

NUMERICAL EVALUATION OF CAUCHY PRINCIPAL VALUE INTEGRALS BY MEANS OF NODAL SPLINE APPROXIMATION

CATTERINA DAGNINO, ELISABETTA SANTI

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we investigate the convergence properties of some quadrature rules for evaluating Cauchy principal value (CPV) integrals

$$(1) \quad J(kf; \lambda) = \int_{-1}^1 k(x) \frac{f(x)}{x - \lambda} dx, \quad -1 < \lambda < 1.$$

The quadrature rules considered here are based on optimal nodal interpolatory splines (o.n.s), studied by De Villiers and Rohwer [5-8].

More recently, Rabinowitz [13] has investigated convergence properties of product integration rules based on o.n.s. These splines have many of the desirable properties of quasi-interpolatory splines studied in [9] and used for constructing integration rules in [1], [2] and [14]. However, o.n.s have the advantage of being interpolatory, but they present a certain complexity in their definition.

After the necessary definitions and properties of o.n.s have been given, we consider the following approach for approximating (1) by quadrature rules.

By subtracting the singularity from (1), and assuming that  $J(k; \lambda)$  exists for all  $\lambda \in (-1, 1)$ , we can write the CPV integral in the form

$$(2) \quad J(kf; \lambda) = \int_{-1}^1 k(x) g_\lambda(x) dx + f(\lambda) J(k; \lambda) = I(kg_\lambda) + f(\lambda) J(k; \lambda),$$

where

$$(3) \quad g_\lambda(x) = g(x; \lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(x) - f(\lambda)}{x - \lambda} & x \neq \lambda \\ f'(\lambda) & x = \lambda \text{ and } f'(\lambda) \text{ exists} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and  $k$  is an arbitrary weight function subject to certain conditions ensuring that (1) exists for some classes of functions  $f$ , for all  $\lambda \in (-1, 1)$ .

If we approximate  $I(kg_\lambda)$  in (2) by the rules

$$(4) \quad I(kg_\lambda) \cong I(kW_n g_\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^n v_{in}(k) g_\lambda(\xi_{in})$$

defined in [13], we can write

$$(5) \quad J(kf; \lambda) = J_n(kf; \lambda) + E_n(kf; \lambda),$$

where

$$(6) \quad J_n(kf; \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^n v_{in}(k) g_\lambda(\xi_{in}) + f(\lambda) J(k; \lambda).$$

We observe, from (2) and (5), the quadrature error  $E_n(kf; \lambda)$  is the truncation error of the rules (4) are applied, i.e.,

$$E_n(kf; \lambda) = I(kg_\lambda) - I(kW_n g_\lambda).$$

Therefore, the rules (6) are convergent if, and only if the corresponding rules (4) converge. In Section 2, we shall introduce the o.n.s. using the notation of [13] and report on some convergence results for product integration of piecewise-continuous and unbounded integrand functions.

Making use of these results, in Section 3, we shall investigate the convergence of rules (6) whenever  $f \in H_\mu(\mathfrak{I})$ ,  $\mathfrak{I} \equiv [-1, 1]$ ,  $0 < \mu \leq 1$ <sup>(1)</sup> and their uniform convergence if  $f \in C^1(\mathfrak{I})$ .

## 2. OPTIMAL NODAL INTERPOLATING SPLINES

We shall now give the necessary definitions and properties of nodal splines which appear in [13].

Let  $m$  be an integer  $\geq 3$ , the order of the spline, and let

$\prod_n$ ,  $n = m, m+1, \dots$ , be a sequence of partitions in  $\mathfrak{I}$ , where

$$(7) \quad \prod_n; \xi_{0n} = -1 < \xi_{1n} < \dots < \xi_{mn} = 1.$$

<sup>1</sup>  $H_\mu(S) = \{g \in C(S) : |g(x_1) - g(x_2)| \leq M|x_1 - x_2|^\mu, \forall x_1, x_2 \in S\}$ , where  $M$  is a positive constant, and  $0 < \mu \leq 1$ .

