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# ON THE SUM OF DIGITS IN THE BINARY EXPANSION OF PRODUCTS OF INTEGERS 

HAJIME KANEKO AND THOMAS STOLL

## 1. Introduction

Let $n$ be a nonnegative integer. We denote the sum of digits in the binary expansion of $n$ by $s(n)$. For instance, $s(27)=s\left((11011)_{2}\right)=4$. We investigate integers $a$ and $b$ whose products $a b$ have few nonzero digits, measured by the value $s(a b)$. More precisely, we discuss the Diophantine system with odd integer variables $a, b$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(a b)=k, \quad s(a)=\ell, \quad s(b)=m \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k, \ell, m \geq 2$ are fixed integers. In this paper we introduce the main results and the key idea of the paper [5].

The motivation of (1.1) is to investigate the finiteness of the odd positive integers $n$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(n)=s\left(n^{2}\right)=k \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is a fixed positive integer. Note that (1.1) is a generalization of (1.2). We first review some results related to (1.2). When we consider the relation between the sum of digits $s(P(n))$ and $s(n)$, where $P(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is a polynomial with $P(\mathbb{N}) \subset \mathbb{N}$. One might ask to which extent the quantities $s(n)$ and $s(P(n))$ are independent. Stolarsky [7] investigated the extremal asymptotic behavior of $s\left(n^{d}\right) / s(n)(n=1,2, \ldots)$, where $d$ is an integer greater than 1. In particular, he showed that if $d=2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s\left(n^{2}\right)}{s(n)}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stolarsky conjectured that (1.3) can be generalized for general $d \geq 2$. Hare, Laishram, and Stoll [4] solved Stolarsky's conjecture as follows: Let $P(X)=a_{d} X^{d}+\cdots+a_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ with $d \geq 2$ and $a_{d}>0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s(P(n))}{s(n)}=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $d$ is an integer greater than 1 , then $s\left(n^{d}\right) / s(n)$ takes arbitrarily small values. We note that Madritsch and Stoll [6] improved (1.4) as follows: Let $P_{1}(X), P_{2}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be non-constant polynomials with $P_{1}(\mathbb{N}), P_{2}(\mathbb{N}) \subset \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $P_{1}(X)$ and $P_{2}(X)$ have distinct degrees. Then

$$
\frac{s\left(P_{1}(n)\right)}{s\left(P_{2}(n)\right)} \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)
$$

is dense in $[0, \infty)$.

Next, let us briefly discuss results on the positive integers $n$ such that $s\left(n^{d}\right)$ takes fixed values. For any positive integer $k$, let

$$
S(k):=\left\{n \geq 1 \mid n \text { is odd, } s\left(n^{2}\right)=s(n)=k\right\} .
$$

Hare, Laishram, and Stoll [3] proved that if $k \leq 8$, then $S(k)$ is a finite set. Moreover, they showed that if $k \geq 16$ or $k \in\{12,13\}$, then $S(k)$ is an infinite set.

It is easily seen that if an odd integer $n \geq 1$ satisfies $s\left(n^{2}\right)=2$, then $n=3$. Szalay [8] showed that odd solutions $n \geq 1$ of $s\left(n^{2}\right)=3$ are $n=2^{\ell}+1(\ell \geq 1), n=7$, and $n=23$. Corvaja and Zannier [2] showed that there exist only finitely many perfect powers with four nonzero binary digits. More precisely, there exist only finitely many pairs ( $n, d$ ) with $n \geq 1$ and $d \geq 2$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(n^{d}\right)=4 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Bennett, Bugeaud and Mignotte [1] proved that if (1.5) holds, then $d \leq 4$.
In Section 2, we discuss the finiteness of the odd solutions of (1.1). In Section 3, we construct infinite families of solutions in the case of $k \geq 4$. The proofs of the theorems in Section 2 require technical combinatorial arguments. In this paper we introduce the main idea of the proofs in Section 4.

