Effects of phenotypic variation on consumer coexistence and prev community structure

Teppo Hiltunen¹

Revised: 6 September 2021

```
Shane L. Hogle<sup>1</sup> | Iina Hepolehto<sup>2,3</sup> | Lasse Ruokolainen<sup>3</sup> | Johannes Cairns<sup>4,5</sup>
```

ECOLOGY LETTERS WILEY

¹Department of Biology, University of Turku, Turku, Finland

²Department of Microbiology, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

³Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

⁴Organismal and Evolutionary Biology Research Programme, University of Helsinki, Finland

⁵Department of Computer Science, University of Helsinki, Finland

Correspondence

Shane L. Hogle and Teppo Hiltunen, Department of Biology, University of Turku, Turku, Finland. Email: shane.hogle@utu.fi (S. L. H.); teppo.hiltunen@utu.fi (T. H.)

Editor: Jonathan Chase

Abstract

A popular idea in ecology is that trait variation among individuals from the same species may promote the coexistence of competing species. However, theoretical and empirical tests of this idea have yielded inconsistent findings. We manipulated intraspecific trait diversity in a ciliate competing with a nematode for bacterial prey in experimental microcosms. We found that intraspecific trait variation inverted the original competitive hierarchy to favour the consumer with variable traits, ultimately resulting in competitive exclusion. This competitive outcome was driven by foraging traits (size, speed and directionality) that increased the ciliate's fitness ratio and niche overlap with the nematode. The interplay between consumer trait variation and competition resulted in non-additive cascading effects-mediated through prey defence traits-on prey community assembly. Our results suggest that predicting consumer competitive population dynamics and the assembly of prev communities will require understanding the complexities of trait variation within consumer species.

KEYWORDS

bacteria, coexistence, community assembly, competition, intraspecific variation, nematodes, predator, prey, protists, traits

INTRODUCTION

Comparative studies of species traits have historically focused on trait differences between species. However, ecologists increasingly recognise that much of the trait variation in ecological communities exist between individuals from the same species. For example, trait variation within species accounts for up to one-fourth of total trait variation in plant communities (Siefert et al., 2015) and can have ecological consequences comparable in magnitude to those from separate species (Des Roches et al., 2018). Intraspecific trait variation (ITV) may

emerge from phenotypic plasticity (e.g. maternal effects or learned behaviour) (Turcotte & Levine, 2016), ontogenetic diversity (Rudolf & Rasmussen, 2013) and heritable evolutionary change.

Although ITV is ubiquitous in natural ecological communities, its relevance for competitive interactions and species coexistence is unclear. In the past two decades, the relationship between ITV and species coexistence has garnered attention (Bolnick et al., 2011; Turcotte & Levine, 2016)-in particular, the idea that ITV may facilitate the coexistence of competing species (Violle, 2012). Theoretical studies attempting to link ITV

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2021 The Authors. Ecology Letters published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Shane L. Hogle and Iina Hepolehto contributed equally.

to coexistence have yielded inconsistent results ranging from positive (Crawford et al., 2019; Uriarte & Menge, 2018), to negative (Barabás & D'Andrea, 2016; Hart et al., 2016), to zero effect (Banitz, 2019). Laboratory and field studies have also demonstrated variable outcomes between competing species with different levels of ITV (Clark, 2010; Hausch et al., 2018; Noto & Hughes, 2020). Currently, this body of empirical and theoretical literature demonstrates no universally positive or negative effect of ITV on coexistence.

Modern coexistence theory is a prevalent theoretical framework in ecology, and it can be leveraged to understand how variable traits (e.g. phenotypic plasticity (Turcotte & Levine, 2016)) might impact fitness or niche differences between competing species. From the perspective of modern coexistence theory, species coexistence or competitive exclusion depends on how a variable trait influences stabilising and equalising mechanisms. In empirical studies, the relative contribution of these mechanisms is typically estimated using the niche overlap and competitive (i.e. fitness) ratio computed from population density data fit to a mathematical model of species competition (Godwin et al., 2020). To successfully understand ITV and species coexistence using modern coexistence theory, we also require knowledge of the varying traits and intuition into how they map to competing species' competitive ratio and niche overlap. High ITV in traits affecting niche overlap (niche traits) should result in a distribution of individuals both adapted and maladapted to the prevailing environmental conditions. ITV in niche traits should weaken stabilising mechanisms because conspecifics can distribute over a wider niche breadth, which increases niche overlap with heterospecifics. High ITV in traits altering species fitness ratios (competitive traits) should make some individuals more fit and others less fit under a given environmental condition. The predicted effects of ITV on competitive traits will depend upon the shape of the trait-response curve due to Jensen's Inequality (Hart et al., 2016; Ruel & Ayres, 1999). A concave-up response for a variable trait will result in high-performance individuals that significantly increase the species mean performance via non-linear averaging (Hart et al., 2016). Alternatively, a concave-down response will produce some poorly adapted individuals that would disproportionately decrease mean performance. Therefore, the expectation for coexistence will also depend upon the shape of the species performance response to the variable trait. Traits may also simultaneously impact both niche and fitness differences in complicated ways (Kraft et al., 2015).

