Aalborg Universitet



#### **Evaluation of Fine Mapping Approaches using Bayesian Linear Regression Models**

Shrestha, Merina; Rohde, Palle Duun; Sørensen, Peter

Publication date: 2022

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA):

Shrestha, M., Rohde, P. D., & Sørensen, P. (2022). *Evaluation of Fine Mapping Approaches using Bayesian Linear Regression Models*. Poster presented at NSHG-PM 2022, København, Denmark.

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
  You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### **Evaluation of Fine Mapping Approaches using Bayesian Linear Regression Models** M. Shrestha<sup>1</sup>, P. D. Rohde<sup>2</sup>, P. Sørensen<sup>1</sup>

1 Center for Quantitative Genetics and Genomics (QGG), Faculty of Technical Sciences, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark 2 Department of Health Science and Technology (HST), Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark

It of Health Science and Technology (HST), Aalborg University, Aalborg



Corresponding author: mesh@qgg.au.dk

# **Background and Aims**

Fine mapping identifies the underlying causal genetic variants and is essential for the future of precision medicine. We propose a novel statistical method, Bayesian linear regression (BLR) models, and aim to investigate their fine mapping efficiency (power and precision) based on definition of:

1. Prior distribution for marker effects

2. Marker sets

3. Marker set association statistics

#### Results

## Conclusions

Larger number of true positive (TP) SNPs along with low number of

BLR fine mapping is influenced by the choice of the marker

- false positive (FP) SNPs were identified by Bayes C and Bayes R prior distribution models.
- Highest average F<sub>1</sub> score for the marker set, linkage disequilibrium (LD) (r<sup>2</sup> > 0.7) across all prior models and marker set association statistics suggests inclusion of LD information while designing a marker set.
- Higher F<sub>1</sub> score of the marker set association statistic, sum of bayesR posterior inclusion probability (T<sub>PIP</sub>) in the stringent significance threshold (*p*<1*e*-05) suggests use of the PIP information compared bayesC posterior to the genetic variance information.



sets definition, the prior distributions, and type of marker set association statistic. Further plan includes comparison of BLR models to other fine mapping methods.



**Figure 1**. Scatter plot for marginal effect and adjusted effect obtained from Bayes C model for causal SNPs ( $\pi$ ) 0.1% and genetic architecture scenario (GA1).

**Figure 2**. Average  $F_1$  score of marker set association statistics, Sum of genetic variance ( $T_{VAR}$ ) and Sum of posterior inclusion probability ( $T_{PIP}$ ) for  $\pi$  0.1% and GA1.

## **Materials and Methods**





Quantitative phenotype for 268,595 WBU (10 replicates)

Simulation

Fine

mapping

h<sup>2</sup> 30% and Causal SNPs (π): 0.1%, 1% and 5%

 Two genetic architecture (GA) scenarios: GA1 (Bayes C) and GA2 (Bayes R)

• Single SNP linear regression  $\rightarrow$  marginal  $\beta$  estimates

marginal β estimates + linkage disequilibrium (LD) matrix
 → BLR models → adj. β estimates

• Estimate association test statistics for marker sets



- LD ( $r^2 > 0.7$  and  $r^2 > 0.5$ ) p = TP/(TP + FP)- **Physical map** – 1МВ, ЗМВ Power: - Genetic map — 1см, 3см r = TP/(TP + FN)**Prior distribution of** marker effects Marker set association statistics - Low – Bayes C - Sum of genetic variance - Moderate – Bayes R and (T<sub>VAR</sub>) Bayes A - Sum of posterior inclusion - High – Bayes N probability (T<sub>PIP</sub>)

Authors would like to acknowledge Open Discovery Innovation Network (ODIN) for funding this study (grant no.: NNF20SA0061466).