1	Limits to fitness benefits of prolonged post-reproductive lifespan in
2	women
3	
4	
5	Simon N. Chapman, Jenni E. Pettay, Virpi Lummaa*, Mirkka Lahdenperä
6	
7	
8	Department of Biology, University of Turku, Turku, 20014, Finland
9	
10	*corresponding author and lead contact: virpi.lummaa@utu.fi
11	

12 Summary

Recent advances in medicine and life expectancy gains have fuelled multidisciplinary research into the 13 14 limits of human lifespan [1–3]. Ultimately, how long humans can live for may depend on selection favouring 15 extended longevity in our evolutionary past [4]. Human females have an unusually extended post-16 reproductive lifespan, which has been explained by the fitness benefits provided from helping to raise grandchildren following menopause [5,6]. However, formal tests of whether such grandmothering benefits 17 18 wane with grandmother age and explain the observed length of post-reproductive lifespan are missing. This 19 is critical for understanding prevailing selection pressures on longevity, but to date has been overlooked as 20 a possible mechanism driving the evolution of lifespan. Here, we use extensive data from pre-industrial 21 humans to show that fitness gains from grandmothering are dependent on grandmother age, affecting selection on the length of post-reproductive lifespan. We find both opportunities and ability to help 22 23 grandchildren declined with age, whilst the hazard of death of women increased greatly in their late 60s 24 and 70s compared to menopausal ages, together implying waning selection on subsequent longevity. The 25 presence of maternal grandmothers aged 50-75 increased grandchild survival after weaning, confirming the 26 fitness advantage of post-reproductive lifespan. However, co-residence with paternal grandmothers aged 27 75+ was detrimental to grandchild survival, with those grandmothers close to death and presumably in 28 poorer health particularly associated with lower grandchild survival. The age limitations of gaining inclusive 29 fitness from grandmothering suggests that grandmothering can select for post-reproductive longevity only 30 up to a certain point.

31 Keywords: altruism, competition, conflict, cooperation, grandmother hypothesis, inclusive fitness,

32 intergenerational effects, kin selection, mortality

34 **Results and Discussion**

35 Extended post-reproductive lifespan is a rare trait, known to occur in only a limited number of wild 36 mammals [7], and its evolution is still a major puzzle [8]. Post-reproductive individuals can no longer 37 increase their direct fitness, but helping kin raise offspring offers another route to higher lifetime fitness, 38 and is well-documented in humans [9]. The 'Grandmother Hypothesis' relies on such indirect fitness 39 explanations, and predicts that post-reproductive life is the outcome of the adaptive benefits gained by 40 investing in the reproductive efforts of offspring (i.e. caring for grandoffspring) [6]. Though such helping benefits are likely insufficient alone to explain the evolution of reproductive cessation in the first place 41 42 [10,11], they may still have selected for the length of post-reproductive life [5,6]. Theory predicts that the 43 opportunities to provision grandchildren should decline after certain age, when fewer close relatives 44 continue to be born, thus leading to reduced selection for continued survival. Previous studies have found 45 grandmother effects on grandchild survival to differ between maternal and paternal grandmothers [9,12] 46 and by grandchild age [12,13], thus showing that help can vary contextually. However, grandmother age 47 has not yet been explicitly investigated as a potential mediator of helping effects on grandchild survival, 48 despite the importance of age-specific grandmother help to the evolution of longevity. Here, we use long-49 term life-history data from pre-industrial Finnish church registers to first quantify at which age the 50 availability of grandchildren in need of grandmother care declines, and how this compares against 51 acceleration in the mortality rate of grandmothers. We then explore whether grandchild survival is 52 associated with a) the presence of grandmothers of different ages, and b) with differing remaining lifespan 53 (proxy of health, implemented as time until grandmother death), and the possible consequences for 54 selection on longevity and extended post-reproductive lifespan.

55 The pre-industrial Finnish population was subject to large fluctuations in rates of mortality and fertility, and 56 sensitive to harsh climatic conditions [14], famines caused by poor crop yields [15] and basic farming 57 techniques [16], and outbreaks of disease [17]. Child mortality was high [5,18], with nearly a third of the 58 population dying before age of 5 and almost half by age 15, often from infectious diseases. During our 59 study period (1731-1895), grandchildren mostly died from respiratory diseases (particularly tuberculosis), 60 smallpox, measles, severe diarrhoea, accidents, or 'other diseases', a broad category of mostly unidentified 61 infectious diseases. Life expectancy in adulthood was over 60 years [14], and for the women that did 62 survive to adulthood and managed to reproduce at least once, more than half survived until the age of 50 to become 'post-reproductive', producing an average of 5.5 ± 3.1 children. Church records provide detail 63 64 not only on survival and reproduction, but also socio-economic status, sex, and dispersal, allowing us to 65 control for such factors in analyses, and to score the presence of grandmothers, making this population 66 ideal for studying the age-specific effects of grandmothering. Throughout the study period, a grandmother 67 and grandchild would live at the same time for an average of between 5-10 years [19]. The population was

68 predominantly patrilocal [20,21], with the eldest son typically inheriting the farm, and dispersal rates were 69 generally low, such that most adult siblings lived nearby [22], and therefore both maternal and paternal 70 grandmothers would often be close to their grandchildren but typically only paternal grandmothers were 71 co-resident [23].