There are relatively few empirical studies testing current theories of ITV and species coexistence. Furthermore, both theoretical and empirical studies on the effects of ITV and species coexistence have primarily focused on competitive outcomes at a single trophic level. Many studies have shown that ITV of a single consumer can have cascading effects on community dynamics at lower trophic levels (Chislock et al., 2013; Post et al., 2008; Rudolf & Rasmussen, 2013). However, little is known about how the interplay between consumer ITV and consumer competition affects prey community dynamics. In order to uncover general principles of ITV and species coexistence, it will be necessary for empirical studies to better characterise the ecological and evolutionary conditions (including their complexities) that promote or hinder species coexistence. This effort should include uncovering the mechanisms (e.g. species traits) that position species' niche overlap relative to their hierarchical differences and understanding how these operate in broader trophic contexts. Experimental microbial communities offer one way to test these ideas under controlled laboratory conditions. We conducted an experiment with a microbial ciliate competing with a nematode worm in a common garden consisting of 24 different bacterial prey species. Specifically, we sought to test (1) how consumer ITV influences the competitive population dynamics between two consumer species, (2) whether links between consumer ITV and interspecific competition influence prey community structure and (3) what mechanisms (i.e. consumer trait differences) might underlie any observed effects.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Overview

We combined a 24-species isogenic bacterial prey community (each species derived from a single clone) with an isogenic nematode worm, Caenorhabditis elegans, and an isogenic ciliated protozoan Tetrahymena thermophila-hereafter Low Trait Variation (LTV) ciliate. In some treatments, we replaced the LTV ciliate consumer with an even mixture of 20 different ciliate populations, each displaying intraspecific phenotype variation-hereafter High Trait Variation (HTV) ciliate (Cairns et al., 2020). In this way, we could manipulate the standing stock of trait diversity in the ciliate consumer in the presence or absence of competition from the nematode. We then combined the LTV ciliate, the HTV ciliate, the nematode and the prey bacterial community in experimental microcosms with a fullfactorial design (six conditions, four biological replicates per treatment). We followed consumer densities, prey density, and bacterial community composition over 61 days representing at least 70 ciliate and 16 nematode generations. We also measured traits from both consumers and prey to determine how community assembly and consumer composition were related to species phenotypes (Figure 1).



FIGURE 1 Study setup. (a) The experimental bacterial community with different consumer combinations. Ctrl - bacteria only, N - bacteria with nematode, CLTV - bacteria with isogenic low trait diversity ciliate, CHTV - bacteria high trait diversity mixed ciliate populations, NCLTV - bacteria + nematode + low trait diversity ciliate, NCHTV - bacteria + nematode + high trait diversity ciliate. (b) Schematic representation of the serial transfer experiment. Each experimental condition from A) was performed in four biological replicates with 15 transfers/samplings. (c) Traits of individual bacterial species were measured in monoculture and inferred from genome sequences. (d) Consumers were grown on each bacterial species to assess consumer grazing efficiency (see methods)

Study species

The bacterial prey community (Table S1) consisted of 24 phylogenetically diverse species isolated from soil, aquatic, plant and host-associated environments. Bacteria were grown routinely in 5% King's Broth and 50% PPY agar. In monoculture, each bacterial species could grow to an optical density of at least 0.3 in the media and temperature conditions used in the main experiment. Each bacterial prey species could support detectable growth of each consumer in pairwise monoculture, but maximum consumer density varied depending on the prey species. The consumers consisted of the ciliate Tetrahymena thermophila strain 1630/1 U (CCAP) and the clonal hermaphrodite nematode Caenorhabditis elegans strain N2. Before the main experiment, Tetrahymena was routinely grown by serial transfer in PPY medium (Cassidy-Hanley & Collins, 2012). A single Tetrahymena mating-type (type II) was used in all experiments and selection lines to ensure that ciliate reproduction was asexual (Cairns et al., 2020; Cassidy-Hanley & Collins, 2012). Nematodes were routinely grown on NGMplates with E. coli OP50 as prey (Stiernagle, 2006). Revival of consumers and prey from cryostorage was performed as described before (Cairns, Ruokolainen, et al., 2018; Cassidy-Hanley & Collins, 2012; Stiernagle, 2006).

Ciliate intraspecific variation

The mixture of HTV ciliates was prepared by pooling ciliate populations from 20 long-term selection lines (see Cairns et al. (2020) for details). Briefly, 20 Tetrahymena lines were started from isogenic clones (the LTV ciliate progenitor) and continually maintained with one of six bacterial prey species as the sole nutritional resource. Four bacterial species were selected to represent genera commonly associated with ciliate predators in soil and aquatic habitats, and two were selected for potential anti-predatory traits. After 600 ciliate generations, we harvested an aliquot from each of these 20 selection lines and made the aliquots axenic using antibiotics. The 20 aliquots were then pooled into a single HTV ciliate treatment. Thus, each HTV Tetrahymena inoculum was a heterogeneous population, containing both phenotypic and genetic diversity.

Experimental setup and sampling

Each consumer treatment in four biological replicates was performed in 20 ml of 5% King's Broth (KB) liquid medium containing M9 salts and supplemented with cholesterol. Each microcosm was inoculated with 1×10^4 CFU ml⁻¹ of each bacterial species. Consumers were inoculated at 1×10^4 ciliate cells ml⁻¹ and 10 nematode cells ml⁻¹. Microcosms were maintained at 22°C with gentle shaking and transferred to two ml fresh growth medium at day five and then every four days for a total of 15 transfers over 61 days. Nematode and ciliate cell densities were measured directly with microscopy using the Fiji/ImageJ cell counter plugin (Schindelin, 2012). Bacterial density was measured using optical density at 600 nm wavelength. All nematodes were counted regardless of size or developmental stage (excluding eggs). Due to methodological constraints, the proportion of individuals in each developmental stage was not formally recorded.

Trait measurements

Defence against the LTV consumer, carrying capacity and growth rate for each bacterial strain were measured as described in detail earlier (Scheuerl et al., 2019). Maximum growth rate, doubling time and carrying capacity were estimated using growthcurveR (Sprouffske & Wagner, 2016). Biofilm formation capacity was estimated using the crystal-violet method (O'Toole & Kolter, 1998). Bacterial growth was measured on 31 different carbon substrates using BioLog EcoPlates (https://www. biolog.com). All other traits were inferred from bacterial genome sequences using Traitar (Weimann et al., 2016).

To measure pairwise prey clearance by each consumer, each bacterial species was grown as a monoculture in Reasoner's 2A liquid at 20°C for 96 h with shaking. Bacterial species were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in 100 ml of M9 saline solution and then standardised to a common density by adjusting optical density with M9. Five ml of each bacterial species was added to a six-well culture plate with either 2.5×10^3 nematode individuals or 2.5×10^4 of HTV or LTV ciliate individuals. Plates were incubated at room temperature with gentle shaking for 144 h, after which optical density and consumer counts were conducted. Prey clearance was defined as the difference between the OD₆₀₀ (converted to cells ml⁻¹) in each consumer treatment and the no consumer control.

