REVIEW-BASED COLLABORATIVE RECOMMENDER SYSTEM USING DEEP LEARNING METHODS

AMINU DA'U

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

> School of Computing Faculty of Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > OCTOBER 2020.

DEDICATION

To my beloved family

ACKNOWLEDMENT

In the name of Allah, the beneficent the most merciful. All Praise be to Allah the most gracious the most exalted who in his infinite mercies sustain my life and made it possible right from the commencement to the final compilation of this Ph.D. thesis report. First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to my able and hardworking Supervisor, Prof. Dr. Naomie Salim, for her diligent and unrelenting supports given to me throughout my Ph.D. study. Of course, despite her tight schedules and numerous commitments, she managed to assist me immensely toward the timely completion of my study and the thesis report. May Allah (SWA) rewards her with the best of his bounties and grants her *Jannatul Firdaus*. Ameen.

I would also like to thank all my colleagues especially those at the KDOJ residential hostel, the Soft Computing Research Group (SCRG) Laboratory, the entire staff of the school of computing, and all the staff of UTM in general for their cordial relationship and assistance on various occasions towards the smoothness and successful completion of my study. May Allah reward them all with the best. I would also like to extend my profound appreciation to the OTM department, CAMS, and the management of the HUK Polytechnic Katsina for giving me this golden opportunity to fulfil and achieve my ultimate dream of the Ph.D. degree. More particularly my thanks also go to the Tetfund for allowing me to benefit by giving me the needed financial support during the entire period of my Ph.D. study.

Finally, and not the least, my special gratitude and salutations go to my entire family members and friends at home, especially my parents for their moral support, encouragement, and constant prayers throughout the journey of my study. More especially my regard also goes to my wife for her patience, perseverance, and diligence in managing and looking after my children without hesitation throughout my absence during my stay at UTM for the Ph.D. study. May Allah reward them all with the best of his bounties and grants us all *Jannat ul-Firdaus* as the final abode, Ameen.

ABSTRACT

Recommender systems have been widely adopted to assist users in purchasing and increasing sales. Collaborative filtering techniques have been identified to be the most popular methods used for the recommendation system. One major drawback of these approaches is the data sparsity problem, which generally leads to low performances of the recommender systems. Recent development has shown that user review texts can be exploited to tackle the issue of data sparsity thereby improving the accuracy of the recommender systems. However, the problem with existing methods for the review-based recommender system is the use of handcrafted features which makes the system less accurate. Thus, to address the above issue, this study proposed collaborative recommender system models that utilize user textual reviews based on deep learning methods for improving predictive performances of recommender systems. To extract the product aspects to mine users' opinion, an aspect extraction method was first developed using a Multi-Channel Convolutional Neural Network. An aspect-based recommender system was then designed by integrating the opinions of users based on the product aspects into the collaborative filtering method for the recommendation process. To further improve the predictive performance, the fine-grained user-item interaction based on the aspect-based collaborative method was studied and a sentiment-aware recommender system was also designed using a deep learning method. Extensive series of experiments were conducted on real-world datasets from the Semeval-014, Amazon, and Yelp reviews to evaluate the performances of the proposed models from both the aspect extraction and rating prediction. Experimental results showed that the proposed aspect extraction model performed better than compared methods such as rule-based and the neural network-based approaches, with average gains of 5.2%, 12.0%, and 7.5% in terms of Precision, Recall, and F1 score, respectively. Meanwhile, the proposed aspect-based collaborative methods demonstrated better performances compared to benchmark approaches such as topic modelling techniques with an average improvement of 6.5% and 8.0% in terms of the Root Means Squared Error (RMSE) and Mean Absolute Error (MAE), respectively. Statistical T-test was conducted and the results showed that all the performance improvements were significant at P<0.05. This result indicates the effectiveness of utilizing the multi-channel convolutional neural network for better extraction accuracy. The findings also demonstrate the advantage of utilizing user textual reviews and the deep learning methods for improving the predictive accuracy in recommendation systems.

ABSTRAK

Sistem pengesyoran telah diterima pakai secara meluas untuk membantu pengguna dalam pembelian dan peningkatan jualan. Teknik penapisan kolaboratif telah dikenal pasti sebagai kaedah yang paling dikenali yang digunakan untuk sistem cadangan. Salah satu kelemahan utama pendekatan ini adalah masalah ketahanan data, yang secara amnya menyebabkan prestasi rendah terhadap sistem pengesyoran. Perkembangan terkini menunjukkan bahawa teks ulasan pengguna boleh dieksploitasi untuk menangani isu ketahanandata seterusnya meningkatkan ketepatan sistem pengesyoran. Walau bagaimanapun, masalah dengan kaedah yang sedia ada untuk sistem pengesyoran berasaskan ulasan adalah penggunaan ciri-ciri kraftangan yang menjadikan sistem kurang tepat. Oleh itu, untuk menangani isu di atas, kajian ini mencadangkan model sistem pengesyoran kolaboratif yang menggunakan ulasan teks pengguna berdasarkan kaedah pembelajaran mendalam untuk meningkatkan prestasi ramalan sistem pengesyoran. Untuk mengekstrak aspek produk bagi mendapatkan pendapat pengguna, kaedah pengekstrakan aspek pertama kali dibangunkan menggunakan Rangkaian Neural Konvolusi Pelbagai Saluran. Sistem pengesyoran berdasarkan aspek kemudian dirancang dengan mengintegrasikan pendapat pengguna berdasarkan aspek produk ke dalam kaedah penapisan kolaboratif untuk proses cadangan. Untuk meningkatkan lagi prestasi ramalan, interaksi item pengguna yang halus berdasarkan kaedah kolaboratif berasaskan aspek telah dikaji dan sistem penyaran sedar sentimen juga dirancang menggunakan kaedah pembelajaran mendalam. Siri eksperimen yang meluas telah dijalankan ke atas data dunia nyata dari Semeval-014, Amazon, dan ulasan Yelp untuk menilai prestasi model yang dicadangkan dari aspekpengekstrakan dan ramalan penarafan. Keputusan eksperimen menunjukkan bahawa model pengekstrakan aspek yang dicadangkan menunjukkan prestasi yang lebih baik daripada kaedah perbandingan seperti pendekatan berasaskan peraturan dan rangkaian neural, dengan keuntungan purata masing-masing 5.2%, 12.0%, dan 7.5% dari segi Ketepatan, Ingat, dan skor F1. Sementara itu, kaedah kerjasama berasaskan aspek yang dicadangkan menunjukkan prestasi lebih baik berbanding pendekatan penanda aras seperti teknik pemodelan topik dengan peningkatan purata masing-masing 6.5% dan 8.0% dari segi Kesilapan Dataran Akar Bermakna (RMSE) dan Kesilapan Mutlak Min (MAE). Ujian-t statistik dijalankan dan keputusan menunjukkan bahawa semua peningkatan prestasi adalah signifikan pada P<0.05. Keputusan ini menunjukkan keberkesanan penggunaan rangkaian neural konvensional pelbagai saluran untuk ketepatan pengekstrakan yang lebih baik. Penemuan ini juga menunjukkan kelebihan menggunakan ulasan teks pengguna dan kaedah pembelajaran mendalam untuk meningkatkan ketepatan ramalan dalam sistem cadangan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

TITLE

| | DEC | 'LARA' | TION | | | | iii |
|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|----------------------|----------|------|
| | DED | ICATI | ON | | | | iv |
| | ACK | KNOLE | DMENT | | | | v |
| | ABS | TRAC | Г | | | | vi |
| | ABS | TRAK | | | | | vii |
| | TAB | LE OF | CONTE | ENTS | | | viii |
| | LIST | FOFT | ABLES | | | | ix |
| | LIST | r of fi | GURES | | | | X |
| | LIST | FOFA | BBREVI | ATIONS | | | xi |
| | LIST | OF A | PPENDI | XES | | | xii |
| | | | | | | | |
| CHAPTER | 1 | INTR | ODUCT | ION | | | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Introd | uction | | | | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Proble | em Backg | ground | | | 3 |
| | 1.3 | Proble | em Staten | nent | | | 9 |
| | 1.4 | Object | tives of tl | he Study | | | 9 |
| | 1.5 | The Se | cope of tl | he Study | | | 10 |
| | 1.6 | Signif | icance of | the Study | | | 11 |
| | 1.7 | Thesis | s Organiz | ation | | | 12 |
| СНАРТЕР | 2 | і іле. | D A TIIDI | F DEVIEV | V | | 15 |
| CHAI IEK | 2 2 1 | Introd | uction | | • | | 15 |
| | 2.1 | Overv | vious of th | a Daaamm | andor System | | 15 |
| | 2.2 | | Treditio | | ender System | | 10 |
| | | 2.2.1 | | | timender Systems Ap | proaches | 18 |
| | | | 2.2.1.1 | Collabora | tive Filtering Appro | acn | 18 |
| | | | 2.2.1.2 | Content-E | based Approach | q | 21 |
| | | | 2.2.1.3 | Hybrid | Recommender | System | 23 |
| | | | | Approach | | | |

