

Adaptation and Validation of a Computerized Neurocognitive Battery in the Xhosa of South Africa

J. Cobb Scott¹, Tyler M. Moore¹, Dan J. Stein², Adele Pretorius², Zukiswa Zingela², Mohammed Nagdee², Linda Ngqengelele², Megan Campbell², Goodman Sibeko², Mary Claire King^{3, 4, 5}, Jon M. McClellan^{3, 4, 5}, Allison M. Port¹, Chad Jackson¹, Kosha Ruparel¹, Ezra S. Susser^{6, 7}, and Ruben C. Gur¹

¹ Brain Behavior Laboratories, Department of Psychiatry, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine

² Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, University of Cape Town

³ Department of Medicine, University of Washington

⁴ Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington

Department of Psychiatry, University of Washington

⁶ Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University

⁷ Department of Psychiatry, New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York, New York United States

Objective: Large-scale studies have revolutionized biomedical research, and neurocognitive tests can help elucidate the biological basis of neuropsychiatric diseases. However, studies have the dominantly been conducted in Western settings. We describe the development and validation of computerized battery (PennCNB) with the Xhosa population of South Africa. Method: Individuals with schizophrenia (n = 525) and a normative comparison group (n = 744) were balanced wage, sex, education, and region. Participants provided blood samples, were assessed psychiationally, and were administered a PennCNB translation to isiXhosa, including measures of executive functions, episodic memory, complex cognition, social cognition, and sensorimotor speed reasibility was examined with test completion rates and input from administrators, and psychometric structural validity and associations with clinical and demographic characteristics were examined. Results: Tests were well tolerated by participants, as >87% had one (or fewer) test missing Results suggested a similar factor structure to prior PennCNB studies in Western contexts, and expected age and sex effects were apparent. Furthermore, a similar profile of schizophrenia was observed, with neurocognitive deficits most pronounced for executive functions, especiarly attention, as well as memory, social cognition, and motor speed relative to complex cognition and sensorimotor speed. Conclusions: Results support the feasibility of implementing a culturally an apted computerized neurocognitive battery in sub-Saharan African settings and provide evidence upporting the concurrent validity of the translated instrument. Thus, the PennCNB is implementation on a large scale in non-Western contexts, shows expected factor structure, and can defect cognitive deficits associated with neuropsychiatric disorders. Obtaining valid measure of cognition by nonspecialized proctors is especially suitable in resource-limited setting, where traditional testing is prohibitive. Future work should establish normative standards, est-retest reliability, and sensitivity to treatment.

Key Points

Question a computerized neurocognitive battery be feasible and valid for using in resource-limited setting such as sub-Saharan Africa so as to incorporate such assessments into large-scale research and clinical care? Findings: Administering a culturally adapted computerized neurocognitive battery to South African Xhosa individuals with schizophrenia and healthy individuals is feasible within the context of a large-scale genomic study and yields a factor structure and results comparable to Western samples. Importance: As neuroscience and genomics increasingly include non-Western samples and especially sub-Saharan African samples, it is important to know that valid assessments of

This article was published Online First July 5, 2021. J. Cobb Scott D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6538-9043 Tyler M. Moore D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1384-0151 Dan J. Stein (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7218-7810 Zukiswa Zingela D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3425-1145 Mohammed Nagdee D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8227-5611 Linda Ngqengelele D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3084-1631 Megan Campbell D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9576-8562 Jon M. McClellan D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0101-1073

Allison M. Port D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0783-6487 Ruben C. Gur D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9657-1996 Chad Jackson: Deceased.

Institutional review boards at the University of Cape Town, Walter Sisulu University, Rhodes University, the University of Washington in Seattle, and Columbia University approved the study.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Ruben C. Gur, Brain Behavior Laboratories, Department of Psychiatry, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, 10th Floor Gates Pavilion/ HUP, Philadelphia, PA 19104, United States. Email: Gur@upenn.edu