

COMPUTER BASED ASSESSMENT ACCEPTANCE MODEL FOR
SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN SAUDI ARABIA

YAHYA HAKAMI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Computing
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2020

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my family, who taught me that the best kind of knowledge to have is that which is learned for its own sake. It is also dedicated to my mother, who taught me that even the largest task can be accomplished if it is done one step at a time.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my deepest appreciation to all those who helped me, in one way or another, to complete this thesis. First and foremost, I thank Allah almighty who provided me with strength, direction and showered me with blessings throughout the years. My sincerest gratitude to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ab Razak Bin Che Hussin for his continuous guidance and support. With his expert guidance and immense knowledge, I was able to overcome all the obstacles that I encountered during my journey of Research I could not have imagine having a better advisor and mentor.

Many thanks to the colleagues of the Faculty of Computing, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, for their idea's sharing and discussions.

Sincere appreciation to all Faculty of Computing, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, lecturers and staff for their support and guidance during my research period.

Special thanks to my parents, family, and all my lovely friends for their continuous encouragement and support throughout the time.

ABSTRACT

Computer-based assessment (CBA) has significantly remodelled educational evaluation processes and allowed teachers to better manage growing number of students especially in secondary schools in Saudi Arabia. However, secondary school students are showing resistance to accept CBA systems, and the factors causing this resistance to CBA systems have remained matters of speculation. Using a modified empirically validated model, the present study systematically established major determinants of this resistance by drawing on the well-known Computer-Based Assessment Acceptance Model (CBAAM). The CBAAM model is an efficient model but fails to consider some other factors that will majorly affect the acceptance and use of CBA systems. The researcher carried out a systematic literature review for the period of 2007–2018, followed by a field assessment from 10 secondary schools in Saudi Arabia, where three major factors (computer attitude, computer anxiety and computer literacy) affecting CBA system acceptance were extracted from the researcher's interaction with the students. Drawing from the field assessment, the existing CBAAM model is extended resulting in a comprehensive model with 22 hypotheses. Thereafter, a questionnaire was developed and the content is validated using 15 experts comprising of 9 academics and 6 practitioners. The model was evaluated with 565 responses which comprises of 274 males and 289 females. The Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) technique was used in the evaluation. The result showed that 17 out of the 22 hypotheses were found to be significant and explained 74% of the variance. The most important factors from the significant relationships are 'perceived usefulness', 'perceived playfulness', 'content' and 'computer attitude' which were identified using the Importance-Performance Map Analysis (IPMA). Furthermore, results confirmed that secondary school student's 'behavioural intention' towards CBA acceptance is directly influenced by 'computer anxiety', 'content', 'perceived playfulness' and 'perceived usefulness'. While, 'facilitating conditions', 'goal expectancy', 'computer attitude' and 'perceived ease of use' showed indirect influence. This study's results can effectively guide educationists and decision makers to better manage CBA resistance and improve its acceptance by secondary school students in Saudi Arabia.

ABSTRAK

Pentaksiran berasaskan komputer (CBA) telah mengstruktur kembali proses penilaian pendidikan dengan jelas dan membolehkan guru menguruskan peningkatan jumlah pelajar dengan lebih baik. Walau bagaimanapun, para pelajar menunjukkan penolakan dalam penerimaan sistem CBA, dan faktor-faktor yang menyebabkan penolakan terhadap sistem CBA ini telah mewujudkan spekulasi permasalahan. Dengan menggunakan model yang disahkan secara empirikal, kajian ini secara sistematik mewujudkan penentu utama terhadap penolakan ini dengan menggunakan Model Penerimaan Penilaian Berasaskan Komputer (CBAAM) yang dikenali secara meluas. Penyelidik melakukan tinjauan literatur sistematik dari tempoh 2007 hingga 2018, hal ini diikuti dengan penilaian lapangan dari 10 sekolah menengah di Arab Saudi; di mana tiga faktor utama (sikap terhadap komputer, kegelisahan komputer dan literasi komputer) yang mempengaruhi penerimaan sistem CBA telah diambil dari interaksi antara penyelidik dengan pelajar. Berdasarkan penilaian bidang yang berkaitan, model CBAAM yang sedia ada telah diperluaskan skop sehingga menghasilkan model yang komprehensif dengan melibatkan 22 hipotesis. Sehubungan dengan itu, borang soal selidik disediakan dan isi kandungannya disahkan dengan melibatkan 15 pakar rujuk yang terdiri daripada 9 ahli akademik dan 6 pengamal aktif di dalam isu berkaitan. Model tersebut dinilai dengan 565 responden yang terdiri daripada 274 lelaki dan 289 wanita. Teknik Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) digunakan dalam proses penilaian. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa 17 daripada 22 hipotesis didapati signifikan dan merangkumi 74% varians. Faktor-faktor yang paling penting dari hubungan yang signifikan adalah 'kegunaan yang dirasakan', 'keseronokan yang dirasakan', 'isi kandungan' dan 'sikap terhadap komputer' yang dikenal pasti menggunakan Analisis Peta Kepentingan-Prestasi (IPMA). Tambahan pula, keputusan kajian mengesahkan bahawa 'niat tingkah laku' pelajar sekolah menengah terhadap penerimaan CBA secara langsung dipengaruhi oleh 'kegelisahan menggunakan komputer', 'isi kandungan', 'keseronokan yang dirasakan' dan 'kegunaan yang dirasakan'. Sementara itu, 'syarat pemudahcaraan', 'jangkaan tujuan' dan 'kemudahan penggunaan yang dirasakan' menunjukkan pengaruh secara tidak langsung. Hasil kajian ini berhasil membimbing para pendidik dan pihak pembuat keputusan untuk menguruskan penolakan CBA dengan lebih baik dan meningkatkan penerimaannya dikalangan pelajar sekolah menengah di Arab Saudi secara lebih berkesan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiv
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xix
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xx
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Background	3
	1.3 Problem Statement and Research Questions	6
	1.4 Research Objectives	8
	1.5 Scope of the Research	8
	1.6 Significance of the Research	9
	1.7 Thesis Structure	10
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	11
	2.1 Introduction	11
	2.2 Overview of E-learning, E-assessment and CBA	13
	2.3 Computer-based Assessment Versus Paper-based Assessment	14
	2.4 Educational System in Saudi Arabia	15
	2.4.1 Primary Education	15

2.4.2	Middle Education	15
2.4.3	Secondary Education	16
2.5	Computer-based Assessment in Saudi Arabia	16
2.6	A Systematic Literature Review	19
2.6.1	Methodology	19
2.6.1.1	Review Protocol	20
2.6.1.2	Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	22
2.6.1.3	Search Strategy	22
2.6.1.4	Study Selection Process	23
2.6.1.5	Quality Assessment	23
2.6.1.6	Data Extraction and Synthesis	25
2.6.2	Publication Source Overview	26
2.6.3	Temporal View of Publications	28
2.6.4	Citation status	31
2.6.5	Research Methodologies of Previous Studies	32
2.6.6	Results of Systematic Literature Review Questions	33
2.7	Field Assessment	45
2.8	Theories and Models of Technology Acceptance	46
2.8.1	Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA)	46
2.8.2	Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)	47
2.8.3	Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)	49
2.8.4	Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT)	50
2.8.5	Computer based Assessment Acceptance Model (CBAAM)	51
2.9	Research Gap	55
2.10	Discussion	56
2.11	Chapter Summary	57
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	59
3.1	Introduction	59
3.2	Research Paradigm	59
3.3	Research Approaches	61

3.4	Research Design	63
3.4.1	Phase 1: Literature Review	65
3.4.2	Phase 2: Research Model and Survey Development	66
3.4.2.1	Identify Target Audience	67
3.4.2.2	Sampling Strategy	68
3.4.2.3	Sample Size	69
3.4.2.4	Development of Survey Instrument	70
3.4.2.5	Face Validation	71
3.4.2.6	Content Validity	72
3.4.2.7	Pilot Study	75
3.4.3	Phase 3: Data Collection and Analysis	76
3.4.3.1	Conducting the Survey	76
3.4.3.2	Survey Data Analysis	77
3.4.3.3	Assessment of Measurement Model	79
3.4.3.4	Importance-Performance Map Analysis (IPMA)	81
3.4.4	Phase 4: Conclusions and Implications	82
3.5	Chapter Summary	82
CHAPTER 4	MODEL DEVELOPMENT AND INSTRUMENT	83
VALIDATION		
4.1	Introduction	83
4.2	Model Development	83
4.2.1	Factor Derivation	84
4.3	The Proposed Research Model	87
4.4	Hypothesis Development	88
4.4.1	Content	88
4.4.2	Computer Attitude	89
4.4.3	Computer Self-Efficacy	90
4.4.4	Computer Literacy	91
4.4.5	Computer Anxiety	91
4.4.6	Facilitating Conditions	92
4.4.7	Perceived Usefulness	93

4.4.8	Goal Expectancy	94
4.4.9	Perceived Playfulness	95
4.4.10	Perceived Ease of Use	96
4.4.11	Social Influence	97
4.5	Instrument Design	98
4.6	Instrument Validation	102
4.6.1	Face Validation	102
4.6.2	Content Validity	103
4.6.2.1	Content Validity Index	104
4.7	Pilot Study	111
4.7.1	Validity and Reliability Analysis	111
4.8	Chapter Summary	115
CHAPTER 5	DATA AND MODEL VALIDATION	117
5.1	Introduction	117
5.2	Demographic Data	117
5.3	Data Analysis	119
5.3.1	Assessment of Measurement Model	119
5.3.1.1	Internal Consistency Reliability	120
5.3.1.2	Convergent Validity	121
5.3.1.3	Discriminant Validity	123
5.3.2	Assessment of Structural Model	126
5.3.2.1	Collinearity Assessment	128
5.3.2.2	Structural Model Path Assessment	128
5.3.2.3	Test of Hypothesis	129
5.3.2.4	Coefficient of Determination	135
5.3.2.5	Effect Size	135
5.3.2.6	Assessment of Predictive Relevance	136
5.3.2.7	Summary of Structural Model Assessment	138
5.3.3	Importance-Performance Map Analysis (IPMA)	139
5.4	Discussion of Results	143