72 Age-specific availability of grandchildren in need of help, and acceleration in mortality

First, we show that the availability of grandchildren towards whom ageing women can direct their care starts to rise from a woman's 40s onwards, reaches its peak whilst they are in their early 60s, and then rapidly declines so that by age 75, the majority of grandchildren are already born (Figure 1). The contribution of each grandchild to fitness is half that of the birth of a child, and great-grandchildren contribute still half of that, indicating that the opportunities to improve fitness by extending longevity to help kin wane off from 70s onwards in this population, simply due to declines in the availability of grandchildren in need of help.

80 We therefore next investigated age-specific changes in the hazard of death of women, where an 81 acceleration in mortality indicates when senescence rates are increasing across the population and the 82 force of selection on lifespan declines [24]. For grandmothers, the most common causes of death listed in 83 the parish registers were old age, weakness, stroke, and tuberculosis. We find an acceleration in mortality 84 in our population starting when women were in their 60s (Figure 1), before the mean age at last grandchild 85 birth (maternal: 66.2 ± 9.8 ; paternal: 69.1 ± 10.7). By 70, hazard of death was over 3 times greater than at 86 50, and by 80 it was over 6 times larger. This adult mortality pattern in pre-industrial Finland is similar 87 across non-industrial societies [4], indicating a relatively low influence of environmental conditions on age-88 specific mortality, in turn suggesting that it is chiefly the influence of modern medicine that has allowed 89 post-reproductive lifespan to increase beyond past limitations.

90 Age-specific grandmother effects

91 Given the apparent reduction in the opportunity to provide care to grandchildren with age, we determined 92 whether grandmother presence at different grandmother and grandchild ages has a different impact on 93 grandchild survival, and whether women gain fitness benefits from improving their grandchildren's survival 94 throughout their lifespan or not. Though grandmother effects can also manifest through improved fertility 95 [5,6], their quantification according to (grand)mother age is difficult, because (grand)mother age and the 96 daughter's own reproductive senescence are highly correlated. We therefore limited our approach to 97 measuring grandmother age effects on grandchild survival only. We quantified whether grandmother 98 presence increased grandchild survival as predicted by the Grandmother Hypothesis. Grandfathers were 99 not investigated here, as their presence or absence did not affect offspring lifetime reproductive success in 100 Finland [25]. Whilst men have nearly similarly long lifespans, this is not considered to be due to

'grandfather effects' [25]; male longevity is either under different selective pressures than female longevity,
or, because human lifespans are sex-biased towards women [14], it may be an unselected consequence of
the evolution of female longevity [25].

104 To assess the impact of grandmother presence across their post-reproductive lifespan on grandchild 105 survival, we implemented time-event binomial generalised linear mixed-effects models (GLMMs) on a pre-106 industrial population of Finns (n = 5815 grandchildren), which allowed us to include variables that can 107 change through time, such as which grandmothers were alive and present in a given year of the child's life 108 and what age that grandmother was. One limitation of this approach is that it supposes benefits occur for 109 each child, whereas a grandmother has an increasing cumulative number of grandchildren with age, and 110 may strategically invest depending on where she is most required. To account for this, we control for the number of living cousins and siblings that a grandmother could invest in each year. 111

112 As grandmothers aged, their presence had decreasing importance for grandchild survival, with the 113 presence of an older grandmother not as beneficial as that of younger grandmothers. The diminishing 114 effect on grandchild survival started after 70 years (Figure 2A, Data S1). This analysis assesses how 115 grandmothers of a particular age differ from those of other ages, but, critically, lacks a baseline point of 116 comparison (i.e. no grandmother; see STAR Methods). Therefore, we divided grandmothers into three age 117 categories to investigate in more detail how they might differ by their age, and to compare the effects of their presence to a situation without grandmothers (already deceased): under 50 years of age, as 118 119 grandmothers under this age can still be physiologically capable of reproduction by themselves, 50-75, and 120 75 plus. The age limit of 75 years for 'older' grandmothers was chosen because previous evidence shows 121 that in other non-industrial populations, women become net consumers between 70 and 80 [26,27], and 122 may no longer provide calories for grandchildren during their 70s [28]. All models controlled for important 123 confounders (see STAR Methods).

124 Grandmother help may be most critical during high-risk periods such as weaning age [5]. In line with this, 125 we found that, although the presence of paternal grandmothers of any age was not significantly associated 126 with grandchild survival for grandchildren aged 2-5 (β = 0.028 ± 0.099, p = 0.780; Figure 2B; Data S1), the 127 presence of maternal grandmothers aged 50-75 was associated with increased grandchild survival at ages 128 2-5 (binomial GLMM estimate for maternal grandmother only compared to no living grandmother: β = 129 0.258 ± 0.098, p=0.009; odds-ratios [OR] = 1.295 [1.068, 1.569]; Figure 2C). Thus, a grandchild with a living 130 maternal grandmother aged 50-75 had a 29.5% higher chance of surviving from 2 to 5 than a grandchild 131 whose grandmother was deceased. This result confirms that prolonged longevity of women, even beyond 132 menopause, can be favoured by natural selection through post-reproductive indirect fitness gains, at least 133 until age 75.