DNA extraction, sequencing, and analysis

Total DNA was extracted, 16S amplicon sequencing libraries prepared, and sequencing performed as outlined in earlier studies (Cairns, Jokela, et al., 2018). Read pairs were trimmed and merged into amplicons using BBTools (version 38.61b (https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/). Quality-controlled amplicons were assigned to bacterial species using BBMap by mapping against a database of 30 full-length 16S rRNA sequences using the best possible mapping position between the priming sites (see Supplementary Material for details).

Statistics and data analysis

All statistical analyses were done in R version 3.6.1 (R Core Team, 2021). Probabilistic modelling was performed using the Stan programming language v2.24 (Carpenter, 2017) and RStan v2.21.1. We assessed MCMC chain mixing and convergence using effective sample sizes (Brooks & Gelman, 1998) and potential scale reduction factors ($\hat{R} < 1.05$ for all covariates) (Gelman & Rubin,). For all Bayesian analyses, we used default or weakly informative priors. Detailed descriptions of the statistics and data analysis procedures are available in the supplementary text.

Ciliate, nematode and bacterial densities were modelled with hierarchical generalised additive models using mgcv v1.8-33 (Wood, 2017). All other regression was performed in the Bayesian framework using rstanarm v2.21-1 (Goodrich et al., 2020) or MCPv0.3-0 (Lindelov, 2020). Differences in consumer competitive ability and niche overlap were estimated by parameterising phenomenological Lotka-Volterra competition models. The inferred per capita growth rates, intraspecific and interspecific coefficients were then used to calculate competitive and niche differences (Godwin et al., 2020). The compositional bias of amplicon-derived species abundances was estimated using metacal v0.1.0 (McLaren et al., 2019) and abundance information from control samples (day 0) where all prey species were inoculated at equal cell densities $(1 \times 10^4 \text{ CFU ml}^{-1})$. The Shannon diversity dissimilarity index was calculated following Jasinska et al. (Jasinska et al., 2020). Population-level alpha and beta diversities (Shannon diversity and Bray Curtis dissimilarity) were estimated using DivNet v0.3.6 (Willis & Martin, 2020). Segmented regressions of Shannon diversity were performed using MCP v0.3-0 (Lindelov, 2020) to identity sorting and equilibrium phases. Beta diversity for individual replicates was calculated using Bray and Curtis dissimilarity on species relative abundances and non-metric multidimensional scaling for ordination.

The HMSC package (Ovaskainen & Abrego, 2020) was used for joint species distribution modeling. A twostep hurdle model approach was used to account for zero inflation in the log-transformed count data where first a probit model for presence/absence was fit and then a Gaussian model for regularised log-transformed species abundances conditional upon presence. Differences in sequencing depth between libraries were controlled for by including log-transformed total reads as a fixed effect in the model. Sequencing count data are compositional and statistical approaches for absolute abundances should be applied cautiously due to a risk of artefacts. However, we also emphasise that bacterial population density (as measured by optical density) was not significantly different between predation treatments (see Results), suggesting that the compositional differences are likely to reflect true differences. The hurdle model approach is commonly used for modelling sequencing count data with HMSC (Abrego et al., 2020; Minard et al., 2019; Ovaskainen & Abrego, 2020). Details about the model formulation and testing are described in the Supplementary Material.

RESULTS

Consumer ITV determines competitive exclusion

The magnitude of ITV in the ciliate consumer was sufficient to alter the ecological dynamics of the competing consumer. Competition between the LTV ciliate and the nematode excluded the ciliate by day 11, whereas competition between the HTV ciliate and the nematode excluded the nematode after 40 days (Figure 2a). These changes were not due to altered consumer or prey carrying capacities caused by ciliate ITV. In the absence of



FIGURE 2 Consumer competitive hierarchy depends upon ciliate ITV. (a) Consumer and bacterial biomass during the course of the experiment. Points are observations, and lines are estimated marginal means (mean response and 95% confidence levels) from generalised additive models. Black crosses are target consumer starting densities at T0. Model summaries and contrasts of marginal means are available in Tables S2-S4. (b) Niche overlap and competitive ratio between the ciliate and the nematode depending on whether the ciliate had low trait variation (NCLTV) or high trait variation (NCHTV). Coexistence is defined by the inequality $\rho < \frac{k_{ciliur}}{k_{nematode}} < \frac{1}{\rho}$. Points and line ranges show the mean and standard deviation from four biological replicates

competition, the carrying capacity of the HTV ciliate was not different from the LTV ciliate growing on the prey community (Table S2). Prey biomass differed by a mean fold difference of 1.08 across all consumer treatments and sampling times (Table S3), indicating that each consumer or consumer pair drew down total prey resources to similar levels. Therefore, the population dynamics of the LTV and HTV ciliate in monoculture were effectively equivalent.

We next attributed consumer dynamics to changes in niche overlap and their competitive ratio following coexistence theory. Coexistence theory describes the coexistence of two species as a balance between stabilising and equalising mechanisms (Chesson, 2000). Equalising mechanisms relate to inherent competitive differences between species that describe dominance hierarchies in a community. Stabilising mechanisms (i.e. niche differences) relate to the ability of each species to recover from low density after a perturbation. ITV could potentially impact these two mechanisms in any number of ways. We estimated niche overlap and the competitive ratio of the HTV or LTV ciliate to the nematode by parameterising the competitive Lotka-Volterra equations and obtaining the resulting interaction coefficients (see Methods). This approach is phenomenological because it represents the outcome of competition/coexistence rather than an underlying biological mechanism per se. Nevertheless, it can be informative for understanding the balance of forces acting upon competing species in natural communities.