Assuming that the sequence is locally uniform (l.u), i.e.,

$$(8) \quad \frac{\xi_{i+1,n} - \xi_{in}}{\xi_{j+1,n} - \xi_{jn}} \leq A \quad \text{for all } i, n \text{ and all } j = \pm 1,$$

where  $A$  is some constant  $\geq 1$ , we suppose that the norm

$$(9) \quad \Delta_n = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\xi_{i+1,n} - \xi_{in}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We introduce now two integers  $\rho = \left[ \frac{m+1}{2} \right]$  and  $\mu = (m+1) - \rho$ , and two integer functions

$$p_j = \begin{cases} 0 & j=0, \dots, \mu-2 \\ j-\mu+1 & j=\mu-1, \dots, n-\rho \\ n-m+1 & j=n-\rho+1, \dots, n-1 \end{cases}, \quad q_j = \begin{cases} m-1 & j=0, \dots, \mu-2 \\ j+\rho & j=\mu-1, \dots, n-\rho \\ n & j=n-\rho+1, \dots, n-1. \end{cases}$$

Then, for any real-valued function  $f$  on  $\mathfrak{I}$ , ( $f \in B(\mathfrak{I})$ ), we can define the approximating function

$$(10) \quad W_n f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{q_j} f(\xi_{in}) w_{in}(x) \quad x \in [\xi_{jn}, \xi_{j+1,n}], \quad j=0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where the functions  $w_{in}$  are given by

$$(11) \quad w_{in}(x) = \begin{cases} \prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq i}}^{m-1} \frac{x - \xi_{kn}}{\xi_{in} - \xi_{kn}}, & x \in [-1, \xi_{\mu-1,n}], \quad 0 \leq i < m \\ s_{in}(x), & x \in [\xi_{\mu-1,n}, \xi_{n-\rho+1,n}] \\ \prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq n-i}}^{m-1} \frac{x - \xi_{n-k,n}}{\xi_{in} - \xi_{n-k,n}}, & x \in [\xi_{n-\rho+1,n}, 1] \quad n-m < i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

The functions  $s_{in}$  belong to the set of nodal splines studied in [5]. Each  $s_{in}$  has the compact support  $[\xi_{i-\rho,n}, \xi_{i+\mu,n}]$  and is nodal with respect to  $\prod_n$ , i.e.,

$s_{in}(\xi_{jn}) = \delta_{ij}$  so that  $W_n f$  interpolates to  $f$  at the points  $\xi_{in}$ . The functions  $s_{in}$  are linear combinations of B-splines of order  $m$ , and each B-spline has its  $m+1$  knots chosen from  $m+1$  consecutive points from the set of the points consisting of the  $\xi_{in}$  plus  $m-2$  distinct points arbitrarily placed in each of the open intervals  $(\xi_{jn}, \xi_{j+1,n})$  [5-7].

Therefore, the nodal spline approximation  $W_n : B(\mathfrak{I}) \rightarrow S_{\Pi_n}$  has the following properties:

- i.  $W_n$  is local in the sense that, for every  $f \in B(\mathfrak{I})$ , for a fixed  $x \in \mathfrak{I}$  and  $j$  such that  $x \in [\xi_{j,n}, \xi_{j+1,n}]$ , the value of  $W_n f(x)$  depends only on the values of  $f$  at most  $m+1$  neighbouring points of  $x$ ;
- ii.  $W_n f(\xi_{in}) = f(\xi_{in})$ ;
- iii.  $W_n g = g$  whenever  $g \in P_m$ , where  $P_m$  is the class of polynomials of order  $m$  (degree  $m-1$ ).

We remark that, in order to obtain the above properties, we give the defining formula for  $W_n f(x)$  in a slightly different way from that given in [6].

If (8) holds, then [7]

$$(12) \quad \|s_{in}\|_{\infty} < B_1, \quad \forall i, n$$

and, using (8), we have that for all  $i, k$  by (11), it follows that

$$(13) \quad \|w_{in}\|_{\infty} < B \quad \forall i, n.$$

De Villiers [7] proved the following

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $g \in C(\mathfrak{I})$ ; we define  $r_n^0 = g - W_n g$ . Then

$$(14) \quad \|r_n^0\|_{\infty} \leq C\omega(g; m\Delta_n),$$

where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $n$  and  $\omega$  is the usual modulus of continuity.

From this theorem, Rabinowitz immediately obtained [13] the following

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $g \in C(\mathfrak{I})$ , and  $k \in L_1(\mathfrak{I})$ . If  $\{\Pi_n\}$  is a sequence of partitions satisfying (8) and (9), then

$$(15) \quad I(kW_n g) \rightarrow I(kg) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$(16) \quad I(kg) = \int_{-1}^1 k(x) g(x) dx$$

and

$$(17) \quad I(kW_n g) = \sum_{i=0}^n v_{in}(k) g(\xi_{in}).$$

The following theorem is a generalization of the convergence result (15) for functions  $g \in PC(\mathfrak{I})$ , the set of piecewise continuous functions on  $\mathfrak{I}$  [13].

