

1 **Binge eating disorder and morbid obesity are associated with lowered mu-opioid**
2 **receptor availability in the brain**

3
4 Juho Joutsa^{a-d*}, Henry K. Karlsson^d, Joonas Majuri^{c,d}, Pirjo Nuutila^{d,e}, Semi Helin^d, Valtteri Kaasinen^{c,d,f} &
5 Lauri Nummenmaa^{d,g}
6
7
8

9 ^aAthinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard
10 Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

11 ^bBerenson-Allen Center for Noninvasive Brain Stimulation, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and
12 Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

13 ^cDepartment of Neurology, University of Turku, Turku, Finland

14 ^dTurku PET Centre, University of Turku, Turku, Finland

15 ^eDepartment of Endocrinology, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland

16 ^fDivision of Clinical Neurosciences, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland

17 ^gDepartment of Psychology, University of Turku, Turku, Finland.
18
19
20

21 *Correspondence:

22 Dr Juho Joutsa

23 MGH/HST/MIT Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging

24 149 13th street, room 2301

25 MA 02129, USA

26 Email: jjoutsa@mgh.harvard.edu

27 Phone: +1-617-726-3197
28
29

30 Key words: [¹¹C]carfentanil; addiction; PET; MOR; eating disorders
31
32
33

1 **ABSTRACT**

2

3 Both morbid obesity and binge eating disorder (BED) have previously been linked with aberrant brain
4 opioid function. Behaviorally these two conditions are however different suggesting also differences in
5 neurotransmitter function. Here we directly compared mu-opioid receptor (MOR) availability between
6 morbidly obese and BED subjects. Seven BED and nineteen morbidly obese (non-BED) patients, and thirty
7 matched control subjects underwent positron emission tomography (PET) with MOR-specific ligand
8 [¹¹C]carfentanil. Both subjects with morbid obesity and BED had widespread reduction in [¹¹C]carfentanil
9 binding compared to control subjects. However, there was no significant difference in brain MOR binding
10 between subjects with morbid obesity and BED. Thus, our results indicate that there is common brain
11 opioid abnormality in behaviorally different eating disorders involving obesity.

12

13

14

15

1 **1. Introduction**

2
3 Obesity and eating disorders are a major public health problem worldwide, and the prevalence of obesity is
4 constantly rising. Despite the economical and academic resources dedicated to obesity research, its
5 neurobiological background still remains poorly understood (Val-Laillet et al. 2015). Recent evidence from
6 human and animals studies however points towards the key contribution of the endogenous opioid system
7 in both food intake and obesity (Nogueiras et al. 2012).

8
9 Obesity is a multifactorial condition, resulting from chronic overeating relative to the energy consumption,
10 but obesity may also result from specific eating disorders, such as binge eating disorder (BED) (Val-Laillet et
11 al. 2015). However, most obese individuals do not have BED (Yanovski 1999). Although common (non-BED)
12 obesity and BED are both eating-related problems and involve excessive eating and weight gain, their
13 behavioral underpinnings are clearly different. Common obesity results from long-term increase in food
14 intake relative to energy consumption, whereas BED is characterized by uncontrollable recurrent episodes
15 of eating large amounts of food and feelings of shame, distress or guilt about the eating (Kessler et al.
16 2013). Although the boundary between normal body weight and obesity can be considered somewhat
17 arbitrary, morbid obesity represents a pathological condition with clearly abnormal eating behavior and
18 increased health risks. Comparing morbid obesity and BED provides an opportunity for understanding the
19 neurobiology of different pathological eating behaviors that lead to development of obesity.

20
21 Because both morbid obesity and especially BED share behavioral characteristics with substance abuse
22 disorders (SUDs), it has been proposed that obesity might be understood from the viewpoint of addictive
23 disorders (Schulte et al. 2015, Potenza 2014, Ziauddeen, Farooqi and Fletcher 2012). Impaired
24 dopaminergic function and deficits in frontostriatal networks implicated in reward processing and impulse
25 control are the hallmarks of SUDs (Volkow et al. 2011). Although early human neuroimaging studies
26 suggested parallels between dopaminergic dysfunctions in obesity and SUDs (Wang et al. 2001), more
27 recent studies have challenged the view that obesity would be associated with dopamine-deficiency
28 similarly as SUDs, suggesting a crucial role for other neurotransmitters (Karlsson et al. 2015a, Haltia et al.
29 2007, Steele et al. 2010).