| | | 2.2.2 | Challenges of the Recommender Systems | 25 |
|---------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | | 2.2.3 | Evaluation Methods of Recommender Systems | 28 |
| | 2.3 | Aspec | t Extraction for Opinion Mining | 29 |
| | 2.4 | Aspec | t-based Recommender System | 35 |
| | 2.5 | Deep | Learning-based Method | 39 |
| | | 2.5.1 | Deep Auto Encoders | 40 |
| | | 2.5.2 | Deep Boltzmann Machines (DBMs) | 42 |
| | | 2.5.3 | Restricted Boltzmann Machines (RBMs) | 42 |
| | | 2.5.4 | Deep Believe Networks (DBNs) | 43 |
| | | 2.5.5 | Convolutional Neural Network (CNNs) | 44 |
| | | 2.5.6 | Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) | 45 |
| | | 2.5.7 | Word Embedding Methods | 46 |
| | | 2.5.8 | Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging | 49 |
| | | 2.5.9 | Deep Learning-based Recommender System. | 51 |
| | 2.6 | Discus | ssion | 59 |
| | 2.7 | Summ | ary | 61 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| CHAPTER | 3 | RESE | CARCH METHODOLOGY | 63 |
| CHAPTER | 3 3.1 | RESE Introd | ARCH METHODOLOGY | 63 63 |
| CHAPTER | 3 3.1 3.2 | RESE Introd Resear | CARCH METHODOLOGY uction rch Design | 63 63 64 |
| CHAPTER | 3 3.1 3.2 3.3 | RESE Introd Resear Opera | CARCH METHODOLOGY uction rch Design tional Framework | 63 63 64 64 |
| CHAPTER | 3 3.1 3.2 3.3 | RESE Introd Resear Opera 3.3.1 | CARCH METHODOLOGY uction rch Design tional Framework Phase I: Preliminary Study | 63 63 64 64 66 |
| CHAPTER | 3 3.1 3.2 3.3 | RESE Introd Resear Opera 3.3.1 3.3.2 | CARCH METHODOLOGY uction rch Design tional Framework Phase I: Preliminary Study Phase II: Aspect Extraction from User Textual | 63 63 64 64 66 73 |
| CHAPTER | 3 3.1 3.2 3.3 | RESE Introd Resear Opera 3.3.1 3.3.2 | ARCH METHODOLOGY uction rch Design tional Framework Phase I: Preliminary Study Phase II: Aspect Extraction from User Textual Review Using Multichannel Convolutional | 63 63 64 64 66 73 |
| CHAPTER | 3 3.1 3.2 3.3 | RESE Introd Resear Opera 3.3.1 3.3.2 | ARCH METHODOLOGY uction rch Design tional Framework Phase I: Preliminary Study Phase II: Aspect Extraction from User Textual Review Using Multichannel Convolutional Neural Network | 63 63 64 64 66 73 |
| CHAPTER | 3 3.1 3.2 3.3 | RESE Introd Resear Opera 3.3.1 3.3.2 | ARCH METHODOLOGY uction rch Design tional Framework Phase I: Preliminary Study Phase II: Aspect Extraction from User Textual Review Using Multichannel Convolutional Neural Network Phase III: Recommendation System Exploiting | 63 63 64 64 66 73 74 |
| CHAPTER | 3 3.1 3.2 3.3 | RESE Introd Resear Opera 3.3.1 3.3.2 | ARCH METHODOLOGY uction rch Design tional Framework Phase I: Preliminary Study Phase II: Aspect Extraction from User Textual Review Using Multichannel Convolutional Neural Network Phase III: Recommendation System Exploiting Aspect based Opinion Mining | 63 63 64 64 66 73 74 |
| CHAPTER | 3 3.1 3.2 3.3 | RESE Introd Resear Opera 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.3 | ARCH METHODOLOGY uction rch Design tional Framework Phase I: Preliminary Study Phase II: Aspect Extraction from User Textual Review Using Multichannel Convolutional Neural Network Phase III: Recommendation System Exploiting Aspect based Opinion Mining Phase IV: Sentiment-Aware Deep Recommender | 63 63 64 64 66 73 74 76 |
| CHAPTER | 3 3.1 3.2 3.3 | RESE Introd Resear Opera 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.3 | ARCH METHODOLOGY uction rch Design tional Framework Phase I: Preliminary Study Phase II: Aspect Extraction from User Textual Review Using Multichannel Convolutional Neural Network Phase III: Recommendation System Exploiting Aspect based Opinion Mining Phase IV: Sentiment-Aware Deep Recommender System with Neural Attention Networks | 63 64 64 66 73 74 76 |
| CHAPTER | 3 3.1 3.2 3.3 | RESE Introd Resear Opera 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 | ARCH METHODOLOGY uction rch Design tional Framework Phase I: Preliminary Study Phase II: Aspect Extraction from User Textual Review Using Multichannel Convolutional Neural Network Phase III: Recommendation System Exploiting Aspect based Opinion Mining Phase IV: Sentiment-Aware Deep Recommender System with Neural Attention Networks Phase V: Report Writing | 63 63 64 64 66 73 74 76 77 |
| CHAPTER | 3 3.1 3.2 3.3 | RESE Introd Resear Opera 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 Exper | ARCH METHODOLOGY uction rch Design tonal Framework Phase I: Preliminary Study Phase II: Aspect Extraction from User Textual Review Using Multichannel Convolutional Neural Network Phase III: Recommendation System Exploiting Aspect based Opinion Mining Phase IV: Sentiment-Aware Deep Recommender System with Neural Attention Networks Phase V: Report Writing | 63 63 64 64 66 73 74 76 77 79 |
| CHAPTER | 3 3.1 3.2 3.3 | RESE Introd Resear Opera 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 Exper 3.4.1 | ARCH METHODOLOGY uction rch Design tional Framework Phase I: Preliminary Study Phase II: Aspect Extraction from User Textual Review Using Multichannel Convolutional Neural Network Phase III: Recommendation System Exploiting Aspect based Opinion Mining Phase IV: Sentiment-Aware Deep Recommender System with Neural Attention Networks Phase V: Report Writing imental Setup Evaluation Metrics | 63 63 64 64 66 73 74 76 77 79 79 79 |

| | | 3.4.3 | Hyper Parameter Settings | 85 |
|---------|-----|--------|--|-----|
| | | 3.4.4 | Validation Process | 86 |
| | | 3.4.5 | Grid Search for Parameter Selection | 87 |
| | | 3.4.6 | T-test for Performance Analysis | 87 |
| | 3.5 | Summ | ary | 88 |
| CHAPTER | 4 | ASPE | CT EXTRACTION FROM USER TEXTUAL | 89 |
| | | REVI | EW USING MULTICHANNEL | |
| | | CONV | VOLUTIONAL NEURAL NETWORK | |
| | 4.1 | Introd | uction | 89 |
| | 4.2 | Overv | iew of the Proposed Model | 90 |
| | | 4.2.1 | Traditional CNN Model | 90 |
| | | 4.2.2 | Proposed MCNN Model for Aspect Extraction | 92 |
| | | | 4.2.2.1 Input Layer | 94 |
| | | | 4.2.2.2 Convolutional Layer | 96 |
| | | | 4.2.2.3 Max Pooling Layer | 97 |
| | | | 4.2.2.4 Output Layer | 98 |
| | | 4.2.3 | Model Training | 99 |
| | 4.3 | Experi | mental Setup | 101 |
| | 4.4 | Result | s and Discussion | 104 |
| | | 4.4.1 | Performance of the Different Versions of the | 105 |
| | | | MCNN | |
| | | 4.4.2 | Comparison with Existing Methods | 109 |
| | | 4.4.3 | Model Sensitivity to the Embedding Dimension | 111 |
| | 4.5 | Discus | ssion | 116 |
| | 4.6 | Summ | ary | 117 |
| CHAPTER | 5 | RECO | OMMENDATION SYSTEM EXPLOITING | 119 |
| | | ASPE | CT BASED OPINION MINING | |
| | 5.1 | Introd | uction | 119 |
| | 5.2 | Overv | iew of the Model | 120 |
| | | 5.2.1 | Matrix Factorization | 121 |
| | | 5.2.2 | Proposed Model | 122 |

| | | 5.2.2.1 Aspect Summarization | 123 |
|---------|-----|---|-----|
| | | 5.2.2.2 Computing Aspect Rating Matrices | 126 |
| | | 5.2.2.3 Rating Inference | 127 |
| | | 5.3.3 Optimization | 129 |
| | 5.3 | Experimental Setup | 132 |
| | 5.4 | Results and Discussion | 135 |
| | | 5.4.1 Performance of Different Versions of the REAO | 136 |
| | | 5.4.2 Comparison with the Existing Methods | 140 |
| | | 5.4.3 Performance in the Condition of Sparsity | 142 |
| | | 5.4.4 Sensitivity of Parameter K | 146 |
| | | 5.4.5 Discussion | 148 |
| | 5.5 | Summary | 149 |
| CHAPTER | 6 | SENTIMENT-AWARE DEEP RECOMMENDER | 151 |
| | | SYSTEM WITH NEURAL ATTENTION | |
| | | NETWORK | |
| | 6.1 | Introduction | 151 |
| | 6.2 | Overview of the Proposed Model | 152 |
| | | 6.2.1 Sequence Encoder | 154 |
| | | 6.2.2 User/item Sentiment-Aware Representation | 156 |
| | | 6.2.3 Computing User/Item Importance | 158 |
| | | 6.2.4 Prediction Layer | 160 |
| | | 6.2.5 Model Optimization | 161 |
| | 6.3 | Experimental Setup | 162 |
| | 6.4 | Results and Discussion | 166 |
| | | 6.4.1 Performance of the SDRA Versions | 166 |
| | | 6.4.2 Comparison with the Exiting Methods | 170 |
| | | 6.4.3 Performance in Sparsity Condition | 174 |
| | | 6.4.4 Parameter Sensitivity | 176 |
| | | 6.4.5 Discussion | 179 |
| | 6.5 | Summary | 180 |
| CHAPTER | 7 | CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTION | 183 |
| | 7.1 | Introduction | 183 |

| 7.2 | Objective Revisited | 183 |
|-----|---------------------|-----|
| 7.3 | Contributions | 185 |
| 7.4 | Future Work | 186 |
| | | |
| | | |

| REFERENCES | 189 |
|------------|-----|
| | |

LIST OF TABLES

TITLE

TABLE NO.

PAGE

| Table 2.1 | Comparison of the evaluation metrics | 29 |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Table 2.2 | Notable aspect extraction approaches | 34 |
| Table 2.3 | Notable aspect-based Recommender System approaches | 38 |
| Table 2.4 | Popular pre-trained word embedding models | 49 |
| Table 2.5 | List of the POS tags in the Penn Treebank project | 50 |
| Table 2.6 | Notable deep learning-based Recommender System models | 58 |
| Table 3.1 | Statistics of the aspect extraction datasets | 68 |
| Table 3.2 | Distribution of the top-10 aspect terms in SemEval datasets | 68 |
| Table 3.3 | Statistics of the rating prediction datasets | 72 |
| Table 3.4 | Overall research phases of the thesis | 77 |
| Table 3.5 | Confusion Matrix | 80 |
| Table 3.6 | Summary of the experimental phases | 84 |
| Table 4.1 | Performance of the different versions of the MCNN | 106 |
| Table 4.2 | T-test between MCNN-3 and other versions on the | 107 |
| | SemEval-2014-L in terms of the Recall | |
| Table 4.3 | T-test between MCNN-3 and other versions on the | 108 |
| | SemEval-2014-L in terms of the Precision | |
| Table 4.4 | T-test between MCNN-3 and other versions on the SemEval- | 108 |
| | 2014-L in terms of the F1. | |
| Table 4.5 | T-test between MCNN-3 and other versions on the | 108 |
| | SemEval-2014- R in terms of the Recall | |
| Table 4.6 | T-test between MCNN-3 and other versions on the SemEval- | 109 |
| | 2014-R in terms of the Precision. | |
| Table 4.7 | T-test between MCNN-3 and other versions on the | 109 |
| | SemEval-2014- R in terms of the F1 | |
| Table 4.8 | Results of MCNN compared to baseline methods | 110 |
| Table 4.9 | T-test between MCNN and the baselines on the SemEval- | 114 |
| | 2014-L in terms of the Precision | |