5.4.1	Hypothesis 1,2,3,4: Content	143
5.4.2	Hypothesis 5,6: Computer Attitude	144
5.4.3	Hypothesis 7: Computer Self-Efficacy	145
5.4.4	Hypothesis 8: Computer Literacy	146
5.4.5	Hypothesis 9,10,11: Computer Anxiety	147
5.4.6	Hypothesis 12,13: Facilitating Condition	148
5.4.7	Hypothesis 14,15: Perceived Usefulness	149
5.4.8	Hypothesis 16, 17: Goal Expectancy	150
5.4.9	Hypothesis 18: Perceived Playfulness	150
5.4.10	Hypothesis 19,20,21: Perceived Ease of Use	151
5.4.11	Hypothesis 22: Social Influence	152
5.5	Chapter Summary	154
CHAPTER 6	CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS	157
6.1	Introduction	157
6.2	Research Achievements	157
6.2.1	Achievement of First Research Objective	158
6.2.2	Achievement of Second Research Objective	159
6.2.3	Achievement of Third Research Objective	160
6.3	Research Contributions and Implications	161
6.3.1	Theoretical Contributions	161
6.3.2	Practical Contributions	163
6.4	Limitations	164
6.5	Suggestions for Future Studies	164
6.5.1	Concluding Remarks	165

REFERENCES	167
APPENDIX A	191
APPENDIX B	206
APPENDIX C	208
APPENDIX D	216
APPENDIX E	222
APPENDIX F	227
APPENDIX G	232

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Educational system in Saudi Arabia	15
Table 2.2	Inclusion and exclusion criteria	22
Table 2.3	Data extraction form	26
Table 2.4	Sample of review studies	29
Table 2.5	List of journals with more than one article.	30
Table 2.6	Most cited studies.	32
Table 2.7	Significant factors in CBA acceptance and use	35
Table 2.7	The significant factors in CBA acceptance and use (Cont.)	36
Table 2.7	The significant factors in CBA acceptance and use (Cont.)	37
Table 2.8	Previous theories used in CBA acceptance	42
Table 2.9	Student's challenges in using CBA	44
Table 2.10	Comparison of theories in technology acceptance	54
Table 3.1	Research method used in previous studies	62
Table 3.2	Operational framework phase	66
Table 3.3	Research model and Survey development phase	67
Table 3.4	Stakeholders profile	73
Table 3.4	Stakeholders profile (continue)	74
Table 3.5	Criteria assessing measurement model	80
Table 3.6	Structural model assessment criteria	81
Table 4.1	Factor selection and their sources	86
Table 4.2	Summary of research model constructs and their causal links	98
Table 4.3	Constructs and their measurement items	100
Table 4.3	Constructs and their measurement items(continue)	101
Table 4.3	Constructs and their measurement items(continue)	102
Table 4.4	Experts' CVI evaluation scores for Simplicity of items	106
Table 4.4	Experts' CVI evaluation scores for Simplicity of measurement items (continue)	107

Table 4.5	Practitioners' CVI evaluation scores for Simplicity of measurement items	109
Table 4.5	Practitioners' CVI evaluation scores for Simplicity of measurement items (continue)	110
Table 4.6	Factor loading and reliability of constructs	112
Table 4.7	Item discriminant validity using Fornell-Larcker	113
Table 4.8	Cross-Loadings Correlation between constructs	114
Table 5.1	Descriptive characteristics of respondents	118
Table 5.2	Criteria of measurement model assessment	120
Table 5.3	Internal consistency reliability	121
Table 5.4	Convergent validity results	122
Table 5.5	Discriminant validity based on cross loading	125
Table 5.6	Discriminant validity based on Fornell-Larcker	126
Table 5.7	Criteria of structural model assessment	127
Table 5.8	Results of collinearity test	128
Table 5.9	Summary of the structural model analysis	134
Table 5.10	R ² coefficient of determination	135
Table 5.11	f ² effect size	136
Table 5.12	Results of predictive relevance assessment	137
Table 5.13	Latent variable index values	140
Table 5.14	Importance-Performance results	140

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Literature review map	12
Figure 2.2	Review protocol	21
Figure 2.3	Distribution of studies after QA	25
Figure 2.4	Journal publication and conferences	27
Figure 2.5	Weighted focus cloud	27
Figure 2.6	Temporal view of primary studies	30
Figure 2.7	Citation count of the chosen papers.	31
Figure 2.8	Distribution of research methodologies	33
Figure 2.9	Framework and theories used in selected studies	41
Figure 2.10	Theory of reasoned action (Ajzen and Fishbein, 1975)	47
Figure 2.11	Theory of planned behaviour (Ajzen, 1991)	48
Figure 2.12	Technology acceptance model (Davies, 1989)	49
Figure 2.13	Unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (Venkatesh et al., 2003b)	50
Figure 2.14	Computer based assessment acceptance model (Terzis & Economides, 2011)	52
Figure 3.1	Research Onion (Saunders et al., 2009)	64
Figure 3.2	Research design	65
Figure 3.3	G*power software result	70
Figure 3.4	Structural Equation Model (Urbach and Ahlemann, 2010)	78
Figure 4.1	The proposed CBA acceptance model	87
Figure 5.1	Measurement model results	123
Figure 5.2	Structural model assessment procedure (Hair et al. 2016)	126
Figure 5.3	Path coefficient of Structural model	129
Figure 5.4	CBA acceptance model	138
Figure 5.5	IPMA representation of the proposed model	141
Figure 5.6	Final model	154

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AVE	-	Average Variance Extracted
BI	-	Behavioural Intention
C	-	Content
CA	-	Computer Anxiety
CAC	-	Cronbach's Alpha Coefficient
CATT	-	Computer Attitude
CBA	-	Computer Based Assessment
CBAAM	-	Computer Based Assessment Acceptance Model
CBT	-	Computer Based Test
CL	-	Computer Literacy
CR	-	Composite Reliability
CSE	-	Computer Self-Efficacy
CVI	-	Content Validity Index
EP	-	Explanation and Prediction
FC	-	Facilitating Conditions
GE	-	Goal Expectancy
HEI	-	Higher Education Institution
ICT	-	Information and Communications Technology
IPMA	-	Importance-Performance Map Analysis
IRT	-	Item Response Theory
IS	-	Information System
IT	-	Information Technology
KSA	-	Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
LV	-	Latent Variables
NAEP	-	National Assessment of Educational Progress
PBA	-	Paper-Based Assessment
PEOU	-	Perceived Ease of Use
PLS	-	Partial Least Squares
PP	-	Perceived Playfulness
PU	-	Perceived Usefulness

PEOU		Perceived Ease of Use
QA	-	Quality Assessment
RQ	-	Research Question
SCT	-	Social Cognitive Theory
SEM	-	Structural Equation Modelling
SE		Self-Efficacy
SI	-	Social Influence
SLR	-	Systematic Literature Review
TAM	-	Technology Acceptance Model
TPB	-	Theory of Planned Behavior
TRA	-	Theory of Reasoned Action
UTAUT	-	Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology
UTM		University Technology Malaysia
VIF	-	Variance Inflation Factor

LIST OF SYMBOLS

R^2	-	Coefficient of Determination
η	-	Dependent Variable
f^2	-	Effect Size
α	-	Error Probability
ξ	-	Independent Variable
p	-	Path Coefficient Values
β	-	Path coefficients
£	-	Pound Sterling
Q^2	-	Predictive Relevance
q^2	-	Q^2 effect size
t	-	Standard Error Values

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Primary Studies	191
B	Quality Assessment Criterion	206
C	Content Validity Form	208
D	Survey Questionnaire	216
E	Content Validity Form (Arabic)	222
F	Pilot Survey Questionnaire (Arabic)	227
G	Final Survey Questionnaire (Arabic)	232

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Student assessments are vital to the evaluation of teaching quality and learners' subject knowledge (Nikou 2013; Faniran & Ajayi 2017). This essential component of any learning model measures overall student performance and involves systematic collection and analysis of student data, data interpretation, and actions taken regarding adverse performance outcomes (De & Do 2011). Tutors assess learners and learning output to provide informed and motivational directives based on the results (Maqableh *et al.* 2015). Assessment should not simply be done to gauge students' success; it must be used to improve their learning capabilities (Shute 2017). Information and Communications Technology (ICT) is widely used in higher educational settings with many applications to electronic learning and maintaining student records (Deutsch *et al.* 2012; Masa'deh, Shannak & Maqableh 2013; Maqableh *et al.* 2015). The introduction of these technologies marked a new era of precise, personalized, instantaneous and fascinating Computer Based Assessment (CBA) capabilities (Christakoudis *et al.* 2011).

CBA systems comprise electronic/digital forms of assessment (Nguyen *et al.* 2017). Current assessment techniques necessitate the use of ICT tools and applications (Terzis & Economides, 2011) which offer numerous benefits over conventional methods, including test security, cost reductions and less time as a result of the use of digital formats when assessing student progress (Nguyen *et al.* 2017). CBA's endless possibilities also involve processes that better enable students to identify and resolve complex tasks (Ras *et al.* 2013). With CBA's, attractive features include speed, cost-reduction, automated feedback, record maintenance, and a variety of very reliable collaborative assessment techniques (Drasgow, 2015). CBA has, therefore, become an

essential tool in student evaluation because it allows educators to save time and money while maintaining standard in student's evaluation (Deutsch *et al.* 2012).

Furthermore, contemporary global educational assessments techniques have abandoned Paper-Based Assessment methods (PBA) and embrace the CBA systems (Scherer *et al.* 2017). CBA is now preferred to traditional methods, especially in secondary and higher institutions (Maqableh *et al.* 2015). CBA systems also enable qualified learners to understand and resolve complex tasks (Greiff *et al.* 2016; Tempelaar *et al.* 2015). By using clever adaptation algorithms, assessment systems can also be tailored to fit a desired knowledge base by accessing enormous databases to allow a more precise selection of complementary items. Thus, it is possible to deliver different versions of the same assessment technique that is specifically tailored for different group of learners. Assessments can also be enhanced by directly addressing a learner's knowledge level. This increases accuracy by targeting learner abilities and help optimize preparation efficiency when selecting a specific level of difficulty for examinations.

