

Optimal Trajectories for Near-Earth-Objects using Solar Electric Propulsion (SEP) and Gravity Assisted Maneuver.

Denilson Paulo Souza dos Santos

Division of Space Mechanics and Control – INPE
C.P. 515, 12227-010 São José dos Campos - SP, Brasil
E-TEP Faculdades – Av.Barão do Rio Branco, 882 JD. Esplanada – São José dos Campos – SP
E-mail: denilson@dem.inpe.br

Antônio Fernando Bertachini de Almeida Prado

Division of Space Mechanics and Control – INPE
C.P. 515, 12227-010 São José dos Campos - SP, Brasil
E-mail: prado@dem.inpe.br

Lorenzo Casalino, Guido Colasurdo

Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi, 24, 10129 Torino, Italy
E-mail: lorenzo.casalino@polito.it; E-mail: guido.colasurdo@uniroma1.it

Abstract: *The future interplanetary missions will probably use the conventional chemical rockets to leave the sphere of influence of the Earth, and solar electric propulsion (SEP) to accomplish the other maneuvers of the mission. In this work the optimization of interplanetary missions using solar electric propulsion and Gravity Assisted Maneuver to reduce the costs of the mission, is considered. The high specific impulse of electric propulsion makes a Gravity Assisted Maneuver 1 year after departure convenient. Missions for several Near Earth Asteroids will be considered. The analysis suggests criteria for the definition of initial solutions demanded for the process of optimization of trajectories.*

Trajectories for the asteroid 2002TC70 are analyzed. Direct trajectories, trajectories with 1 gravity assisted from the Earth and with 2 gravity assisted from the Earth and either Mars are present. An indirect optimization method will be used in the simulations.

Keywords: Astrodynamics, Celestial Mechanics, Space Trajectories.

1. INTRODUCTION

The solar electric propulsion could be the best option for the transports of the future due to its high specific impulse when compared to the chemical propulsion. Electric propellants are being extensively used to assist the propulsion of terrestrial satellites for the maneuvers of orbit correction and as primary propulsion in missions toward other bodies of the solar system.

Both NASA and ESA have launched spacecrafts which used SEP (Solar Electric Propulsion) as the primary propulsion system; NASA's DS1 and ESA's Smart-1 to the moon to comet Borrelly.

Indirect optimization methods are suitable for the low thrust trajectories that are used in simulations. A finite force is applied during a finite interval of time and it is necessary to integrate the state equation along the time to know its effect. Several results exist in literature, starting with the works of Tsien (1953) and Lawden (1955). Other results and references can be found in Prado (1989), Prado and Rios-Neto (1993), Casalino and Colasurdo [1], Santos [2]. The most used method in this model is the so called "primer-vector theory", developed by Lawden (1953 and 1954) [4], [5], according to Prado [6], [7], Santos [8], [9]. In this paper, theory of optimal control is applied and a procedure based on the Newton Method to decide the boundary problems is developed. The Pontryagin's Maximum Principle (PMP) is used to maximize the Hamiltonian associated to the problem and evaluates the optimal structure of the "switching function".

The spacecraft leaves the Earth's sphere of influence with a hyperbolic velocity whose optimal magnitude and the direction will be supplied by the optimization procedure. The initial mass is directly related to the magnitude of the hyperbolic velocity, assuming that a chemical thruster is used to leave a low Earth orbit (LEO). Out of the Earth's sphere of influence, the electric propellants is activate and the available power is proportional to the square of the distance from the sun; the propulsion is provided by one or two "PPS 1350 ion thrusters and Phall1 thrusters (UNB)".

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROBLEM

The spacecraft will be considered a point with variable mass m and trajectory will be analyzed using the patched-conics approach. The time required by the spacecraft to leave the Earth's sphere of influence is neglected and, in this formulation, only equations of motion in the heliocentric reference system will be considered. The spacecraft is influenced by the Sun gravitational acceleration $\vec{g}(\mathbf{r})$ and the propulsion system of the vehicle implements a thrust T . With this formulation, a maneuver of Earth flyby can be used to gain energy and velocity, that provokes a discontinuity in the relative state variables in the velocity.