Relatively strong niche differences separated the LTV ciliate and nematode, but a strong competitive advantage for the nematode exceeded these differences (Figure 2b). The model predicted that the LTV ciliate and nematode were at or near the boundary of coexistence. In both HTV and LTV competitive arrangements, we observed very low abundances of the inferior competitor (<100 ciliates ml^{-1} , <5 nematodes ml^{-1}) in some of the replicates near day 40. Accurate manual counting is challenging at low densities, and it may be that the inferior competitor never truly became extinct. Finally, ciliate ITV strongly increased the ciliate/nematode competitive ratio while simultaneously increasing niche overlap. The magnitude of the competitive change was much larger than the niche change, which suggests that ciliate ITV predominantly drove hierarchical competitive differences between consumers (Figure 2b).

Consumers drive prey community assembly

We next sought to determine the degree to which prey communities were structured by consumer treatment versus stochastic processes (e.g. variability in composition or density of prey inocula or random birth and death processes). We expected that phenotypic heterogeneity in the HTV ciliate might increase the likelihood of early stochastic events driving divergence in prey community composition (Zhou & Ning, 2017). We surveyed the repeatability of prey communities across biological replicates within treatment categories by calculating the scaled Shannon diversity dissimilarity (D') across treatments at each sampling point. The observed D' values (Figure S1) were close to 0 (highly similar replicates) and significantly lower than expected if cross-replicate variance equalled cross-treatment variance (Table S5). Surprisingly, the HTV ciliate generated equally repeatable prey assembly compared to the LTV ciliate or the nematode. These results show that (1) technical variation in laboratory conditions (e.g. temperature or transfer volumes) were minimal for these experiments, and (2) the process of prey community assembly alongside each consumer or consumer pair was strongly deterministic.

Consumers significantly altered prey species' local abundance and evenness (i.e. alpha diversity). Prey communities generally assembled under each treatment so that the majority of species were rare (<1% frequency, Figure 3a). Most communities were numerically dominated by nine prey species (Figure 3b, Figure S1). Shannon diversity varied in two distinct phases across treatments, which we defined operationally (Figure S2, supplementary methods). In the first phase (hereafter sorting), diversity declined linearly with time, whereas in the second phase (hereafter equilibrium), it oscillated around a temporally zero-trend mean. The presence of a consumer or co-consumer pair slowed the rate of diversity decline in the sorting phase relative to the control (Figure S3, Table S6). In the equilibrium phase, predation also generally supported higher mean diversity. However, the HTV ciliate generated significantly higher prey diversity than the LTV counterpart (Table S7). These findings are consistent with the expectation that interspecific competition between prey is reduced in the presence of predation (Gurevitch et al., 2000). Like prior studies in other systems (Chislock et al., 2013; Post et al., 2008; Rudolf & Rasmussen, 2013), we find evidence that ITV has cascading effects on prey communities-here resulting in increased prey diversity. However, consumer competition did not change either the rate of diversity decline in the sorting phase or the mean diversity in the equilibrium phase relative to consumer monocultures (Tables S6-S7). Instead, the effect of two competing consumers on the Shannon diversity change was generally equivalent to that of a single consumer.

We then examined the separation of prey communities across time and consumer treatments (i.e. beta diversity) using ordination to visualise the trajectory of each community through system phase space. The distance between ordination points can be interpreted as a measure of system stability where larger "jumps" through community space represent periods of relative flux (Gonze et al., 2018). Overall, ciliate ITV resulted in prey communities that were more stable through time than prey communities forming with the LTV ciliate



FIGURE 3 Prey community response to consumer competition and ITV. (a) Prey species abundance distributions from each experimental treatment. X-axis ranks prey species (1977 most abundant) by average across all conditions. Y-axis shows relative abundance. (b) Replicate mean abundance trajectories over time (normalised to each species maximum) for each of the 24 bacterial prey species. (c) Non-metric multidimensional scaling ordination of trajectories through community space. Larger, outlined circles show means across replicates, whereas small circles are replicates. Colours represent days from the experiment start. Means are connected in chronological order.

(Table S8). The LTV ciliate and no-consumer prey communities traversed the longest distance in community space, indicating these communities were the least stable through time (Table S8). However, prey communities assembling with the HTV ciliate and nematode showed relatively small changes in beta diversity and stabilised rapidly (Figure 3c). Prey communities forming alongside competing consumers were compositionally distinct from those forming with either consumer by itself. The presence of two competing consumers in the first 20 days generally had a destabilising effect on prey temporal trajectories (larger jump lengths) relative to single consumer treatments. Thus, consumer ITV provided a stabilising effect on prey communities, whereas consumer competition was generally destabilising.

Links between consumer ITV and competition have non-additive effects on prey abundances

We next asked how consumer ITV and competition influenced community-level properties of the bacterial prey. We used a joint species distribution model (JSDM) (Ovaskainen et al., 2017) to simultaneously model the responses of the 24 bacterial prey species to different consumer competitive arrangements while estimating the contribution of traits and phylogenetic relatedness to the prey community response. We modelled the sorting and equilibrium phases separately to account for the strong temporal dependence in the sorting phase (supplementary text). The average explanatory power was excellent for the sorting phase model ($R^2 = 0.90 \pm 0.09$) and good for the equilibrium phase model ($R^2 = 0.58 \pm 0.19$), both of which showed the most predictive power through their fixed effects (Table S9). Variance partitioning over the explanatory variables showed that model fixed effects explained a substantial amount of variance in species abundance (Figure 4). These findings demonstrate that the JSDMs sufficiently described the observed prey abundance data.

Consistent with the beta diversity patterns we observed above, we found that consumer competition resulted in significant non-additive effects on the abundances of both common and rare prey species. We define non-additive effects as the presence of a nonzero coefficient for an interaction term in the JSDM.