The growing importance of CBA and its effect on education have substantially transformed the academic environment in Saudi Arabia (KSA) (Al-asmari & Khan, 2014). Saudi Arabia joined this race with other nations early on (Noor-Ul-Amin, 2013). However, even though the government made massive investments to develop public education (Albugami & Ahmed, 2015), these efforts did not translate to wider CBA acceptance. Moreover, few empirical studies on the use of CBA exists, and those that do, generally focus on CBA implementation rather than acceptance, especially by students (Dammam, 2016). Therefore, it is a necessity to examine factors that affect the acceptance of CBA systems in KSA.

1.2 Problem Background

Assessment procedures provide a useful feedback that measures learning progress (Zhang *et al.* 2017). Understanding advantages or hindrances to students' progress in learning environment is crucial to improving the performance of instruments, teachers, designers and learners (Soffer *et al.* 2017), especially for 21st century skills in problem solving, collaboration and information literacy. CBA systems can effectively measure all such areas in real time settings that simulate specific environments (Engelhardt *et al.* 2017). Secondary and post-secondary education researchers have examined CBA systems to determine whether or not students prefer traditional paper-based testing (Oduntan *et al.* 2015). Evidence consistently demonstrate that, post-secondary students are quick to accept CBA testing methods (Bloom *et al.* 2017). However, secondary school students, especially in KSA are not, and there is limited empirical literature on this issues. The Saudi government has shown keen interest in advancing an efficient strategy for ICT implementation in all educational domains especially assessment, and requires empirical studies on ICT acceptance, implementation and practice (Al-asmari & Khan, 2014).

Student assessment is fundamental to every learning paradigm (Maqableh *et al.* 2015). Hence, it is crucial to determine elements that affect learners' attitudes towards CBA usage for successful implementation. Nearly 25% of KSA's budget in 2015 was committed to education (\$36 billion), with substantial increases targeting technologies like CBA integration within national curricula as well as improved ICT facilities (Ministry of Finance, 2015). This colossal venture cannot yield the desired outcomes if students are unwilling to accept these systems. The literature reflects little to no assessment of student attitudes and responses to CBA usage (Dammas, 2016). Studies have also shown a distinct lack of student interest in accepting CBA as an effective means of evaluation (Faniran & Ajayi, 2018). This distinct lack of interest was accompanied by an endemic fear factor among KSA students, making it nearly impossible to achieve projected ICT objectives. The present research therefore provides empirical evidence of factors that influence CBA acceptance by students, thereby supporting the kingdom's aspirations for improved acceptance of CBA.

Furthermore, regular assessments and feedback delivery for large number of students requires a lot of time, which makes it unpleasant and impractical, yet a necessary task (Cazan & Cocorad, 2016). Technology have lightened this burden by light years. Smartphones, tablets, high-speed computers, Internet, wearable devices and virtual/augmented reality machines have stimulated countless innovations in assessment design, applications and practice (Shute & Rahimi, 2017). PBAs are therefore becoming archaic tools, as CBA assessment systems progressively advance with online adaptations (computer adaptive testing) for vibrant collaborative tasks and models (Pawasauskas *et al.* 2014). CBA's benefits include timely and important feedback with a personalized learning experience (Shute & Rahimi, 2017). Several researchers have investigated the influence of CBA with a focus on affordability and complexity compared to traditional assessment techniques (Nguyen *et al.* 2017). Although a priority for decision makers, any investment in CBA systems amounts to nothing, if students are unwilling to accept this technology. The present study provides a defined solution to CBA resistance by the Kingdom's secondary school students.

Faniran and Ajayi (2016) reported that even university students well acquainted with computers still face challenges when using CBA systems, one of which is, computer anxiety. Noting that secondary school students have little or no experience in CBA, some studies reveal a negative relation between anxiety and performance outcomes (Anisa & Miranda, 2011; Mamasseh, 2013; Lu *et al.* 2016). However, few studies have assessed any association between CBAs and test anxiety (Oduntan *et al.* 2015). Some writers posit that, inadequate computer skills raise the level of student anxiety, which invariably influences CBA performance outcomes (Olufemi & Oluwatayo, 2014). Hence, with a focus on Behavioral Intention (BI) in secondary school students, the present study investigate the factors that can mitigate anxiety and student resistance to CBA systems in Saudi Arabia.

The assessment of secondary school students in Saudi Arabia is fast becoming a difficult task as a result of the growing number of students. It is evidently clear all over the world, that a teacher who is supposed to teach a few number of students now has to take twice the required size of the class. As it is well known, assessing large

number of students consume much of the valuable time of these teachers that would have been channeled towards more productive activities. Thus, the need for the integration of CBA in the method of assessing secondary school students in Saudi Arabia.

Students play an important role in the usage of CBA to ensure successful deployment. The current CBA system employed by secondary schools in Saudi Arabia is very simple. The questions appearance were randomized for each student. Each question has four possible answers and a “next” button, which allow the students to move to the next question after he/she has answered the present question. The CBA system has been used by these students for some time with reservations. To have a first-hand understanding of the students’ reservations towards the use of CBA for assessment, the researcher was allowed access to these students during his visits and was able to interact with them on their preference for paper or computer based assessment. To the surprise of this researcher, about 90% of the students choose paper-based assessment as their preferred means of assessment. This aroused the interest of the researcher to find out the factors affecting the acceptance of CBA among these students.

In the process of identifying the major reason why these students prefer paper-based assessment, the researcher was able to realize the fear/anxiety of the students in changing their traditional mode of assessment. They mostly stay away from the computer system whenever possible. Their fear/anxiety of change has transformed into computer anxiety, as the computer is considered a major obstacle to their educational goal attainment. Additionally, from the assessment of the researcher, the students exhibit a negative attitude towards the use of any technology in their assessment. This has made them to form a rigid mindset towards any innovation with the believe that it will negatively affect their future goals. Furthermore, the literacy level of the students in terms of computer usage is quite low. This could be attributed to the general populace, because computer literacy level in the kingdom of Saudi Arabia is low (Alasmary *et al.*, 2014; Dammas, 2016). Thus, computer literacy level of the students

does not come as a surprise to the researcher. This could be a major reason why these students possess negative attitude and anxiety towards the use of CBA. In order to encourage the usage and acceptance of CBA in Saudi Arabia, these factors have to be studied and further explained to guide and enhance the usage of CBA among secondary school students. Thus, this research has taken this opportunity to investigate these factors as well as other factors from the literature to extend the knowledge of CBA acceptance in Saudi Arabia.

1.3 Problem Statement and Research Questions

Assessment is a core component of the learning process as it guides all stakeholders on the outcome of their educational investment. These assessments help the students to assess their learning priorities as well as their commitment to the achievement of their learning goals. Assessing few students is an interesting aspect as the teacher is at a liberty to give feedbacks and encouragement/guidance to the students. However, with the growing number of students, assessment has become a tedious and difficult task for teachers in Saudi Arabia. Thus, the integration of ICT facilities into the learning environment is a pressing need. Additionally, the massive investment in ICT and its integration will amount to nothing if these students are not willing to accept such technology.

Although Saudi Arabia has experienced enormous ICT growth due to massive investments, the extent of CBA implementation, adaptation and acceptance have yet to be fully investigated. Existing studies are either inadequate or non-practical and none address learner acceptance in secondary school settings (Albugami & Ahmed, 2015). Aside from institutional and administrative barriers, significant implementation demands CBA acceptance by learners many of whom are simply not prepared to accept these systems (Boevé *et al.* 2015). Researchers have therefore tried to classify factors that impact student behavioral intention regarding CBA systems, mostly because KSA's investments will amount to nothing if students remain resistant. Along with the increasing proliferation of ICT facilities, post-secondary students have eagerly

accepted CBA systems, which might be attributed to their level of experience as against that of secondary school students.

Furthermore, researchers have mostly looked at CBA's impacts with respect to affordability and complexity, and little attention has been given its acceptability. Noting that even the most experienced students face challenges when using CBA systems, including computer anxiety, a few studies did examine the relationship between CBA systems and computer anxiety (Oduntan *et al.* 2015). Secondary school students seem to be particularly vulnerable to computer anxiety, computer literacy and computer attitude as confirmed from the field assessment carried out by the researcher. Therefore, there is a need to empirically validate these factors and find ways for mitigation. With this in mind, the researcher developed a suitable research model to assess the factors affecting CBA acceptance by secondary school students in KSA.

Addressing key issues, our main research question is: "*How can CBA systems be accepted by secondary school students in Saudi Arabia?*" Three sub-questions were also formulated:

1. What are the factors influencing CBA acceptance by secondary school students in Saudi Arabia?
2. How to develop and evaluate an enhanced CBA acceptance model from the identified factors?
3. How to investigate the most important factors in the proposed model?

These questions posed will help the researcher to achieve the objectives of the research, as it will lead to the identification of factors affecting the acceptance of CBA in Saudi Arabia, formulate an acceptance model as well as determining the most important factors. This will be achieved with a thorough literature review and an on-field assessment of secondary schools and CBA practitioners in Saudi Arabia.

1.4 Research Objectives

To uncover issues that impact student resistance to CBA systems, the following objectives were targeted:

1. To identify the factors that influence CBA acceptance by secondary school students in Saudi Arabia.
2. To develop and evaluate an enhanced CBA acceptance models from the identified factors.
3. To investigate the most important factors from the proposed model.