| Table 4.10 | T-test between MCNN and the baselines on the SemEval- | 114 |
|-------------------------|---|-----|
| | 2014-L in terms of the Recall. | |
| Table 4.11 | T-test between MCNN and the baselines on the SemEval- | 115 |
| | 2014-L in terms of the F1 score. | |
| Table 4.12 | T-test between MCNN and the baselines on the SemEval- | 115 |
| | 2014-R in terms of the Precision | |
| Table 4.13 | T-test between MCNN and the baselines on the SemEval- | 115 |
| | 2014-R in terms of the Recall. | |
| Table 4.14 | T-test between MCNN and baselines on the SemEval-2014- | 116 |
| | R in terms of the F1 score | |
| Table 5.1 | Notations for the Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) | 125 |
| Table 5.2 | Characteristics of the baseline methods | 134 |
| Table 5.3 | Performance of different versions of the REAO | 137 |
| Table 5.4 | T-test between the REAO-3 and the other versions on the MI | 138 |
| | datasets in Terms of the RMSE | |
| Table 5.5 | T-test between the REAO-3 and the other versions on the MI | 138 |
| | datasets in Terms of the MAE | |
| Table 5.6 | T-test between the REAO-3 and the other versions on the IV | 139 |
| | datasets in terms of the RMSE | |
| Table 5.7 | T-test between the REAO-3 and the other versions on the IV | 139 |
| | datasets in terms of the MAE | |
| Table 5.8 | T-test between the REAO-3 and the other versions on Yelp | 139 |
| | datasets in terms of the RMSE | |
| Table 5.9 | T-test between the REAO-3 and the other versions on Yelp | 140 |
| | datasets in terms of the MAE | |
| Table 5.10 | Performance of the REAO compared with the baseline | 141 |
| | methods | |
| Table 5 11 | T test between PEAO and the baselines on the MI detects in | 142 |
| | torms of DMSE | 142 |
| T 11 T 10 | | |
| Table 5.12 | T-test between REAO and the baselines on the MI datasets in | 143 |
| | terms of MAE | |

| Table 5.13 | T-test between REAO and the baselines on the IV datasets in terms of RMSE. | 143 |
|------------|--|-----|
| Table 5.14 | T-test between REAO and the baselines on the IV datasets in terms of MAE | 143 |
| Table 5.15 | T-test between REAO and the baselines on the Yelp datasets in terms of RMSE | 144 |
| Table 5.16 | T-test between REAO and the baselines on the Yelp datasets in terms of MAE | 144 |
| Table 6.1 | Characteristics of the baseline methods | 164 |
| Table 6.2 | Performance of different versions of the SDRA | 167 |
| Table 6.3 | T-test between SDRA-3 and the other versions on the MI datasets in terms of RMSE. | 168 |
| Table 6.4 | T-test between SDRA-3 and the other versions on the MI datasets in terms of MAE | 168 |
| Table 6.5 | T-test between SDRA-3 and the other versions on the IV datasets in terms of RMSE | 169 |
| Table 6.6 | T-test between SDRA-3 and the other versions on the IV datasets in terms of MAE | 169 |
| Table 6.7 | T-test between SDRA-3 and the other versions on the Yelp datasets in terms of RMSE | 169 |
| Table 6.8 | T-test between SDRA-3 and the other versions on the Yelp datasets in terms of MAE | 170 |
| Table 6.9 | Comparison results with the baseline methods | 171 |
| Table 6.10 | T-test between SDRA and baselines in terms of the RMSE on the MI datasets | 172 |
| Table 6.11 | T-test between SDRA and the baselines in terms of the MAE on the MI datasets | 172 |
| Table 6.12 | T-test between SDRA and the baselines in terms of the RMSE on the IV datasets | 173 |
| Table 6.13 | T-test between SDRA and the baselines in terms of the MAE on the IV datasets. | 173 |

| Table 6.14 | T-test between SDRA and the baselines in terms of the | 173 |
|------------|---|-----|
| | RMSE on the Yelp datasets. | |
| Table 6.15 | T-test between SDRA and the baselines in terms of the MAE | 174 |

on the Yelp datasets.

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.

TITTLE

PAGE

| Figure 1.1 | Summary of the research background | 07 |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 2.1 | Illustration of the general Recommender System process | 16 |
| Figure 2.2 | Example of Amazon Recommender System application | 16 |
| Figure 2.3 | Example of the user-item rating matrix | 17 |
| Figure 2.4 | Classification of Recommender System models | 18 |
| Figure 2.5 | Illustration of the collaborative filtering technique | 19 |
| Figure 2.6 | Illustration of the memory-based Recommender System | 20 |
| Figure 2.7 | Illustration of the content-based Recommender System | 22 |
| Figure 2.8 | Illustration of the hybrid Recommender System | 23 |
| Figure 2.9 | Example of Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) for the | 32 |
| | aspect extraction (Gu et al., 2017). | |
| Figure 2.10 | Classification of deep learning techniques | 40 |
| Figure 2.11 | Illustration of the Auto Encoder model (AE) | 41 |
| Figure 2.12 | Illustrations of the Restricted Boltzmann Machine | 43 |
| | (RBM), Deep Boltzmann Machine (DBM), and Deep | |
| | Believe Network (DBN) | |
| Figure 2.13 | Illustration of the general Convolutional Neural Network | 44 |
| | (CNN) model | |
| Figure 2.14 | Illustrattion of the Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) | 45 |
| | model | |
| Figure 2.15 | Graphical representation of the word embeddings | 47 |
| Figure 2.16 | Graphical representation of the CBOW/ Skip-gram | 48 |
| | models | |
| Figure 2.17 | Graphical representation of RBM-based Recommender | 52 |
| | System (Ruslan et al., 2007) | |
| Figure 2.18 | Graphical representation of Transnets model (Catherine | 54 |
| | and Cohen 2017) | |
| Figure 2.19 | Graphical representation of the Neural Collaborative | 55 |

model (He *et al.* 2017)

| Figure 2.20 | Graphical representation of the Interpretable | 56 | | |
|-------------|--|-----|--|--|
| | Recommender System (Seo et al., 2017) | | | |
| Figure 3.1 | Research operational framework | | | |
| Figure 4.1 | The basic structure of CNN for document modelling. | | | |
| Figure 4.2 | Overview of the proposed MCNN model | 93 | | |
| Figure 4.3 | Illustration of the word embedding layer | | | |
| Figure 4.4 | Part of Speech (POS) tag sets in the Stanford Log-linear | | | |
| Figure 4.5 | Illustration of the Part of Speech (POS) tagging process | | | |
| Figure 4.6 | Illustration of the convolution operation. | | | |
| Figure 4.7 | Illustration of the pooling operation 9 | | | |
| Figure 4.8 | Output layer with SoftMax function. | 99 | | |
| Figure 4.9 | Graphical representation of the results the various | 106 | | |
| | versions of the MCNN | | | |
| Figure 4.10 | Graphical representation of the results MCNN compared | 110 | | |
| | to the baselines. | | | |
| Figure 4.11 | Performance of the MCNN-3 on different word | 112 | | |
| - | embedding dimensions. | | | |
| Figure 4.12 | embedding dimensions. | 112 | | |
| Figure 4.13 | Performance of the MCNN-1 on different word | 113 | | |
| | embedding dimensions. | | | |
| Figure 5.1 | Illustration of the matrix factorization | 121 | | |
| Figure 5.2 | Overview of the proposed REAO model | | | |
| Figure 5.3 | The generative process of the LDA method | 124 | | |
| Figure 5.4 | Illustration of the CP decomposition process | | | |
| Figure 5.5 | Graphical representation of the results of different | 137 | | |
| | versions of REAO | | | |
| Figure 5.6 | Graphical representation of the REAO compared with the | 141 | | |

baselines

| Figure 5.7 | Performance of REAO on the sparsity condition | 145 | | |
|------------|--|-----|--|--|
| Figure 5.8 | Performance of REAO on different K values | | | |
| Figure 6.1 | Overview of the proposed SDRA model | 153 | | |
| Figure 6.2 | Illustration of the LSTM sequence encoder 15. | | | |
| Figure 6.3 | Illustration of the user/item importance | 159 | | |
| Figure 6.4 | Graphical representation of the results of different | 167 | | |
| | versions of SDRA. | | | |
| Figure 6.5 | Graphical representation of SDRA results compared with | 171 | | |
| | the baselines | | | |
| Figure 6.6 | Performance of SDRA on the sparsity condition | | | |
| Figure 6.7 | Performance of SDRA on different latent values | | | |
| Figure 6.8 | Impact of dropout | 178 | | |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| ANN | - | Artificial Neural Network |
|---------|---|--|
| ADAM | - | Adaptive Moment Estimation |
| AE | - | Auto Encoder |
| AI | - | Artificial Intelligence |
| BN | - | Bayesian Network |
| CB | - | Content-Based |
| CBOW | - | Continuous Bag of Word |
| CF | - | Collaborative Filtering |
| CNN | - | Convolutional Neural Network |
| СР | - | Canonical Polyadic |
| CRF | - | Conditional Random Field |
| DBM | - | Deep Boltzmann Machine |
| FM | - | Factorization Machine |
| GRU | - | Gated Recurrent Unit |
| HMM | - | Hidden Markov Model |
| HOSVD | - | Higher-Order Single Valued Decomposition |
| LDA | - | Latent Dirichlet Allocation |
| LFM | - | Latent Factor Model |
| LSA | - | Latent Semantic Analysis |
| LSTM | - | Long Short-Term Memory |
| MAE | - | Mean Absolute Error |
| ME | - | Maximum Entropy |
| MF | - | Matrix Factorization |
| ML | - | Machine Learning |
| MLP | - | Multilayer Perceptron |
| NCF | - | Neural Collaborative Filtering |
| NLP | - | Natural Language Processing |
| PARAFAC | - | Parallel Factor |
| PMF | - | Probabilistic Matric Factorization |
| POS | - | Part of Speech |

| RBM | - | Restricted Boltzmann Machine |
|---------|---|--|
| ReNN | - | Recursive Neural Network |
| RELU | - | Rectified Linear Unit |
| RMSE | - | Root Mean Squared Error |
| RNN | - | Recurrent Neural Network |
| RS | - | Recommender System |
| SDAE | - | Stack Denoising Auto Encoder |
| SEMEVAL | - | Semantic Evaluation |
| SGD | - | Stochastic Gradient Descent |
| SPSS | - | Statistical Package for Social Sciences |
| SVD | - | Single Value Decomposition |
| SVM | - | Support Vector Machine |
| TF | - | Tensor Factorization |
| TF-IDF | - | Term Frequency- Inverse document frequency |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

With the advancement of the World Wide Web (WWW) and the explosive accumulation of information on the e-commerce platforms such as Amazon and Yelp, it becomes very difficult to search across all the alternative options in a bid to find what one most likely desire. In other words, the increasing growth of information on the web has brought about the information overload problem which makes it very difficult for effective information retrieval. Recently, to cope with these issues among other solutions, recommender system (RS) technology has been introduced to help customers by presenting products or services that are likely of their interest. Recommender systems can be viewed as a software tool that improves access and provides suggestions to users for the relevant product by considering the users' implicit and explicit preferences (Adomavicius and Tuzhilin, 2005).