The variables are normalized using the radius of the Earth's orbit, the corresponding circular velocity, and the mass of the spacecraft in stationary orbit as values of reference. The solar electric Propulsion will be considered, therefore, the available power and thrust varies with the square of the distance from the sun.

In the problem, the thrust is the only control during the heliocentric arcs, and it will be optimized to get the minimum consumption, that is measured by the final mass of the spacecraft. Since the thrust appears linearly in the equation of motion, a bang-bang control, that consists of alternating ballistic arcs with arcs of maximum thrust will be required. The trajectory is composed by a succession of ballistic arcs (zero-thrust) and arcs of maximum thrust, where the optimal direction will be supplied by the optimization procedure.

The boundary conditions are imposed in satisfactory way at the junctions between trajectory arcs.

The integration initiates when the spacecraft leaves the Earth's sphere of influence, at the position $\vec{r}_i = \vec{r}_\oplus(t_i)$ that coincides with the Earth is position, considering the velocity \vec{v}_i free. The hyperbolic velocity is given by $\vec{v}_{\infty i} = \vec{v}_i - \vec{v}_\oplus(t_i)$, assuming that a rocket thruster is used to leave the Low Earth Orbit (LEO) with an impulsive maneuver; the vehicle mass on LEO is specified. The increment of velocity (ΔV) demanded to provide the hyperbolic velocity is $\Delta V = \sqrt{v_{\infty i}^2 + v_e^2} - v_c$, where v_e and v_c are the escape and circular velocity at the LEO radius [1].

The initial mass at the exit from the Earth's sphere of influence is,

$$m_i = a - bV_\infty - cV_\infty^2 \quad (1)$$

where,

$\varepsilon(1 - m_i)$ is the jettisoned mass of the exhausted motor, which is proportional to the propellant mass. The spacecraft intercepts the Earth and accomplishes Gravity Assisted Maneuvers [6], [9]. The position of the vehicle $\vec{r}_\pm = \vec{r}_\oplus(t_\pm)$ is constrained and the magnitude of the hyperbolic excess velocity $\vec{v}_{\infty \pm} = \vec{v}_\pm - \vec{v}_\oplus(t_\pm)$ is continuous $v_{\infty+}^2 = v_{\infty-}^2$ [1].

If the minimum height constraint on the *flyby* is requested, a condition on the velocity turn angle is added:

$$\vec{v}_{\infty+}^T \vec{v}_{\infty-} = -\cos(2\phi) v_{\infty-}^2 \quad (2)$$

where,

$$\cos(\phi) = \frac{v_p^2}{(v_{\infty-}^2 + v_p^2)} \quad (3)$$

v_p is the circular velocity at the low distances allowed for a planet.

$$\vec{v}_{\infty \pm} = \vec{v}_{i \pm} - \vec{v}_4 \quad (4)$$

At the final point (subscript f), the position and velocity vectors of the spacecraft and the asteroid coincide,

$$\mathbf{r}_f = \mathbf{r}_A(t_f) \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_f = \mathbf{v}_A(t_f) \quad (6)$$

The theory of optimal control provides the control law and necessary boundary conditions for optimality.

3. OPTIMIZATION PROCEDURES

The objective is to use the theory of optimal control to maximize the spacecraft final mass.

Dynamical equations are,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\vec{r}} &= \vec{v} \\ \dot{\vec{v}} &= \vec{g}(\vec{r}) + \frac{\vec{T}}{m} \\ \dot{m} &= -\frac{\vec{T}}{c}\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

Applying the theory of optimal control, the Hamiltonian function is defined as (Lawden, 1954) [3, 4]:

$$H = \vec{\lambda}_r^t \vec{v} + \vec{\lambda}_v^t \left(\vec{g} + \frac{\vec{T}}{m} \right) - \lambda_m \frac{\vec{T}}{c} \quad (8)$$

An indirect optimization procedure is used to maximize the payload. According to Pontryagin's Maximum Principle the optimal controls maximize H.

The nominal thrust T_0 at 1 AU, and the electrical power are [1],

$$\begin{aligned}P_0 &= \frac{T_0 c}{2\eta} \\ T_{Max} &= \frac{T_0}{r^2}\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

Optimal control theory provides differential equation for the adjoint equations of the problem (Euler-Lagrange).