134 Strikingly, we find that once women reached their mid-70s, their presence was correlated with reduced 135 grandchild survival in their families. Our results show that the presence of paternal, but not maternal, 136 grandmothers over 75 years of age was significantly detrimental to infant grandchild survival from their 137 birth to age 2 (time-event binomial GLMMs for survival of grandchildren): old paternal grandmothers were significantly worse than dead grandmothers ($\beta = -0.463 \pm 0.209$, p = 0.027, OR = 0.629 [0.418, 0.948]), 138 139 whilst old maternal grandmothers did not have a significant effect in either direction (β = -0.377 ± 0.250, p 140 = 0.131, OR = 0.686 [0.420, 1.119]) (Figure 2D and E; Data S1). In other words, a grandchild with a living 141 paternal grandmother aged 75+ had a 37.1% lower probability of surviving from birth to age 2 than a 142 grandchild with a deceased paternal grandmother.

143 Our finding indicates that, at the population level, the negative effect of old grandmother presence on 144 infant survival would result in balancing selection on the length of post-reproductive lifespan; grandmother 145 effects could select for some increase in post-reproductive lifespan, but against unlimited rises. The 146 negative effects of old paternal grandmothers may be a consequence of a number of factors working in 147 concert. For example, the negative effect of elderly grandmothers on early-childhood survival could result 148 from stresses the co-resident grandmother imposes on mother during pregnancy [12]. Another possibility is 149 that age-related health declines of the grandmother may lead to a reduced ability to care and an increased 150 need of assistance from their families, intensifying resource competition [29] that was common in the population [15,30], particularly with the co-resident paternal grandmother [11]. It is noteworthy that the 151 152 effect is only significant with older paternal grandmothers, and is confined to infant grandchildren, not all 153 ages of (possibly) co-resident grandchildren.

154 Beneficial effects absent close to grandmother death

155 Human lifespan is known to have increased, and continues to increase, with social and medical advances 156 [2]. As grandmother health affects the direction of intergenerational transfers in contemporary society [31], 157 it is highly likely that upwards transfers of resources (e.g. time, energy) would also have been required in the past for the deteriorating elderly. We therefore also investigated directly how the number of years until 158 159 grandmother death affected the survival of grandchildren using time-event GLMMs, again with time-160 varying covariates to allow grandmother status to change. In the absence of health records, this can act as a 161 proxy for the general health of grandmothers: healthy women are unlikely to die in the following year. We 162 set time to death as 1, 2, or 3+ years, as women more than a couple of years from death in a pre-healthcare 163 era would likely have been most able to invest in taking care of their grandchildren (see also STAR 164 Methods). To test whether the period of potential ill-health prior to death increases as grandmothers age, we also ran an additional interaction between continuous time to death and grandmother age on 165 166 grandchild survival (see STAR Methods for details and caveats). The interactions were not significant

167 (maternal grandmothers β = -0.003 ± 0.003, p = 0.256; paternal grandmothers β = 0.002 ± 0.003, p = 0.510), 168 indicating that the effect of time to death on grandchild survival does not differ by age.

169 Our analyses reveal that infant grandchild survival was significantly compromised by the presence of a 170 paternal grandmother within a year of grandmother death (β = -0.463 ± 0.210, p = 0.028; OR 0.628 [0.416, 171 0.949]; Figure 3A), but not by a maternal grandmother within a year of her death (Figure 3B). Survival of 172 toddlers (ages 2-5) was not compromised by whether the maternal or paternal grandmother was soon to 173 die (Figure 3C and D; Data S2). However, if the maternal, but not the paternal, grandmother was three or 174 more years away from death, her presence was significantly beneficial (β = 0.220 ± 0.098, *p* = 0.024; OR 175 1.246 [1.029, 1.508]; Figure 3D). Taken together, these results show that grandmother health is of great 176 importance for grandchild outcomes: the presence of those closest to death had either a detrimental effect 177 (when co-resident) or no benefit for grandchild survival, whilst only maternal grandmothers a number of 178 years from death (and therefore likely to be healthier) had a positive influence. As only paternal 179 grandmothers were detrimental when of ill health, there may be some competition between grandchildren 180 and co-resident grandmothers for parental resources. Child mortality when the grandmother was nearing 181 death was rarely due to contracting an infectious disease from the grandmother: in this sample, only seven 182 grandchildren dying either in the years preceding or the year of a grandmother's death died of the same 183 cause as their grandmother.

184 Conclusions

185 We find support that post-reproductive longevity of women is under positive selection through the fitness 186 benefits that grandmothers accrue by helping to improve grandchild survival. Importantly, we also find that these beneficial effects of grandmothers on their grandchildren wane off with increasing age and/or 187 188 declining health of the grandmother. We must note, however, that we cannot disentangle whether age per se or time to death is more important, and it is highly likely that the results we see for each are influenced 189 190 by the other. Grandmother mortality is drastically increased once opportunities to help grandchildren and 191 ability to do so decline. These finding are intriguing, given that to date very few genes with highly specific-192 age effects beyond development are known, and the evolution of ageing trajectories are therefore 193 commonly thought to be determined by lifelong processes [32]. Our results call for further research by 194 showing that positive effects from the presence of grandmothers favours the evolution of post-195 reproductive lifespan, but the detrimental effect of older and/or weaker paternal grandmothers suggests 196 that selection may also limit the evolution of further increases in lifespan. As this limit to lifespan is 197 consistent across many environmentally distinct pre-industrialised human populations, it may be that the 198 advent of modern medicine to combat age-related health declines has overcome the natural limit to post-199 reproductive lifespans.

