

## PATTERNS IN THE DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT OF OSTEOPOROSIS IN MEN: A QUESTIONNAIRE-BASED SURVEY IN CENTRAL AND EASTERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES

M. A. Boyanov<sup>1</sup>, E. Czerwinski<sup>2</sup>, A. Shinkov<sup>3</sup>, V. Palička<sup>4</sup>, P. Lakatos<sup>5</sup>, C. Poiana<sup>6</sup>, J. Payer<sup>7</sup>, Z. Killinger<sup>7</sup>, T. Kocjan<sup>8</sup>, O. Lesnyak<sup>9,10</sup>, G. Holzer<sup>11</sup>, H. Resch<sup>12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Clinic of Endocrinology and Metabolism, University Hospital “Alexandrovska”, Medical University – Sofia, Bulgaria

<sup>2</sup>Jagiellonian University Medical College, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Bone and Joint Diseases – Cracow, Poland

<sup>3</sup>Clinic of Thyroid and Bone Metabolic Diseases, University Hospital of Endocrinology “Acad. Ivan Penchev”, Medical University – Sofia, Bulgaria

<sup>4</sup>Osteocentra, University Hospital and Charles University, School of Medicine, Hradec Kralove, Czech Republic  
<sup>5</sup>1st Department of Medicine, Semmelweis University – Budapest, Hungary

<sup>6</sup>Department of Endocrinology, National Institute of Endocrinology, University of Medicine and Pharmacy – Bucharest, Romania

<sup>7</sup>5th Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital, Medical Faculty of Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia

<sup>8</sup>Department of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolic Diseases, University Medical Centre Ljubljana – Ljubljana, Slovenia

<sup>9</sup>Urals State Medical University, Yekaterinburg – Russian Federation

<sup>10</sup>North-Western State Medical University, Saint Petersburg – Russian Federation

<sup>11</sup>Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Medical University of Vienna – Vienna, Austria

<sup>12</sup>Metabolic Bone Diseases, Medical Faculty, “Sigmund Freud” University, Department of Internal Medicine II, St. Vincent Hospital Vienna, Academic Teaching Hospital of the Medical University – Vienna, Austria

**Summary.** *To assess the current practice patterns in the diagnosis and treatment of male osteoporosis based on questionnaires. Questionnaires were presented and filled out by osteoporosis experts from Austria, Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia and Russia. The questions included focused on the proportion of male referrals to DXA, the main reasons for referral, the preferred measurement sites and reference database, the definition of male osteoporosis, needed laboratory investigations, data on calcium and vitamin D supplementation as well as on treatment modalities and their reimbursement rate. Men comprised 5 to 10% of all DXA referrals. The main reasons for referral were low back pain and fractures. Most of the respondents used the International male reference database. The diagnosis of osteoporosis was based mainly on a T-score below -2.5 after the age of 50, but a few respondents added fractures as a necessary condition. Only 1/3 of men visiting DXA sites are expected to have normal BMD. A consensus for the use of laboratory investigations in male osteoporosis is practically lacking. Treatment modalities include alendronate, risedronate, zoledronate, denosumab, rhPTH and strontium (with some restrictions for the latter three). Data on treatment adherence and persistence are generally lacking except for Austria, Romania and Slovakia. The levels of reimbursement*

vary a lot across countries. Osteoporosis in men is an under-recognized problem in CEE countries, leading to a tremendous gap in the diagnosis and treatment.

**Key words:** osteoporosis, men, epidemiology, diagnosis, treatment, Central and Eastern Europe

**Corresponding author:** M. A. Boyanov, Clinic of Endocrinology and Metabolism, University Hospital Alexandrovska, 1 "G. Sofiyski" Str., Sofia 1431, Bulgaria, Fax: +3592 9230 779, e-mail: mihailboyanov@yahoo.com

## INTRODUCTION

Osteoporosis in men evolves to a critical problem in the health care systems of developed countries. We analyzed clinical practice patterns in men with osteoporosis in the countries from Central and Eastern Europe (CEE) and to identify problems connected with the referral, diagnosis and treatment of osteoporosis in men.