Adjoint equations are,

$$\dot{\vec{\lambda}}_r^t = \vec{\lambda}_v^t \frac{\partial \vec{g}}{\partial \vec{r}} - S_f \frac{\partial T}{\partial \vec{r}} \quad (10)$$

$$\dot{\vec{\lambda}}_v^t = -\vec{\lambda}_r^t \quad (11)$$

$$\dot{\lambda}_m^t = \lambda_v^t \frac{\vec{T}}{m^2} \quad (12)$$

where, $G = \frac{\partial \vec{g}}{\partial \vec{r}}$.

Thrust direction and Switching Function (S_f) are,

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{T} & // \vec{\lambda}_v \\ H &= \vec{\lambda}_r^t \vec{v} + \vec{\lambda}_v^t G + \vec{T} \left(\frac{\lambda_v}{m} - \frac{\lambda_m}{c'} \right) \\ S_f &= \frac{\lambda_v}{m} - \frac{\lambda_m}{c'}\end{aligned}\quad (13)$$

where,

c' - is the effective exhaust velocity of the rocket thruster;

$$T_{Max} = \begin{cases} \frac{T_0}{r^2} \rightarrow S_f > 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow S_f < 0 \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

The necessary optimal conditions [1], [9].

$$\left(H_{j_-} + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t_{j_-}} + \mu^t \frac{\partial \vec{\chi}}{\partial t_{j_-}} \right) \delta t_{j_-} = 0 \quad (15)$$

$$\left(H_{j_+} - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t_{j_+}} - \mu^t \frac{\partial \bar{\chi}}{\partial t_{j_+}} \right) \delta t_{j_+} = 0 \quad (16)$$

$$\left(\lambda_{j_-}^t - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \bar{x}_{j_-}} - \mu^t \frac{\partial \bar{\chi}}{\partial \bar{x}_{j_-}} \right) \delta \bar{x}_{j_-} = 0 \quad (17)$$

$$\left(\lambda_{j_+}^t + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \bar{x}_{j_+}} + \mu^t \frac{\partial \bar{\chi}}{\partial \bar{x}_{j_+}} \right) \delta \bar{x}_{j_+} = 0 \quad (18)$$

Where:

$\bar{\chi}$: the vector collecting the constraining boundary conditions (see eq. 15 - 18)

$\varphi = m_f$

At the initial point:

1. $\bar{r}_0 = \bar{r}_{\oplus}$;
2. $m_o = 1 - bV_{\infty} - cV_{\infty}^2$
3. $(\bar{v}_0 - \bar{v}_{\oplus})^2 = \bar{v}_{\infty 0}^2$;
4. Equations 16 and 18 provide optimal control with λ_{r0} and T_{r0} free;
5. the necessary condition optimal of the state is $\bar{\lambda}_{v0}$ (primer vector) be parallel to the hyperbolic velocity;

At flyby [2]:

1. the equations (15 and 16) are used to obtain the transversality conditions, that implicates in determining the arc time used;
2. at the equations (17 and 18) the $\bar{\lambda}_{vi}$ is parallel to the hyperbolic velocity, before and after of free flyby maneuver; the magnitude is continuous;
3. the states of Hamiltonian remain continuous through the *flyby* maneuvers;
4. when the minimum height constraint of the *flyby* is requested, a condition on the velocity turn angle is added (Eq. 2 and 3).

At the final point:

1. $\bar{\lambda}_{vf}$ is parallel to the hyperbolic velocity, $\bar{\lambda}_{rf}$ is parallel to the radius and $\bar{\lambda}_{rf}^t \bar{v}_f + \bar{\lambda}_{vf}^t \bar{g} = 0$;
2. the final values of $\bar{\lambda}_{mf}$ and H_f depends on the control model that was considered in the maneuver;
3. the adjoint variable $\bar{\lambda}_v$ is zero during the whole trajectory.

4. MISSION ASTEROID 2002TC70

The following types of missions had been simulated:

1. without flyby;
2. Earth Gravity Assisted - EGA mission
3. Earth and Mars Gravity Assisted - EMGA mission

Using the optimization procedure we can find optimal trajectories, with the maximization of the spacecraft final mass (i.é., minimum fuel consumption). These trajectories depend on the mission objectives, for example, the performance depends on the mission time length. It is possible to reduce the time with some more spend of propellant.

