

#### Thermal-hydraulic experiments in support of the Helium Cooled Pebble Bed Blanket design within the EU-DEMO project







#### HELOKA (Helium Loop Karlsruhe) Technology Hub

Three helium-cooled loops:

- HELOKA-HP: 4-9.2MPa, 70-550°C, 1.3kg/s (HCPB-TBM)
- **KATHELO**: 4-10MPa, 70-650°C, 250g/s
- **HEMAT**: 0.1-0.6MPa, 20-650°C, 15g/s
- High-heat flux testing rig: HELOKA-HHF
  - EB-gun 800kW
  - 24.4m<sup>3</sup> vacuum chamber (3m diameter)
  - Capable of testing mock-ups connected to either HELOKA-HP or KATHELO
  - Low pressure, low temperature water cooling capabilities also available

**EURO***fusion* 







# **HCPB First Wall LOFA Experiment**



Scope: investigate the ability of the used thermal-hydraulic models (RELAP-3D) of simulating first wall fast transients

**Best-Estimate methodology** used for assimilating all available experimental data and computational uncertainty-afflicted results to provide best-estimate calibrated model parameters and responses together with their uncertainties









September 21, 2022



idersa 🛛

**EURO**fusion





### HCPB First Wall LOFA Experiment (cont.)







t = 25 s—Valve 100% open

÷

September 21, 2022



## **ODS-FW and Functional Graded FW-Coating**



- Oxide Dispersed Steel Mock-up
  - 2.5mm ODS layer
  - Scope: High heat flux & High temperature behavior

Heat on/off



#### Functional Graded Mock-up

- 1.4mm Tungsten-Eurofer graded protection layer
- **Scope**: FG-layer stability under specific cyclic loading

Helium Mass Flow Rate	170 g/s
Helium inlet temperature	300 °C
Helium pressure	8 MPa
Maximum heat flux	700 kW/m <sup>2</sup>
Substrate temperature limit	<520 °C
Heating on/off time	150 s/150 s
Number of cycles	1000



_*** <u>*</u> _
. <u>₹</u> . <u>₹</u> .
****

Cycles	He	Inlet I	Su	rface		Time		Est. I	Heat flu
100	300 °C		5	50 °C	12	120 s/120 s		700	kW/m <sup>2</sup>
100	300 °C		6	0° 00	12	20 s/12	0 s	800	$kW/m^2$
100	350 °C		6	50 °C	12	120 s/120 s		900	$kW/m^2$
7	350 °C		6	50 °C	2	2 h /5 min		900	$kW/m^2$
Temperature (°C)	364	00 13:00	14:00	15:00	16:00	-in	T-out		

Time (HH:mm)



#### Ghidersa et al, Energies, 2021, 14, 7580. https://doi.org/10.3390/en14227580

September 21, 2022





### **ODS Mock-up results**



B.-E. Ghidersa

2022

**EURO**fusion



Challenging experiment due to limited knowledge of the material (ODS) and the impact of the manufacturing steps

#### CFD and stress analysis using ANSYS

- ANSYS-CFX simulations indicate a uniform flow distribution (+4% for CH1 and -3.5% for CH3)
- Stress analysis:
  - Heat load applied on a 110x90 mm<sup>2</sup> surface to avoid high stresses near the welding seams between manifolds and plate (P91xE97xODS)
  - At high heat fluxes (900kW/m<sup>2</sup>) the stresses exceed locally (ODS region) the E97 allowable values (120% from RCC-MRx limits)

Experimental campaign concluded without any visible geometrical or mechanical damages of the mock-up

Rieth et al, Appl. Sci. **2021**, 11, 11653. https://doi.org/10.3390/app112411653 Ghidersa et al, Energies, **2021**, 14, 7580. https://doi.org/10.3390/en14227580



### FG Mock-up



ANSYS-CFX simulations:

- Careful tuning of the surface heating profile required
- Relatively good agreement with experimental data

Emmerich et al, Nucl. Fusion 2020, 60, 126004.

Ghidersa et al, Energies, 2021, 14, 7580. https://doi.org/10.3390/en14227580

September 21, 2022



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.



arlsruhe Institute of Technology

- Back-up unit tested due to complications during the manufacturing of the mock-up:
  - Smaller coated area (275x65 as compared to 275x115mm<sup>2</sup>)
  - Only one thermocouple monitoring the substrate temperature (mid distance between inlet and outlet, 2mm below steel surface)
- IR-camera readings of the W-coating temperature show overestimated values during loading:
  - temperatures corrected using a dedicated correlation (separate experiment)
  - No apparent damages or changes of the coating after the test campaign



### HCPB Breeder zone experiment – Pin Mockup



#### G. Zhou, poster # 477 in poster session 3



#### A. Abousena: poster **# 416** in poster session 3

**Scope:** HTC for the cooling gap between BZ and multiplyer and HTC improvement (surface roughness and turbulence promoters)



Foust A.S., Christian G.A., American Institute of Chemical Engineers, 36, p. 541-554, (1940).
McAdams W.H., Heat Transmissions 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., p. 241-244, (1954).
Davis E.S., Transactions of ASME, p. 755-760, (1943).
Wiegand J.H. et al, American Institute of Chemical Engineers, 41, p. 147-153, (1945).

September 21, 2022







## **Future plans: Prototypical FW Experiment**

- 2021-2023: on-going demonstration of relevant manufacturing procedure of FW including V-shaped heat transfer enhancement ribs
  - First Wall Prototypical Mockup Unit (FW-PMU) with 6 cooling channels and representative manifold (FW to BU)
- **2023-2024**: FW-PMU testing campaign in HELOKA:
  - Evaluation/Demonstration of high heat flux operational capabilities at DEMO relevant conditions
  - Investigate the flow distribution in the breeding zone

 2024-2025: thermal-hydraulic investigations of a FW mock-up in support of the ITER-TBM (EU-HCPB) using a modified FWMU (LOFA-Experiment)

September 21, 2022