Aging in men, as in women, is associated with bone loss and osteoporotic fractures. Osteoporosis in elderly men evolves to a critical problem in the health care systems of all developed countries. The incidence of fractures in men seems bimodal with a peak in adolescence and mid-adulthood, a lower incidence between 40 and 60 years, and a dramatic increase after the age of 70 year [1-4]. The consequences of fractures, especially in the hip region in men seem as serious as in women, but elderly men are more likely to die from fractures than do women [5, 65].

A large body of evidence is available on risk factors and primary and secondary prevention of fractures in men [7, 8]. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is the cornerstone in measuring bone mass and fracture risk in men. However, still there are controversies on the reference base that should be used in calculating T- and Z-scores in men [9-11]. DXA is cost-effective in both fracture risk prediction with or without implementing the FRAX-calculator, and in universal prevention strategies [12-15]. Most of the drugs used in the treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis have proven efficacious and cost-effective in men also [16-23].

A compendium of country-specific reports on osteoporosis in the European Union was published in 2013 [24]. The presented data included some perspectives and figures on male osteoporosis in the reporting countries [25-32]. However, the number of publications on male osteoporosis coming from the countries in Central and Eastern Europe (CEE) is still limited [33-39]. More information is needed to assess the patterns in the diagnosis and treatment of osteoporosis in men in those countries.

The aim of the present study was to assess the current practice patterns in the diagnosis and treatment of male osteoporosis based on questionnaires filled out by osteoporosis experts from Austria, Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia and Russia.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was an expert-based survey of clinical practice patterns in male osteoporosis in the following CEE countries: Austria, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia and Russia. Representatives of these countries participated in the annual meetings of the CEE Osteoporosis Summit. The 7th CEE Summit Conference on Osteoporosis took place on December 5th, 2015, in Sofia (Bulgaria). Participants are among the leading medical specialists in the field of metabolic bone diseases from the above listed and other countries from the region.

The survey was based on a questionnaire addressing the key points in the epidemiology, diagnosis and treatment of male osteoporosis in the aforementioned countries. The questionnaire is attached below as Appendix 1. It addressed questions about the proportion of men visiting the Osteoporosis units compared with women; the prevalence of low bone density and major fractures; the densitometric and other diagnostic criteria for osteoporosis; the male-specific laboratory investigations, the basic calcium and vitamin D supplementation and the treatment and reimbursement modalities in the different participating countries.

The respondents were members of the CEE Osteoporosis Summit Working Group. Whenever possible, official or published data were used as reference. However, the survey is based mainly on expert opinions and does not represent official statements of the respective medical societies or authorities in the different countries.

## Appendix 1. Male Osteoporosis – Questionnaire

1. What is the proportion of men versus women referred for DXA examinations?
2. What is the main reason for referral of men for BMD testing?
3. What is the preferred measurement site in men – lumbar spine or proximal femur (total hip or neck)?
4. What reference database is implemented for the calculation of T-scores – male or female?
5. What are the criteria for male osteoporosis according to your local guidelines?
6. What proportion of all studied men have osteoporosis/low bone mass at the spine or hip?
7. What proportion of all studied men have previous low trauma fractures (hip, vertebrae, humerus, wrist) – if known?
8. Is serum testosterone measurement part of the routine lab check-up?
9. Is 24-hr urine calcium part of the routine lab check-up?
10. What is the proportion of men with diagnosed osteoporosis or fractures receiving calcium supplements – if known?
11. What is the proportion of men with diagnosed osteoporosis or fractures receiving vitamin D – if known?
12. Which antiosteoporotic drug modalities exist for men with osteoporosis in your country?
13. What is the persistence and adherence to the treatment in men, if known?
14. Are antiresorptive and anabolic treatments reimbursed for osteoporosis in men?

## RESULTS

All countries participated in the survey. Each country provided one set of data except for Bulgaria (2 sets) and Russia (4 sets). The answers to the 1st part of questions (1 through 7) are listed in Table 1, and those to the 2nd part (8 through 14) – in Table 2.