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $g \in PC(\mathfrak{I})$ , and  $k \in L_1(\mathfrak{I})$ . If  $\{\Pi_n\}$  is a sequence of partitions satisfying (8) and (9), then (15) holds.

We prove now that (15) holds for all  $g \in \mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{I})$ , the set of Riemann-integrable functions on  $\mathfrak{I}$ . For this purpose we need the following definition given in [4] and the lemma proved in [11].

Let  $D$  designate the union of a finite number of intervals (disjoint or not) located in  $\mathfrak{I}$  and let  $l(D)$  be the sum of the lengths of the individual intervals of  $D$ .

The notation  $\sum_D |v_{in}|$  will designate the sum taken over those  $v_{in}$  for which  $\xi_{in} \in D$ .

We define the set function  $\Delta(D)$  as

$$(18) \quad \Delta(D) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_D |v_{in}|.$$

The set function  $\Delta(D)$  is called *semicontinuous* if, for any sequence  $D_1 \supset D_2 \supset \dots$  with  $l(D) \rightarrow 0$ , for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(D_n) = 0, \quad \text{where } \Delta(D_n) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{D_n} |v_{in}(k)|.$$

**LEMMA 1.** If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(kW_n g) = I(kg)$  for all  $g \in C(\mathfrak{I})$ , then the quadrature rules converge to the integral, for all  $g \in \mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{I})$  if and only if  $\Delta(D)$  is semicontinuous.

**THEOREM 4.** Let  $g \in \mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{I})$  and  $k \in L_1(\mathfrak{I})$ . If  $\{\Pi_n\}$  is a sequence of partitions satisfying (8) and (9), then (15) holds.

*Proof.* We can write

$$(19) \quad I(kW_n g) = \sum_{i=0}^n v_{in}(k) g(\xi_{in}),$$

where

$$(20) \quad v_{in}(k) = \int_{-1}^1 k(x) w_{in}(x) dx.$$

We first consider the terms  $v_{in}(k)$  in (19) for  $m \leq i \leq n-m-1$ . Then [7]

$$(21) \quad |v_{in}(k)| \leq \int_{-1}^1 |k(x)| |s_{in}(x)| dx \leq$$

$$\leq \left[ \sum_{h=1}^p A^h \right]^{m-1} \int_{-1}^1 |k(x)| \sum_{j=-(m-1)\rho}^{(m-1)\mu-m} B_{(m-1)i+j}(x) dx \leq$$

$$\leq \left[ \sum_{h=1}^p A^h \right]^{m-1} (m-1)(\mu+\rho-1) |\omega_i|,$$

where  $\omega_i = \int_{-1}^1 |k(x)| B_i(x) dx$  are the weights of quadrature rules based on approximating splines considered by Rabinowitz [11]. Since these rules converge for Riemann-integrable functions, it follows that the set function

$$\Delta_1(D) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_D |\omega_i|$$

is semicontinuous. Hence, the set function

$$\Delta(D) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \sum_{m \leq i \leq n-m-1} |v_{in}(k)| \leq \left[ \sum_{h=1}^p A^h \right]^{m-1} (m-1)(\mu+p-1) \Delta_1(D)$$

is semicontinuous.

Consider now the sums

$$(22) \quad S_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} v_{in}(k) g(\xi_{in}) \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \sum_{i=n-m}^n v_{in}(k) g(\xi_{in}).$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ , from (8), (11), (13) and by the finite support of  $s_{in}$ , we have

$$(23) \quad |v_{in}(k)| < C \int_{-1}^{\xi_{in}} |k(x)| dx.$$

By (9) and the hypothesis on  $k(x)$ ,  $|v_{in}(k)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ . It follows that  $S_1 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly,  $S_2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Therefore, the sequence of rules

$$(24) \quad \hat{I}(kW_n g) = I(kW_n g) - S_1 - S_2$$

converges to  $I(kg)$  for all continuous functions since the sequence  $I(kW_n g)$  does, and  $S_1, S_2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By Lemma 1  $\{\hat{I}(kW_n g)\}$  and, consequently,  $\{I(kW_n g)\}$  converge to  $I(kg)$  for all  $g \in \mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{I})$  [11].