FIGURE 4 Effects of prey traits and consumer arrangement on prey community assembly. Joint Species Distribution Model (JSDM) results from (a) the sorting phase (days 0-13) and (b) the equilibrium phase (days 17-61). Bar plots show the explanatory power for each bacterial prey species in the JSDMs. The bars are coloured by the proportion of variance explained by fixed and random effects and their interactions. Heatmaps show the influence of fixed effects on bacterial prey species abundance (β) and the influence of prey traits on bacterial prey species association with fixed effects (γ). The sign of the coefficient (i.e. the direction of the effect) is included only if the 95% credibility interval excludes zero (i.e. the probability the effect is not zero is 95%). Trait abbreviations: D, defence against CLTV; N carbon, number of carbon sources the species can use; r, maximum specific growth rate; relative biofilm, biofilm production

In practice, this means that prey species were significantly less or more abundant than predicted by a linear combination of the individual predator effects (i.e. the effect of one consumer depended on the presence of the other). In the sorting phase, time had a negative effect for most species, reflecting the rapid decline of rare species from their initial frequencies (Figure 4). The consumer-specific changes in time were largely positive, indicating that most species increased over time relative to the no-consumer control. Over 60% of prey species in the sorting phase had a non-zero coefficient for the LTV ciliate-nematode ($C_{\rm LTV} \times N$) and HTV ciliate-nematode ($C_{\rm HTV} \times N$) interactions. These two interaction terms were usually of the same sign and

opposing the individual consumer effects (Figure 4). This implies that non-additivity in the sorting phase was primarily driven by the presence of two consumers and not consumer ITV. The nematode effect had the greatest explanatory power in the equilibrium phase, followed by the ciliate-nematode interaction term. In contrast to the sorting phase, the consumer interaction effects were concentrated in the $C_{HTV} \times N$ interaction, whereas the effect of the LTV ciliate and nematode was additive (95% of the posterior distribution of the $C_{LTV} \times N$ interaction term overlapped with zero). Thus, ITV strengthened the non-additivity of multiple consumers on prey abundances during the equilibrium phase.

Prey community responses are mediated through defence traits

We next used the JSDM framework to test whether phylogenetic relationships and prey traits were associated with specific community responses to the different consumer arrangements. We included traits for defence, growth rate, biofilm formation and the number of carbon compounds degraded and used by each prey species. Defence, growth rate and biofilm formation are likely to be important traits for consumer-prey interactions. We used the number of carbon compounds as a generic proxy for catabolic versatility, which we expected might be relevant for both competitive and cooperative interactions between prey species. Collectively, these four traits represented approximately 80% of the variance from the total collection of all species traits we surveyed (Figure S4). The 95% credibility interval of the phylogenetic posterior included zero and the median effect was small (sorting = 0.05 ± 0.11 , equilibrium = 0.32 ± 0.34). Thus, closely related bacteria were no more likely to share a common response to the consumer treatments than distant relatives. Prey traits explained a substantial amount of variation (22% and 44%) in both experimental phases (Table S10, Figure 4), suggesting a shared phenotypic response of the prey community to each treatment.

The influence of prey traits on prey community assembly with competing consumers was also generally non-additive (Figure 4, Figure S5). Prey traits explained the greatest proportion of the community response to the HTV ciliate and the $C_{HTV} \times N$ interaction (Table S10). For example, prey traits explained up to 80% of the variance of species response to the $C_{HTV} \times N$ term. In particular, prey defence and biofilm traits were consistently associated with community responses to the HTV ciliate either alone or through an interaction with the nematode in both experiment phases (Figure 4, Figure S5). This finding suggests that the non-additive response of prey species to consumer competition and ITV was mainly attributable to differences in prey traits.

Effects of ITV on the consumer response

In the short term, a consumer with uniform clearance rates across prey species should remove those prey species in proportion to their abundance in a consumerfree community. Alternatively, a consumer displaying non-uniform prey clearance (i.e. prey preference) should remove prey in a way that deviates from this proportionality (Bell et al., 2010). We asked how consumer ITV altered the overall consumer response by estimating foraging preferences from sequencing data of each consumer and the prey community. We regressed the relative abundance of each bacterial species in the presence and absence of each consumer across all time points from the sorting phase of the experiment. Slopes approaching one indicate uniform prey removal, whereas slopes approaching zero indicate strong consumer preference (Bell et al., 2010). We found that the LTV ciliate slope was closest to one (Figure 5a), suggesting that it had the smallest relative variation in clearance across all prey species. Both the HTV ciliate and nematode slopes were significantly smaller than the LTV ciliate slope, indicating that both these consumers preferentially foraged on some prey species (Table S11)—particularly the highly abundant prey species.

We next tested whether the non-uniform foraging we inferred from the sequencing data matched experimental observation. We experimentally assayed the ability of each consumer to clear prey biomass in pairwise grazing trials with each of the 24 bacterial strains (Figure 5b, Table S12). The results were consistent with our expectations from the sequencing data: (1) the LTV ciliate removed the fewest prey cells per capita with the most uniform clearance rates (smallest coefficient of variation) across prey species, (2) the HTV ciliate feeding performance increased for some prey species but decreased for others (resulting in a large coefficient of variation), and (3) the nematode removed the most prey per capita but with a similar uniformity across prey species to the LTV ciliate. Overall, ITV increased mean ciliate grazing performance as well as its capacity for selective foraging.