Most of the experts agreed that men comprised around 5 to 10% of all DXA referrals. The main

reasons for referral were low back pain and fractures but some countries indicated secondary osteoporosis as the most important option (like Hungary, Slovakia and Slovenia). Most of the respondents used the International Male Reference database, but some used a female database (Slovakia), while others combined female and male databases (Hungary). The diagnosis of osteoporosis was based mainly on a T-score below -2.5 after the age of 50, but some respondents added fractures as a necessary condition (like Russia). It is interesting to note that around 20-30% of all men receiving DXA scans had osteoporosis at the spine and a lower percentage – at the proximal femur. There were big differences between countries and in some of them data were not detailed enough. Almost the same proportion of men had low bone mass, which precluded that only one third of men visiting DXA sites are expected to have normal BMD. This is far less than the respective figures in women.

Concerning specific laboratory investigations in male osteoporosis, measurement of serum testosterone is done routinely by two thirds of the respondents; the same was true for 24-hr urine calcium. Therefore, consensus for the use of laboratory investigations in male osteoporosis is practically lacking.

Our data show that the diagnosis of osteoporosis in men led to basic calcium supplement in a very different proportion – from 0-10% (Bulgaria) to 90% (in the Czech Republic). Vitamin D supplements are prescribed to a somewhat greater proportion of the osteoporosis male patients, though not to all of them.

Osteoporosis treatment modalities include three bisphosphonates (alendronate, risedronate, zoledronate), denosumab, rhPTH and strontium ranelate (with some restrictions for the latter three). However, data on treatment adherence and persistence are generally lacking except for Romania and Slovakia. The levels of reimbursement vary a lot across countries and are an important barrier to initiating and continuing the optimal anti-resorptive or bone-building agent.

**Table 1.** Epidemiology and densitometric diagnosis of osteoporosis in men from the participating CEE countries

Question / Country – respondent	Austria Holzer, Resch	Bulgaria Boyanov, Shinkov	Czech Republic V. Palicka	Hungary P. Lakatos	Poland E. Czerwinski	Romania C. Poiana	Slovakia J. Payer, Z. Killingier	Slovenia T. Kocjan	Russia (O. Lesnyak) Cheboksary, Moscow, Yaroslavl, Yekaterinburg
Men in % of all referrals for DXA	25 %	Up to 5-10%	About 10%	5%	5%	5.5%	10%	30%	18%, 5%, 2-5%, 16%
Reasons for DXA:									
Low back pain		30	10	Strong suspicion for OP	Unspecific	Low back pain	0% if vert Fx	Secondary OP	Sec. OP, Sec. OP, Sec. OP, Low back pain
Fractures		70	30				25%		Fx Fx
Secondary OP	Main reason		60				40%		
Prevention	Main reason		-				25 (no indication)		
Preferred measurement site	Spine and femur	Spine and femur	Spine and femur	Spine and femur	Spine and femur	Spine and femur	Spine and femur	Spine and femur	Spine and femur
Reference database for T-scores:	Male International	Male International (Manufacturer provided)	Male International mostly	German female and male	Male	Male International	Female (NHANES III - Hologic)	Male International	Male International Female
Male International									
Female or Male local									
Criteria for male osteoporosis (local guidelines):	T-score < -2.5 + fractures	T-score < -2.5 (in 50 y and older)	T-score < -2.5	Same as for women	Same as for women	T-score < -2.5	T-score < -2.5 SD in age over 65y	Fx – hip, vert T-score < -2.5	> 50 year old: T-score < -2.5 OR fractures Only Cheboksary – also < 50 years old – fracture/hypogonadism/cortico-steroids + Z score < -2,0 Yekaterinburg: T-score < -2.5 OR Z score < -2,0 and Fx
Proportion of men:	No data	24.6	80%	90% have OP or low BMD at 1 site; 10% have normal BMD	Same as for women	38% – O P	32		42%
Osteoporosis		5.9					25		60%
Spine T hip		9.4					20		5%
Fem neck		35.3					40		20%
Low bone mass		41.2				20% – low Based on the lowest T score	30		5%
Spine T hip		50.6					25		25%
Fem neck									3%
Previous fractures:				50%	No data		appr. 25%	No data	18%
Hip	15	22.6%	50			1.8			20%
Spine	50	50*	50			2.3			30%
Humerus	5	50*	-			0.3			30%
Wrist	30		-			1.5			10%

Abbreviations: Fx – fractures; OP – osteoporosis.