1.5 Scope of the Research

This study focuses on secondary school students' intentions to accept CBA system. This study's respondents are secondary school students from the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. For the purpose of this study, the researcher investigated current CBA system practices in Saudi Arabia using 10 secondary schools from different genders (six females, four male) in order to establish the levels of CBA acceptance among the students. Secondary schools in Saudi Arabia are separated based on the two genders. The same curriculum is used for both the schools. More details on secondary school systems in Saudi Arabia is presented in Section 2.4. These schools have exposed their students to the use of CBA systems in assessing their performance for the past ten years. Additionally, only the courses taught in the secondary schools were assessed using CBA. The model development is based on the factors extracted from the interaction between the researcher and the students as well as from the practitioners' input.

1.6 Significance of the Research

This study's significance and contributions are theoretical and practical. Theoretically, prior studies had employed generic acceptance theories, including 1) the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM); 2) the Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA); and 3) the Theory of Planned Behaviour' (TPB). The study investigates vital elements that affect CBA system acceptance by enhancing the Computer Based Assessment Acceptance Model (CBAAM) with input from the students.

As for practical contributions, outcomes provide insight on conditions for optimal CBA system deployment for administrators, management teams, educators, learners, professional organizations, and researchers. The study also provides a comprehensive overview of student perceptions of CBA for appraisal by examination bodies in Saudi Arabia. As such, it will help administrators identify and consequently tackle specific problems affecting students when utilizing CBA and other forms of e-assessment. The study's results might ultimately become a benchmark reference for stakeholders in KSA educational processes, as well as product development and procurement. Finally, it provides empirical evidence for additional research on learner perceptions on CBA systems in Saudi Arabia.

1.7 Thesis Structure

This thesis is organized and presented in six chapters. This section provides an overview on how the chapters are structured.

CHAPTER 1 (Introduction): This chapter presented the main context of this research highlighting the research problem, objectives, scope, and the significance of this study.

CHAPTER 2 (Literature Review): this chapter will review prior literatures related to CBA acceptance and highlights the importance of developing Computer Based Assessment Acceptance Model for Secondary School Students in Saudi Arabia.

CHAPTER 3 (Research Methodology): Describes the study's methodology and approach; defines factors that affect student's acceptance of CBA; then outlines the research framework based on these factors.

CHAPTER 4 (Model Development and Instrument Validation): Examines theories regarding the acceptance of CBA; classifies factors that impede CBA acceptance; develops a research model in terms of face validation, content validity plus a pilot study; discusses metrics and structural model assessment in PLS.

CHAPTER 5 (Data and Model Validation): Describes survey results; assesses outcomes from the measurement model based on collected data; demonstrates acceptance of a CBA system.

CHAPTER 6 (Conclusion and Implications): Reviews research outcomes and concludes with a discussion of contributions and potential.

REFERENCES

- A, Y. A., Razak, A. and Dahlan, H. M. (2014) 'e-Assessment in Secondary Schools of Kingdom of Saudi Arabia', pp. 11–13.
- Abdullah, M., Zailani, S., Iranmanesh, M. and Jayaraman, K. (2015) 'Barriers to green innovation initiatives among manufacturers: the Malaysian case', *Review of Managerial Science*.
- Adekunle, S. E. (2016). 'Perception of Secondary Schools' Students on Computer Education in Federal Capital Territory (FCT-Abuja), Nigeria', *International Journal of Social, Behavioural, Educational, Economic, Business and Industrial Engineering*, 10(1), 2016.
- Adukaite, A., van Zyl, I., Er, Ş., & Cantoni, L. (2017). Teacher perceptions on the use of digital gamified learning in tourism education: The case of South African secondary schools. *Computers & Education*, 111, 172-190.
- Agarwal, N. K. (2011) 'Verifying survey items for construct validity: A two-stage sorting procedure for questionnaire design in information behavior research', *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*. Wiley Online Library, 48(1), pp. 1–8.
- Ageel, M. (2011) 'The ICT proficiencies of university teachers in Saudi Arabia: A case study to identify challenges and encouragements.', Online Submission. ERIC.
- Aggelidis, V. P. and Chatzoglou, P. D. (2009) 'Using a modified technology acceptance model in hospitals', *International journal of medical informatics*, 78(2), pp. 115–126.
- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1975). A Bayesian analysis of attribution processes. *Psychological bulletin*, 82(2), 261.
- Ajzen, I. (1985). From intentions to actions: A theory of planned behavior. In *Action control* (pp. 11-39). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Ajzen, I. (1991) 'The theory of planned behavior', *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50, pp. 179–211.
- Akdemir, O. and Oguz, A. (2008) 'Computer-based testing : An alternative for the assessment of Turkish undergraduate students', 51, pp. 1198–1204.

- Al-asmari, A. M. and Khan, M. S. R. (2014) 'E-learning in Saudi Arabia : Past , present and future'. Near and Middle Eastern Journal of Research in Education, 2014(1),2.
- Alasmary, M., El Metwally, A., & Househ, M. (2014). 'The association between computer literacy and training on clinical productivity and user satisfaction in using the electronic medical record in Saudi Arabia', *Journal of medical systems*, 38(8), 69.
- Albugami, S. and Ahmed, V. (2015) 'Success factors for ICT implementation in Saudi secondary schools: From the perspective of ICT directors, head teachers, teachers and students', *International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology*, 11(1), pp. 36–54.
- Alenezi, A. (2015). Influences of the mandated presence of ICT in Saudi Arabia secondary schools. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 5(8), 638.
- Al Mofarreh, Y. I. (2016). Implementation of ICT policy in secondary schools in Saudi Arabia.
- Alonazi, S. M. (2017). The Role of Teachers in Promoting Learner Autonomy in Secondary Schools in Saudi Arabia. *English Language Teaching*, 10(7), 183-202.
- Alsufyan, I. N. (2003). Current factors affecting principals in the secondary schools of Saudi Arabia.
- Anisa, T. and Miranda, S. (2011) 'How Does Exam Anxiety Affect the Performance of University Students?', *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 02(May 2011), pp. 93–100.
- Antoun, J., Nasr, R. and Zgheib, N. K. (2015) 'Computers in Human Behavior Use of technology in the readiness assurance process of team based learning : Paper , automated response system , or computer based testing', *Computers in Human Behavior*. Elsevier Ltd, 46, pp. 38–44.
- Arfeen, I. and Noor, A. (2017) 'Assessment of the e-Learning System of Virtual University of', (2001).
- Ayodeji, I. D., Schijven, M., Jakimowicz, J. and Greve, J. W. (2007) 'Face validation of the Symbionix LAP Mentor virtual reality training module and its

- applicability in the surgical curriculum', *Surgical endoscopy*. Springer, 21(9), pp. 1641–1649.
- Aziz, S. and Hassan, H. (2012) 'A study of computer anxiety of higher secondary students in Punjab', *Int Journal of Social Science Education*, 2(2), pp. 264–273.
- Balakrishnan, B. (2015). Online computer supported collaborative learning (CSCL) for engineering students: a case study in Malaysia. *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, 23(3), 352-362.
- Bandara, W., Miskon, S. and Fieft, E. (2011) 'A Systematic, Tool-Supported Method for Conducting Literature Reviews in Information Systems', in *ECIS 2011: Proceedings of the 19th European Conference on Information Systems*. Helsinki, Finland, pp. 1 – 14.
- Bandura, A. (1986) 'Social foundations of thought and action. Englewood', Englewoods Cliffs: Prentice Hall.
- Bandura, A. (1997) *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. Macmillan.
- Barclay, D., Higgins, C. and Thompson, R. (1995) 'The partial least squares (PLS) approach to causal modeling: Personal computer adoption and use as an illustration', *Technology studies*, 2(2), pp. 285–309.
- Barry, M., Bradshaw, C. and Noonan, M. (2013) 'Improving the content and face validity of OSCE assessment marking criteria on an undergraduate midwifery programme: A quality initiative', *Nurse education in practice*. Elsevier, 13(5), pp. 477–480.
- Bartlett II, J. E., Kotrlik, J. W. and Higgins, C. C. (2001) 'Organizational research: Determining appropriate sample size in survey research appropriate sample size in survey research', *Information technology, learning, and performance journal*, 19(1), p. 43.
- Becker, H. J. (2000) 'Findings from the teaching, learning, and computing survey', *Education policy analysis archives*, 8, p. 51.
- Binyamin, S., Rutter, M. and Smith, S. (2017) 'The Students' acceptance of learning management systems in Saudi Arabia: A case study of King Abdulaziz University. In *11th Annual International Conference of Technology, Education and Development (INTED2017)*.

- Bloom, T. J., Rich, W. D., Olson, S. M. and Adams, M. L. (2017) 'Perceptions and performance using computer-based testing: One institution's experience', *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*. Elsevier, (xxxx), pp. 0–1.
- Bloom, T. J., Rich, W. D., Olson, S. M. and Adams, M. L. (2017) 'Perceptions and performance using computer-based testing: One institution's experience', *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*. Elsevier, (xxxx), pp. 0–1.
- Boevé, A. J., Meijer, R. R., Albers, C. J., Beetsma, Y. and Bosker, R. J. (2015) 'Introducing computer-based testing in high-stakes exams in higher education: Results of a field experiment', *PLoS ONE*, 10(12), pp. 1–13.
- Boudreau, M.-C., Gefen, D. and Straub, D. W. (2001) 'Validation in Information Systems Research: A State-of-the-Art Assessment', *MIS Quarterly*, 25(1), p. 1.
- Brislin, R. W. (1970) 'Back-translation for cross-cultural research', *Journal of cross-cultural psychology*. Sage Publications Sage CA: Thousand Oaks, CA, 1(3), pp. 185–216.
- Bueno, S. and Salmeron, J. L. (2008) 'TAM-based success modeling in ERP', *Interacting with Computers*. Oxford University Press Oxford, UK, 20(6), pp. 515–523.
- Carroll, G. R., & Swaminathan, A. (2000). Why the microbrewery movement? Organizational dynamics of resource partitioning in the US brewing industry. *American journal of sociology*, 106(3), 715-762.
- Casalo, L. V, Flavián, C. and Guinalíu, M. (2007) 'The influence of satisfaction, perceived reputation and trust on a consumer's commitment to a website', *Journal of Marketing Communications*. Taylor & Francis, 13(1), pp. 1–17.
- Cater-Steel, A., Al-Hakim, L. and Global, I. G. I. (2009) *Information systems research methods, epistemology, and applications*. Information Science Reference.
- Cazan, A. and Cocorad, E. (2016) 'Computer anxiety and attitudes towards the computer and the internet with Romanian high-school and university students', 55, pp. 258–267.
- Çelik, H. (2011) 'Influence of social norms, perceived playfulness and online shopping anxiety on customers' adoption of online retail shopping: An empirical study in the Turkish context', *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 39(6), pp. 390–413.