DISCUSSION

We previously reported that ciliate individuals from the HTV populations were, on average, 40 µm larger, 1.25× faster, and turned less often than isogenic, LTV ciliate individuals (Cairns et al., 2020). Individuals with high values of these traits should cover a larger search volume than smaller, slower individuals (Montagnes, 2008). Assuming a spatially homogeneous distribution of prey cells, this should allow HTV ciliates with extreme trait values to encounter more prey per unit time than the average LTV individual. Here we expect that being larger, faster, and swimming in straighter trajectories would affect both the fitness ratio and niche overlap between the HTV ciliate and the nematode. Nonetheless, we propose that these traits are primarily competitive. This idea is consistent with the increased HTV ciliate prey clearance averaged across all prey species (Figure 5a) and the large increase in the competitive ratio between the ciliate and nematode (Figure 2b). However, we also suspect that ITV in these competitive traits weakened stabilising mechanisms. Most of the HTV ciliate fitness gains were concentrated on prey species already preferred by the nematode, which was



FIGURE 5 Consumer ITV drives partitioning of the predation response. (a) Relative abundance of prey species in the presence of ciliate or nematode consumers (vertical axis) versus the corresponding relative abundance in the consumer-free controls. The regression includes prey species >1% relative abundance and samples from first 21 days. The axes are arcsin square-root transformed. β , R^2 is the median for the posterior of the slope and Bayesian R^2 , respectively, from linear regression (Table S12). (b) Consumer response (prey clearance) as a function of prey species identity. Prey clearance is the number of bacterial cells removed per consumer individual after 144 h. Long tick marks on the vertical axis show the mean clearance rate of each consumer. Prey species are ordered by increasing susceptibility to the HTV ciliate. The curve is a simple polynomial fit to aid visualisation. Asterisks show significant differences in mean prey clearance between consumers Table S12. CV, coefficient of variation

reflected in the prey clearance trials (Figure 5b) and the estimates of niche overlap from the competitive Lotka-Volterra model (Figure 2b). Overall, our findings are consistent with predictions from modern coexistence theory, which broadly suggest that ITV should hinder species coexistence (Barabás & D'Andrea, 2016; Hart et al., 2016). However, these studies also suggest that ITV might promote coexistence if it allows a meanvariance trade-off favouring the weaker competitor (Barabás & D'Andrea, 2016; Hart et al., 2016; Lichstein et al., 2007). We found that ITV in the weaker competitor also hindered coexistence because there was no generalist specialist trade-off (Barabás & D'Andrea, 2016). In general, the HTV ciliate was a more effective consumer than the LTV ciliate, and the HTV performance gains disproportionately impacted the nematode's optimal prey. Thus, the competitive differences between the two consumers were also reinforced by the convergence of their niches.

The link between consumer ITV and competition also strongly influenced the community composition of the prey trophic level. The HTV ciliate or the nematode rapidly stabilised the composition of the prey community, whereas the LTV ciliate and no-consumer treatment caused the prey community to make the largest oscillations through community state space (Figure 3c). The combination of two consumers caused the prey community to traverse state-space distinctly from either consumer alone. Indeed, we found that the combined effects of the ciliate and nematode on individual prey species abundances were often non-additive and that these nonadditive effects were mediated through prey species defence traits (Figure 4). Consumer trait diversity generally strengthened the non-additivity of how prey defence traits influenced prey community assembly in the presence of the competing consumer (Figure S5). It is important to note that the non-additive prey responses may not necessarily be a direct consequence of predation (e.g. interference between predator species or altered prey behaviour in response to predation) but rather a result of predation-driven shifts in community composition that promoted alternative higher-order interactions between prey species (i.e. crossfeeding) or cascading effects due to prey extinctions (Thakur & Geisen, 2019). It is also possible that consumer feedback loops result in apparent mutualistic or competitive outcomes for prey in the absence of direct consumer-prey interaction (Holt & Bonsall, 2017). Previous studies have also shown that consumer ITV has cascading effects on the composition of prey communities and ecosystem function (Chislock et al., 2013; Post et al., 2008; Rudolf & Rasmussen, 2013). Here we show that consumer competition and consumer ITV interact to influence the assembly of bacterial prey communities.

There are some caveats to consider when translating our findings to other ecological systems. First, we do not know whether the experimental outcomes with the HTV ciliate were due to the dominance of a single ciliate genotype or a combination of strains. However, aggregate phenotype data from the HTV populations suggest that the magnitude of change in size, speed, and directionality traits was relatively modest compared to the LTV ciliate (Cairns et al., 2020). Thus, we assume that the outcomes with the HTV ciliate were a consequence of the total diversity in the population and not the superiority of a single genotype/phenotype. Nevertheless, we cannot exclude the possibility that some HTV ciliate genotypes had more impact than others. Future studies may be able to quantify the competitive effects of genotype on niche and competitive traits. Second, we did not explicitly manipulate the amount of ITV in the nematode competitor, although phenotypic variation was almost certainly present as developmental diversity or possibly maternal effects. Although we did not formally record C. elegans developmental stages, the size distribution was uniform across treatments and sampling times. Thus, we expect any indirect effects from the developmental structure in the nematode populations were primarily shared between the HTV and LTV ciliate treatments. Still, we cannot completely exclude the possibility that nematode ontogenetic structure might play a relevant role in the competitive dynamics we observed.

Although a fast-paced body of theoretical work has addressed ITV and species coexistence through modern coexistence theory, there is not yet a unified framework reconciling the various predicted outcomes. Empirical work can contribute to this challenge by testing theoretical predictions. Our results are broadly consistent with the predicted effects of ITV on species coexistence from the modern coexistence theory framework. However, our findings also highlight some important mechanistic details. We found that the variable traits in the ciliate predominantly functioned as competitive traits. ITV also impacted niche overlap with the nematode by partitioning the ciliate response to the nematode's preferred prey species. Our intuition is that ITV in many different traits could simultaneously alter fitness and niche differences as we have observed here. Alternatively, traits may also influence niche overlap or fitness ratios differently depending on ecological context. Moving forward, it will be necessary to better understand such complexities and how this affects species coexistence more generally.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Elizaveta Zakharova: investigation—supporting, data curation. Olli Pitkänen: investigation – supporting, data curation. Ida-Marija Hyvönen investigation supporting, data curation. SLH thanks Chuliang Song for helpful discussion. TH dedicates this work to the memory of Dr. Jouni Laakso. Funding: Academy of Finland (grants #327741 to TH and #286405 to LR).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SLH: conceptualisation, supervision, software, formal analysis, writing—original draft. IH: conceptualisation, investigation—lead, visualisation, writing—review and editing. LR: supervision, formal analysis, funding acquisition, writing—review and editing. JC: conceptualisation, writing—review and editing. TH: conceptualisation, supervision—lead, project administration, funding acquisition, writing—review and editing.