**Table 2. Patterns in the lab investigations, basic calcium-vitamin D supplementation and treatment of male osteoporosis in the participating CEE countries**

Question / Country – respondent	Austria Holzer, Resch	Bulgaria Boyanov, Shinkov	Czech Republic V. Palicka	Hungary P. Lakatos	Poland E. Czerwinski	Romania C. Poiana	Slovakia J. Payer, Z. Killinger	Slovenia T. Kocjan	Russia (O. Lesnyak) Cheboksary, Moscow, Yaroslavl, Yekaterinburg
Testosterone – part of the routine lab?	Yes	No	Mostly not	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes, No, Yes, No
24hr urine calcium – part of routine lab?	No	Yes	In 50%	-	-	Yes	Yes	No	No, No, Yes, No
Proportion of men with diagnosed OP or Fx with Ca suppl.	10%	0-10%	90%	-	-	80%	90% (prior to diagnosis of OP < 10%)	No data in general	48%, 60%, 80%, NA
Proportion of men with diagnosed OP or Fx receiving vitamin D	10%	50%	90%	-	-	95%	90%	No data in general	NA, 60%, 100%, NA
Osteoporosis drug modalities for men	ALN, ZOL DSM rhPTH	ALN, RIS, ZOL DSM rhPTH, Strontium	ALN, RIS, ZOL rhPTH, Strontium	ALN, RIS, ZOL DSM rhPTH, Strontium	All FDA registered	ALN, RIS, ZOL Denosumab rhPTH Strontium	ALN RIS, ZOL PTH (DSM Strontium not reimbursed)	ALN RIS, ZOL, IBN, restricted (DSM, PTH Strontium)	ALN, RIS, ZOL Denosumab rhPTH Strontium
Persistence/adherence of men to OP drugs in %	Persistence: 40% (oral) 80% (iv, sc) Adherence: 40% (oral) 80% (iv, sc)	No data	No data	No data	No data	Persistence: 43% (oral) 67% (iv, sc) Adherence: 38% (oral) 59% (iv, sc)	Persistence Oral BP (6,12,24 M): 58%, 47%, 25% s.c. 12 M – 88% i.v. 24 M – 42%	probably similar to those in women	No data
Reimbursement of OP drugs in % (men) BPs: ALN RIS ZOL Denosumab rhPTH Strontium	100%	50% PTH with restrictions	≈ 80-90% for all drugs (depends on the Health Insurance Company)	BPs and rhPTH are reimbursed	0%	ALN 50% RIS 50% ZOL 0% DSM 0% PTH 100% in severe OP Strontium 20%	all drugs more than 90%	100% if prescribed according to guidelines	0%

Abbreviations: ALN – Alendronate; BPs – bisphosphonates; DSM – Denosumab; Fx – fractures; OP – osteoporosis; PTH – rhPTH; RIS – Risedronate, ZOL – Zoledronate

## DISCUSSION

Male osteoporosis is a serious problem of the aging population which is often underdiagnosed and under-treated and related to the country-specific life expectancy. This survey tried to clarify the common clinical practice patterns concerning osteoporosis in men. The survey was based on leading expert opinion and might not universally reflect the situation in the respective countries.

The first conclusion from our data could be that men rarely visit DXA facilities, but have osteoporosis/low bone mass even more frequently than women in the same situation. Keeping in mind that the prevalence of low bone mass and fractures in men is three to four times lower than that in women, it is surprising that men comprise only 5 to 10 percent of all DXA

examinations. Our data show that osteoporosis in men is most severely underdiagnosed. There was an enormous variability in the prevalence of spine and hip fractures in the studied men – from small percentages (<10%) like in Romania to almost 50% in Bulgaria and the Czech Republic. These data reflect the differences in the criteria for referrals for a BMD measurement among the males, e.g. low back pain in Romania and clinical fractures in Bulgaria and the Czech Republic. Our survey showed that practically there are no robust data on the epidemiology of fractures in men in CEE.