Since it was evolved over some decades ago, the field has grown dramatically in solving a variety of problems using various recommendation techniques in the domain of e-commerce, news, social media, services delivery, and many other services (Kunaver and Požrl, 2017). With the advancement of e-commerce, the benefits of the recommender systems cannot be overemphasized. According to research on Netflix¹, 60% of the movies watched on their platform are recommended to the users with the aid of the RSs. Similarly, 35% of sales at Amazon² comes from recommendations that are recommended to the users and users have shown great interest. At the same time, it has been shown that recommendation generates 38% more clicks reported by Google News³.

¹www.netflix.com

²www.amazon.com

³https://news.google.com/

Depending on the manner and the type of information being used, RSs can either be Content-Based (CB) (Smyth, 2016) which utilizes description of the items (features and attributes) to match the profiles of the users and provide a recommendation, or Collaborative Filtering (CF) approach (Shi *et al.*, 2014) which relies on the information collected from users with similar behaviour in the past to provide a recommendation. However, these traditional RS approaches generally experience some major problems such as data sparsity and cold-start problems (Adomavicius and Tuzhilin, 2005). This made the RS became a wide research topic which raised the question of diving into more research works to finding effective solutions for further improvements.

With the recent remarkable success of deep learning methods in areas such as image processing, machine translation, and Natural Language Processing (NLP) (Hatcher and Yu, 2018), deep learning models have been widely used by many researchers for building the RS approaches (Cas *et al.*, 2017; Zhang *et al.*, 2017; Kim *et al.*, 2016). As such deep learning-based RS brings more capabilities by addressing the inherent challenges of the traditional recommendation methods (Kunaver and Požrl, 2017). Deep learning techniques have been shown very effective in modeling the historical user/item interactions due to their capability in representation learning. Thus developing a personalized deep learning-based recommendation system became a promising research direction (Batmaz *et al.*, 2019). In the recommendation system, deep learning methods are typically used to better learn user and item representation based on the user textual review for improving the rating predictive performance.

With the rapid advancement of E-commerce and social networks, recently opinion mining has been widely exploited for building the RSs. Sentiment analysis which involves the extraction of users' opinions/sentiments from the contents of review generally serves as a vital source of information for improving the performances of the RSs. Basically, opinion mining has been widely studied (Medhat *et al*, 2014; Ravi and Ravi, 2015) for many applications. It particularly focuses on determining the user preferences by classifying the user feedback polarity on a particular product. Feedback labelled 'positive' implies that the user who has

posted the feedback has an interest in the product and vice versa. Thus, exploiting opinions for improving the performance of RS becomes a promising research direction recently.

Essentially, the user opinions contained in the textual review can help improve the performance of the recommendation system. Meanwhile leveraging deep learning methods for better learning user/item representation is an important driver towards improving the performance of the recommender system. This is the main idea behind the proposed methods in this thesis. The proposed models in this thesis aim to improve the accuracy of the predictive performances of the recommendation system. The related datasets used in this research include SemEval 2014 challenge, Amazon and Yelp datasets to evaluate the proposed models in Chapter 4, Chapter 5, and Chapter 6 respectively. To measure the effectiveness of the proposed models Precision, Recall, F1 score, Root Means Squared Error (RMSE), and Mean Absolute Error (MAE) metrics were used for the proposed models in Chapter 4, Chapter 5, and Chapter 6 respectively.

The rest of this chapter is organized as follows: Section 1.2 presents the background of the study which describes the problem background to identify the research gaps thereby proffering the desired solutions. Section 1.3 highlights the problem statement; section 1.4 presents the research objectives and section 1.5 summarizes the scope of the study.

1.2 Problem Background

As stated earlier, RSs play a vital role in addressing the issue of information overload, having been widely applied in many online services including social media and e-commerce websites. Collaborative Filtering (CF) is the most widely used technique for RSs. The basic idea of this technique is that people who share similar behaviors in the past tend to have a similar preference in the future. Although CF methods have shown promising performances, one of its major challenges is the problem of data sparseness which is characterized by the insufficient number of user ratings with a high number of items. This, however, affects the effectiveness of the recommendation systems. With the recent advancement of e-commerce websites, it has been shown that user textual reviews that contain rich information on different products, can be utilized to alleviate the data sparsity problem thereby enhancing the effectiveness of RSs. Generally, user reviews contain not only the user's comments on different aspects of products but also the user's fine-grained opinions towards various aspects of products. Essentially, these opinions of users are very important as they reflect the user's preference towards products and consequently affect the accuracy of RSs. Thus, in order to get details of the user's opinions towards the item, essentially aspect extraction for opinion mining methods has to be conducted (Cheah, 2016; Hemmatian and Sohrabi, 2017). One of the earliest attempt to extract aspects of products was based on the frequency-based/rule-based methods (Hu and Liu, 2004; Popescu and Etzioni, 2005; Scaffidi et al., 2007) for which some of the constraints are used for identifying the most frequent nouns or noun phrases as the aspects candidates. In this approaches nouns and noun phrases are usually identified using Part-of-Speech (POS) tagger and the names that have been frequently repeated are termed as the aspects. One of the drawbacks of the frequency-based method is that the method generally focuses on only the most popular aspects while the lowfrequency aspects are generally neglected.

With the recent achievement of the artificial neural networks in NLP (Da'u and Salim, 2019; Kim, 2014), several methods have been introduced for the aspect extraction task. Most of these methods rely on the CNN model. For example, Poria *et al.*, (2016a) applied a multilayer convolutional model for aspect extraction by tagging words as aspects or non-aspects labels. To further improve the model performance, the authors additionally applied linguistic features which are then integrated with the pre-trained vectors. Toh and Su (2016) utilized the CNN model in the Semeval challenge for aspect detection. The model showed competitive results with the integration of two different machine learning methods. Pham and Le (2018) proposed a CNN based technique by utilizing multiple input vectors for aspect extraction. The model specifically integrates Word2vec, Glove, and one hot vector to generate a unified feature generation for a better extraction process. Xu *et al.*, (2018b) introduced a simple CNN based technique named DE-CNN that leverage double embeddings for the aspect extraction. The model uses the pre-trained Glove and a domain-dependent embedding that are trained on the Amazon and Yelp

reviews using the convolution method. Although these methods have performed well, however, the major drawback of the existing CNN based methods is that they typically rely solely on word embedding models such as Google Word2vec (Mikolov *et al.*, 2013) or Glove (Pennington *et al.*, 2014) as the main semantic features. Even though word embeddings have been indicated to be effective in better learning both semantic and syntactic features of texts. However, due to their intrinsic issue of the *distributional hypothesis*, Word embeddings alone cannot guarantee to learn better semantic information of some aspect words (Young *et al.*, 2018). For instance, "good" and "bad" are particularly mapped together as neighbours in a latent space while analysing these words is very critical in real-world applications.

The extracted aspect terms can essentially be utilized for building recommender system models (Cheng *et al.*, 2018). Recently, several approaches have been proposed to directly exploit the product's aspects for building the aspect-based recommendation systems. Most of these approaches were typically based on the topic modelling (Cheng *et al.*, 2018; Diao et al., 2014; McAuley and Leskovec, 2013; Tan *et al.*, 2016) in which the main idea is to align topics and user/item latent factors for rating prediction. Other approaches utilized sentiment lexicons and heuristic methods for the rating prediction (Zhang *et al.*, 2014a). Although these approaches have shown good performance, however, they generally rely on the Bag of Word (BOW) method which typically considers words in the document as a mere collection without considering the local contextual information of the words. Thus, in such approaches, the vital information in the form of phrase and sentences is usually lost and consequently leads to poor accuracy of the model.

To investigate the role of the deep learning method in exploiting the aspect terms along with reviews for improving the accuracy of the recommendation system, the study further seeks to explore a deep learning-based recommender system method. Recently, several approaches have been proposed to exploit user reviews based on the deep learning techniques for recommendation systems (Zhang *et al.*, 2017). For example, (Kim *et al.*, 2016) proposed Convolutional Matrix Factorization (ConMF) which simultaneously uses deep learning and Probabilistic Matrix Factorization (PMF) model for better user/item representation learning based on the contextual information of the words. A Deep Convolutional Neural Network (DeepCONN) has been proposed by (Zhang et al., 2017) to exploit two parallel CNN models to separately learn the textual contents from both the user and item side. The model uses the embedding layer to derive the user and item latent features which are then concatenated and finally fed to the output layer consisting of the Factorization Machine (FM) for the rating prediction. The model was later extended by introducing a method called Transnet (Seo et al., 2017). The model particularly utilized more layers in addition to the two parallel CNN layers for better learning of the representation of the user-item review at the training time and regularized the output of the source network using the learned representation. Recently, the deep learning-based attention mechanism has been successfully applied for building the deep learning-based recommender system. Seo et al., (2017) proposed an interpretable network model by exploiting the attention-based CNN technique. The model exploits different attention mechanisms: local and global attention mechanisms. Specifically, the local attention assist the model for better modelling users and item features while the global attention allows better learning the semantic information of words from the user texts. In this way, the combination of the local and global attention help the model to better learning interpretable item/user representations.

Despite the remarkable achievements of the abovementioned deep learningbased recommender system models compared to their prior methods, they experience some limitations: 1) they model latent feature vectors statically and independently in which the user and item factors are projected into fixed representations vectors in a shared space and the only interaction between user and item vectors occurs at the final prediction layer. Therefore, in these approaches, the fine-grained user/item interaction is generally lost which is very important for ensuring more accurate rating prediction. 2) Moreover, the existing deep learning methods generally ignore sentiment aware user/item representation in learning user/item latent factors for the rating prediction.

To better clarify the entire research problem background, Figure 1.1 below, provides a diagrammatic illustration with a summary of the research problem of the study, showing the key research gaps and the proposed solutions accordingly.