- Checkland, S. G. (1981). *The Upas Tree: Glasgow 1875-1975 and After 1975-1980*. University of Glasgow Press.
- Chen, S. C., She, H. C., Chuang, M. H., Wu, J. Y., Tsai, J. L. and Jung, T. P. (2014) 'Eye movements predict students' computer-based assessment performance of physics concepts in different presentation modalities', *Computers and Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 74, pp. 61–72.
- Chin, W. (1998) 'The Partial Least Squares Approach to Structural Equation Modeling', *Modern methods for business research*, 295(2), pp. 295–336.
- Chua, Y. P. (2012). *Mastering research methods*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Chua, Y. P. (2012). Effects of computer-based testing on test performance and testing motivation. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 28(5), 1580-1586.
- Creswell, J. W., & Tashakkori, A. (2007). Differing perspectives on mixed methods research.
- Creswell, J. W., & Garrett, A. L. (2008). The “movement” of mixed methods research and the role of educators. *South African journal of education*, 28(3), 321-333.
- Creswell, J.W. (2009), *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Method Approaches*, SAGE Publications, 3rd ed., SAGE.
- Creswell, J.W. (2013). *Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design: Chosen among Five Approaches*. Los Angeles, CA: Sage.
- Christakoudis, C., Androulakis, G. S. and Zagouras, C. (2011) 'Prepare items for Large Scale Computer Based Assessment : Case study for Teachers' Certification on Basic Computer Skills', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. Elsevier B.V., 29, pp. 1189–1198.
- Coelho, A. and Xavier, J. (2011) 'Computer-Based Assessment System for E-Learning Applied To Programming Education', 2011 4Th International Conference of Education, Research and Innovation (Iceri), pp. 3738–3747.
- Cohen, J. (1992) 'Statistical Power Analysis', *Psychological Science*, 1(3), pp. 98–101.
- Cohen, M. P. (1998). Determining sample sizes for surveys with data analyzed by hierarchical linear models. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 14(3), 267.
- Compeau, D., Higgins, C. A. and Huff, S. (1999) 'Social cognitive theory and individual reactions to computing technology: A longitudinal study', *MIS quarterly*. JSTOR, pp. 145–158.

- Compeau, D. R. and Higgins, C. A. (1995) 'Computer Self-Efficacy : Development of a Measure and Initial Test Development of a', *MIS Quarterly*, 19(2), pp. 189–211.
- Converse, J. M. and Presser, S. (1986) *Survey questions: Handcrafting the standardized questionnaire*. Sage.
- Cooper, D. R. and Schindler, P. S. (2003) 'Business Research Methods (8 th edn.) McGrawHill: New York'.
- Csapó, B., Molnár, G. and Nagy, J. (2014) 'Computer-based assessment of school readiness and early reasoning.', *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 106(3), pp. 639–650.
- Dammas, A. H. (2016) 'Investigate Students ' Attitudes toward Computer Based Test (CBT) at Chemistry Course', 4(6), pp. 58–71.
- Daniels, L. M. and Gierl, M. J. (2017) 'The impact of immediate test score reporting on university students ' achievement emotions in the context of computer-based multiple-choice exams', *Learning and Instruction*. Elsevier Ltd, 52, pp. 27–35.
- Dascalu, C. G., Enache, A. M., Mavru, R. B. and Zegan, G. (2015) 'Computer-based MCQ Assessment for Students in Dental Medicine–Advantages and Drawbacks', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. Elsevier B.V., 187, pp. 22–27.
- Davis, F. D. (1993). User acceptance of information technology: system characteristics, user perceptions and behavioral impacts. *International journal of man-machine studies*, 38(3), 475-487.
- Davis, F. D. (1989) 'Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology', 13(3), pp. 319–340.
- Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P. and Warshaw, P. R. (1989) 'User acceptance of computer technology: a comparison of two theoretical models', *Management science*. INFORMS, 35(8), pp. 982–1003.
- Davis, L. L. (1992) 'Instrument review: Getting the most from a panel of experts', *Applied Nursing Research*. Elsevier, 5(4), pp. 194–197.
- De, A. and Do, N. (2011) *Computer-Based Assessment System for E-learning Applied to Programming Education*.

- Dennis, A. R. and Garfield, M. J. (2003) 'The adoption and use of GSS in project teams: Toward more participative processes and outcomes', *MIS quarterly*. JSTOR, pp. 289–323.
- De-Siqueira, Macario, J., Peris-Fajarnes, Guillermo, Gimenez, Fernando, Magal-Royo and Teresa (2009) 'Spanish students and teachers' preferences towards computer-based and paper-and-pencil tests at universities', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 1(1), pp. 814–817.
- Deutsch, T., Herrmann, K., Frese, T. and Sandholzer, H. (2012) 'Implementing computer-based assessment – A web-based mock examination changes attitudes', *Computers & Education*, 58(4), pp. 1068–1075.
- Dhar, D. and Yammiyavar, P. (2015) 'A cross-cultural study of navigational mechanisms in computer based assessment environment', *Procedia Computer Science*, 45(C), pp. 862–871.
- Dijkstra, T. K. and Henseler, J. (2011) 'Linear indices in nonlinear structural equation models: best fitting proper indices and other composites', *Quality & Quantity*. Springer, 45(6), pp. 1505–1518.
- Drasgow, F. (2015) *Technology and testing: Improving educational and psychological measurement*. Routledge.
- El, D. (2013) 'The Impact of Learning Style Dimensions on Computer-Based Key Language Competences Testing', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. Elsevier B.V., 82, pp. 411–416.
- Engelhardt, L., Goldhammer, F., Naumann, J. and Frey, A. (2017) 'Experimental validation strategies for heterogeneous computer-based assessment items', *Computers in Human Behavior*. Elsevier Ltd, 76, pp. 683–692.
- Erdogan, Y. (2009) 'Paper-based and computer-based concept mappings: The effects on computer achievement, computer anxiety and computer attitude', *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 40(5), pp. 821–836.
- Fagan, M. H., Neill, S., & Wooldridge, B. R. (2004) 'An empirical investigation into the relationship between computer self-efficacy, anxiety, experience, support and usage', *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, 44(2), 95-104.
- Faniran, V. and Ajayi, N. (2016) 'Students' Perceptions of Computer-Based Assessments : A Case of UKZN', in *IST-Africa*, pp. 1–9.
- Faniran, V. T., Badru, A., & Ajayi, N. (2017, July). Adopting Scrum as an Agile approach in distributed software development: A review of literature. In 2017

- 1st International Conference on Next Generation Computing Applications (NextComp) (pp. 36-40). IEEE.
- Faniran, V. T. and Ajayi, N. A. (2018) 'Understanding students' perceptions and challenges of Computer-Based Assessments: a case of UKZN', *Africa Education Review*, 15(1), pp. 207–223.
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A.-G. and Buchner, A. (2007) 'G* Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences', *Behavior research methods*. Springer, 39(2), pp. 175–191.
- Fishbein, M. and Ajzen, I. (1975) *Belief, attitude, intention and behavior: An introduction to theory and research*.
- Fishbein, M., Jaccard, J., Davidson, A. R., Ajzen, I., & Loken, B. (1980). Predicting and understanding family planning behaviors. In *Understanding attitudes and predicting social behavior*. Prentice Hall.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equations models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39–50.
- Geisser, F. and Eddy, W. (1979) 'A predictive approach to model selection', *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 74(365), pp. 153–160.
- Gikandi, J. W., Morrow, D. and Davis, N. E. (2011) 'Computers & Education Online formative assessment in higher education: A review of the literature', *Computers & Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 57(4), pp. 2333–2351.
- Golke, S., Dörfler, T. and Artelt, C. (2015) 'The impact of elaborated feedback on text comprehension within a computer-based assessment', *Learning and Instruction*, 39, pp. 123–136.
- Grant, J. S. and Davis, L. L. (1997) 'Selection and use of content experts for instrument development', *Research in nursing & health*. Wiley Online Library, 20(3), pp. 269–274.
- Gregor, S. (2006) 'The Nature of Theory in Information Systems', 30(3), pp. 611–642.
- Greiff, S., Niepel, C., Scherer, R. and Martin, R. (2016) 'Computers in Human Behavior Understanding students' performance in a computer-based assessment of complex problem solving: An analysis of behavioral data from computer-generated log files', *Computers in Human Behavior*. Elsevier Ltd, 61, pp. 36–46.