- 200 Our work also adds further support to the idea that, besides helping effects, kin can also act as major
- 201 competitors. However, much of this work has focused on competition between pre-reproductive siblings
- 202 [29,33], or on reproductive conflict within [30,34] or between generations [10,11]. Instead, here we find
- 203 possible indications of indirect intergenerational resource competition between non-reproductive
- 204 individuals, opening avenues for further research into types of conflict that have received little
- 205 consideration in an evolutionary biological context.

206 Author Contributions

- 207 SNC, JEP, ML, and VL conceptualised the paper. SNC analysed the data and drafted the manuscript. All
- 208 authors were involved in interpretation of results and significantly revised the manuscript.

209 Acknowledgements

- 210 We thank the genealogists involved in collecting the data used in this study (especially Kimmo Pokkinen),
- which was funded by the Academy of Finland (grant 292368; VL, JEP, and SNC) and the Kone Foundation
- 212 (ML). We are particularly grateful to Adam Hayward, Robin Cristofari, Rob Griffin, and two anonymous
- reviewers for constructive feedback, and also to Vérane Berger, Emily Lynch, and Robert Lynch for their
- helpful comments.

215 **Declaration of Interests**

216 The authors declare no competing interests.

217 **References**

- Carnes, B.A., Olshansky, S.J., and Hayflick, L. (2013). Can human biology allow most of us to become
 centenarians? J. Gerontol. *68*, 136–142.
- 220 2. Oeppen, J., and Vaupel, J.W. (2002). Broken limits to life expectancy. Science *296*, 1029–1031.
- Burger, O., Baudisch, A., and Vaupel, J.W. (2012). Human mortality improvement in evolutionary
 context. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *109*, 18210–18214.
- Gurven, M., and Kaplan, H. (2007). Longevity among hunter-gatherers: A cross-cultural
 examination. Popul. Dev. Rev. *33*, 321–365.
- 225 5. Lahdenperä, M., Lummaa, V., Helle, S., Tremblay, M., and Russell, A.F. (2004). Fitness benefits of 226 prolonged post-reproductive lifespan in women. Nature *428*, 3–7.
- Hawkes, K., O'Connell, J.F., Blurton Jones, N.G., Alvarez, H., and Charnov, E.L. (1998).
 Grandmothering, menopause, and the evolution of human life histories. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *95*, 1336–
 1339.

Ellis, S., Franks, D.W., Nattrass, S., Currie, T.E., Cant, M.A., Giles, D., Balcomb, K.C., and Croft, D.P.
 (2018). Analyses of ovarian activity reveal repeated evolution of post- reproductive lifespans in toothed
 whales. Sci. Rep. *8*, 12833.

Croft, D.P., Brent, L.J.N., Franks, D.W., and Cant, M.A. (2015). The evolution of prolonged life after
 reproduction. Trends Ecol. Evol. *30*, 407–416.

Sear, R., and Coall, D. (2011). How much does family matter? Cooperative breeding and the
demographic transition. Popul. Dev. Rev. *37*, 81–112.

237 10. Cant, M.A., and Johnstone, R.A. (2008). Reproductive conflict and the separation of reproductive
238 generations in humans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *105*, 5332–5336.

Lahdenperä, M., Gillespie, D.O.S., Lummaa, V., and Russell, A.F. (2012). Severe intergenerational
reproductive conflict and the evolution of menopause. Ecol. Lett. *15*, 1283–1290.

12. Beise, J., and Voland, E. (2002). A multilevel event history analysis of the effects of grandmothers
on child mortality in a historical German population (Krummhörn, Ostfriesland, 1720-1874). Demogr. Res.
7, 469–497.

Beise, J. (2005). The helping and the helpful grandmother: the role of maternal and paternal
grandmothers in child-mortality in the seventeenth- and eighteenth-century population of French settlers
in Quebec, Canada. In Grandmotherhood: The evolutionary significance of the second half of female life, E.
Voland, A. Chasiostis, and W. Schiefenhövel, eds. (New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press), pp. 215–238.

Griffin, R.M., Hayward, A.D., Bolund, E., Maklakov, A.A., and Lummaa, V. (2018). Sex differences in
adult mortality rate mediated by early-life environmental conditions. Ecol. Lett. *21*, 235–242.

Hayward, A.D., Holopainen, J., Pettay, J.E., and Lummaa, V. (2012). Food and fitness: Associations
between crop yields and life-history traits in a longitudinally monitored pre-industrial human population.
Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 279, 4165–4173.

Holopainen, J., and Helama, S. (2009). Little ice age farming in Finland: Preindustrial agriculture on
the edge of the Grim reaper's scythe. Hum. Ecol. *37*, 213–225.

17. Hayward, A.D., Rigby, F.L., and Lummaa, V. (2016). Early-life disease exposure and associations with
adult survival, cause of death, and reproductive success in preindustrial humans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *113*,
8951–8956.

18. Chapman, S.N., Pettay, J.E., Lummaa, V., and Lahdenperä, M. (2018). Limited support for the Xlinked grandmother hypothesis in pre-industrial Finland. Biol. Lett. *14*, 20170651.

Chapman, S.N., Lahdenperä, M., Pettay, J.E., and Lummaa, V. (2017). Changes in Length of
 Grandparenthood in Finland 1790 – 1959. Finnish Yearb. Popul. Res. *52*, 3–13.

262 20. Moring, B. (1993). Household and family in Finnish coastal societies 1635-1895. J. Fam. Hist. *18*,
263 395–413.