30
31 Clinical and translational research has indicated that the endogenous opioid system regulates food
32 consumption (Nogueiras et al. 2012, Pecina and Smith 2010). Administration of opioid agonists increase
33 and antagonists decrease food intake, respectively, in rodents and humans (Pecina and Smith 2010,
34 Nogueiras et al. 2012). Neuroimaging studies in humans have confirmed that obesity and eating disorders
35 are associated with lowered mu-opioid receptor (MOR) availability in the brain (Karlsson et al. 2015a,

1 Bencherif et al. 2005, Burghardt et al. 2015, Majuri et al. 2017a). These findings are in striking contrast to
2 SUDs (apart from opioid addictions) that are in general associated with increased rather than decreased
3 MOR availability and treatment response to opioid antagonist medication (Gorelick et al. 2005, Heinz et al.
4 2005, Palpacuer et al. 2015). The affected brain regions in obesity and eating disorders vary from study to
5 study, but there are no studies directly comparing brain MOR availability between these conditions.
6 Characterizing how differences in regional brain opioid function contribute to the behavioral phenotype
7 would be valuable for understanding the pathophysiological mechanisms and development of
8 pharmacological or neuromodulation therapies.

9
10 Here we directly compared cerebral MOR availability between two forms of pathological overeating: BED
11 and morbid (non-BED) obesity. We hypothesized that although both conditions are associated with
12 widespread reduction in MOR availability, regional differences in MOR function might explain the
13 differences in behavioral phenotypes.

14

15

16 2. Methods

17

18 The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital District of South-Western Finland. Written
19 informed consent was obtained and the study was conducted according to the principles of the Declaration
20 of Helsinki.

21

22 2.1. Subjects

23

24 The study sample ($n = 56$; see Table 1 for details) consisted of nineteen non-BED morbidly obese and seven
25 BED patients with their control groups ($n = 14$ and $n = 16$, respectively) selected from our earlier studies
26 using the criteria described later in this paragraph (Karlsson et al. 2015a, Karlsson et al. 2015b, Majuri et al.
27 2017a). MOR binding abnormality in both of the conditions separately have been published earlier
28 (Karlsson et al. 2015a, Karlsson et al. 2015b, Majuri et al. 2017a). BED diagnoses were excluded or
29 confirmed by a structured clinical interview. Exclusion criteria were age below 18 years, poor compliance,
30 current pregnancy or lactation, BMI over 60 kg/m^2 or weight over 170 kg, any clinically significant
31 psychiatric or medical condition (apart from type 2 diabetes not requiring insulin treatment),
32 psychopharmacological medications, contraindication for MRI, substance abuse, and claustrophobia.
33 Alcohol (<48h), coffee (<12h), and cigarette (<8h) consumption was prohibited prior the PET scanning.
34 Mood and reward functions were gathered using the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II), Behavioral

1 inhibition system / behavioral approach system scale (BIS/BAS), Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire
2 (DEBQ) and Yale Food Addiction Scale (YFAS).

3

4 2.2. Imaging

5

6 The MOR availability was measured with radioligand [^{11}C]carfentanil using positron emission tomography
7 (PET). The radioligand production and scanning protocols have been described previously (Karlsson et al.
8 2015a). Morbidly obese patients with their matched controls were scanned using GE Healthcare Discovery
9 690 PET/CT scanner (General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI) and BED patients with their
10 matched controls using Siemens High Resolution Research Tool scanner (HRRT, Siemens Medical Solutions,
11 Knoxville, TN). Antecubital vein was cannulated prior the scanning for the radioligand administration and
12 the [^{11}C]carfentanil solution was given as a rapid bolus at the beginning of the scan. Patients and controls
13 received similar radiation doses of [^{11}C]carfentanil (two-sample t-tests $P>0.2$), because the outcome
14 measure the ratio of specific relative to the non-displaceable binding potential (BP_{ND}) is not considered
15 sensitive for injected activity per weight. Injected [^{11}C]carfentanil masses did not differ between patients
16 and controls in either of the scanners (two-sample t-tests $P>0.4$). The mean (SD) injected [^{11}C]carfentanil
17 radiation dose and mass were 253 (11) MBq and 0.23 (0.20) μg for GE, and 498 (16) MBq and 0.42 (0.28) μg
18 for HRRT (difference between scanners t-test $p=0.007$ for mass and $p<0.001$ for radiation dose),
19 respectively. The total scanning time was 51 minutes from the injection. A strap or thermoplastic mask was
20 used to reduce head motion during the scanning. Structural T1-weighted MR images were acquired to
21 exclude structural brain lesions and to provide an anatomical reference for PET images (T1-weighted
22 images with 1mm^3 cubic voxels) using Philips Gyroscan Intera 1.5T CV Novo Dual scanner (Philips
23 Healthcare, Cleveland, Ohio, USA) (morbidly obese patients and matched controls) or Philips Ingenuity 3T
24 PET-MRI scanner (BED patients and matched controls).