Our survey was not aimed at defining a true prevalence of osteoporosis and fractures in men, but approximated data could be found in the Report on Osteoporosis in the European countries by the IOF and the EFPIA (see Table 3 below) [23-31].

**Table 3.** Data on the prevalence of osteoporosis and fractures in men, as presented in the report of the International Osteoporosis Foundation (IOF) and the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industry Associations (EFPIA) [23-31]

	% men with osteoporosis <sup>a</sup>	% men with prevalent hip fractures <sup>b</sup>	% men with prevalent clinical vertebral fractures <sup>b</sup>	Treatment gap
Austria	6.51%	1.57%	1.86%	52.0%
Bulgaria	6.42%	0.89%	0.93%	98.0%
Czech Republic	6.03%	1.05%	1.25%	88.0%
Hungary	6.17%	0.96%	1.02%	41.0%
Poland	5.82%	0.70%	0.77%	91.0%
Romania	6.17%	0.81%	0.94%	94.0%
Slovakia	5.66%	1.22%	1.49%	78.0%
Slovenia	5.99%	0.99%	1.23%	63.0%

<sup>a</sup>Osteoporosis is defined as femoral neck T-score  $\leq$  -2.5 SD using female-derived reference ranges

<sup>b</sup>Derived from the total number of men with prevalent fractures divided by the total number of men in the same age group

Table 3 shows that although osteoporotic fractures are uncommon in the general male population, they are very common in men seeking DXA scanning partly due to the fact that back pain and fractures are one of the major indications for referring men to DXA. This means that fractures should be actively sought in every man visiting an Osteoporosis or Bone Metabolic Unit. Data from the literature clearly show that compliance with osteoporosis treatment is the cornerstone of fracture prevention [40]. Compliance is a function of a number of factors, medication reimbursement being one of the major. The level of reimbursement of osteoporosis drugs for men is lowest in Bulgaria and Romania and highly variable among countries. This fact might explain the big treatment gap as indicated in the report by the IOF and EFPIA. It is found to be unacceptably wide in Bulgaria and

Romania (> 90%), but still very wide in the remaining countries.

The major limitation of our survey is that it was based on expert opinion. Published data on male osteoporosis in the CEE countries are very scarce and completely insufficient. The results could not be generalized as specific figures. The major advantage of this survey is that it shed some light on the diagnosis and treatment of male osteoporosis in the respective CEE countries and allowed to highlight hot spots for future research and administrative improvements.

## CONCLUSIONS

Male osteoporosis is an underestimated problem. Men should be educated about the risk of osteoporosis and referred to specialized units whenever pos-

sible and needed. There is need for standardization of diagnostic procedures and criteria among European countries similarly to the recommendations by the IOF and ESCEO primarily created for postmenopausal women only [42]. The treatment gap in males is unacceptably wide and the level of reimbursement – rather low. The medical societies must support health care administrative organs to change regulations to allow easier access of men to diagnosis and treatment of osteoporosis and fractures.

#### Acknowledgments

*The 7th CEE Osteoporosis Summit held on Dec 6th 2015, in Sofia, Bulgaria, was sponsored by Amgen GMBH (Vienna, Austria).*

*Conflicts of interests: Mihail Boyanov, Edward Czerwinski, Alexander Shinkov, Vladimir Palicka, Peter Lakatos, Catalina Poiana, Juraj Payer, Zdenko Killinger, Tomaz Kocjan, Olga Lesnyak, Gerold Holzer and Heinrich Resch declare that they have no conflict of interest and no disclosures related to this survey.*

*The views and opinions expressed in this survey do not necessarily reflect those of the official Health authorities in the respective countries.*

#### Ethical standards

*This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors. For this type of study formal content is not required.*