264 21. Moring, B. (1999). Land, labor, and love: Household arrangements in nineteenth century eastern
265 Finland - Cultural heritage or socio-economic structure? Hist. Fam. 4, 159–184.

266 22. Nitsch, A., Lummaa, V., and Faurie, C. (2016). Sibship effects on dispersal behaviour in a pre-267 industrial human population. J. Evol. Biol. *29*, 1986–1998. 268 23. Chapman, S.N., Pettay, J.E., Lahdenperä, M., and Lummaa, V. (2018). Grandmotherhood across the 269 demographic transition. PLoS One *13*, e0200963.

24. Maklakov, A.A., and Immler, S. (2016). The expensive germline and the evolution of ageing. Curr.
Biol. *26*, R577–R586.

272 25. Lahdenperä, M., Russell, A.F., and Lummaa, V. (2007). Selection for long lifespan in men: benefits of
273 grandfathering? Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 274, 2437–44.

274 26. Kaplan, H., Hill, K., Lancaster, J., and Hurtado, M. (2000). A theory of human life history evolution:
275 diet, intelligence, and longevity. Evol. Anthropol. *9*, 156–185.

27. Hill, K., and Hurtado, A.M. (2009). Cooperative breeding in South American hunter-gatherers. Proc.
277 R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. *276*, 3863–3870.

278 28. Hooper, P.L., Gurven, M., Winking, J., and Kaplan, H.S. (2015). Inclusive fitness and differential
279 productivity across the life course determine intergenerational transfers in a small-scale human society.
280 Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 282, 20142808.

281 29. Strassmann, B.I. (2011). Cooperation and competition in a cliff-dwelling people. Proc. Natl. Acad.
282 Sci. 108, 10894–10901.

283 30. Pettay, J.E., Lahdenperä, M., Rotkirch, A., and Lummaa, V. (2016). Costly reproductive competition
284 between co-resident females in humans. Behav. Ecol. 26, 1601–1608.

285 31. Margolis, R., and Wright, L. (2017). Healthy grandparenthood: how long is it, and how has it 286 changed? Demography *54*, 2073–2099.

287 32. Cohen, A.A. (2004) Female post-reproductive lifespan: A general mammalian trait. Biol. Rev. *79*,
288 733–750.

33. Nitsch, A., Faurie, C., and Lummaa, V. (2013). Are elder siblings helpers or competitors?
Antagonistic fitness effects of sibling interactions in humans. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 280, 20122313.

34. Pettay, J.E., Lahdenperä, M., Rotkirch, A., and Lummaa, V. (2018). Effects of female reproductive
competition on birth rate and reproductive scheduling in a historical human population. Behav. Ecol. *29*,
333–341.

Liu, J., Rotkirch, A., and Lummaa, V. (2012). Maternal risk of breeding failure remained low
throughout the demographic transitions in fertility and age at first reproduction in Finland. PLoS One 7,
e34898.

Scranton, K., Lummaa, V., and Stearns, S.C. (2016). The importance of the timescale of the fitness
metric for estimates of selection on phenotypic traits during a period of demographic change. Ecol. Lett. *19*,
854–861.

300 37. R Core Team (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Available at:
 301 http://www.r-project.org/.

302 38. S original by Kenneth Hess, and R port by R Gentleman (2014). muhaz: Hazard function estimation
 303 in survival analysis. Available at: https://cran.r-project.org/package=muhaz.

304 39. Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., and Walker, S. (2015). Ime4: Linear mixed-effects models using
 305 Eigen and S4. Available at: https://cran.r-project.org/package=Ime4.

40. Lahdenperä, M., Russell, A.F., Tremblay, M., and Lummaa, V. (2011). Selection on menopause in
 two premodern human populations: No evidence for the mother hypothesis. Evolution *65*, 476–489.

308

309 Figure Legends

310 Figure 1. Acceleration in mortality in later life and age-specific birth rates. The black line represents hazard of death 311 for women, whilst the blue regions indicate the age-specific birth rates of mothers (lighter blue) and grandmothers 312 (via their grandchildren; darker blue). Symbols denote key milestones in grandmotherhood for maternal (black 313 symbols) and paternal (open symbols) grandmothers: circles show the mean age at becoming a grandmother, and 314 diamonds indicate mean age at the birth of the last grandchild. The grey square denotes the oldest grandmother alive 315 at the birth of a grandchild. Vertical dashed lines leading from the key milestones show when they occur in regards to 316 fitness gains and hazard of death. The vertical line at age 75 shows the age at which grandmothers are considered 317 'old' in this study and no longer benefit survival of grandchildren.

318 Figure 2. Age-mediation of grandmother effects. (A) Survival for grandchildren aged 0-2 is lower with older 319 grandmothers. Survival probabilities come from the continuous GLMM models, where black = maternal grandmother, 320 and red = paternal grandmother. Dashed lines show non-parametric 95% confidence intervals. Note that the maternal 321 and paternal lines cannot be compared, as there is no baseline point of comparison for these lines (see STAR 322 Methods). Lines for 2-5 not shown (see Data S1). B-E show boxplots of model-predicted values for grandchild survival 323 probability, obtained from binomial time-event GLMMs for different grandmother age classes at different grandchild 324 ages. p-values are shown where there were significant differences between grandmother ages, and numbers below 325 each box indicate the number of observation years. (B) There were no paternal grandmother effects on survival of 326 grandchildren age 2-5. (C) Maternal grandmothers aged 50-75 were associated with a significant increase in the 327 survival of grandchildren aged 2-5. (D) The presence of old (75+ years old) paternal grandmothers were detrimental to 328 the survival of grandchildren aged 0-2. (E) For grandchildren aged 0-2, old maternal grandmothers were not 329 significantly worse than no grandmother. See also Data S1.