We now discuss the convergence of  $I(kW_n g)$  to  $I(kg)$  when  $g$  is unbounded in  $\mathfrak{I}$  but  $kg \in L_1(\mathfrak{I})$ . For this purpose, we start by defining, for  $-1 < \zeta < 1$ , the family of functions

$$(25) \quad M_d(\zeta, k) = \left\{ g \in C(\mathfrak{I} \setminus \zeta), \exists G: G \text{ is continuous nondecreasing in } [-1, \zeta), \right. \\ \left. \text{continuous nonincreasing in } (\zeta, 1]; kg \in L_1(\mathfrak{I}), |g| \leq G \text{ in } \mathfrak{I} \right\}.$$

For such functions we state the following lemma [10]:

LEMMA 2. Let  $-1 < \zeta < 1$ ,  $f \in M_d(\zeta; k)$  and assume that

$$(26) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_n g = I(kg)$$

for all  $g \in PC(\mathfrak{I})$ , where  $V_n g$  is any numerical integration rule of the form

$$(27) \quad V_n g = \sum_{i=0}^n u_{in} g(\xi_{in}) \quad \xi_{in} \in \mathfrak{I}.$$

Then a necessary and sufficient condition for having  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_n g = I(kg)$  is that, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon)$ ,  $\beta_1 \in (-1, \zeta)$ ,  $\beta_2 \in (\zeta, 1)$  such that

$$(28) \quad |V_n(\beta_1, \beta_2; f)| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n > n_0,$$

where

$$(29) \quad V_n(\beta_1, \beta_2; g) = \sum_{\substack{\beta_1 \leq \xi_{in} < \zeta \\ \zeta < \xi_{in} \leq \beta_2}} u_{in} g(\xi_{in}).$$

We shall apply this lemma for the case

$$(28) \quad V_n g = I(kW_n g) - v_{hn}(k) g(\xi_{hn}) - v_{pn}(k) g(\xi_{pn}) = \hat{I}(kW_n g),$$

where  $h$  is the greatest integer such that  $\xi_{hn} < \zeta$  and  $p$  is the smallest integer such that  $\xi_{pn} > \zeta$  so that in  $\hat{I}(kW_n g)$  we avoid the singularity.

We have the following convergence result:

THEOREM 5. Let  $-1 < \zeta < 1$  and  $g \in M_d(\zeta; k)$ . Suppose that  $k \in L_1(\mathfrak{I}) \cap \cap C(N_\delta(\zeta))$ , where  $N_\delta(\zeta)$  is the neighbourhood of the point  $\zeta$  thus defined

$$(31) \quad N_\delta(\zeta) = \{x | \zeta - \delta \leq x \leq \zeta + \delta, \delta > 0\},$$

and  $\delta$  is such that  $N_\delta(\zeta) \subset \mathfrak{I}$ . Then, if  $\{\Pi_n\}$  is a sequence of partitions satisfying (8) and (9),

$$(32) \quad \hat{I}(kW_n g) \rightarrow I(kg) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let  $\xi^*$  be the node closest to  $\zeta$  defined by

$$(33) \quad \xi^* = \begin{cases} \xi_{hn} & \text{if } \zeta - \xi_{hn} \leq \xi_{pn} - \zeta \\ \xi_{pn} & \text{if } \zeta - \xi_{hn} > \xi_{pn} - \zeta, \end{cases}$$

where  $\xi_{hn} (\xi_{pn})$  is the node closest to  $\zeta$  from the left (right), and suppose that

$$(34) \quad |\xi^* - \zeta| > C \max \{(\xi_{hn} - \xi_{h-1,n}), (\xi_{p+1,n} - \xi_{pn})\}$$

for some positive constant  $C$ ; then

$$(35) \quad I(kW_n g) \rightarrow I(kg) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\dot{I}(kW_n g)$  results from  $I(kW_n g)$  by dropping a finite number of terms, the convergence behaviour of both rules is the same for  $g \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{J})$ . Hence, in order to prove (32), we need only to show that (28) holds when  $V_n$  is  $\dot{I}(kW_n g)$ .

For this purpose, it is sufficient to prove that

$$(36) \quad \left| \sum_{\zeta < \xi_{pn} < \xi_{in} \leq \beta_2} v_{in}(k) g(\xi_{in}) \right| < \varepsilon, \quad \beta_2 \in (\zeta, \zeta + \delta],$$

since we can prove, in a similar way, that for  $\beta_1 \in [\zeta - \delta, \zeta)$ , the sum over the  $\xi_{in}$ , such that  $\beta_1 \leq \xi_{in} < \xi_{pn} < \zeta$ , is less than  $\varepsilon$ .