25

26 Image preprocessing was performed using Statistical Parametric Mapping software (SPM8;
27 <http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm8/>) running in Matlab 2012a (Mathworks Inc., Natick, MA,
28 USA). First, the individual frames of dynamic PET images were realigned to correct for head motion during
29 the scan. Motion corrected images were coregistered with the individual T1-weighted MRI, and then
30 warped to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space using the structural information of the MRI
31 (Ashburner 2007, Ashburner and Friston 2005). [^{11}C]carfentanil BP_{ND} images were calculated using the
32 simplified reference tissue model with occipital cortex as the reference region (Gunn et al. 1997, Hirvonen
33 et al. 2009). The images were smoothed using 8 mm full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) Gaussian kernel
34 to improve the signal-to-noise ratio and to match the resolution of the scanners (4.7 mm for GE and 2.7mm
35 for HRRT) (van Velden et al. 2009, Bettinardi et al. 2011).

1
2 Our main study question pertained to MOR availability differences between the obese and BED groups, yet
3 these groups were studied using different PET scanners, known to yield slightly but systematically different
4 BP_{ND} estimates. However, the relationship between regional BP_{ND} estimates is not straightforward. The
5 BP_{ND} differences between scanners could be constant or relative, and also global or regionally specific. To
6 account for this, we used several different measures in the statistical analyses: Original BP_{ND} estimates
7 (BP_{ND}), and relative BP_{ND} s that were normalized with respect to the corresponding control subjects' regional
8 (BP_{NDrel1}) or to the ratio between control group average whole brain BP_{ND} s (i.e. dataset 2 values were
9 multiplied by the ratio of dataset 1 controls : dataset 2 controls) (BP_{NDrel2}). Relative BP_{ND} s thus reveal
10 proportional BP_{ND} values in relation to the corresponding control groups or average global difference in
11 BP_{ND} between the scanners, thus allowing us to test whether both obesity and BED lead to similar
12 reductions (relative to controls) in MOR availability. ROIs were delineated to the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC,
13 including all orbitofrontal regions of the AAL), anterior (ACC), middle (MCC) and posterior cingulate cortex
14 (PCC), amygdala, ventral striatum, dorsal caudate, putamen, thalamus, and insula, as described earlier
15 (Karlsson et al. 2015a). FMRIB Software Library (FSL) MNI152 brain mask was used as the whole brain ROI.
16 ROI BP_{NDrel} were then extracted using Marsbar toolbox (<http://marsbar.sourceforge.net/>).

17

18 2.3. Statistical analyses

19

20 Statistical analyses for background variables were performed using SPSS (version 24, IBM Corp, Armonk,
21 NY). Group differences in demographical and ROI data were investigated using Fisher's Exact test, t test or
22 Mann-Whitney U test, as appropriate. As the primary analyses, regional MOR binding differences between
23 the groups in the two datasets were investigated by testing dataset (2) x group (2) x ROI (10) interaction
24 term in a 3-way multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA), separately for all 3 outcome measures: BP_{ND} ,
25 BP_{NDrel1} and BP_{NDrel2} . If there was no significant interaction, global differences between the groups were
26 subsequently investigated by testing scanner x group interaction. The analyses were repeated by adding
27 gender, smoking, and type 2 diabetes as a covariate to the model. Correlation between BMI and BP_{ND} s were
28 analyzed using Spearman's rank order correlation coefficients in separately in controls and patients.
29 Bonferroni correction was applied to account for multiple comparisons due to 10 ROIs. P values < 0.05 were
30 considered significant.

31

32

33 3. Results

34

1 Morbidly obese patients had higher BMI but there were no significant differences in age or smoking status
2 compared to patients with BED (Table 1). BED patients had higher scores in BDI-II, YFAS, DEBQ emotional
3 and DEBQ externalizing questionnaires compared to morbidly obese patients (Table 1).