Figure 1.1: Summary of the research background

1.3 Problem Statement

In view of the problem background as discussed in Section 1.2 above, it could be deduced, that despite numerous achievements of the existing RS approaches they still experience major problems of the data sparsity which could lead to the low accuracy of the recommendation performance. Therefore more research efforts are still needed to fill the gaps thereby addressing the existing shortcomings by developing enhanced recommender system methods. Thus, to achieve that, the research put forward the following main research question:

"How can the accuracy of the collaborative recommender systems be improved by exploiting the user textual reviews using deep learning methods?"

To answer the main research question (RQ) the following sub-research questions are put forward to answer:

- **RQ1**: How can the product's aspects be extracted from the user textual review by using a Multi-Channel Convolutional Neural Network (MCNN) model for opinion mining?
- **RQ2**: Can the user opinions based on the product's aspects be integrated into the collaborative filtering technique for better predictive performance?
- **RQ3:** How can the recommendation accuracy be improved by utilizing the aspect-based collaborative method based on the deep learning technique?

1.3 Objectives of the Research

The main goal of this research is to design collaborative recommender system models that leverage user textual reviews using deep learning methods to provide robust and reliable predictive performances with high accuracy. Therefore, to achieve the main goal, the following research objectives (**RO**) will be put into consideration:

- **RO1**: To propose a multichannel convolutional neural network (MCNN) model to extract the product's aspects from the user textual review for opinion mining.
- **RO2**: To propose an aspect-based collaborative method by incorporating user opinions based on the product's aspects into the collaborative filtering technique for better predictive performance.
- **RO3**: To propose a sentiment-aware deep recommender system by utilizing the aspect-based collaborative method for improving the recommendation accuracy.

1.4 The Scope of the Study

This research provides an in-depth study of the collaborative recommendation system based on user review using the deep learning method. In essence, the recommender systems field is a broad research area that includes cross-domain RSs, rating-only-based RSs, review based RSs, etc. In essence, this study specifically focuses on the review-based recommender system perspective which utilizes user textual review for the rating prediction. More specifically, this research was confined to the following scopes:

- The proposed study is focused on the literature review related to the aspect extraction, deep learning methods, aspect-based recommender systems, and deep learning-based recommender system.
- Regarding the models' evaluations, for the rating prediction, the study focused on the three different datasets: Instant video, Musical instrument, and Yelp challenge datasets. The first two datasets were taken from the

Amazon review datasets out of the 23 categories of different products (McAuley and Leskovec, 2013) and the third dataset is taken from the Yelp challenge competition platform. Similarly, for the aspect extraction, the study particularly focused on the Semeval-014 Restaurant and SemEval-014 Laptop datasets which are taken from the SemEval (semantic evaluation) challenge competition (Pontiki and Pavlopoulos, 2014).

- Regarding the collaborative filtering algorithms used for the rating prediction, the study specifically focused on the Tensor Factorization (TF) and Matrix factorization (MF) for the proposed RS models in Chapter 5 and Chapter 6 respectively.
- Regarding the proposed models' performances, the study focused on the accuracy performance for both the aspect extraction and the recommendation relevance. Thus for the evaluation metrics, the study specifically focused on the MAE and RMSE metrics to evaluate both the proposed RS models. While the F1 score, Precision, and Recall metrics were used for the aspect extraction.
- The experiments for all the algorithms were carried out using Python 3.7 programming language with the Keras, Pytorch, and the Tensorflow backend.

1.5 Significance of the Study

As mentioned earlier, RSs have become ubiquitous in recent times due to their popularity in the e-commerce and social media domains. Companies such as Yelp, Amazon, and eBay have introduced a large number of products for meeting the satisfaction of their customers. The market value of recommendations in the companies is very important in the domain of service delivery and many sectors of ecommerce. According to research, over 30% of the sales in $amazon^1$ comes from recommendations that are provided to the customers and customers have shown a great satisfaction.

As noted in the literature, the existing RS methods have been shown to be suffered form the problem of the data sparseness which leads to a poor and inaccurate recommendation. This research aims to fill this gap by first proposing an aspect extraction method to extract product aspects from user textual review and then incorporate the extracted aspect opinions into a collaborative filtering method for improving the accuracy of the recommendation system. Meanwhile, we believe that incorporating the user sentiments into collaborative filtering based on the deep learning method would address the data sparsity problem thereby improving the accuracy of the recommendation system. Therefore, this study further investigates exploiting deep learning-based methods and neural attention mechanisms to propose a sentiment aware deep recommender system with neural co attention (SDRA).

Another significance of this study is that; our proposed recommender system models specifically utilizes user textual reviews in addition to the user numerical ratings. This is particularly very important in a domain where numerical ratings on products are very scarce, not available due to the difficulty to collect or where the opinions of a user towards domain items are too complex to express as scalar ratings.

1.7 Thesis Organization

This section describes the organization of the thesis. There are seven chapters in this thesis, which are arranged as follows:

Chapter 1, Introduction: This chapter presents a general introduction regarding the concept of the research work which includes an overview of the proposed research study. A comprehensive background of the study is also presented in this chapter. Further, the chapter includes the problem statement, objective of the study, research scope and the significance of the study.

Chapter 2, Literature review: This chapter provides an overview of the recommender system which includes the basic techniques for the recommender system, the main challenges of the recommender system and the evaluation measures used for the recommender systems. The chapter also discusses an overview of the deep learning techniques including the major deep learning methods uses for recommender systems. Furthermore, this chapter reviews the previous research works on the aspect-based recommender systems, and deep learning-based recommender systems accordingly.

Chapter 3, Research methodology: This chapter presents the detailed methodology used in this study. It encompasses the generic framework of the research and the steps required to carry out the research systematically. This chapter outlines detailed procedures involved in solving the research problems and answering the research questions to achieve the research goal and objectives. The chapter describes various stages to carry out the research, which includes a discussion of the research components such as the research phases, techniques, and the tools involved

Chapter 4, Aspect extraction on user textual reviews using deep convolutional neural network: This chapter addresses the first objective of the research. Specifically, the chapter presents an approach to extract the item aspects from the user review using a multichannel convolutional neural network (MCNN) model. The MCNN model comprises of word embedding and POS embedding channel. The main goal of this chapter was to propose a model for the aspect extraction from the user textual review using a multi-channel convolutional neural network for better predictive accuracy.

Chapter 5, Recommender system exploiting aspect-based opinion mining using deep learning methods: This chapter presents an approach to incorporate the user opinions/sentiments into the collaborative filtering algorithm for the recommender system. The main goal of this chapter is to address the problem of the cold start by utilizing the specific aspect ratings in addition to the overall ratings provided by the user in the review text. To achieve that a tensor factorization technique was employed which is very effective in dealing with a high order decomposition.

Chapter 6, Sentiment aware deep recommender system using neural attention mechanism: This chapter addresses the third objective of this thesis which aims to investigate how deep learning methods could be exploited along with neural attention mechanisms for improving the accuracy of the recommender systems. This chapter was motivated by the recent success of deep learning techniques in representation learning and the recommendation systems. In this chapter, the study proposed a deep recommender system that uses a neural co-attention mechanism to better learning fine-grained user/item interaction for improving the accuracy of the rating predictive performance of the recommendation system.

Chapter 7, Conclusion and Future Work: This chapter provides the conclusions of the research work discussed throughout this study. The chapter also presents and highlights the contributions of the research and puts forward some recommendations for future studies.

REFERENCES

- Acar, E., Dunlavy, D. M., & Kolda, T. G. (2009). An Optimization Approach for Fitting Canonical Tensor Decompositions. *Contract*, 25(February), 33-50.
- Acar, E., Dunlavy, D. M., Kolda, T. G., & Mørup, M. (2011). Scalable tensor factorizations for incomplete data. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*, 106(1), 41–56.
- Aciar, S., Zhang, D., Simoff, S., & Debenham, J. (2007). Informed recommender: Basing recommendations on consumer product reviews. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, 22(3), 39–47.
- Adomavicius, G, & Tuzhilin, a. (2005). Toward the Next Generation of Recommender Systems: a Survey of the State of the Art and Possible Extensions. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 17(6), 734–749.
- Adomavicius, Gediminas, & Tuzhilin, A. (2005). Recommender systems A Survey of the State-of-the-Art. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 17(June 2005), 734–749.
- B, R. D., & Smyth, B. (2016). Case-Based Reasoning Research and Development. *ICCBR 2016*, *9*, 93–107.
- Bahdanau, D., Cho, K., & Bengio, Y. (2014). Neural Machine Translation by Jointly Learning to Align and Translate. Proceedings of the Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-17) Attention-Based, 5013–5014.
- Bao, Y., Hui, F., & Zhang, J. (2014). TopicMF: Simultaneously Exploiting Ratings and Reviews for Recommendation. *Aaai*, 2–8.
- Batmaz, Z., Yurekli, A., Bilge, A., & Kaleli, C. (2019). A review on deep learning for recommender systems: challenges and remedies. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 52(1).
- Bauman, K., Liu, B., & Tuzhilin, A. (2017). Aspect Based Recommendations: Recommending Items with the Most Valuable Aspects Based on User Reviews. Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining - KDD '17, 717–725.

Blei, D. M., Ng, A. Y., & Jordan, M. I. (2003). Latent Dirichlet allocation. Journal of

Machine Learning Research, 3(4–5), 993–1022.