## REFERENCES

1. Donaldson LJ, Cook A, Thomson RG. Incidence of fractures in a geographically defined population. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1990, 44:241-245.
2. Khosla S. Update in male osteoporosis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2010, 95:3-10.
3. Willson T, Nelson SD, Newbold J et al. The clinical epidemiology of male osteoporosis: a review of the recent literature. *Clin Epidemiol* 2015, 7:65-76.
4. Dimai HP, Redlich K, Peretz M et al. Economic burden of osteoporotic fractures in Austria. *Health Econ Rev* 2012, 2:12.
5. Ismail AA, Pye SR, Cockerill WC et al. Incidence of limb fracture across Europe: results from the European Prospective Osteoporosis Study (EPOS). *Osteoporos Int* 2002, 13:565-571.
6. Ha YC, Park YG, Nam KW, Kim SR. Trend in hip fracture incidence and mortality in Korea: a prospective cohort study from 2002 to 2011. *J Korean Med Sci* 2015, 30:483-488.
7. Cawthon PM, Shahnazari M, Orwoll ES, Lane NE. Osteoporosis in men: findings from the Osteoporotic Fractures in Men Study (MrOS). *Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis* 2016, 8:15-27.
8. Alamri SH, Kennedy CC, Marr S et al. Strategies to overcome barriers to implementing osteoporosis and fracture prevention guidelines in long-term care: a qualitative analysis of action plans suggested by front line staff in Ontario, Canada. *BMC Geriatr* 2015, 15:94.
9. Schousboe JT, Shepherd JA, Bilezikian JP, Baim S (2013) Executive summary of the 2013 International Society for Clinical Densitometry Position Development Conference on bone densitometry. *J Clin Densitom* 16:455-466.
10. Leslie WD, Langsetmo L, Zhou W et al. CaMos Research Group (2014) Choice of lumbar spine bone density reference database for fracture prediction in men and women: a population-based analysis. *J Clin Densitom* 17:295-300.
11. Schousboe JT, Tanner SB, Leslie WD. Definition of osteoporosis by bone density criteria in men: effect of using female instead of male young reference data depends on skeletal site and densitometer manufacturer. *J Clin Densitom* 2014, 17:301-306.
12. Schousboe JT, Gourlay M, Fink HA et al. Osteoporotic Fractures in Men (MrOS) and Study of Osteoporotic Fractures (SOF) Research Groups (2013) Cost-effectiveness of bone densitometry among Caucasian women and men without a prior fracture according to age and body weight. *Osteoporos Int* 24:163-177.
13. Nshimyumukiza L, Durand A, Gagnon M et al. An economic evaluation: Simulation of the cost-effectiveness and cost-utility of universal prevention strategies against osteoporosis-related fractures. *J Bone Miner Res* 2013, 28:383-94.
14. Ettinger B, Ensrud KE, Blackwell T et al. Osteoporotic Fracture in Men (MrOS) Study Research Group (2013) Performance of FRAX in a cohort of community-dwelling, ambulatory older men: the Osteoporotic Fractures in Men (MrOS) study. *Osteoporos Int* 24:1185-1193.
15. Ensrud KE, Taylor BC, Peters KW et al. Osteoporotic Fractures in Men Study Group (2014) Implications of expanding indications for drug treatment to prevent fracture in older men in United States: cross sectional and longitudinal analysis of prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 349: 4120.
16. Giusti A, Bianchi G (2014) Treatment of primary osteoporosis in men. *Clin Interv Aging* 10:105-115.
17. Chen L, Wang G, Zheng F, Zhao H, Li H. Efficacy of bisphosphonates against osteoporosis in adult men: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Osteoporos Int* 2015, 26:2355-2363.
18. Chen LX, Zhou ZR, Li YL et al. Comparison of Bone Mineral Density in Lumbar Spine and Fracture Rate among Eight Drugs in Treatments of Osteoporosis in Men: A Network Meta-Analysis. *PLoS One* 10(5):e0128032; doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0128032. eCollection 2015.
19. Liu M, Guo L, Pei Y et al. Efficacy of zoledronic acid in treatment of osteoporosis in men and women—a meta-analysis. *Int J Clin Exp Med* 2015, 8:3855-3861.
20. Ottawa (ON): Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health; 2015 Oct. Denosumab (Prolia): Treatment to increase bone mass in men with osteoporosis at high risk for fracture; or who have failed or are intolerant to other available osteoporosis therapy [Internet].
21. Cusano NE, Costa AG, Silva BC, Bilezikian JP. Therapy of osteoporosis in men with teriparatide. *J Osteoporos* 2011:463675.
22. Hiligsmann M, Ben Sedrine W, Bruyère O, Reginster JY. Cost-effectiveness of strontium ranelate in the treatment of male osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* 2013, 24:2291-2300.
23. Borst SE, Yarrow JF. Injection of testosterone may be safer and more effective than transdermal administration for combating loss of muscle and bone in older men. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2015, 308:E1035-1042.
24. Svedbom A, Hernlund E, Ivergård M et al. EU Review Panel of IOF Osteoporosis in the European Union: a compendium of country-specific reports. *Arch Osteoporos* 2013, 8:137.
25. Svedbom A, Hernlund E, Ivergård M et al. Epidemiology and economic burden of osteoporosis in Austria. *Arch Osteoporos* 2013, 8:137; 4-11.