## 2. Main Results

In this section we introduce and describe the main results of the paper [5]. First we introduce two finiteness results for products of integers with 2 or 3 binary digits.
THEOREM 2.1. Let $\ell$ and $m$ be integers greater than 1 . Let $a$ and $b$ be odd integers with $s(a)=\ell, s(b)=m$. If $s(a b)=2$, then we have

$$
a b<2^{-4+2 \ell m} .
$$

THEOREM 2.2. Let $\ell$ and $m$ be integers with $\ell, m \geq 2$ and $\max \{\ell, m\} \geq 3$. Let $a$ and $b$ be odd integers with $s(a)=\ell, s(b)=m$. If $s(a b)=3$, then we have

$$
a b<2^{-13+4 \ell m} .
$$

On the other hand, if $k \geq 4$, then (1.1) has infinitely many odd solutions for arbitrarily large $\ell$ and $m$. The following result is a generalization of Theorem 2.3 in [5].
THEOREM 2.3. Let $k \geq 4$ be an integer. For any integer $L \geq 1$ there exist integers $\ell, m \geq L$ such that there exist infinitely many pairs $(a, b)$ of odd integers with

$$
s(a b)=k, \quad s(a)=\ell, \quad s(b)=m .
$$

By Theorem 2.3, in particular, we cannot give an upper bound for $a b$ when $s(a b)=4$. On the other hand, we can give an upper bound for $\min \{a, b\}$.

THEOREM 2.4. Let $\ell$ and $m$ be integers greater than 2 . Let $a$ and $b$ be odd integers with $s(a)=\ell, s(b)=m$. If $s(a b)=4$, then we have

$$
\min \{a, b\}<2^{18 \ell m}
$$
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## 3. The Diophantine system for $k \geq 4$

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 2.3. The proof relies on the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(2^{n}-2^{m}\right)=s\left(2^{m}\left(2^{n-m-1}+2^{n-m-2}+\cdots+1\right)\right)=n-m, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n, m$ are integers with $n>m \geq 0$. In this paper, we show Theorem 2.3 in the case of $k=4$ and $k=5$, which gives the essential idea and indicates how to proceed for the proof for general $k$.
Proof in the case of $k=4$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(X):=X^{2}-X+1 \\
& g(X):=(X+1)\left(X^{6}-X^{3}+1\right)=X^{7}+X^{6}-X^{4}-X^{3}+X+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(X) g(X)=(X+1)\left(X^{2}-X+1\right)\left(X^{6}-X^{3}+1\right)=X^{9}+1 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L$ be an arbitrary positive integer. For a positive integer $n$, put

$$
a_{0}:=f\left(2^{n}\right), \quad b_{0}:=g\left(2^{n}\right) .
$$

Taking a sufficiently large integer $n$, we get $s\left(a_{0}\right) \geq L$ and $s\left(b_{0}\right) \geq L$ by (3.1). Let $\ell:=$ $s\left(a_{0}\right) \geq L$ and $m:=2 s\left(b_{0}\right) \geq L$. For any sufficiently large $N$, putting

$$
a^{(N)}:=a_{0}, \quad b^{(N)}:=2^{N} b_{0}+b_{0},
$$

we get

$$
s\left(a^{(N)}\right)=\ell, \quad s\left(b^{(N)}\right)=s\left(2^{N} b_{0}\right)+s\left(b_{0}\right)=2 s\left(b_{0}\right)=m .
$$

Moreover, (3.2) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
s\left(a^{(N)} b^{(N)}\right) & =s\left(\left(2^{N}+1\right) a_{0} b_{0}\right)=s\left(\left(2^{N}+1\right) f\left(2^{n}\right) g\left(2^{n}\right)\right) \\
& =s\left(2^{N+9 n}+2^{N}+2^{9 n}+1\right)=4,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies Theorem 2.3 in the case of $k=4$.
Proof in the case of $k=5$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(X) & :=X^{2}-X+1 \\
g_{1}(X) & :=X^{6}+X^{5}-X^{3}+X+1 \\
g_{2}(X) & :=X^{7}+X^{6}-X^{4}-X^{3}+X+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(X) g_{1}(X)=X^{8}+X^{4}+1, \quad f(X) g_{2}(X)=X^{9}+1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For positive integers $n$ and $N$, put

$$
a^{(N)}:=f\left(2^{n}\right), \quad b^{(N)}:=2^{N} g_{1}\left(2^{n}\right)+g_{2}\left(2^{n}\right)
$$

