**(2), 588–611 (2007)
12. Rogers, L.C.G., Williams, D.: *Diffusions, Markov Processes, and Martingales*. Wiley, Chichester (1987)
13. Royster, D.C.: *Non-Euclidean geometry*. <http://www.math.uncc.edu/droyster/courses/spring04/index.html> (2004)