- Bobadilla, J., Ortega, F., Hernando, A., & Gutiérrez, A. (2013). Recommender systems survey. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 46, 109–132.
- C, S., Yao, L., Sun, A., Zhang, S., Yao, L., Sun, A., ... Sun, A. (2017). Deep Learning based Recommender System: A Survey and New Perspectives. ACM J. Comput. Cult. Herit. Article, 1(1), 1–36.
- Catherine, R., & Cohen, W. (2017a). TransNets: Learning to transform for recommendation. RecSys 2017 - Proceedings of the 11th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems, 288–296.
- Cheah, T. A. R. Y. (2016). Aspect extraction in sentiment analysis: comparative analysis and survey. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 46(4), 459–483.
- Chen, J., Zhang, H., He, X., Nie, L., Liu, W., & Chua, T.-S. (2017). Attentive Collaborative Filtering: Multimedia Recommendation with Item- and Component-Level Attention. *Proceedings of the 40th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval - SIGIR '17*,
- Chen, L., Chen, G., & Wang, F. (2015). Recommender systems based on user reviews: the state of the art. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction*, 25(2), 99–154.
- Chen, R., Hua, Q., Chang, Y. S., Wang, B., Zhang, L., & Kong, X. (2018). A survey of collaborative filtering-based recommender systems: from traditional methods to hybrid methods based on social networks. *IEEE Access*, 6, 64301–64320.
- Chen, S., & Peng, Y. (2018). Matrix factorization for recommendation with explicit and implicit feedback. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, *158*(May), 109–117.
- Chen, T., Xu, R., He, Y., & Wang, X. (2017). Improving sentiment analysis via sentence type classification using BiLSTM-CRF and CNN. *Expert Systems with Application*, 72, 221-230.
- Cheng, Z., Ding, Y., Zhu, L., & Kankanhalli, M. (2018). Aspect-Aware Latent Factor Model: Rating Prediction with Ratings and Reviews. *IW3C2 2018 ACM*. 555-561
- Chin, J. Y., Zhao, K., Joty, S., & Cong, G. (2018). ANR: Aspect-based Neural Recommender. Proceedings of the 27th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management - CIKM '18, 147–156.
- Christakopoulou, K., Beutel, A., Li, R., Jain, S., & Chi, E. H. (2018). Q&R: A twostage approach toward interactive recommendation. *Proceedings of the ACM*

SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining,

- Collobert, R., Weston, J., Bottou, L., Karlen, M., Kavukcuoglu, K., & Kuksa, P. (2011). Natural language processing (almost) from scratch. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12, 2493–2537.
- Covington, P., Adams, J., & Sargin, E. (2016). Deep neural networks for youtube recommendations. *RecSys 2016 - Proceedings of the 10th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems*, 191–198.
- Cursada, L. a, Carrera, D. E. S. U., & La, E. N. (2012). Novelty and Diversity Evaluation and Enhancement in Recommender Systems. *Recommender Systems Handbook*, (February), 5–8.
- Da'u, A., & Salim, N. (2019). Aspect extraction on user textual reviews using multichannel convolutional neural network. *PeerJ Computer Science*, 2019(5), 0–16.
 91
- Diao, Q., Qiu, M., Wu, C.-Y., Smola, A. J., Jiang, J., & Wang, C. (2014a). Jointly modeling aspects, ratings and sentiments for movie recommendation (JMARS). *Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining - KDD '14*, 193–202.
- Ding, Y., Yu, J., & Jiang, J. (2017). Recurrent Neural Networks with Auxiliary Labels for Cross-Domain Opinion Target Extraction. 3436–3442.
- Do, H. H., Prasad, P. W. C., Maag, A., & Alsadoon, A. (2019). Deep Learning for Aspect-Based Sentiment Analysis: A Comparative Review. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 118, 272–299.
- Dong, R., Schaal, M., O'Mahony, M. P., McCarthy, K., & Smyth, B. (2013). Opinionated product recommendation. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 7969 LNAI, 44–58.
- Esuli, A., Sebastiani, F., & Moruzzi, V. G. (2006b). SENTIWORDNET: A Publicly Available Lexical Resource for Opinion Mining. *Proceedings of the 5th Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation*, 417–422.
- Ganu, G., Elhadad, N., & Marian, A. (2009). Beyond the Stars: Improving Rating Predictions using Review Text Content. *WebDB*, *9*(9), 1–6.
- Ganu, G., Kakodkar, Y., Ganun, G., Kakodkar, Y., Marian, A., Ganu, G., & Kakodkar, Y. (2013). Improving the quality of predictions using textual information in online user reviews. *Information Systems*, 38(1), 1–15.

- Gao, Z. Y., & Chen, C. (2018). deepSA2018 at SemEval-2018 Task 1: Multi-task Learning of Different Label for Affect in Tweets. *Proceedings of The 12th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation*, 226–230.
- García-Pablos, A., Cuadros, M., & Rigau, G. (2018). W2VLDA: Almost unsupervised system for Aspect Based Sentiment Analysis. *Expert Systems with Applications*,
- Georgiev, K., & Nakov, P. (2013). A non-IID framework for collaborative filtering with Restricted Boltzmann Machines. 30th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2013, 28(PART 3), 2185–2193.
- Goldberg, D., Nichols, D., Oki, B.M., Terry, D. (1992). Using collaborative filtering to weave an information Tapestry. *ACM*, *35*(12), 61–70.
- Gu, X., Gu, Y., & Wu, H. (2017). Cascaded Convolutional Neural Networks for Aspect-Based Opinion Summary. *Neural Processing Letters*, *46*(2), 581–594.
- Guang, Q., & Bing, L. (2009). Opinion Word Expansion and Target Extraction through Double Propagation. *Computational Linguistics*, 37(1), 1–19.
- Hatcher, W. G., & Yu, W. (2018). A Survey of Deep Learning: Platforms, Applications and Emerging Research Trends. *IEEE Access*, 6, 24411–24432.
- He, C., Parra, D., & Verbert, K. (2016). Interactive recommender systems: A survey of the state of the art and future research challenges and opportunities. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 56, 9–27.
- He, X., Liao, L., Zhang, H., Nie, L., Hu, X., & Chua, T. S. (2017). Neural collaborative filtering. 26th International World Wide Web Conference, WWW 2017, 173–182.
- Hemmatian, F., & Sohrabi, M. K. (2017). A survey on classification techniques for opinion mining and sentiment analysis. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, pp. 1–51.
- Hercig, T., Brychcín, T., Svoboda, L., & Konkol, M. (2016). UWB at SemEval-2016Task 5: Aspect Based Sentiment Analysis. *SemEval*, 342–349.
- Hidasi, B., Quadrana, M., Karatzoglou, A., & Tikk, D. (2016). Parallel recurrent neural network architectures for feature-rich session-based recommendations. *RecSys 2016 - Proceedings of the 10th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems*, 241–260
- Hongliang, C., & Xiaona, Q. (2015). The video recommendation system based on DBN. 15th IEEE International Conference on Computer and Information Technology, 20-36, CIT 2015,

- Hu, B., Shi, C., Zhao, W. X., & Yu, P. S. (2018). Leveraging meta-path based context for top-n recommendation with a neural co-attention model. *Proceedings of the ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, 1, 1531–1540.
- Hu, M., & Liu, B. (2004). Mining and summarizing customer reviews. Proceedings of the 2004 ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining - KDD '04, 168.
- Isinkaye, F. O., Folajimi, Y. O., & Ojokoh, B. A. (2015). Recommendation systems: Principles, methods and evaluation. *Egyptian Informatics Journal*, 16(3), 261– 273.
- Jakob, N., & Ag, S. (2009). Beyond the Stars Exploiting Free-Text User Reviews. *TSA09*, 57–64.
- Jebbara, S., & Cimiano, P. (2016a). Aspect-Based Relational Sentiment Analysis Using a Stacked Neural Network Architecture. On Artificial Intelligence, 29 August-2, 1–9.
- Jebbara, S., & Cimiano, P. (2016b). Aspect-Based Sentiment Analysis Using a Two-Step Neural Network Architecture. *Semantic Web Evaluation Challenge*. 1-14
- Jo, Y., & Oh, A. (2011). Aspect and sentiment unification model for online review analysis. Proceedings of the 4th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining, WSDM 2011, 815–824.
- Kaminskas, M., & Bridge, D. (2016). Diversity, serendipity, novelty, and coverage: A survey and empirical analysis of beyond-Accuracy objectives in recommender systems. ACM Transactions on Interactive Intelligent Systems, 7(1), 1–42.
- Karatzoglou, A., Amatriain, X., Baltrunas, L., & Oliver, N. (2010). Multiverse recommendation. *ACM Recommender Systems*, 79-81.
- Karimi, M., Jannach, D., & Jugovac, M. (2018). News recommender systems Survey and roads ahead. *Information Processing and Management*, 54(6), 1203–1227.
- Kim, D., Park, C., Oh, J., Lee, S., & Yu, H. (2016). Convolutional Matrix Factorization for Document Context-Aware Recommendation. *Proceedings of* the 10th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems - RecSys '16, 233–240.
- Kim, D., Park, C., Oh, J., & Yu, H. (2017). Deep hybrid recommender systems via exploiting document context and statistics of items. *Information Sciences*, 417,

72-87.

- Kim, Y. (2014). Convolutional Neural Networks for Sentence Classification. Proceedings of the 2014 Emperical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP), 23–31.
- Kingma, D. P., & Ba, J. L. (2015). ADAM: a method for stochastic optimization. 1– 15.
- Ko, Y.-J., Maystre, L., Grossglauser, M., Durrant, R. J., & Kim, K.-E. (2016).
 Collaborative Recurrent Neural Networks for Dynamic Recommender Systems. *Jmlr*, 63, 366–381.
- Koren, Y., Bell, R., & Volinsky, C. (2009). Matrix factorization techniques for recommender systems. *Computer*, 42(8), 30–37.
- Kotkov, D., Wang, S., & Veijalainen, J. (2016). A survey of serendipity in recommender systems. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 111, 180–192.
- Krohn-Grimberghe, A., Drumond, L., Freudenthaler, C., & Schmidt-Thieme, L. (2012). Multi-relational matrix factorization using Bayesian personalized ranking for social network data. WSDM 2012 - Proceedings of the 5th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining, (Siso), 173–182.
- Kunaver, M., & Požrl, T. (2017). Diversity in recommender systems A survey. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 123, 154–162.
- Lakkaraju, H., Socher, R., & Manning, C. D. (2014). Aspect Specific Sentiment Analysis using Hierarchical Deep Learning. NIPS WS on Deep Neural Networks and Representation Learning, 212, 1–9.
- Li, S., Kawale, J., & Fu, Y. (2015). Deep Collaborative Filtering via Marginalized Denoising Auto-encoder. Proceedings of the 24th ACM International on Conference on Information and Knowledge Management - CIKM '15, 811–820.
- Li, X., & Lam, W. (2018). Deep Multi-Task Learning for Aspect Term Extraction with Memory Interaction. Proceedings Of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, 2886–2892.
- Liang, D., Krishnan, R. G., Hoffman, M. D., & Jebara, T. (2018). Variational Autoencoders for Collaborative Filtering. *Proceedings of The 2018Web Conference (WWW2018).* 25-41, ACM.
- Ling, G., Lyu, M. R., & King, I. (2014). Ratings meet reviews, a combined approach to recommend. *Proceedings of the 8th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems - RecSys '14*, 105–112.