330 Figure 3. Beneficial effects absent close to grandmother death. Boxplots of binomial time-event GLMM-predicted 331 values for grandchild survival probability at different grandchild ages by number of years until focal grandmother 332 death. p-values are shown where there were significant differences between grandmother times until death, and 333 numbers below each box indicate the number of observation years. (A) Paternal grandmothers within a year of death 334 were significantly detrimental to infant grandchildren. (B) Time until maternal grandmother death did not affect 335 survival probability of grandchildren age 0-2. (C) Time to death of paternal grandmothers had no effect on survival 336 probability of children aged 2-5. (D) Only maternal grandmothers three or more years from death were beneficial to 337 grandchildren aged 2-5. See also Data S2.

339 STAR Methods

340 **Contact for Reagent and Resource Sharing**

- 341 Further information and requests for resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead
- 342 Contact, Virpi Lummaa (virpi.lummaa@utu.fi). This information is also available in the Key Resources table.

343 Experimental Model and Subject Details

344 Study population

We investigated grandmother effects on grandchild survival using an extensive pre-industrial demographic dataset collected from parish population registers (see e.g. [5]) for lineages originating in eight parishes in four regions of Finland (Hiittinen, Kustavi and Rymättylä in Southwest Finland, Ikaalinen and Tyrvää in Pirkanmaa, Pulkkila in Northern Ostrobothnia, and Rautu and Jaakkima in Karelia) from 1731-1895. These registers were kept by the Lutheran Church, and detailed births, deaths, marriages, children, and occupations, allowing the acquisition of full life-histories of individuals and their descendants. From 1749, these records covered nearly the entire population of Finland.

352 Data selection

For this study, we included individuals born between 1731 and 1890 with the status of both grandmothers 353 354 known (n = 5815 children; 1034 maternal grandmothers and 1003 paternal grandmothers). Our study 355 period largely pre-dates the industrialisation of Finland and the accompanying medical advances, higher 356 standards of living, and birth control, which increased survival and reduced birth rate [35]. Despite some 357 children in our sample being born after the onset of industrialisation, the biggest changes in childhood mortality rates occurred in the 20th century [36]. As precise housing information is unavailable, 358 359 grandmother distance to a grandchild was done at the parish level by comparing the last known parish of a 360 grandmother to the birth parish of a grandchild. All grandmothers that were coded as "alive" (i.e. present) 361 lived in the same parish at the same time as the grandchild; individuals with one or both grandmothers 362 alive but in a different parish were not included in the sample, as they cannot be treated as either present 363 or dead.

364 Quantification and Statistical Analysis

All analyses were conducted with R 3.5.1 [37], and statistical significance was defined at the level of α =

366 0.05. Boxplots were created from model-predicted values using the *predict()* function.

367 Hazard of death and age-specific birth rates

368 First, to determine the age-specific hazard of death for all women who died or were last recorded in the 369 registers before 1895 (n = 16583), we obtained Kaplan-Meier hazard estimates using the *kphaz.fit* function 370 from the package muhaz 1.2.6 [38], which accounts for censoring (n = 3433 individuals). To assess whether 371 hazard of death differed between women who had reproduced at least once (n = 5425) and those who 372 never reproduced (n = 11158), we repeated this procedure. Following this, we then calculated the mean 373 age at first and last birth of maternal and paternal grandchildren for grandmothers included in this study. 374 This was calculated for all grandmothers, regardless of whether they were alive or dead at the birth of their 375 first or last grandchild, and also for those grandchildren born during the lifetime of their grandmothers. 376 We quantified age-specific birth rates for women for the births of their own offspring, and for births of 377 their grandchildren (including posthumous births), to see where selection on longevity from

378 grandmothering may begin to wane. Only women aged 15 or older (the youngest age at birth) with a

known date of death and who died before 1895 were included for this analysis (n = 5541). We calculated

the birth rates for each age by adding all the births for mothers age_x, then dividing this by the number of

381 women of age_x (including those with 0 children in that year), and then repeating this process for

grandmothers and their grandchildren. As this approach incorporated posthumous births, we calculated
births of children/grandchildren for every year of life from 0 to over 100, and therefore the number of
women of age_x always equalled the sample size.

385 Grandmother age

386 We then analysed the annual survival of grandchildren by the presence of grandmothers of different ages. 387 This was done for two age categories of child: 0-2 (early infancy when the child is breast-fed; maternal n =388 5815, 11073 observation years [where each row in the data is one observation year]; paternal n = 5811, 389 11066 observation years), 2-5 (as a toddler; maternal n = 4823, 13811 observation years; paternal n = 4821, 390 13804 observation years). We selected grandchildren up to the age of 5, as this is when grandmother 391 effects have previously been observed in this population [5,18], and as the majority of childhood mortality 392 occurred before 5. There were separate models for maternal and paternal grandmothers in the grandchild 393 age categories investigated (8 models: 4 continuous and 4 categorical), as it would not be possible to 394 investigate age effects of both grandmothers at the same time whilst also accounting for their living status 395 (i.e. issues with complete separation). However, the presence of the other grandmother was controlled for 396 in all analyses (as dead vs. alive).