4

5 There was no significant BP_{ND} dataset x group x ROI interaction ($F=0.91$, $p=0.53$) or dataset x group
6 interaction effect ($F=0.88$, $p=0.35$) (Figure 1). However, there were significant effects of dataset ($F=8.19$,
7 $p=0.006$) and group ($F=11.5$, $p=0.001$). Similarly, there was no dataset x group x ROI interaction effect in
8 BP_{NDrel1} ($F=0.71$, $p=0.70$) or BP_{NDrel2} ($F=0.97$, $p=0.47$), or dataset x group interaction effect in BP_{NDrel1} ($F=0.18$,
9 $p=0.67$) or BP_{NDrel2} ($F=0.11$, $p=0.74$). Group effect remained significant with BP_{NDrel1} ($F=13.1$, $p=0.001$) and
10 BP_{NDrel2} ($F=13.6$, $p=0.001$). These results did not change when adding gender, smoking or type 2 diabetes as
11 a covariate to the models.

12

13 Regional BP_{NDs} did not correlate with BMI in controls or patients (all p-values > 0.05). In BED patients, BAS
14 drive scores correlated negatively with BP_{ND} in ACC, MCC, OFC, amygdala, caudate, putamen, and ventral
15 striatum (Spearman's $r_7 < -0.76$, $p < 0.05$). However, when correcting for multiple comparisons with 10 ROIs
16 (level of significance $p < 0.005$), only ACC ($r = -0.95$, $p = 0.001$), OFC ($r = -0.95$, $p = 0.001$) and ventral striatum ($r =$
17 -0.91 , $p = 0.0045$) remained significant. There were no significant correlation between any of the ROI BP_{NDs}
18 and other questionnaire scores in BED patients. In morbidly obese patients, there were no significant
19 correlations between questionnaire scores and regional BP_{ND} .

20

21

22 4. Discussion

23

24 Our main finding was that both morbid obesity and BED are both associated with widespread reduction in
25 brain MOR availability without regional differences between the two conditions. These data suggest that
26 MOR system dysfunction may be a shared pathophysiological feature in conditions involving overeating:
27 Excess food intake in both conditions may lead to tonic MOR downregulation. Similarities between these
28 two conditions have also been observed previously with resting-state functional magnetic resonance
29 imaging showing widespread cortico-striatal and cortico-thalamic connectivity abnormalities (Baek et al.
30 2016).

31

32 Decreased MOR availability was observed in both morbid obesity (mean BMI = 41) and BED (mean BMI =
33 31) indicating that excessive weight gain is not required for triggering alterations in the MOR system in
34 disorders involving overeating. This is supported by an earlier study showing also decreased MOR
35 availability in non-obese (mean BMI = 23) patients with bulimia nervosa (Bencherif et al. 2005). Because

1 MOR availability is not dependent on BMI in healthy subjects in the non-obese range (Karjalainen et al.
2 2016), these data imply that specifically increased food intake, and not necessarily mere weight gain,
3 triggers MOR downregulation. This is in agreement with the recent findings that the brain opioid response
4 to food intake is independent from experienced pleasure (Tuulari et al. 2017). In bulimia nervosa, MOR
5 binding abnormality is restricted only to the left insular cortex (Bencherif et al. 2005), contrasting the
6 widespread MOR downregulation in morbid obesity and BED (Karlsson et al. 2015a, Majuri et al. 2017a).
7 However, the reduced opioid function in both MO and BED was consistent across all analyzed brain regions
8 (see Figure 1), indicating a widespread abnormality in the brain opioid function in these conditions.

9
10 Our morbidly obese patients were more overweight compared to BED, but there was no correlation
11 between BMI and MOR availability within either group. This view is supported by the fact that bariatric
12 surgery and concomitant weight loss restores MOR function in morbidly obese patients, even when the
13 patients were still significantly overweight after the surgery (Karlsson et al. 2015b). Thus, abnormalities in
14 the MOR system seem to be reversible, encouraging for treatment efforts targeting the opioid system
15 (Karlsson et al. 2015b).

16
17 Behaviorally, BED patients are more prone for risky choices and impulsive decisions along with impaired
18 impulse control when compared to non-BED obese individuals and normal-weight controls (Kessler et al.
19 2016). Psychiatric comorbidity is also more common in BED compared to non-BED obesity (Kessler et al.
20 2016). In the present study, BED patients showed higher scores in emotional and external eating behavior,
21 and food addiction than patients with morbid obesity. However, none of these measures were associated
22 with cerebral MOR availability and there were no brain regions with more severe MOR impairment in BED
23 compared to morbidly obese patients, suggesting that these characteristics are mediated by other
24 neurotransmitter systems. Indeed, BED has been associated with abnormalities in dopaminergic and
25 serotonergic function, which could also contribute to the behavioral differences between the two
26 conditions (Kuikka et al. 2001, Majuri et al. 2017b, Majuri et al. 2017a). Interestingly, BAS drive score
27 correlated negatively with MOR availability in BED, but not in morbidly obese, patients indicating that the
28 brain opioid function in these brain regions may play a role in their eating behavior.