Using the relation

$$
a^{(N)} b^{(N)}=2^{N}\left(2^{8 n}+2^{4 n}+1\right)+2^{9 n}+1
$$

by (3.3), we can prove Theorem 2.3 in the case of $k=5$ in the same way as the case of $k=4$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2.1

We introduce a key lemma for the proof of Theorem 2.1.
LEMMA 4.1. Let $\Lambda$ be a nonempty finite set. Let $c_{n}$ be a nonnegative integer for each $n \in \Lambda$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(\sum_{n \in \Lambda} 2^{c_{n}}\right)=1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for all $n, m \in \Lambda$ we have

$$
\left|c_{n}-c_{m}\right| \leq \max \{0,-2+\operatorname{Card} \Lambda\}
$$

where Card denotes the cardinality.
Proof. We may assume that Card $\Lambda \geq 2$. Let

$$
c^{\prime}:=\min \left\{c_{n} \mid n \in \Lambda\right\}, \quad c^{\prime \prime}:=\max \left\{c_{n} \mid n \in \Lambda\right\} .
$$

We consider the carry generated in the calculation of $\sum_{n \in \Lambda} 2^{c_{n}}$. Note that carry propagation goes from the lower to the higher significant digits. By (4.1), the carry generated by $2^{c^{\prime}}$ is transposed as far as to interact with $2^{c^{\prime \prime}}$. On the other hand, the number of carries generated by

$$
\left\{2^{c_{n}} \mid n \in \Lambda, c_{n}<c^{\prime \prime}\right\}
$$

is at most $-2+\operatorname{Card} \Lambda$. Thus we obtain Lemma 4.1.
In what follows, we give the proof of Theorem 2.1. The proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 2.4 follow a similar pattern but the investigation is much more involved since there are many more carries to deal with.

We denote odd integers $a$ and $b$ by

$$
a=\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} 2^{a_{i}}, \quad b=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} 2^{b_{j}}
$$

where $a_{\ell-1}>\cdots>a_{1}>a_{0}=0$ and $b_{m-1}>\cdots>b_{1}>b_{0}=0$. By $s(a b)=2$, we put $s(a b)=2^{x}+1$, where $x$ is a positive integer. Setting

$$
\Lambda:=\{(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \ell-1,0 \leq j \leq m-1,(i, j) \neq(0,0)\}
$$

we see that Card $\Lambda=\ell m-1 \geq 3$ by $\ell, m \geq 2$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(i, j) \in \Lambda} 2^{a_{i}+b_{j}}=2^{x} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering the carry propagation of the left-hand side of (4.2), we get $a_{1}=b_{1}$. Applying Lemma 4.1 with $c_{(i, j)}=a_{i}+b_{j}$, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0<\left(a_{\ell-1}+b_{m-1}\right)-\left(a_{1}+0\right) \leq-3+\ell m  \tag{4.3}\\
& 0 \leq\left(a_{\ell-1}+b_{m-1}\right)-\left(a_{1}+a_{1}\right) \leq-3+\ell m . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (4.3) and (4.4), we get

$$
2 a_{1} \leq a_{\ell-1}+b_{m-1} \leq a_{1}-3+\ell m
$$

and so

$$
a_{1} \leq-3+\ell m
$$

Using (4.3) again, we obtain

$$
a_{\ell-1}+b_{m-1} \leq a_{1}-3+\ell m \leq-6+2 \ell m .
$$

Finally we deduce by $a<2^{1+a_{\ell-1}}$ and $b<2^{1+b_{m-1}}$ that

$$
a b<2^{2+a_{\ell-1}+b_{m-1}} \leq 2^{-4+2 \ell m}
$$

which implies Theorem 2.1.
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