- Greiff, S., Wu, S., Goldhammer, F. and Funke, J. (2013) 'Computer-based assessment of Complex Problem Solving : concept , implementation , and application', pp. 407–421.
- Guba, E. G. (1990) *The paradigm dialog*. Sage publications.
- Gudergan, S. P., Ringle, C. M., Wende, S. and Will, A. (2008) 'Confirmatory tetrad analysis in PLS path modeling', *Journal of business research*. Elsevier, 61(12), pp. 1238–1249.
- Hackbarth, G., Grover, V. and Yi, M. . (2003) 'Computer playfulness and anxiety: positive and negative affect on perceived ease of use', *Information & Management*, 40(3), pp. 221–232.
- Hair Jr, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. and Sarstedt, M. (2014) *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)*. Sage Publications.
- Hair, J. F. J., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. and Sarstedt, M. (2016) *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*, Sage Publication. Sage Publications, California.
- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M. and Sarstedt, M. (2011) 'PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet', *Journal of Marketing theory and Practice*. Taylor & Francis, 19(2), pp. 139–152.
- Hakami, Y. A. A., Hussei, B., A. R. and Adenuga, K. I. (2016) 'Preliminary Model for Computer Based Assessment Acceptance In Developing Countries', *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, 85(2).
- Hanafizadeh, P., Keating, B. W. and Reza, H. (2014) 'Telematics and Informatics A systematic review of Internet banking adoption', 31(1090), pp. 492–510.
- Harms, M. and Adams, J. (2008) 'Usability and Design Considerations for Computer-Based Learning and Assessment', In *Meeting of the American Educational Research Association*, pp. 1–11.
- Hatlevik, O. E., Throndsen, I., Loi, M., & Gudmundsdottir, G. B. (2018). Students' ICT self-efficacy and computer and information literacy: Determinants and relationships. *Computers & Education*, 118, 107-119.
- He, J. and Freeman, L. A. (2010) 'Understanding the formation of general computer self-efficacy', *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 26(1), pp. 225–244.
- Heinssen, R. K., Glass, C. R. and Knight, L. A. (1987) 'Assessing computer anxiety: development and validation', *Computer in Human Behavior*, 3(1), pp. 49–59.

- Hirschheim, R., Klein, H. K., & Lyytinen, K. (1995). *Information systems development and data modeling: conceptual and philosophical foundations*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hosseini, M., Abidin, M. J. Z. and Baghdarnia, M. (2014) 'Comparability of Test Results of Computer based Tests (CBT) and Paper and Pencil Tests (PPT) among English Language Learners in Iran', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. Elsevier B.V., 98, pp. 659–667.
- Houser, J. (2008) 'Nursing Research: Reading, Using, and Creating Evidence. United Kingdom Jones & Bartlett Publishers.'
- Hsu, M. K., Wang, S. W. and Chiu, K. K. (2009) 'Computers in Human Behavior Computer attitude , statistics anxiety and self-efficacy on statistical software adoption behavior : An empirical study of online MBA learners', *Computers in Human Behavior*. Elsevier Ltd, 25(2), pp. 412–420.
- Huff, K. C. (2015) 'Computers in Human Behavior The comparison of mobile devices to computers for web-based assessments', *Computers in Human Behavior*. Elsevier Ltd, 49, pp. 208–212.
- Hung, S.-Y., Ku, Y.-C. and Chien, J.-C. (2012) 'Understanding physicians' acceptance of the Medline system for practicing evidence-based medicine: A decomposed TPB model', *International journal of medical informatics*. Elsevier, 81(2), pp. 130–142.
- Igbaria, M. and Iivari, J. (1995) 'The Effects of Self-efficacy on Computer Usage', *Omega*, 23(6), pp. 587–605.
- Imtiaz, M. A. and Maarop, N. (2014) 'A Review of Technology Acceptance Studies in the Field of Education', *Jurnal Teknologi*, 69(2), pp. 27–32.
- Jenkins, G. D. and Taber, T. D. (1977) 'A Monte Carlo study of factors affecting three indices of composite scale reliability.', *Journal of Applied Psychology*. American Psychological Association, 62(4), p. 392.
- John, S. P. (2013) 'Influence of Computer Self-Efficacy On Information Technology Adoption', *International Journal of Information Technology*, 19(1), pp. 1–13.
- Johns, R. (2010) 'SQB Methods Fact Sheet 1: Likert Items and Scales', 1(March), pp. 1–11.
- Jordan, S. (2013) 'E-assessment : Past , present and future', 9(1), pp. 87–106.

- Kalogeropoulos, N., Tzigounakis, I., Pavlatou, E. A. and Boudouvis, A. G. (2010) 'Computer-Based Assessment of Student Performance in Programming Courses', pp. 671–683.
- Karahanna, E., Straub, D. W., & Chervany, N. L. (1999). Information technology adoption across time: a cross-sectional comparison of pre-adoption and post-adoption beliefs. *MIS quarterly*, 183-213.
- Kasunic, M. (2005) Designing an effective survey. Carnegie-Mellon Univ Pittsburgh PA Software Engineering Institute.
- Kay, Robin. (1993). A Practical Research Tool for Assessing Ability to Use Computers: The Computer Ability Survey (CAS). *Journal of Research on Computing in Education*. 26. 16-27. 10.1080/08886504.1993.10782074.
- Khokhar, A. J., & Javaid, S. (2016). Students and teachers perceptions of ICT use in classroom: pakistani classrooms. In *The Asian Conference on Technology in the Classroom*.
- Kitchenham, B. (2004) Procedures for performing systematic reviews, Keele, UK, Keele University.
- Kitchenham, B. and Charters, S. (2007) Guidelines for performing Systematic Literature Reviews in Software Engineering.
- Kreijns, K., Van Acker, F., Vermeulen, M., & Van Buuren, H. (2013). What stimulates teachers to integrate ICT in their pedagogical practices? The use of digital learning materials in education. *Computers in human behavior*, 29(1), 217-225.
- Kumar, R. (2005) 'Research Methodology-A Step-by-Step Guide for Beginners. edited by Sage Publications'.
- Kumi, R., Reyshav, I. and Sabherwal, R. (2012) 'The impact of facilitating conditions on anxiety, attitude, self-efficacy, and performance: Insights from an empirical study of iPad adoption', *Proceedings of the AIS SIG-ED IAIM 2012 Conference*.
- Kuo, C. Y. and Wu, H. K. (2013) 'Toward an integrated model for designing assessment systems: An analysis of the current status of computer-based assessments in science', *Computers and Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 68, pp. 388–403.

- Lau, W. W., & Yuen, A. H. (2014). 'Developing and validating of a perceived ICT literacy scale for junior secondary school students: Pedagogical and educational contributions', *Computers & Education*, 78, 1-9.
- Lean, O. K., Zailani, S., Ramayah, T. and Fernando, Y. (2009) 'Factors influencing intention to use e-government services among citizens in Malaysia', *International Journal of Information Management*. Elsevier, 29(6), pp. 458–475.
- Lee, C. and Huang, M. (2014) 'The Influence of Computer Literacy and Computer Anxiety on Computer Self-Efficacy': the moderating effect of gender. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior and Social Networking*, 17(3), pp. 172–181.
- Lee, H. W., Ramayah, T. and Zakaria, N. (2012) 'External factors in hospital information system (HIS) adoption model: a case on Malaysia', *Journal of medical systems*. Springer, 36(4), pp. 2129–2140.
- Lee, Y.-C. (2008) 'The role of perceived resources in online learning adoption', *Computers & Education*. Elsevier, 50(4), pp. 1423–1438.
- Leedy, P. D. and Ormrod, J. E. (2001) 'Practical research: Planning and research', Upper Saddle.
- Lewis, B.R., Templeton, G.F. and Byrd, T.A. (2005) 'A methodology for construct development in MIS research', *European Journal of Information Systems*. 14(4), pp. 388-400.
- Levy, Y. and Ellis, T. J. (2006) 'A Systems Approach to Conduct an Effective Literature Review in Support of Information Systems Research', *Informing Science Journal*, 9, pp. 181–212.
- Lim, Y. M., Yap, C. S. and Lee, T. H. (2011) 'Intention to shop online: A study of Malaysian baby boomers', *African Journal of Business Management*. Academic Journals, 5(5), pp. 1711–1717.
- Lin, T. M. ., Lu, K.-Y. and Wu, J.-J. (2012) 'The effects of visual information in eWOM communication', *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, 6(1), pp. 7–26.
- Lincoln, Y. S., Lynham, S. A. and Guba, E. G. (2011) 'Paradigmatic controversies, contradictions, and emerging confluences, revisited', *The Sage handbook of qualitative research*, 4, pp. 97–128.

- Llamas-nistal, M., Fernández-iglesias, M. J., González-tato, J. and Mikic-fonte, F. A. (2013) 'Computers & Education Blended e-assessment : Migrating classical exams to the digital world', *Computers & Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 62, pp. 72–87.
- Lu, H., Hu, Y. P., Gao, J. J. and Kinshuk (2016) 'The effects of computer self-efficacy, training satisfaction and test anxiety on attitude and performance in computerized adaptive testing', *Computers and Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 100, pp. 45–55.
- Lu, J., Liu, C., Yu, C.-S. and Wang, K. (2008) 'Determinants of accepting wireless mobile data services in China', *Information & Management*. Elsevier, 45(1), pp. 52–64.
- Magliano, J. P. and Graesser, A. C. (2012) 'Computer-based assessment of student-constructed responses', (May), pp. 608–621.
- Mamasseh, I. (2013) 'Effect of test anxiety, gender and perceived self-concept on academic performance of Nigerian students', *International Journal of Psychology and Counselling*, 5(7), pp. 143–146.
- Maqableh, M., Masa, T. and Mohammed, A. B. (2015) 'The Acceptance and Use of Computer Based Assessment in Higher Education', (October), pp. 557–574.
- Martilla, J. A. and James, J. C. (1977) 'Importance-performance analysis', *The journal of marketing*. JSTOR, pp. 77–79.
- Martin, R. (2008) 'New Possibilities and Challenges for Assessment through the Use of Technology', *Towards a Research Agenda on Computerbased Assessment*, pp. 6–9.
- Martinez-Ruiz, A. and Aluja-Banet, T. (2009) 'Toward the Definition of a Structural Equation Model of Patent Value: PLS Path Modelling with Formative Constructs', *REVSTAT–Statistical Journal*, 7(3), pp. 265–290.
- Masa' deh, R., Shannak, R. and Maqableh, M. (2013) 'A structural equation modeling approach for determining antecedents and outcomes of students' attitude toward mobile commerce adoption', *Life Science Journal*, 10(4), pp. 2321–2333.
- Mathieson, K. (1991) 'Predicting user intentions: comparing the technology acceptance model with the theory of planned behavior', *Information systems research*. INFORMS, 2(3), pp. 173–191.