We have

$$(37) \quad \left| \sum_{\zeta < \xi_{pn} < \xi_{in} \leq \beta_2} v_{in}(k) g(\xi_{in}) \right| \leq \left| \sum_{\zeta < \xi_{pn} \leq \xi_{in} \leq \xi_{p+p-1,n}} v_{in}(k) g(\xi_{in}) \right| + \left| \sum_{\xi_{p+p} < \xi_{in} \leq \beta_2} v_{in}(k) g(\xi_{in}) \right| = \sum_1 + \sum_2.$$

Suppose that  $n$  is such that  $p \geq m$ , and  $\xi_m$  is the greatest node  $\leq \beta_2$ . Then

$$(38) \quad \sum_2 \leq \sum_{i=p+p}^r \left| g(\xi_{in}) \int_{\xi_{i-pn}}^{\xi_{i+pn}} k(x) s_{in}(x) dx \right|.$$

Since  $k \in C(N_\delta(\zeta))$ ,  $|k(x)| \leq L$  in  $N_\delta(\zeta)$ , so that  $|k(x)g(x)| \leq LG$  in  $[\zeta - \delta, \zeta) \cup (\zeta, \zeta + \delta]$  with  $G$  defined in (25). Since  $G$  is nonincreasing in  $(\zeta, \zeta + \delta]$ ,

$$(39) \quad \sum_2 \leq C \sum_{i=p+p}^r \int_{\xi_{i-pn}}^{\xi_{i-p+1,n}} k(x) G(x) dx < C^* \int_{\zeta}^{\beta_2} LG(x) dx < \varepsilon$$

by choosing  $\beta_2$  sufficiently close to  $\zeta$ .

If  $\xi_{in} \in (\xi_{pn}, \xi_{p+p-1,n}]$ , then

$$|v_{in}(k) g(\xi_{in})| \leq C_1 \int_{\xi_{pn}}^{\xi_{p+1,n}} |k(x)| G(x) dx.$$

Since there are at most  $\rho$  values, we have that:

$$(40) \quad \sum_1 \leq \rho C_1 \int_{\zeta}^{\beta_2} LG(x) dx < \varepsilon$$

and the thesis (32) is verified.

In order to demonstrate (35), it remains to prove that  $v_{pn}(k)g(\xi_{pn}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . However, this follows from the fact that, by (34), the above term is bounded by  $C_2 \int_{\zeta}^{\xi_{pn}} LG(x) dx$  for some positive constant  $C_2$ . Since this quantity converges to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the theorem is therefore completely proved.  $\square$

*Remark.* Theorem 5 holds with a weaker hypothesis on  $k$ , but we have specified that  $k$  must be at least continuous in a neighbourhood of  $\zeta$  in order that CPV integrals exist.

### 3. ON THE CONVERGENCE OF RULES (6) BASED ON QUADRATURE (4)

In this section we investigate the convergence of sequences of rules (6) based on quadrature (4). We know that rules (6) converge to  $J(kf; \lambda)$  or diverge as rules (4) do, when they are applied to the integral

$$(41) \quad I(kg_\lambda) = \int_{-1}^1 k(x) g_\lambda(x) dx,$$

where the function  $g_\lambda$  has been defined in (3).

We can state the following theorems.

**THEOREM 6.** For any  $\lambda \in (-1, 1)$ , let  $f \in H_1(N_\delta(\lambda)) \cap \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{J})$  and  $k \in L_1(\mathfrak{J})$ . If  $\{\Pi_n\}$  is a sequence of partitions satisfying (8) and (9), then  $E_n(kf; \lambda) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* If  $f \in H_1(N_\delta(\lambda)) \cap \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{J})$ , then  $g_\lambda \in PC(\mathfrak{J})$ . Therefore we can apply Theorem 3 to prove the thesis.  $\square$

**THEOREM 7.** Let  $f \in H_\mu(\mathfrak{J})$ ,  $0 < \mu < 1$  and suppose that  $k \in L_1(\mathfrak{J}) \cap C(N_\delta(\lambda))$  and  $\{\Pi_n\}$  is a sequence of partitions satisfying (8), (9) and (34) with  $\zeta = \lambda$ . Then

$$(42) \quad E_n(kf; \lambda) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* If  $f \in H_\mu(\mathfrak{J})$ ,  $0 < \mu < 1$ , the function  $g_\lambda$  in (41) is not greater than  $M|x - \lambda|^{\mu-1}$  for some constant  $M$  independent of  $n$ . Therefore, by Theorem 4, where we consider  $\zeta = \lambda$ , it follows that  $I(kW_n g_\lambda) \rightarrow I(kg_\lambda)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

THEOREM 8. Let  $k \in L_1(\mathfrak{I})$  and  $f \in C^1(\mathfrak{I})$ , then  $E_n(kf; \lambda) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $\lambda$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, if  $f \in C^1(\mathfrak{I})$  and  $k \in L_1(\mathfrak{I}) \cap DT(-1, 1)$ ,<sup>2</sup> then rules (6) converge uniformly to the CPV integral  $J(kf; \lambda)$ .