- Liu, B. (2012). Sentiment analysis and opinion mining. In *Synthesis Lectures on Human Language Technologies* (1st ed., Vol. 5).
- Liu, P., Joty, S., & Meng, H. (2015). Fine-grained opinion mining with recurrent neural networks and word embeddings. *Proceedings of the 2015 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-2015)*, 1433– 1443.
- Liu, W., Wang, Z., Liu, X., Zeng, N., Liu, Y., & Alsaadi, F. E. F. E. (2017). A survey of deep neural network architectures and their applications. *Neurocomputing*, 234(October 2016), 11–26.
- Liu, X., Ouyang, Y., Rong, W., & Xiong, Z. (2015). Item Category Aware Conditional Restricted Boltzmann Machine Based Recommendation Xiaomeng. *ICONIP 2015*, 9492, 609–616.
- Lu, Jiasen, Yang, J., Batra, D., & Parikh, D. (2016). Hierarchical Question-Image Co-Attention for Visual Question Answering. *NiIPS'16*, 289–297.
- Lu, Jie, Wu, D., Mao, M., Wang, W., & Zhang, G. (2015). Recommender system application developments: A survey. *Decision Support Systems*, 74, 12–32.
- Lu, Y., Smyth, B., Dong, R., & Smyth, B. (2018). Coevolutionary Recommendation Model: Mutual Learning between Ratings and Reviews. *Proceedings of the* 2018 World Wide Web Conference on World Wide Web - WWW '18, 773–782.
- Luo, H., Li, T., Liu, B., Wang, B., & Unger, H. (2018). Improving Aspect Term Extraction with Bidirectional Dependency Tree Representation. 34-51.
- Luo, L., Zhang, S., Wang, Y., & Peng, H. (2018). An alternate method between generative objective and discriminative objective in training classification Restricted Boltzmann Machine. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 144, 144–152.
- Ma, Y., Peng, H., & Cambria, E. (2018). Targeted Aspect-Based Sentiment Analysis via Embedding Commonsense Knowledge into an Attentive LSTM. *Aaai*, 5876–5883.
- Maria, P., Dimitrios, G., Haris, P., & Suresh, M. (2015). SemEval-2015 Task 12:
 Aspect Based Sentiment Analysis. *Proceedings Ofthe 9th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation (SemEval 2015)*, 486–495.
- McAuley, J, & Leskovec, J. (2013). Hidden factors and hidden topics: understanding rating dimensions with review text. *Proceedings of the 7th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems*, 165–172.
- McAuley, Julian, & Leskovec, J. Hidden factors and hidden topics: Understanding

rating dimensions with review text., *RecSys 2013 - Proceedings of the 7th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems*, 78-82.

- Medhat, W., Hassan, A., & Korashy, H. (2014). Sentiment analysis algorithms and applications: A survey. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 5(4), 1093–1113.
- Mei, Q., Ling, X., Wondra, M., Su, H., & Zhai, C. (2007). Topic sentiment mixture: Modeling facets and opinions in weblogs. 16th International World Wide Web Conference, WWW2007, 171–180.
- Mikolov, T., Yih, W., & Zweig, G. (2013). Linguistic regularities in continuous space word representations. *Proceedings of NAACL-HLT*, 746–751.
- Nadrowski, P., Chudek, J., Grodzicki, T., Mossakowska, M., Skrzypek, M., Wiecek, Kozakiewicz, K. (2013). Show, Attend and Tell: Neural Image Caption Generation with Visual Attention. *Experimental Gerontology*, 48(9), 852–857.
- Nguyen, H. T., & Le Nguyen, M. (2018). Interactive Attention Networks for Aspect-Level Sentiment Classification. Proceedings of 2018 10th International Conference on Knowledge and Systems Engineering, KSE 2018, 25–30.
- Nie, Y., Liu, Y., & Yu, X. (2014). Weighted Aspect-Based Collaborative Filtering. *SIGIR'14*, 1071–1074.
- Nweke, H. F., Teh, Y. W., Al-garadi, M. A., & Alo, U. R. (2018). Deep learning algorithms for human activity recognition using mobile and wearable sensor networks: State of the art and research challenges. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 105, 233–261.
- Osia, S. A., Shamsabadi, A. S., Taheri, A., Rabiee, H. R., Lane, N. D., & Haddadi, H. (2017). A Hybrid Deep Learning Architecture for Privacy-Preserving Mobile Analytics.
- Pacheco, A. G. C., Krohling, R. A., & da Silva, C. A. S. (2018). Restricted Boltzmann machine to determine the input weights for extreme learning machines. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 96, 77–85.
- Pennington, J., Socher, R., & Manning, C. (2014). Glove: Global Vectors for Word Representation. Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP), 1532–1543.
- Pham, D. H., & Le, A. C. (2018). Exploiting multiple word embeddings and one-hot character vectors for aspect-based sentiment analysis. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, 103, 1–10.
- Pontiki, M., & Pavlopoulos, J. (2014). SemEval-2014 Task 4: Aspect Based

Sentiment Analysis. *Proceedings of the 8th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation*, (SemEval), 27–35.

- Popescu, A.-M., & Etzioni, O. (2005). Extracting Product Features and Opinion from Reviews. Human Language Technology and Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, Vancouver, British Columbia, (October), 339–346.
- Popescu, A., & Etzioni, O. (2005). Extracting Product Features and Opinion from Reviews. Human Language Technology and Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, Vancouver, British Columbia, 339–346.
- Poria, S., Cambria, E., & Gelbukh, A. (2016a). Aspect extraction for opinion mining with a deep convolutional neural network. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 108, 42–49.
- Poria, S., Cambria, E., & Gelbukh, A. (2016b). Aspect Extraction for Opinion Miningwith a Deep Convolutional Neural Network. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 108, 42–49.
- Poria, S., Cambria, E., Ku, L.-W., Gui, C., & Gelbukh, A. (2014). A Rule-Based Approach to Aspect Extraction from Product Reviews. Second Workshop on Natural Language Processing for Social Media (SocialNLP), 28–37.
- Pouyanfar, S., Sadiq, S., Yan, Y., Tian, H., Tao, Y., Reyes, M. P., Iyengar, S. S. (2018). A survey on deep learning: Algorithms, techniques, and applications. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 51(5), 1–36.
- Puglisi, S., Parra-Arnau, J., Forné, J., & Rebollo-Monedero, D. (2015). On contentbased recommendation and user privacy in social-tagging systems. *Computer Standards and Interfaces*, 41, 17–27.
- Qiu, G., Liu, B., Bu, J., & Chen, C. (2011). Opinion word expansion and target extraction through double propagation. *Computational Linguistics*, *37*(1), 9–27.
- Qiu, L., Gao, S., Cheng, W., & Guo, J. (2016). Aspect-based latent factor model by integrating ratings and reviews for recommender system. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 110, 233–243.
- Ravi, K., & Ravi, V. (2015). A survey on opinion mining and sentiment analysis: Tasks, approaches and applications. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 89(2015), 14–46.
- Resnick, P., Varian, H. R., & Editors, G. (1997). *Recommender System.* 40(3), 56–58.
- Rong, W., Nie, Y., Ouyang, Y., Peng, B., & Xiong, Z. (2014). Auto-encoder based

bagging architecture for sentiment analysis. *Journal of Visual Languages and Computing*, 25(6), 840–849.

- Ruder, S., Ghaffari, P., & Breslin, J. G. (2016). INSIGHT-1 at SemEval-2016 Task
 5: Deep learning for multilingual aspect-based sentiment analysis. *SemEval* 2016 - 10th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation, Proceedings, 330– 336.
- Sainath, T. N., Kingsbury, B., Mohamed, A.-R., Dahl, G. E., Saon, G., Soltau, H., Ramabhadran, B. (2013). Improvements to deep convolutional neural networks for LVCSR. 2013 IEEE Workshop on Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding, ASRU 2013 - Proceedings, 315–320.
- Salakhutdinov, R, & Mnih, A. (2007). Probabilistic Matrix Factorization. Proc. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 20 (NIPS 07), 1257–1264.
- Salakhutdinov, Ruslan, Mnih, A., & Hinton, G. (2007). Restricted Boltzmann Machines for Collaborative Filtering. *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Machine Learning*, 791–798.
- Salakhutdinov, Ruslan, Mnih, A., & Hinton, G. (2016). Restricted Boltzmann Machines for Collaborative Filtering. *ICML Workshop on Human Interpretability in Machine Learning*, 791–798.
- Saleh, A. I., El Desouky, A. I., & Ali, S. H. (2015). Promoting the performance of vertical recommendation systems by applying new classification techniques. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 75, 192–223.
- Scaffidi, C., Bierhoff, K., Chang, E., Felker, M., Ng, H., & Jin, C. (2007). Red Opal: Product-feature scoring from reviews. EC'07 - Proceedings of the Eighth Annual Conference on Electronic Commerce, 182–191.
- Schmidhuber, J. (2015). Deep Learning in neural networks: An overview. *Neural Networks*, 61, 85–117.
- Schouten, K., & Frasincar, F. (2016). Survey on Aspect-Level Sentiment Analysis. *ieee transactions on knowledge and data engineering*, 28(3), 813–830.
- Sedhain, S., Menon, A. K., Sanner, S., & Xie, L. (2015). AutoRec: Autoencoders Meet Collaborative Filtering. WWW 2015 Companion: Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on World Wide Web, 111–112.
- Seo, S., Huang, J., Yang, H., & Liu, Y. (2017). Interpretable Convolutional Neural Networks with Dual Local and Global Attention for Review Rating Prediction. Proceedings of the Eleventh ACM Conference on Recommender Systems -

RecSys

- Seo, Y. D., Kim, Y. G., Lee, E., & Baik, D. K. (2017). Personalized recommender system based on friendship strength in social network services. *Expert Systems* with Applications, 69, 135–148.
- Shen, T., Zhou, T., Long, G., Jiang, J., Pan, S., & Zhang, C. (2017). DiSAN: Directional Self-Attention Network for RNN/CNN-Free Language Understanding. 5446–5455.
- Shi, Y., Larson, M., & Hanjalic, A. (2014). Collaborative filtering beyond the useritem matrix: A survey of the state of the art and future challenges. ACM Computing Surveys, 47(1), 1–45.
- Silhavy, R., Senkerik, R., Oplatkova, Z. K., Silhavy, P., & Prokopova, Z. (2016). Artificial intelligence perspectives in intelligent systems: Proceedings of the 5th computer science on-line conference 2016 (CSOC2016), vol 1. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, 464, 249–261.
- Strub, F., Mary, J., & Gaudel, R. (2016). Hybrid Collaborative Filtering with Autoencoders. *Inter- National Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*.
- Strub, F., Mary, J., Strub, F., Mary, J., Filtering, C., Autoencoders, D., & Strub, F. (2015). Collaborative Filtering with Stacked Denoising AutoEncoders and Sparse Inputs. *NIPS Workshop on Machine Learning for ECommerce, Dec* 2015, 1–9.
- Sundararajan, K., & Woodard, D. L. (2018). Deep learning for biometrics: A survey. *ACM Computing Surveys*, *51*(3), 1–34.
- Suzuki, Y., & Ozaki, T. (2017). Stacked denoising autoencoder-based deep collaborative filtering using the change of similarity. *Proceedings - 31st IEEE International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications Workshops, WAINA 2017*, 498–502.
- Tan, Y. K., Xu, X., & Liu, Y. (2016). Improved recurrent neural networks for session-based recommendations. ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, 15-Septemb, 17–22.
- Tan, Y., Zhang, M., Liu, Y., & Ma, S. (2016). Rating-boosted latent topics: Understanding users and items with ratings and reviews. *IJCAI International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2016-Janua, 2640–2646.
- Tay, Y., Tuan, L. A., & Hui, S. C. (2018). Multi-pointer co-attention networks for recommendation. *Proceedings of the ACM SIGKDD International Conference*

on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, 2309–2318.