We implemented time-event analyses with generalised linear mixed models (GLMMs) and the logit link function using *glmer* from the R package *lme4* 1.1-12 [39], with grandchild survival status each year set as the response variable (binomial: 1, alive; 0, dead). Individuals lacking a recorded date of death were censored at their last date known to be alive, as were those with either grandmother disappearing from the 401 records before they themselves died or reached age 2/5 (depending on the model). We removed

402 observation years (but not individuals) in which the mother and child were both censored (indicative of a

403 family level event), or if an individual died within a week of their mother's death (indicative of disease or

404 high dependency, and therefore not preventable by grandmother intervention). As the number of removals
405 due to the latter were very low in this population (n = 4; all mothers had died during childbirth), this will not

406 have affected the results.

407 We constructed initial models with grandchild age (linear; time-varying continuous), maternal survival 408 status (time-varying 3-level factor: alive, dead, censored), maternal age (continuous; linear and quadratic), 409 childhood social class (2-level factor: landed, landless), whether the child was a twin, sex of the child, birth 410 order (continuous), region of Finland (4-level factor: Southwest Finland, Pirkanmaa, Northern Ostrobothnia, 411 Karelia), number of living siblings and cousins under the age of 5 (time-varying continuous; to control for 412 within-family competition [33]), other lineage grandmother presence (time-varying 2-level factor: alive, 413 dead), and grandmother age as fixed effects. Father survival status was not included, as father death has 414 been found not to affect offspring risk of death [40]. Grandmother age, our main explanatory variable, was 415 a continuous (linear and quadratic) variable. We centred continuous grandmother age on 50 by subtracting 416 50 from each value, to make coefficients more interpretable in these models. However, for all maternal (n_{0-} 417 $_{2}$ = 3502, n_{2-5} = 2725) and paternal grandmother models (n_{0-2} = 3166, n_{2-5} = 2394), we excluded all 418 observations that were 'focal grandmother was dead', as we could not include age as continuous whilst 419 also keeping this baseline point of comparison: to be able to conclude that grandmother effects are 420 present, it is vital that a situation with no grandmothers present is in the same model. Therefore, the 421 outputs of these continuous models (Data S1) should be viewed with caution, and the lines showing 422 survival probabilities with maternal and paternal grandmothers (given in Figure 2A) cannot, and should not, 423 be compared. Individuals with mother survival status as censored were excluded to improve model fit, due 424 to exceptionally low sample sizes at this level of the factor (between 3 and 10 individuals in each subset).

Random terms included mother identity (ID) nested in maternal grandmother ID, to account for variation between groups of siblings (from mother ID) and cousins (from grandmother ID), and birth cohort (16-level factor, with ten year bins e.g. 1731-1740 etc.), to account for uneven spread of data and differential social and environmental conditions across the study period. For the paternal grandmother models, mother ID nested in maternal grandmother ID was replaced as a random effect by father ID nested in paternal grandmother ID.

Each fixed term (with the exceptions of grandmother age, grandchild age, and other lineage grandmother
presence) was removed with the function *drop1*, with their values for the Akaike information criterion (AIC)
then compared to the AIC of the full model. Terms were only retained if AIC increased by >2 upon removal.

434 Following this procedure, the following terms were omitted from models: for both age 0-2 models,

- 435 maternal age (linear and quadratic), childhood social class, number of living siblings and cousins under age
- 436 5, and birth order, and region of Finland; for both age 2-5 models, maternal age (linear and quadratic),
- 437 childhood social class, number of living siblings and cousins under age 5, sex, birth order, region of Finland,
- 438 and twin status. Reference levels in all models were as follows: region (Southwest Finland), other
- 439 grandmother status (dead), mother status (alive). In Figure 2A, non-parametric 95% confidence intervals
- 440 were calculated by bootstrapping model-predicted values of the full sample 100,000 times.
- 441 We additionally ran these models with grandmother age as a time-varying 4-level factor, as this allows
- 442 assessment of grandmother effects via comparison to the situation if the grandmother is dead (i.e. a
- 443 control category). The categories were 'dead', '<50', '50-75', and '75+', with intervals inclusive of the left
- border and exclusive of the right border. Sample sizes were as follows, with number of observation years in
- 445 brackets: 0-2 paternal grandmothers n_{dead} = 2755 (5152), $n_{<50}$ = 292 (492), n_{50-75} = 2789 (5115), n_{75+} = 187
- 446 (307); 2-5 paternal grandmothers n_{dead} = 2585 (7168), $n_{<50}$ = 131 (284), n_{50-75} = 2177 (5830), n_{75+} = 229 (522);
- 447 0-2 maternal grandmothers n_{dead} = 2418 (4480), $n_{<50}$ = 505 (874), n_{50-75} = 2993 (5527), n_{75+} = 121 (192); 2-5
- 448 maternal grandmothers n_{dead} = 2308 (6282), $n_{<50}$ = 266 (603), n_{50-75} = 2458 (6576), n_{75+} = 173 (350). The
- 449 reference level for grandmother age was 'dead'.
- The same terms as in the above models were initially included. Following the AIC procedure, the terms omitted in the categorical models were also omitted in these continuous models, with the exception of region of Finland, which was retained in both 0-2 models. Additionally, we conducted a sensitivity analysis by running the models again, but with all terms included. These models did not differ in their conclusions, demonstrating the result was not affected by our model selection procedure.
- 455 Grandmother time to death
- 456 Finally, we investigated whether the health of a grandmother, measured as number of years until the 457 grandmother's death, affected survival of grandchildren, using binomial time-event GLMMs with survival as 458 the response variable. These models were run on the same age categories of grandchild as before (0-2 and 459 2-5), again for paternal and maternal grandmothers separately (maternal 0-2 n = 5694, 10844 observation 460 years; paternal 0-2 n = 5693, 10846 observation years; maternal 2-5 n = 4786, 13702 observation years; 461 paternal 2-5 n = 4789, 13711 observation years). The subsets used in the previous analyses were used again, but with observation years removed if the grandmother was censored within two years of the 462 463 current year. In this way, we knew that grandmothers were definitely one or two years from death in a 464 given year.
- Grandmother age was replaced as the main explanatory variable by number of years until grandmother
 death. This was a time-varying 4-level factor, with the categories 'dead', '1 year', '2 years', '3 years and