- Melas, C. D., Zampetakis, L. A., Dimopoulou, A. and Moustakis, V. (2011) 'Modeling the acceptance of clinical information systems among hospital medical staff: an extended TAM model', *Journal of biomedical informatics*. Elsevier, 44(4), pp. 553–564.
- Menbere Tiruneh (2004) 'An empirical investigation into the relationship between computer self-efficacy, anxiety, experience, support and usage', *Prague Economic Papers*, 3, 2004, pp. 95–105.
- Michael, C. P., & Igenewari, L. S. (2018). The Impact of Computer Literacy among Secondary School Teachers in Rivers State. *International Journal of Education and Evaluation*, 4(1), 22-30.
- Ministry of Finance (2015). Ministry of Finance Statement about the National Budget for 2015. Retrieved May 12, 2020, from: <https://www.mof.gov.sa/en/MediaCenter/news/Pages/News003.aspx>
- Mohammadi, H. (2015) 'Factors affecting the e-learning outcomes : An integration of TAM and IS success model', *Telematics And Informatics*. Elsevier Ltd, 32(4), pp. 701–719.
- Moon, J.-W. and Kim, Y.-G. (2001) 'Extending the TAM for a World-Wide-Web context', *Information & management*. Elsevier, 38(4), pp. 217–230.
- Moridis, Christos N., Vasileios Terzis, A. A. E. (2017) 'the Effect of Instant Emotions on Behavioral Intention To Use a Computer Based Assessment System', 2017 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON), (April), pp. 1457–1462.
- Myers, M. D. (1999). Investigating information systems with ethnographic research. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 2(1), 23.
- Michael, C. P., & Igenewari, L. S. (2018). The Impact of Computer Literacy among Secondary School Teachers in Rivers State. *International Journal of Education and Evaluation*, 4(1), 22-30.
- Netemeyer, R. G., Bearden, W. O., & Sharma, S. (2003). *Scaling procedures: Issues and applications*. Sage Publications.
- Nguyen, Q., Rienties, B., Toetenel, L., Ferguson, R. and Whitelock, D. (2017) 'Computers in Human Behavior Examining the designs of computer-based

- assessment and its impact on student engagement , satisfaction , and pass rates’,
Computers in Human Behavior. Elsevier Ltd, 76, pp. 703–714.
- Nicholls, J. G. (1984) ‘Achievement motivation: Conceptions of ability, subjective experience, task choice, and performance.’, Psychological review. American Psychological Association, 91(3), p. 328.
- Nidhra, S., Yanamadala, M., Afzal, W. and Torkar, R. (2013) ‘Knowledge transfer challenges and mitigation strategies in global software development—A systematic literature review and industrial validation’, International Journal of Information Management. Elsevier Ltd, 33(2), pp. 333–355.
- Nikou, S. A. (2013) ‘Student achievement in paper , computer / web and mobile based assessment’ ,i, pp. 107–114.
- Nikou, S. A. and Economides, A. A. (2016) ‘The impact of paper-based , computer-based and mobile-based self-assessment on students ’ science motivation and achievement’, Computers in Human Behavior. Elsevier Ltd, 55, pp. 1241–1248.
- Nikou, S. A., & Economides, A. A. (2017). ‘Mobile-based assessment: Investigating the factors that influence behavioral intention to use’, Computers & Education, 109, 56-73.
- Nikou, S. A., & Economides, A. A. (2017). ‘Mobile-Based Assessment: Integrating acceptance and motivational factors into a combined model of Self-Determination Theory and Technology Acceptance’, Computers in Human Behavior, 68, 83-95.
- Nikou, S. A., & Economides, A. A. (2016). ‘An Outdoor Mobile-Based Assessment Activity: Measuring Students’ Motivation and Acceptance’, International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM), 10(4), 11-17.
- Noor-UI-Amin, S. (2013) ‘An effective use of ICT for education and learning by drawing on worldwide knowledge, research, and experience: ICT as a change agent for education’, Scholarly Journal of Education, 2(4), pp. 38–45.
- Ockey, G. J. (2009) ‘Developments and Challenges in the Use of Computer-Based Testing for Assessing Second Language Ability’, The Modern Language Journal. Wiley Online Library, 93(s1), pp. 836–847.
- Oduntan, O. E., Ojuawo, O. O. and Oduntan, E. a. (2015) ‘A Comparative Analysis of Student Performance in Paper Pencil Test (PPT) and Computer Based Test

- (CBT) Examination System’, *Research Journal of Educational Studies and Review*, 1(1), pp. 24–29.
- Olufemi, O. and Oluwatayo, O. (2014) ‘Computer anxiety and computer knowledge as determinants of candidates’ performance in computer-based test in Nigeria’, *British Journal of Education*, 4(4), pp. 495 – 507.
- Olumide, D. (2016) ‘Technology Acceptance Model as a predictor of using information system’ to acquire information literacy skills’, *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 1450(e-journal), pp. 3–28.
- Ong, C. S. and Lai, J. Y. (2006) ‘Gender differences in perceptions and relationships among dominants of e-learning acceptance’, *Computers in Human Behavior*, 22(5), pp. 816–829.
- Onyeizugbo, E. U. (2010) ‘Self-efficacy, gender and trait anxiety as moderators of test anxiety’, *Electronic Journal of Research in Educational Psychology*, 8(1), 299-312.
- Orlikowski, W. and Baroudi, J.J. (1991), “Studying Information Technology in Organizations: Research Approaches and Assumptions”, *Center for Digital Economy Research Stem School of Business*, pp. 1–31.
- Ozdamli, F. and Uzunboylu, H. (2015) ‘M-learning adequacy and perceptions of students and teachers in secondary schools’, 46(1), pp. 159–173.
- Pachler, N., Daly, C., Mor, Y. and Mellar, H. (2010) ‘Formative e-assessment: Practitioner cases’, *Computers & Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 54(3), pp. 715–721.
- Palaigeorgiou, G. E., Siozos, P. D., Konstantakis, N. I. and Tsoukalas, I. A. (2005) ‘A computer attitude scale for computer science freshmen and its educational implications’, *Journal of computer assisted learning*. Wiley Online Library, 21(5), pp. 330–342.
- Papamitsiou, Z. and Economides, A. A. (2017) ‘Exhibiting achievement behavior during computer-based testing : What temporal trace data and personality traits tell us ?’, *Computers in Human Behavior*. Elsevier Ltd, 75, pp. 423–438.
- Papamitsiou, Z. K. and Economides, A. A. (2013) ‘Towards the Alignment of Computer-Based Assessment Outcome with Learning Goals : the LAERS architecture’, in *e-Learning, e-Management and e-Services*, pp. 13–17.
- Papamitsiou, Z., & Economides, A. A. (2014). Students perception of performance vs. actual performance during computer-based testing: a temporal approach.

- Pathak, A., Patro, K., Pathak, M. and Valecha, M. (2013) 'Item response theory', *Int J Comput Sci Mob Comput*, 2(11), p. 7.
- Pawasauskas, J., Matson, K. L. and Youssef, R. (2014) 'Transitioning to computer-based testing', *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*. Elsevier, 6(2), pp. 289–297.
- Piaw, Y. and Mohd, Z. (2013) 'Computers in Human Behavior Effects of computer-based educational achievement test on test performance and test takers ' motivation', *Computers in Human Behavior*. Elsevier Ltd, 29(5), pp. 1889–1895.
- Pino-Silva, J. (2007) 'Student perceptions of computerized tests', *ELT journal*. Oxford University Press, 62(2), pp. 148–156.
- Polit, D. F. and Beck, C. T. (2004) *Nursing research: Principles and methods*. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Polit, D. F. and Beck, C. T. (2006) 'The content validity index: are you sure you know what's being reported? Critique and recommendations', *Research in nursing & health*. Wiley Online Library, 29(5), pp. 489–497.
- van Raaij, E. M. and Schepers, J. J. L. (2008) 'The acceptance and use of a virtual learning environment in China', *Computers & Education*, 50(3), pp. 838–852.
- Rahim, A. B. and Zairah, N. O. R. (2009) 'Multiple Perspectives Of Open Source Software Appropriation In Malaysian Public Sector'. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Ras, E., Whitelock, D. and Kalz, M. (2013) 'The promise and potential of e-assessment for learning', in *Measuring and Visualizing Learning in the Information-Rich Classroom*, pp. 21–40.
- Ras, E., Whitelock, D. and Kalz, M. (2016) 'The promise and potential of e-assessment for learning', *Measuring and Visualizing Learning in the Information-Rich Classroom*, (2), pp. 21–40.
- Ricketts, C. (2002) 'Improving Student Performance Through Computer-based Assessment : insights from recent research', 27(5).
- Rivera, L. F. Z., Ochoa, J. L. R. and Pérez, J. L. B. (2013) 'Improving student results in a statics course using a computer-based training and assessment system', *Proceedings - Frontiers in Education Conference, FIE*, pp. 1898–1904.
- Rodrigues, I. B., Adachi, J. D., Beattie, K. A., & MacDermid, J. C. (2017). Development and validation of a new tool to measure the facilitators, barriers

- and preferences to exercise in people with osteoporosis. *BMC Musculoskeletal disorders*, 18(1), 1-9.
- Roussos, P. (2007) 'The Greek computer attitudes scale: construction and assessment of psychometric properties', *Computers in Human Behavior*, 23(1), pp. 578–590.
- Sabri, A. and Wan Mohamad Asyraf, W. A. (2014) 'The importance-performance matrix analysis in partial least square structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)', *International Journal of Mathematical Research*, 3(1), pp. 1–14.
- Sangmeister, J. (2017) 'Commercial competence: Comparing test results of paper-and-pencil versus computer-based assessments', *Empirical Research in Vocational Education and Training*. Springer International Publishing, 9(1).
- Saunders, M. L., & Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2009). *Research methods for business students*, (5th ed): Pearson Education Limited, England.
- Scherer, R., Greiff, S. and Kirschner, P. A. (2017) 'Editorial to the special issue: Current innovations in computer-based assessments', *Computers in Human Behavior*, 76, pp. 604–606.
- Schreuder, H. T., Gregoire, T. G. and Weyer, J. P. (2001) 'For what applications can probability and non-probability sampling be used?', *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*. Springer, 66(3), pp. 281–291.
- Shee, D. Y. and Wang, Y.-S. (2008) 'Multi-criteria evaluation of the web-based e-learning system: A methodology based on learner satisfaction and its applications', *Computers & Education*. Elsevier, 50(3), pp. 894–905.
- Shilova, T. V, Artamonova, L. V and Yu, S. (2014) 'Computer-based Tests as an Integral Component of an EFL Course in Moodle for Non-linguistic Students', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. Elsevier B.V., 154(October), pp. 434–436.
- Shute, Valerie J., and S. R. (2017) 'Review of computer-based assessment for learning in elementary and secondary education', *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, pp. 1–19.
- Shute, V. J. and Rahimi, S. (2017) 'Review of computer-based assessment for learning in elementary and secondary education', *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, pp. 1–19.