29
30 Obesity and BED share many common clinical features with SUDs (Schulte et al. 2015, Potenza 2014,
31 Ziauddeen et al. 2012) and the same applies to other non-substance addictions. However, pathological
32 gambling (PG), which has been considered as the prototype of behavioral addictions, is associated with
33 increased rather than decreased brain dopamine function in contrast to SUDs (Joutsa et al. 2012, Clark et
34 al. 2012, Linnert et al. 2010). Also non-substance addictions seem to differ from one another, as opioid and
35 dopamine function in PG and BED are strikingly different (Majuri et al. 2017a). Furthermore, although PG is

1 not associated with changes in baseline MOR binding, MOR system abnormalities are demonstrated by
2 blunted amphetamine-induced opioid response (Mick et al. 2016). In addition, SUDs may be generally
3 associated with increased MOR binding although the data are not consistent (Gorelick et al. 2005, Heinz et
4 al. 2005, Palpacuer et al. 2015, Hermann et al. 2017). Our results demonstrate similarities in the
5 neurobiology of different obese phenotypes, but future studies investigating other neurotransmitter
6 systems are required to define how alike obesity and BED truly are.

7

8 Some limitations should be considered when interpreting the present results. As the data were collected
9 using two different cameras with different resolutions, [¹¹C]carfentanil BP_{NDs} may not be directly
10 comparable between morbidly obese and BED patients. Therefore, the effect of the scanner was addressed
11 by smoothing and normalizing the data with samples of matched controls making direct comparison
12 possible. However, it should be noted that as the control groups for morbidly obese and BED patients were
13 not identical, we cannot exclude the possibility that some differences might be masked by the
14 characteristics of the control groups. It is however very unlikely that the similar widespread general MOR
15 binding reduction would be driven by any differences between the control group characteristics. Second,
16 although total of 56 subjects were scanned, the sample size in each patient group (especially BED with n=7)
17 remained relatively small possibly masking subtler group differences. Third, morbidly obese and BED
18 patients in the present study were all females and it is not known if the findings also generalize to male
19 patients.

20

21 We conclude that both morbid obesity and BED are associated with lowered cerebral MOR availability.
22 Thus, MOR downregulation may be a general neurobiological mechanism associated with disorders
23 involving excessive food intake. Future studies are required to establish the neurobiological underpinnings
24 of different phenotypes of eating disorders.

25

26

27 **Acknowledgements/Disclosures**

28

29 The staff of Turku PET Centre is gratefully acknowledged for their invaluable practical assistance. The study
30 was funded by the Academy of Finland (grants #304385, #256836, #295580), the Finnish Medical
31 Foundation, the Finnish Alcohol Research Foundation and the Turku University Hospital (EVO grants).

32

33 **Contributors**

34

1 J.J., H.K., J.M., V.K., S.H. and L.N. collected the data. J.J. and L.N. analyzed the data and wrote the first draft
2 of the manuscript. All authors interpreted the data, critically revised the manuscript and accepted the final
3 manuscript.

4

5 **Conflicts of interest**

6

7 Dr Joutsa reports travel grants from Abbvie and Orion, a research grant from the Orion Research
8 Foundation. Dr. Kaasinen reports a consultancy for Abbvie and honoraria/travel grants from Medtronic,
9 Abbvie, Orion-Pharma and GE Healthcare. Other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