- Toh, Z., & Su, J. (2016). NLANGP at SemEval-2016 Task 5: Improving Aspect Based Sentiment Analysis using Neural Network Features. *Proceedings of* SemEval-2016, 282–288.
- Toh, Z., & Wang, W. (2014). DLIREC: Aspect Term Extraction and Term Polarity Classification System. Proceedings of the 8th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation (SemEval 2014), 235–240.
- Toutanova, K., & Manning, C. D. (2000). Enriching the knowledge sources used in a maximum entropy part-of-speech tagger. 63–70.
- Tuan, T. X., & Phuong, T. M. (2017). 3D convolutional networks for session-based recommendation with content features. *RecSys 2017 - Proceedings of the 11th* ACM Conference on Recommender Systems, 138–146.
- Wang, B., Liakata, M., Zubiaga, A., & Procter, R. (2017). TDParse: Multi-targetspecific sentiment recognition on Twitter. 15th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics, EACL 2017 -
- Wang, F., & Chen, L. (2015). Review mining for estimating users' ratings and weights for product aspects. Web Intelligence, 13(3), 137–152.
- Wang, H., & Ester, M. (2014a). A sentiment-aligned topic model for product aspect rating prediction. EMNLP 2014 - 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, Proceedings of the Conference, 1192–1202.
- Wang, H., & Ester, M. (2014b). A Sentiment-aligned Topic Model for Product Aspect Rating Prediction. Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP), 1192–1202.
- Wang, H., Wang, N., & Yeung, D. Y. (2015a). Collaborative deep learning for recommender systems. Proceedings of the ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, 2015-Augus, 1235– 1244.
- Wang, H., Wang, N., & Yeung, D. Y. (2015b). Collaborative deep learning for recommender systems. Proceedings of the ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, 2015-Augus, 1235– 1244.
- Wang, J., Chen, Y., Hao, S., Peng, X., & Hu, L. (2018). Deep learning for sensorbased activity recognition : A Survey. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 0, 1–9.
- Wang, N., Wang, H., Jia, Y., & Yin, Y. (2018). Explainable Recommendation via

Multi-Task Learning in Opinionated Text Data.

- Wang, W., Pan, S. J., Dahlmeier, D., & Xiao, X. (2016a). Recursive Neural Conditional Random Fields for Aspect-based Sentiment Analysis. *Proceedings* of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-16), 616–626.
- Wang, W., Pan, S. J., Dahlmeier, D., & Xiao, X. (2016b). Recursive Neural Conditional Random Fields for Aspect-based Sentiment Analysis Wenya.
- Wang, Xinxi, & Wang, Y. (2014). Improving Content-based and Hybrid Music Recommendation using Deep Learning. MM'14, ACM, 627–636.
- Wang, Xuejian, Yu, L., Ren, K., Tao, G., Zhang, W., Yu, Y., & Wang, J. (2017). Dynamic Attention Deep Model for Article Recommendation by Learning Human Editors' Demonstration. Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining - KDD '17.
- Wang, Y., Liu, Y., & Yu, X. (2012). Collaborative filtering with aspect-based opinion mining: A tensor factorization approach. *Proceedings - IEEE International Conference on Data Mining, ICDM*, 1152–1157.
- Wu, Haibing, Gu, Y., Sun, S., & Gu, X. (2016). Aspect-based Opinion Summarization with Convolutional Neural Networks. *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*, 2016-Octob, 3157–3163.
- Wu, Hao, Zhang, Z., Yue, K., Zhang, B., He, J., & Sun, L. (2018). Dual-regularized matrix factorization with deep neural networks for recommender systems. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 145, 1–14.
- Wu, J., Wang, Z., Wu, Y., Liu, L., Deng, S., & Huang, H. (2017). A Tensor CP Decomposition Method for Clustering Heterogeneous Information Networks via Stochastic Gradient Descent Algorithms. *Scientific Programming*, 2017.
- Wu, S., Ren, W., Yu, C., Chen, G., Zhang, D., & Zhu, J. (2016). Personal recommendation using deep recurrent neural networks in NetEase. 2016 IEEE 32nd International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE), 63, 1218–1229.
- Wu, Y., DuBois, C., Zheng, A. X., Ester, M., Wu, Y., DuBois, C., Ester, M. (2016). Collaborative Denoising Auto-Encoders for Top-N Recommender Systems. Proceedings of the Ninth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining - WSDM '16, 153–162.
- Wu, Y., & Ester, M. (2015). FLAME: A Probabilistic model combining aspect based

opinion mining and collaborative filtering. WSDM 2015 - Proceedings of the 8th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining, 199–208.

- Xu, H., Liu, B., Shu, L., & Yu, P. S. (2018a). Double embeddings and cnn-based sequence labeling for aspect extraction. ACL 2018 - 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, Proceedings of the Conference (Long Papers), 2, 592–598.
- Xu, H., Liu, B., Shu, L., & Yu, P. S. (2018b). Double Embeddings and CNN-based Sequence Labeling for Aspect Extraction. *Proceedings Of the 56th Annual Meeting Of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 592–601.
- Yang, C., Yu, X., Liu, Y., Nie, Y., & Wang, Y. (2016a). Collaborative filtering with weighted opinion aspects. *Neurocomputing*, 210, 185–196.
- Yang, C., Yu, X., Liu, Y., Nie, Y., & Wang, Y. (2016b). Collaborative filtering with weighted opinion aspects. *Neurocomputing*, 210, 185–196.
- Yang, M., & Qu, Q. (2018). Aspect and Sentiment Aware Abstractive Review Summarization. 1110–1120.
- Young, T., Hazarika, D., Poria, S., & Cambria, E. (2018). Recent trends in deep learning based natural language processing [Review Article]. *IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine*, 13(3), 55–75.
- Zhang, C., Patras, P., & Haddadi, H. (2019). Deep Learning in Mobile and Wireless Networking: A Survey. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 21(3), 2224–2287.
- Zhang, S., Yao, L., & Sun, A. (2017a). *Deep Learning based Recommender System:* A Survey and New Perspectives. 1(1), 1–35.
- Zhang, S., Yao, L., & Sun, A. (2017b). Deep Learning based Recommender System: A Survey and New Perspectives. ACM J. Comput. Cult. Herit. Article, 1(35), 1– 35.
- Zhang, S., Yao, L., Sun, A., & Tay, Y. (2019). Deep learning based recommender system: A survey and new perspectives. ACM Computing Surveys, 52(1).
- Zhang, Y., Lai, G., Zhang, M., Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., & Ma, S. (2014a). Explicit factor models for explainable recommendation based on phrase-level sentiment analysis. *Proceedings of the 37th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research & Development in Information Retrieval*, 83–92.
- Zhang, Y., Lai, G., Zhang, M., Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., & Ma, S. (2014b). Explicit Factor Models for explainable recommendation based on phrase-level sentiment

analysis. *SIGIR* 2014 - *Proceedings of the 37th International ACM SIGIR* Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, 83–92.

- Zheng, L., Noroozi, V., & Yu, P. S. (2017). Joint deep modeling of users and items using reviews for recommendation. WSDM 2017 - Proceedings of the 10th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining, 425–433.
- Zuo, Y., Zeng, J., Gong, M., & Jiao, L. (2016). Tag-aware recommender systems based on deep neural networks. *Neurocomputing*, 204, 51–60.

APENDIX A: LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Da'u, Aminu, and Naomie Salim. (2019). Recommendation System Based on Deep Learning Methods: A Systematic Review and New Directions. Artificial Intelligence Review. Springer Netherlands. (Published_WOS and SCOPUS Indexed Impact Factor: 5.10, Q1)
- Da'U, Aminu, and Naomie Salim. (2019). "Sentiment-Aware Deep Recommender System with Neural Attention Networks." IEEE Access 7: 45472–84. (Published WOS and SCOPUS Indexed Impact Factor: 4.098, Q1)
- Da'u, Aminu, Naomie Salim, Idris Rabiu, and Akram Osman. (2020). "Weighted Aspect-Based Opinion Mining Using Deep Learning for Recommender System." Expert Systems with Applications 140: 112871. <u>Published</u> WOS and SCOPUS Indexed Impact Factor: 4.292, Q1)
- Da,u Aminu, Naomie Salim, Idris Rabiu, and Akram Osman. (2019). "Recommendation System Exploiting Aspect-Based Opinion Mining with Deep Learning Method." Information Sciences, no. xxxx. <u>Published</u> WOS and SCOPUS Indexed Impact Factor: 5.524, Q1)
- Da'u, Aminu, and Naomie Salim. (2019). "Aspect Extraction on User Textual Reviews Using Multi-Channel Convolutional Neural Network." PeerJ Computer Science 2019 (5): 0–16. <u>Published</u> WOS and SCOPUS Indexed)

- Da,u Aminu, Naomie Salim, Idris Rabiu, and Akram Osman. (2019). "Aspect Extraction on User Textual Reviews Using lexicon enhanced Deep Convolutional Neural Network. PARS 2019. <u>Conference paper: Best Presenter Award.</u>
- 7. **Da,u Aminu**, Naomie Salim, Idris Rabiu, .(2020). "Aspect-Opinion Terms Co-Extraction Based on the Lexicalized Convolutional Neural Network." International Graduate Conference Engineering, Science and Humanities (IGCESH 2020).
- 8. **Da,u Aminu**, Naomie Salim, Idris Rabiu, (2020). "Adaptive Context-Aware Recommender System with Neural Network." Knowledge-based System, no. xxxx. <u>Accepted WOS</u> and SCOPUS Indexed Impact Factor: 5.921, Q1)
- Da,u Aminu, Naomie Salim, Idris Rabiu, (2020). "Multi-level Attentive Deep User-Item Representation Learning for Recommendation System "Neurocomputing", no. xxxx. <u>Accepted</u> WOS and SCOPUS Indexed Impact Factor: (4.38, Q1
- Da,u Aminu, Naomie Salim, Idris Rabiu, (2020). "Lexicon augmented aspect extraction using deep learning methods." Applied Soft Computing. (Under<u>Review</u> WOS and SCOPUS Indexed Impact Factor: 4.21, Q1)