- Simonson, M. R., Maurer, M., Montag-Torardi, M. and Whitaker, M. (1987) 'Development of a standardized test of computer literacy and a computer anxiety index', *Journal of educational computing research*. SAGE Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA, 3(2), pp. 231–247.
- Slack, N. (1994) 'The Importance-Performance Matrix as a Determinant of Improvement\nPriority', *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 14(5), pp. 59–75.
- Smith, B. and Caputi, P. (2007) 'Cognitive interference model of computer anxiety : Implications for computer-based assessment', 23, pp. 1481–1498.
- Smith, P. J., Murphy, K. L. and Mahoney, S. E. (2003) 'Towards Identifying Factors Underlying Readiness for Online Learning: An Exploratory Study', *Distance Education*, 24(1), pp. 57–67.
- Soffer, T., Kahan, T. and Livne, E. (2017) 'Studies in Educational Evaluation E-assessment of online academic courses via students' activities and', *Studies in Educational Evaluation*. Elsevier Ltd, 54, pp. 83–93.
- Sun, H. and Zhang, P. (2006) 'The role of moderating factors in user technology acceptance', *International Journal of Human Computer Studies*, 64(2), pp. 53–78.
- Swanson, R. A., and Holton, E. F. (2005). *Research in organizations: Foundations and methods in inquiry*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Tanduklangi, A. (2017) 'Determinants of User Intention in Using e-Learning Technology in Indonesian Context: An Empirical Study', *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 8(3), pp. 69–77.
- Tate, T. P., Warschauer, M. and Abedi, J. (2016) 'The effects of prior computer use on computer-based writing : The 2011 NAEP writing assessment', *Computers & Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 101, pp. 115–131.
- Taylor, S. and Todd, P. A. (1995) 'Understanding information technology usage: A test of competing models', *Information Systems Research*, pp. 144–176.
- Tempelaar, D. T., Rienties, B. and Giesbers, B. (2015) 'In search for the most informative data for feedback generation : Learning analytics in a data-rich context', *Computers in Human Behavior*. Elsevier Ltd, 47, pp. 157–167.
- Terzis, V. and Economides, A. A. (2011a) 'Computer based assessment : Gender differences in perceptions and acceptance', *Computers in Human Behavior*. Elsevier Ltd, 27(6), pp. 2108–2122.

- Terzis, V. and Economides, A. A. (2011) 'The acceptance and use of computer based assessment', *Computers and Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 56(4), pp. 1032–1044.
- Terzis, V., Moridis, C. N. and Economides, A. A. (2012a) 'How student 's personality traits affect Computer Based Assessment Acceptance : Integrating BFI with CBAAM', *Computers in Human Behavior*. Elsevier Ltd, 28(5), pp. 1985–1996.
- Terzis, V., Moridis, C. N. and Economides, A. A. (2012b) 'The effect of emotional feedback on behavioral intention to use computer based assessment', *Computers & Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 59(2), pp. 710–721.
- Terzis, V., Moridis, C. N. and Economides, A. A. (2013a) 'Continuance acceptance of computer based assessment through the integration of user's expectations and perceptions', *Computers and Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 62, pp. 50–61.
- Terzis, V., Moridis, C. N. and Economides, A. A. (2013b) 'Measuring instant emotions based on facial expressions during computer-based assessment', pp. 43–52.
- Terzis, V., Moridis, C. N., Economides, A. A. and Rebolledo, G. (2013) 'Computer Based Assessment Acceptance : A Cross-cultural Study in Greece and Mexico', 16, pp. 411–424.
- Thatcher, J. B., & Perrewe, P. L. (2002). An empirical examination of individual traits as antecedents to computer anxiety and computer self-efficacy. *MIS quarterly*, 381-396.
- Terzis, V., Moridis, C. N., & Economides, A. A. (2011). The extension of the computer based assessment acceptance model with perceived importance. *ICBL 2011*, 10.
- Timmers, C. F., Broek, J. B. Den and Berg, S. M. Van Den (2013) 'Motivational beliefs , student effort , and feedback behaviour in computer-based formative assessment', *Computers & Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 60(1), pp. 25–31.
- Timmers, C. F., Walraven, A. and Veldkamp, B. P. (2015) 'The effect of regulation feedback in a computer-based formative assessment on information problem solving', *Computers & Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 87, pp. 1–9.
- Timmers, C. and Veldkamp, B. (2011) 'Attention paid to feedback provided by a computer-based assessment for learning on information literacy', *Computers & Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 56(3), pp. 923–930.

- Tuparova, D., Goranova, E., Voinohovska, V., Asenova, P., Tuparov, G. and Gyudzhenov, I. (2015) 'Teachers' Attitudes Towards The Use Of E-Assessment – Results From A Survey In Bulgaria', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. Elsevier B.V., 191, pp. 2236–2240.
- Urbach, N. and Ahlemann, F. (2010) 'Structural equation modeling in information systems research using partial least squares', *Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application*, 11(2), pp. 5–40.
- Venkatesh, Morris, Davis and Davis (2003) 'User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View', *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), p. 425.
- Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. D. (2000). A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: four longitudinal field studies. *Management Science*, 46, 186–204.
- Venkatraman, M. P. (1991) 'The impact of innovativeness and innovation type on adoption', *Journal of Retailing*. New York University, 67(1), p. 51.
- Vitolins, M. Z., Rand, C. S., Rapp, S. R., Ribisl, P. M. and Sevick, M. A. (2000) 'Measuring adherence to behavioral and medical interventions', *Controlled clinical trials*. Elsevier, 21(5), pp. S188–S194.
- Waltz, C. F. and Bausell, B. R. (1981) *Nursing research: design statistics and computer analysis*. Davis FA.
- Waltz, C., Strickland, O. and Lenz, E. (2010) *Measurement in nursing and health research*.
- Wang, T. H. (2010) 'Web-based dynamic assessment: Taking assessment as teaching and learning strategy for improving students' e-Learning effectiveness', *Computers and Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 54(4), pp. 1157–1166.
- Wang, T. H. (2011) 'Developing Web-based assessment strategies for facilitating junior high school students to perform self-regulated learning in an e-Learning environment', *Computers and Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 57(2), pp. 1801–1812.
- Wang, Y. S. (2003) 'Assessment of learner satisfaction with asynchronous electronic learning systems', *Information and Management*, 41(1), pp. 75–86.
- Wang, Y., Wu, M. and Wang, H. (2009) 'Investigating the determinants and age and gender differences in the acceptance of mobile learning', *British journal of educational technology*. Wiley Online Library, 40(1), pp. 92–118.
- Webster, J. and Watson, R. T. (2002) 'Analyzing the Past to Prepare for the Future: Writing a Literature Review', *MIS quarterly*, 26(2), pp. xiii–xxiii.

- Werner, P. (2004) 'Reasoned action and planned behavior', Middle range theories: Application to nursing research. Lippincott, Williams, & Wilkins, NY, pp. 125–147.
- Wixom, B. H. and Todd, P. A. (2005) 'A theoretical integration of user satisfaction and technology acceptance', Information systems research. INFORMS, 16(1), pp. 85–102.
- Wu, H. K., Kuo, C. Y., Jen, T. H. and Hsu, Y. S. (2015) 'What makes an item more difficult? Effects of modality and type of visual information in a computer-based assessment of scientific inquiry abilities', Computers and Education. Elsevier Ltd, 85, pp. 35–48.
- Wu, B., & Chen, X. (2017). Continuance intention to use MOOCs: Integrating the technology acceptance model (TAM) and task technology fit (TTF) model. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 67, 221-232.
- Yadegaridehkordi, E., Lahad, N. and Baloch, H. Z. (2013) 'Success factors influencing the adoption of M-learning', International Journal of Continuing Engineering Education and Life Long Learning, 23(2), pp. 167–178.
- Yaghoubi, N. and Bahmani, E. (2010) 'Factors Affecting the Adoption of Online Banking An Integration of Technology Acceptance Model and Theory of Planned Behavior', International Journal of Business and Management, 5(9), pp. 159–165.
- Yan, C. (2012) 'Replacing paper-based testing with computer-based testing in assessment : Are we doing wrong ??', 64, pp. 655–664.
- Yi, M. Y. and Hwang, Y. (2003) 'Predicting the use of web-based information systems : self-efficacy , enjoyment , learning goal orientation , and the technology acceptance model', 59, pp. 431–449.
- Yin, R. K. (2009). Case study research: Design and methods 4th edition. In United States: Library of Congress Cataloguing-in-Publication Data.
- Yu, P., Li, H., & Gagnon, M. P. (2009). Health IT acceptance factors in long-term care facilities: a cross-sectional survey. *International journal of medical informatics*, 78(4), 219-229.
- Zhang, K. Z. K. and Benyoucef, M. (2016) 'Consumer behavior in social commerce: A literature review', *Decision Support Systems*, 86, pp. 95–108.

Zhang, R. C., Lai, H. M., Cheng, P. W. and Chen, C. P. (2017) 'Longitudinal effect of a computer-based graduated prompting assessment on students' academic performance', *Computers and Education*. Elsevier Ltd, 110, pp. 181–194.