10

11 **References**

12

- 13 Ashburner, J. (2007) A fast diffeomorphic image registration algorithm. *Neuroimage*, 38, 95-113.
- 14 Ashburner, J. & K. Friston (2005) Unified segmentation. *Neuroimage*, 26, 839-51.
- 15 Baek, K., L. S. Morris, P. Kundu & V. Voon (2016) Disrupted resting-state brain network properties in
16 obesity: decreased global and putaminal cortico-striatal network efficiency. *Psychol Med*, 1-12.
- 17 Bencherif, B., A. S. Guarda, C. Colantuoni, H. T. Ravert, R. F. Dannals & J. J. Frost (2005) Regional mu-opioid
18 receptor binding in insular cortex is decreased in bulimia nervosa and correlates inversely with
19 fasting behavior. *J Nucl Med*, 46, 1349-51.
- 20 Bettinardi, V., L. Presotto, E. Rapisarda, M. Picchio, L. Gianolli & M. C. Gilardi (2011) Physical performance
21 of the new hybrid PET/CT Discovery-690. *Med Phys*, 38, 5394-411.
- 22 Burghardt, P. R., A. E. Rothberg, K. E. Dykhuis, C. F. Burant & J. K. Zubieta (2015) Endogenous Opioid
23 Mechanisms Are Implicated in Obesity and Weight Loss in Humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 100,
24 3193-201.
- 25 Clark, L., P. R. Stokes, K. Wu, R. Michalczuk, A. Benecke, B. J. Watson, A. Egerton, P. Piccini, D. J. Nutt, H.
26 Bowden-Jones & A. R. Lingford-Hughes (2012) Striatal dopamine D₂/D₃ receptor binding in
27 pathological gambling is correlated with mood-related impulsivity. *Neuroimage*, 63, 40-6.
- 28 Gorelick, D. A., Y. K. Kim, B. Bencherif, S. J. Boyd, R. Nelson, M. Copersino, C. J. Endres, R. F. Dannals & J. J.
29 Frost (2005) Imaging brain mu-opioid receptors in abstinent cocaine users: time course and relation
30 to cocaine craving. *Biol Psychiatry*, 57, 1573-82.
- 31 Gunn, R. N., A. A. Lammertsma, S. P. Hume & V. J. Cunningham (1997) Parametric imaging of ligand-
32 receptor binding in PET using a simplified reference region model. *Neuroimage*, 6, 279-87.
- 33 Haltia, L. T., J. O. Rinne, H. Merisaari, R. P. Maguire, E. Savontaus, S. Helin, K. Någren & V. Kaasinen (2007)
34 Effects of intravenous glucose on dopaminergic function in the human brain in vivo. *Synapse*, 61,
35 748-56.
- 36 Heinz, A., M. Reimold, J. Wrase, D. Hermann, B. Croissant, G. Mundle, B. M. Dohmen, D. F. Braus, D. H.
37 Braus, G. Schumann, H. J. Machulla, R. Bares & K. Mann (2005) Correlation of stable elevations in
38 striatal mu-opioid receptor availability in detoxified alcoholic patients with alcohol craving: a
39 positron emission tomography study using carbon 11-labeled carfentanil. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 62,
40 57-64.
- 41 Hermann, D., N. Hirth, M. Reimold, A. Batra, M. N. Smolka, S. Hoffmann, F. Kiefer, H. R. Noori, W. H.
42 Sommer, G. Reischl, C. la Fougère, K. Mann, R. Spanagel & A. C. Hansson (2017) Low μ -Opioid
43 Receptor Status in Alcohol Dependence Identified by Combined Positron Emission Tomography and
44 Post-Mortem Brain Analysis. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 42, 606-614.