CODE AVAILABILITY

Scripts reproducing all figures and steps in the data analysis are available from https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo. 5582429.

PEER REVIEW

The peer review history for this article is available at https://publons.com/publon/10.1111/ele.13924.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Raw sequencing data are available from the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the BioProject accession number https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/ PRJNA725120PRJNA725120. Processed count tables are available from https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5582429.

ORCID

Shane L. Hogle https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2595-3863 Johannes Cairns https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1329-2025 Teppo Hiltunen https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7206-2399

REFERENCES

- Abrego, N., Crosier, B., Somervuo, P., Ivanova, N., Abrahamyan, A., Abdi, A. et al. (2020) Fungal communities decline with urbanization—more in air than in soil. *The ISME Journal*, 14, 2806–2815. ISSN: 1751-7362, 1751-7370.
- Banitz, T. (2019) Spatially structured intraspecific trait variation can foster biodiversity in disturbed, heterogeneous environments. *Oikos*, 128, 1478–1491. ISSN: 0030-1299, 1600-0706.
- Barabás, G. & D'Andrea, R. (2016) The effect of intraspecific variation and heritability on community pattern and robustness. *Ecology Letters*, 19, 977–986. ISSN: 1461-023X, 1461-0248.
- Bell, T., Bonsall, M.B., Buckling, A., Whiteley, A.S., Goodall, T. & Griffiths, R.I. (2010) Protists have divergent effects on bacterial diversity along a productivity gradient. *Biology Letters*, 6, 639– 642. ISSN: 1744-9561, 1744-957X.
- Bolnick, D.I., Amarasekare, P., Araújo, M.S., Bürger, R., Levine, J.M., Novak, M. et al. (2011) Why intraspecific trait variation matters in community ecology. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 26, 183–192. ISSN: 0169-5347.

- Brooks, S.P. & Gelman, A. (1998) General methods for monitoring convergence of iterative simulations. *Journal of Computational* and Graphical Statistics, 7, 434–455. ISSN: 1061-8600.
- Cairns, J., Jokela, R., Hultman, J., Tamminen, M., Virta, M. & Hiltunen, T. (2018) Construction and characterization of synthetic bacterial community for experimental ecology and evolution. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 9, 1664–8021.
- Cairns, J., Moerman, F., Fronhofer, E.A., Altermatt, F. & Hiltunen, T. (2020) Evolution in interacting species alters predator lifehistory traits, behaviour and morphology in experimental microbial communities. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 287, 1471–2954. ISSN: 0962-8452.
- Cairns, J., Ruokolainen, L., Hultman, J., Tamminen, M., Virta, M. & Hiltunen, T. (2018) Ecology determines how low antibiotic concentration impacts community composition and horizontal transfer of resistance genes. *Communications Biology*, 1, 2399–3642.
- Carpenter, B., Gelman, A., Hoffman, M., Lee, D., Goodrich, B., Betancourt, M. et al. (2017) Stan: a probabilistic programming language. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 76, 1–32. ISSN: 1548-7660.
- Cassidy-Hanley, D. (2012) *Methods in cell biology*, Collins, K.(Eds.). Academic Press, pp. 237–276.
- Chesson, P. (2000) Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 31, 343–366. ISSN: 0066-4162.
- Chislock, M.F., Sarnelle, O., Olsen, B.K., Doster, E. & Wilson, A.E. (2013) Large effects of consumer offense on ecosystem structure and function. *Ecology*, 94, 2375–2380. ISSN: 0012-9658.
- Clark, J.S. (2010) Individuals and the variation needed for high species diversity in forest trees. *Science*, 327, 1129–1132. ISSN: 0036-8075, 1095-9203.
- Crawford, M., Jeltsch, F., May, F., Grimm, V. & Schlägel, U.E. (2019) Intraspecific trait variation increases species diversity in a traitbased grassland model. *Oikos*, 128, 441–455. ISSN: 0030-1299, 1600-0706.
- Des Roches, S., Post, D.M., Turley, N.E., Bailey, J.K., Hendry, A.P., Kinnison, M.T. et al. (2018) The ecological importance of intraspecific variation. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, 2, 57–64. ISSN: 2397-334X.
- Gelman, A. & Rubin, D.B. Inference from iterative simulation using multiple sequences. *Statistical Science*, 7, 2168–8745. ISSN: 0883-4237.
- Godwin, C.M., Chang, F.-H. & Cardinale, B.J. (2020) An empiricist's guide to modern coexistence theory for competitive communities. *Oikos*, 129, 1109–1127. ISSN: 0030-1299, 1600-0706.
- Gonze, D., Coyte, K.Z., Lahti, L. & Faust, K. (2018) Microbial communities as dynamical systems. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 44, 41–49. ISSN: 1369-5274, 1879-0364.
- Goodrich, B., Gabry, J., Ali, I. & Brilleman, S. (2020) rstanarm: Bayesian applied regression modeling via Stan.
- Gurevitch, J., Morrison, J.A. & Hedges, L.V. (2000). The interaction between competition and predation: a meta-analysis of field experiments. *American Naturalist*. 155, 435–453. ISSN: 0003-0147, 1537-5323.
- Hart, S.P., Schreiber, S.J. & Levine, J.M. (2016) How variation between individuals affects species coexistence. *Ecology Letters*, 19, 825–838. ISSN: 1461-023X, 1461-0248.
- Hausch, S., Vamosi, S.M. & Fox, J.W. (2018) Effects of intraspecific phenotypic variation on species coexistence. *Ecology*, 99, 1453– 1462. ISSN: 0012-9658.
- Holt, R.D. & Bonsall, M.B. (2017) Apparent competition. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 48, 447–471. ISSN: 1543-592X.
- Jasinska, W., Manhart, M., Lerner, J., Gauthier, L., Serohijos, A.W.R.
 & Bershtein, S. (2020) Chromosomal barcoding of *E. coli* populations reveals lineage diversity dynamics at high resolution. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, 4, 437–452. ISSN: 2397-334X.