26. Svedbom A, Hernlund E, Ivergård M et al. Epidemiology and economic burden of osteoporosis in Austria. *Arch Osteoporos* 2013, 8:137; 20-27.
27. Svedbom A, Hernlund E, Ivergård M et al. Epidemiology and economic burden of osteoporosis in the Czech republic. *Arch Osteoporos* 2013, 8:137; 35-42.
28. Svedbom A, Hernlund E, Ivergård M et al. Epidemiology and economic burden of osteoporosis in Hungary. *Arch Osteoporos* 2013, 8:137; 91-98.
29. Ivergård M, Svedbom A, Hernlund E et al. Epidemiology and economic burden of osteoporosis in Poland. *Arch Osteoporos* 2013, 8:137; 154-161.
30. Ivergård M, Svedbom A, Hernlund E et al. Epidemiology and economic burden of osteoporosis in Romania. *Arch Osteoporos* 2013, 8:137; 170-177.
31. Ivergård M, Svedbom A, Hernlund E et al. Epidemiology and economic burden of osteoporosis in Slovakia. *Arch Osteoporos* 2013, 8:137; 178-186.
32. Ivergård M, Svedbom A, Hernlund E et al. Epidemiology and economic burden of osteoporosis in Slovenia. *Arch Osteoporos* 2013, 8:137; 187-194.
33. Boyanov M , Christov V. Prevalence of low central bone mineral density in Bulgarian males. *J Men's Health* 2005, 2:318-324.
34. Kudma K, Krška Z Expense analysis of the proximal femoral fractures treatment. *Rozhl Chr* 2005, 84:631-634.
35. Péntek M, Horváth C, Boncz I et al. Epidemiology of osteoporosis related fractures in Hungary from the nationwide health insurance database, 1999-2003. *Osteoporos Int* 2008, 19:243-249.
36. Lakatos P, Tóth E, Szekeres L et al. A csontritkulás kezelésének hatékonysága Magyarországon. Az Országos Egészségbiztosítási Pénztár adatainak elemzése [Efficiency of osteoporosis treatment in Hungary – An analysis of the Hungarian Insurance Company's data] *Lam Kid* 2012, 2:5-12.
37. Grigorie D, Sucaliuc A, Johansson H et al. Incidence of hip fracture in Romania and the development of a Romanian FRAX model. *Calcif Tiss Int* 2013, 92:429-436.
38. Dzajkovska B, Wertheimer AI, Mrhar A. The burden-of illness study on osteoporosis in the Slovenian female population. *Pharm World Sci* 2007, 29:404-411.
39. Masaryk P. Hodnotenie rizika osteoporotických zlomenín v primárnej praxi (Fracture risk assessment in primary care). *Rheumatologia* 2012, 26:127-133.
40. Lindsay BR, Olufade T, Bauer J, Babrowicz J, Hahn R. Patient-reported barriers to osteoporosis therapy. *Arch Osteoporos* 2016, 11:19.
41. Kanis JA, Svedbom A, Harvey N, McCloskey EV. The osteoporosis treatment gap. *J Bone Miner Res* 2014, 29:1926-1928.
42. Kanis JA, McCloskey EV, Johansson H et al. Scientific Advisory Board of the European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis and Osteoarthritis (ESCEO) and the Committee of Scientific Advisors of the International Osteoporosis Foundation (IOF) (2013). European guidance for the diagnosis and management of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. *Osteoporos Int* 24:23-57.