- 1 Hirvonen, J., S. Aalto, N. Hagelberg, A. Maksimow, K. Ingman, V. Oikonen, J. Virkkala, K. Nagren & H.
2 Scheinin (2009) Measurement of central mu-opioid receptor binding in vivo with PET and
3 [¹¹C]carfentanil: a test-retest study in healthy subjects. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*, 36, 275-86.
- 4 Joutsa, J., J. Johansson, S. Niemela, A. Ollikainen, M. M. Hirvonen, P. Piepponen, E. Arponen, H. Alho, V.
5 Voon, J. O. Rinne, J. Hietala & V. Kaasinen (2012) Mesolimbic dopamine release is linked to
6 symptom severity in pathological gambling. *Neuroimage*, 60, 1992-1999.
- 7 Karjalainen, T., L. Tuominen, S. Manninen, K. Kalliokoski, P. Nuutila, I. P. Jääskeläinen, R. Hari, M. Sams & L.
8 Nummenmaa (2016) Behavioural activation system sensitivity is associated with cerebral μ -opioid
9 receptor availability. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 11, 1310-1316.
- 10 Karlsson, H. K., L. Tuominen, J. J. Tuulari, J. Hirvonen, R. Parkkola, S. Helin, P. Salminen, P. Nuutila & L.
11 Nummenmaa (2015a) Obesity is associated with decreased μ -opioid but unaltered dopamine D2
12 receptor availability in the brain. *J Neurosci*, 35, 3959-65.
- 13 Karlsson, H. K., J. J. Tuulari, L. Tuominen, J. Hirvonen, H. Honka, R. Parkkola, S. Helin, P. Salminen, P. Nuutila
14 & L. Nummenmaa (2015b) Weight loss after bariatric surgery normalizes brain opioid receptors in
15 morbid obesity. *Mol Psychiatry*.
- 16 Kessler, R. C., P. A. Berglund, W. T. Chiu, A. C. Deitz, J. I. Hudson, V. Shahly, S. Aguilar-Gaxiola, J. Alonso, M.
17 C. Angermeyer, C. Benjet, R. Bruffaerts, G. de Girolamo, R. de Graaf, J. Maria Haro, V. Kovess-
18 Masfety, S. O'Neill, J. Posada-Villa, C. Sasu, K. Scott, M. C. Viana & M. Xavier (2013) The prevalence
19 and correlates of binge eating disorder in the World Health Organization World Mental Health
20 Surveys. *Biol Psychiatry*, 73, 904-14.
- 21 Kessler, R. M., P. H. Hutson, B. K. Herman & M. N. Potenza (2016) The neurobiological basis of binge-eating
22 disorder. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*, 63, 223-38.
- 23 Kuikka, J. T., L. Tammela, L. Karhunen, A. Rissanen, K. A. Bergström, H. Naukkarinen, E. Vanninen, J. Karhu,
24 R. Lappalainen, E. Repo-Tiihonen, J. Tiihonen & M. Uusitupa (2001) Reduced serotonin transporter
25 binding in binge eating women. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*, 155, 310-4.
- 26 Linnet, J., E. Peterson, D. J. Doudet, A. Gjedde & A. Møller (2010) Dopamine release in ventral striatum of
27 pathological gamblers losing money. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*, 122, 326-33.
- 28 Majuri, J., J. Joutsa, J. Johansson, V. Voon, K. Alakurtti, R. Parkkola, T. Lahti, H. Alho, J. Hirvonen, E. Arponen,
29 S. Forsback & V. Kaasinen (2017a) Dopamine and Opioid Neurotransmission in Behavioral
30 Addictions: A Comparative PET Study in Pathological Gambling and Binge Eating.
31 *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 42, 1169-1177.
- 32 Majuri, J., J. Joutsa, J. Johansson, V. Voon, R. Parkkola, H. Alho, E. Arponen & V. Kaasinen (2017b) Serotonin
33 transporter density in binge eating disorder and pathological gambling: A PET study with
34 [¹¹C]MADAM. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol*.
- 35 Mick, I., J. Myers, A. C. Ramos, P. R. Stokes, D. Erritzoe, A. Colasanti, R. N. Gunn, E. A. Rabiner, G. E. Searle,
36 A. D. Waldman, M. C. Parkin, A. D. Brailsford, J. C. Galduróz, H. Bowden-Jones, L. Clark, D. J. Nutt &
37 A. R. Lingford-Hughes (2016) Blunted Endogenous Opioid Release Following an Oral Amphetamine
38 Challenge in Pathological Gamblers. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 41, 1742-50.
- 39 Nogueiras, R., A. Romero-Picó, M. J. Vazquez, M. G. Novelle, M. López & C. Diéguez (2012) The opioid
40 system and food intake: homeostatic and hedonic mechanisms. *Obes Facts*, 5, 196-207.
- 41 Palpacuer, C., B. Laviolle, R. Bousageon, J. M. Reymann, E. Bellissant & F. Naudet (2015) Risks and Benefits
42 of Nalmefene in the Treatment of Adult Alcohol Dependence: A Systematic Literature Review and
43 Meta-Analysis of Published and Unpublished Double-Blind Randomized Controlled Trials. *PLoS Med*,
44 12, e1001924.
- 45 Pecina, S. & K. S. Smith (2010) Hedonic and motivational roles of opioids in food reward: implications for
46 overeating disorders. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*, 97, 34-46.
- 47 Potenza, M. N. (2014) Non-substance addictive behaviors in the context of DSM-5. *Addict Behav*, 39, 1-2.
- 48 Schulte, E. M., M. A. Joyner, M. N. Potenza, C. M. Grilo & A. N. Gearhardt (2015) Current considerations
49 regarding food addiction. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*, 17, 563.
- 50 Steele, K. E., G. P. Prokopowicz, M. A. Schweitzer, T. H. Magunson, A. O. Lidor, H. Kuwabawa, A. Kumar, J.
51 Brasic & D. F. Wong (2010) Alterations of central dopamine receptors before and after gastric
52 bypass surgery. *Obes Surg*, 20, 369-74.