- Kraft, N.J.B., Godoy, O. & Levine, J.M. (2015) Plant functional traits and the multidimensional nature of species coexistence. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 112, 797–802. ISSN: 0027-8424, 1091-6490.
- Lichstein, J., Dushoff, J., Levin, S. & Pacala, S. (2007) Intraspecific variation and species coexistence. *The American Naturalist*, 170, 807–818. ISSN: 0003-0147, 1537-5323.
- Lindelov, J.K. (2020) Mcp: an R package for regression with multiple change points. OSF Preprints. https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/ fzqxv
- McLaren, M.R., Willis, A.D. & Callahan, B.J. (2019) Consistent and correctable bias in metagenomic sequencing experiments. *Elife*, 8, ISSN: 2050–084X.
- Minard, G., Tikhonov, G., Ovaskainen, O. & Saastamoinen, M. (2019). The microbiome of the Melitaea cinxia butterfly shows marked variation but is only little explained by the traits of the butterfly or its host plant. *Environmental Microbiology*. 21, 4253– 4269. ISSN: 1462-2912, 1462-2920.
- Montagnes, D.J.S., Barbosa, A.B., Boenigk, J., Davidson, K., Jürgens, K., Macek, M. et al. (2008) Selective feeding behaviour of key free-living protists: avenues for continued study. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, 53, 83–98. ISSN: 0948-3055, 1616-1564.
- Noto, A.E. & Hughes, A.R. (2020) Genotypic diversity weakens competition within, but not between, plant species. *Journal of Ecology*, 108, 2212–2220. ISSN: 0022-0477, 1365-2745.
- O'Toole, G.A. & Kolter, R. (1998). Initiation of biofilm formation in Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS365 proceeds via multiple, convergent signalling pathways: a genetic analysis. *Molecular Microbiology*. 28, 449–461. ISSN: 0950-382X.
- Ovaskainen, O. & Abrego, N. (2020) Joint species distribution modelling: with applications in R ISBN: 9781108492461. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ovaskainen, O., Tikhonov, G., Norberg, A., Guillaume Blanchet, F., Duan, L., Dunson, D. et al. (2017) How to make more out of community data? A conceptual framework and its implementation as models and software. *Ecology Letters*, 20, 561–576. ISSN: 1461-023X, 1461-0248.
- Post, D.M., Palkovacs, E.P., Schielke, E.G. & Dodson, S.I. (2008) Intraspecific variation in a predator affects community structure and cascading trophic interactions. *Ecology*, 89, 2019–2032. ISSN: 0012-9658.
- R Core Team. (2021) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. https://www.R-project.org/
- Rudolf, V.H.W. & Rasmussen, N.L. (2013) Ontogenetic functional diversity: size structure of a keystone predator drives functioning of a complex ecosystem. *Ecology*, 94, 1046–1056. ISSN: 0012-9658.
- Ruel, J.J. & Ayres, M.P. (1999) Jensen's inequality predicts effects of environmental variation. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 14, 361–366. ISSN: 0169-5347, 1872-8383.
- Scheuerl, T., Cairns, J., Becks, L. & Hiltunen, T. (2019) Predator coevolution and prey trait variability determine species coexistence. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 286, 1471–2954. ISSN: 0962-8452.
- Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T. et al. (2012) Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods*, 9, 676–682. ISSN: 1548-7091, 1548-7105.
- Siefert, A., Violle, C., Chalmandrier, L., Albert, C.H., Taudiere, A., Fajardo, A. et al. (2015) A global meta-analysis of the relative extent of intraspecific trait variation in plant communities. *Ecology Letters.* 18, 1406–1419. ISSN: 1461-023X, 1461-0248.
- Sprouffske, K. & Wagner, A. (2016) Growthcurver: an R package for obtaining interpretable metrics from microbial growth curves. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 17, 1471–2105.
- Stiernagle, T. (2006) Maintenance of C. elegans. In WormBook (ed. The C. elegans Research Community). https://doi.org/10.1895/ wormbook.1.101.1

- Thakur, M.P. & Geisen, S. (2019) Trophic regulations of the soil microbiome. *Trends in Microbiology*, 27, 771–780. ISSN: 0966-842X, 1878-4380.
- Turcotte, M.M. & Levine, J.M. (2016) Phenotypic plasticity and species coexistence. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 31, 803–813. ISSN: 0169-5347, 1872-8383.
- Uriarte, M. & Menge, D. (2018) Variation between individuals fosters regional species coexistence. *Ecology Letters*, 21, 1496–1504. ISSN: 1461-023X, 1461-0248.
- Violle, C., Enquist, B.J., McGill, B.J., Brian, J., Jiang, L., Albert, C.H. et al. (2012) The return of the variance: intraspecific variability in community ecology. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 27, 244– 252. ISSN: 0169-5347, 1872-8383.
- Weimann, A., Mooren, K., Frank, J., Pope, P.B., Bremges, A. & McHardy, A.C. (2016) from genomes to phenotypes: traitar, the microbial trait analyzer. *mSystems*, 1(6), 2379–5077. ISSN: 2379-5077.
- Willis, A.D. & Martin, B.D. (2020) Estimating diversity in networked ecological communities. *Biostatistics*, 1468–4357.

Wood, S.N. (2017) Generalized additive models: an introduction with R.

Zhou, J. & Ning, D. (2017). Stochastic community assembly: does it matter in microbial ecology? *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*. 81. ISSN: 1092-2172, 1098-5557.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

How to cite this article: Hogle, S.L., Hepolehto, I., Ruokolainen, L., Cairns, J. & Hiltunen, T. (2021) Effects of phenotypic variation on consumer coexistence and prey community structure. *Ecology Letters*, 00, 1–13. Available from: <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1111/ele.13924