467 above', as grandmothers within a couple of years of death may be of deteriorating health and could 468 compete with grandchildren for parental care. There is, however, the potential for periods of ill health to 469 last longer with age and to lead to differences in child survival, so we also ran an interaction between 470 continuous grandmother age and continuous time to death across the 0-5 age range, with grandchild 471 survival as the response variable. These interactions were non-significant, so we did not modify the 4-level 472 time to death factor on the basis of grandmother age. No dead grandmothers were included in this 473 interaction, however, as neither interacting variable should have a value for a deceased grandmother. 474 Furthermore, the observations used in the analysis were only those who had 10 or fewer years until 475 grandmother death, as there is unlikely to be a linear effect across the entirety of a grandmother's age 476 range. Running these interactions with the cut off at 5 years also returns non-significant interactions.

The numbers of grandchildren for each level of the years to grandmother death factor were as follows, with number of observation years in brackets: 0-2 paternal grandmothers n_{dead} = 2818 (5285), n_1 = 235 (235), n_2 =

479 210 (210), n_{3+} = 2740 (5116); 2-5 paternal grandmothers n_{dead} = 2687 (7404), n_1 = 288 (288), n_2 = 307 (307),

480 $n_{3+} = 2083 (5712); 0-2 \text{ maternal grandmothers } n_{dead} = 2487 (4618), n_1 = 242 (242), n_2 = 257 (257), n_{3+} = 2487 (257), n_2 = 257 (257), n_3 = 257 (257), n_3$

481 3057 (5727); 2-5 maternal grandmothers n_{dead} = 2417 (6579), n_1 = 314 (314), n_2 = 298 (298), n_{3+} = 2359

(6511). Other fixed effects were the same as above: grandchild age, maternal age at birth and survival
status, twin status, region of Finland, grandchild sex, number of living siblings and cousins under age 5,

birth order, other grandmother lineage, and childhood social class. Random effects were also as outlined
above. Though grandmother age could also theoretically be of some importance regarding time to death,
we did not include this term: grandmother age in these models would be uninterpretable due to our study

487 design including dead grandmothers to act as a reference point, and their age is not time-varying.

488 We followed the same model reduction procedure as before (AIC approach). The following terms were 489 omitted: for both age 0-2 models, number of living siblings and cousins under age 5, birth order, childhood 490 social class, and maternal age (linear and quadratic); for both age 2-5 models, twin, number of living 491 siblings and cousins under age 5, birth order, childhood social class, sex, maternal age (linear and 492 quadratic), and region of Finland. Reference levels were grandmother time to death (dead), region 493 (Southwest Finland), other grandmother status (dead), mother status (alive), sex (male). We again checked 494 the sensitivity of our results to the AIC procedure by running the models again with all terms included, but 495 this did not alter our conclusions.

We also initially categorised those grandmothers who were in the '2 years' category together with those in
the '1 year' category if they were known to have died from slow/debilitating afflictions (listed as 'cancer',
'tuberculosis', 'weakness', or variations thereof in the death registers), as these individuals may have

- 499 required more care. However, this was only in a couple of hundred cases in total, and did not affect the
- results. The results presented in this paper are from models which did not take cause of death into account.

501 Data and Software Availability

- 502 Data and R code can be found as supplementary files. See Key Resources table for details.
- 503 Data S1. Generalised linear mixed-effects models of grandchild survival between ages 0-2 and 2-5 years for
- 504 grandmother ages and lineages. GM = grandmother, MGM=maternal grandmother, PGM=paternal grandmother.
- 505 Reference levels: GM age (dead); Region (Archipelago); PGM/MGM status (dead); Mother status (alive). Related to
- 506 Figure 2.
- 507 Data S2. Generalised linear mixed-effects models of grandchild survival between ages 0-2 and 2-5 years for
- 508 grandmother lineages by number of years to grandmother death. GMD = grandmother time to death,
- 509 MGM=maternal grandmother, PGM=paternal grandmother. Reference levels: GMD (dead); Region (Archipelago);
- 510 PGM/MGM status (dead); Mother status (alive); Sex (male). Related to Figure 3.
- 511 Data S3. Hazard of death and birth data. Related to STAR Methods.
- 512 Data S4. Time-event data for grandmother age models. Related to STAR Methods.
- 513 Data S5. Time-event data for time-to-death models. Related to STAR Methods.
- 514 Data S6. Annotated R code used for all analyses. Related to STAR Methods.