- 1 Tuulari, J. J., L. Tuominen, F. E. de Boer, J. Hirvonen, S. Helin, P. Nuutila & L. Nummenmaa (2017) Feeding
2 Releases Endogenous Opioids in Humans. *J Neurosci*, 37, 8284-8291.
- 3 Val-Laillet, D., E. Aarts, B. Weber, M. Ferrari, V. Quaresima, L. E. Stoeckel, M. Alonso-Alonso, M. Audette, C.
4 H. Malbert & E. Stice (2015) Neuroimaging and neuromodulation approaches to study eating
5 behavior and prevent and treat eating disorders and obesity. *Neuroimage Clin*, 8, 1-31.
- 6 van Velden, F. H., R. W. Kloet, B. N. van Berckel, F. L. Buijs, G. Luurtsema, A. A. Lammertsma & R. Boellaard
7 (2009) HRRT versus HR+ human brain PET studies: an interscanner test-retest study. *J Nucl Med*, 50,
8 693-702.
- 9 Volkow, N. D., G. J. Wang, J. S. Fowler, D. Tomasi & F. Telang (2011) Addiction: beyond dopamine reward
10 circuitry. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 108, 15037-42.
- 11 Wang, G. J., N. D. Volkow, J. Logan, N. R. Pappas, C. T. Wong, W. Zhu, N. Netusil & J. S. Fowler (2001) Brain
12 dopamine and obesity. *Lancet*, 357, 354-7.
- 13 Yanovski, S. 1999. *Diagnosis and prevalence of eating disorders in obesity*.
- 14 Ziauddeen, H., I. S. Farooqi & P. C. Fletcher (2012) Obesity and the brain: how convincing is the addiction
15 model? *Nat Rev Neurosci*, 13, 279-86.

16

17 **Figure legends**

18

19 **Figure 1. Regional [¹¹C]carfentanil binding potentials (BP_{ND}) in morbid obese and BED patients and their**
20 **corresponding control subjects.**

21 Mean (SEM) values are presented. ACC = anterior cingulate cortex, AMY = amygdala, CAU = dorsal caudate,
22 INS = insular cortex, MCC = middle cingulate cortex, OFC = orbitofrontal cortex, PCC = posterior cingulate
23 cortex, THA = thalamus, VSTR = ventral striatum.

24

Table 1.	MO Controls	Morbidly obese	BED	p-value¹	BED Controls
	n=14	n=19	n=7		n=16
Age, [years]	44.9 (12.9)	41.8 (10.3)	49.4 (5.1)	0.07	43.1 (11.4)
Sex, n [f/m]	14/0	19/0	7/0	1.0	8/8
BMI, [kg/m²]	22.7 (2.9) ²	40.7 (3.8)	30.9 (6.6)	0.007	24.6 (2.0) ³
Smoking, n (%)	0 (0%) ²	6 (32%)	2 (29%)	1.0	6 (38%)
Type 2 diabetes, n (%)	0 (0%) ²	7 (37%)	0 (0%)	0.13	0 (0%)
BDI-II	4.4 (4.1)	5.1 (5.1)	15.4 (9.6)	0.03	2.8 (3.2) ³
YFAS	7.9 (5.9) ²	16.3 (10.1)	42.3 (6.5)	<0.001	5.3 (3.5) ³
DEBQ restrained	26.0 (6.2) ²	33.4 (6.0)	35.3 (3.4)	0.44	24.8 (7.1) ³
DEBQ emotional	22.1 (6.3)	27.6 (10.9)	50.0 (8.3)	<0.001	20.1 (4.9) ³
DEBQ external	25.4 (5.8)	26.3 (6.1)	37.5 (6.3)	0.001	23.6 (5.5) ³
BIS	16.6 (2.8) ²	13.9 (2.8)	12.6 (3.2)	0.31	15.8 (2.7) ³
BAS drive	8.7 (2.6)	10.5 (3.8)	11.9 (2.3)	0.38	12.4 (3.2)
BAS fun seeking	10.6 (2.4)	11.5 (2.8)	11.9 (3.0)	0.77	11.0 (2.9)
BAS reward responsiveness	10.5 (1.8)	10.6 (3.5)	12.4 (1.5)	0.20	12.1 (2.6)

MO Controls = control subjects for morbidly obese patients. BED Controls = control subjects for BED patients. BED = binge eating disorder. YFAS = Yale Food Addiction Scale. BDI-II = Beck Depression Inventory. DEBQ = Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire. BIS = Behavioral inhibition system. BAS = Behavioral activation system. Mean (SD) values are presented unless otherwise stated. ¹P-values are calculated between morbidly obese and BED patients using t-test or Fisher's exact, as appropriate. ²Significant difference between MO Controls and morbidly obese patients (p<0.05). ³Significant difference between BED Controls and BED patients (p<0.05).