*Proof.* If  $f \in C^1(\mathfrak{I})$ , then  $g_\lambda$  is uniformly continuous for all pairs  $(x, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{I} \times \mathfrak{I}$ . For any  $\lambda \in (-1, 1)$ , by Theorem 1, we have

$$(43) \quad |g_\lambda(x) - W_n g_\lambda(x)| \leq C\omega(g_\lambda; m\Delta_n).$$

By the uniform continuity of  $g_\lambda$  in  $\lambda$ ,  $\omega(g_\lambda; m\Delta_n)$  is independent of  $\lambda$ . Hence

$$(44) \quad |E_n(kf; \lambda)| \leq C\omega(g_\lambda; m\Delta_n) \int_{-1}^1 |k(x)| dx = o(1)$$

uniformly in  $\lambda$ . If  $k \in DT(-1, 1)$ , then  $I(kf; \lambda)$  exists for all  $\lambda \in (-1, 1)$ , which yields the uniform convergence of  $J_n(kf; \lambda)$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. C. Dagnino, V. Demichelis and E. Santi, *Numerical integration based on quasi-interpolating splines*, Computing **50** (1993), 146–163.
2. C. Dagnino, V. Demichelis and E. Santi, *Local spline approximation methods for singular product integration*, J. Appr. Theory and its Appl. **12** (1996), 37–51.
3. W. Dahmen, T. N. T. Goodman and C. A. Micchelli, *Compactly supported fundamental functions for spline interpolation*, Numer. Math. **52** (1988), 641–644.
4. P. J. Davis and P. Rabinowitz, *Methods of Numerical Integration*, Academic Press, New York, 1984.
5. J. M. De Villiers and C. H. Rohwer, *Optimal local spline interpolants*, J. Comp. Appl. Math. **18** (1987), 107–119.
6. J. M. De Villiers and C. H. Rohwer, *A nodal spline generalization of the Lagrange interpolant*. In: *Progress in Appr. Theory*, P. Nevai, A. Pinkus (Eds), Academic Press, San Diego (1991), 201–211.
7. J. M. De Villiers, *A convergence result in nodal spline interpolation*, J. Appr. Theory **74** (1993), 266–279.
8. J. M. De Villiers and C. H. Rohwer, *Sharp bounds for the Lebesgue constant in quadratic nodal spline interpolation*, Intern. Series of Num. Math. **115** (1994), 1–13.
9. A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F. G. Tricomi, *Tables of integral transforms*, Vol. II Mc Graw Hill, New York, 1954.

<sup>2</sup>  $DT(S) = \left\{ g \in C(S) : \int_0^{l(S)} \omega(g; t) t^{-1} dt < \infty \right\}$  on any interval of length  $l(S)$ .

10. T. Lyche and L. L. Schumaker, *Local spline approximation methods*, J. Appr. Theory **15** (1975), 294–325.
11. P. Rabinowitz and I. H. Sloan, *Product integration in the presence of a singularity*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **21** (1984), 144–166.
12. P. Rabinowitz, *On the convergence of closed interpolatory integration rule based on zeros of Gegenbauer polynomials*, J. Comp. Appl. Math. **17** (1987), 43–46.
13. P. Rabinowitz, *Numerical integration based on approximating spline*, J. Comp. Appl. Math. **33** (1990), 73–83.
14. P. Rabinowitz, *Product integration of singular integrands using optimal nodal spline*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino **51** (1993), 1–9.
15. E. Santi, *On the evaluation of Cauchy principal value integrals by rules based on quasi-interpolating splines*, J. Comp. Appl. Math. **71** (1996), 1–14.
16. L. L. Schumaker, *Spline Functions*, John Wiley and Sons, 1981.

Received September 15, 1997

Catterina Dagnino  
Dipartimento di Matematica,  
Università di Torino, Italy

Elisabetta Santi  
Università di Decce, Italy