The Keplerian elements of the asteroid 200TC70, are shown,

Name	2002TC70
Epoch	54200
a	1.369831
e	0.19691574
i	2.13932
Ω	161.89427
ω	134.84892
M	351.6336031
r_a	1.10009
r_p	1.639572

Table 1 – Keplerian Elements

4.1 NUMERICAL ANALYSIS WITH PPS1350 (ESA)

The characteristics of the spacecraft propulsion system that have been assumed are [9]:

1. the mass of the spacecraft with an altitude of 200 km in circular LEO is 2133.3 Kg;
2. specific impulse $I_s = 1550s$;
3. specific energy $\varepsilon = 0.06$;
4. $T = 2 \cdot 70mN$ (thruster PPS 1350 used for the SMART-1 mission to the moon);
5. nominal thruster $T_o=1$ UA;
6. The time: $time = 0$ corresponds to the date 01/01/2000.

The necessary optimal condition were formulated in agreement with the problem; the bang-bang control was used in the formularization with limited power and constraint in the time of flight.

4.2 NUMERICAL ANALYSIS WITH PHALL 1 (UNB)

The researchers of the Plasma Laboratory of the Physics Institute of the Brasilia University (UNB), since 2002, pledge in the study and development of a propellant that uses a plasma propulsion system produced by current Hall, based on Stationary Plasma Thrusters (SPT). In this project uses permanent magnets with generating the magnetic field, reducing the electricity consumption.

The characteristics of the spacecraft propulsion system are:

1. the mass of the spacecraft with an altitude of 200 km in circular LEO is 2133.3 Kg;
2. specific impulse $I_s = 1607s$;
3. specific energy $\varepsilon = 0.06$;
4. $T = 2 \cdot 126mN$ (thruster Phall 1 - UNB);
5. nominal thruster $T_o=1$ UA;
6. The time: $time = 0$ corresponds to the date 01/01/2000.

Diverse missions can be implemented with the optimization algorithm used in this work, of which the main ones are: transference with free time (to change to space vehicle orbit without restrictions to the necessary time the execution maneuver); “Rendezvous” (one desires that the space vehicle if finds and remains to the side of as a space vehicle); “Flyby” (desires to intercept one another celestial body, however without the objective to remain next); “Swing-By” (is used of a next ticket to a celestial body to gain or to lose energy, speed and angular moment), etc.

Been verified resulted better in comparison to the results gotten with PPS 1350 (Table), therefore, Phall 1 possesss a bigger specific thrust and the truster (t) is bigger in magnitude.

Asteroid 2002TC70									
PPS 1350 ($I_s= 1550s$) (2 x 70mN)					Hall (UNB) ($I_s= 1607s$) (2 x 126mN)				
Duration (days)	m_f	Data	ΔV_{el} (electric) (km/s)	V_∞ (km/s)	Duration (days)	m_f	Data	ΔV_{el} (electric) (km/s)	V_∞ (km/s)
<i>Flyby: 0</i>					<i>Flyby: 0</i>				
1052.85	0.7768	Departure: 14/07/2013 Arrival: 01/06/2016	3.83917757	1.25579628	748.24	0.7846	Departure: 06/07/2013 Arrival: 24/07/2015	3.820898907	1.117814179
<i>Flyby: 1 – Earth (EGA)</i>					<i>Flyby: 1 – Earth (EGA)</i>				
883.40	0.8684	Departure: 13/05/2016 <i>Flyby - Earth:</i> 30/07/2017 Arrival: 13/10/2018	2.14480657	0.8376562	845.23	0.8789	Departure: 12/05/2027 <i>Flyby - Earth:</i> 01/08/2028 Arrival: 03/09/2029	2.034274163	0.870011798
<i>Flyby: 2 - Earth – Mars (EMGA)</i>					<i>Flyby: 2 - Earth – Mars (EMGA)</i>				
1081.15	0.8739	Departure: 11/02/2017 <i>Flyby - Earth:</i> 11/05/2018 <i>Flyby - Mars:</i> 17/11/2018 Arrival: 28/01/2020	2.04883921	0.58081769	1035.51	0.8886	Departure: 31/01/2017 <i>Flyby - Earth:</i> 08/05/2018 <i>Flyby - Mars:</i> 18/11/2018 Arrival: 02/12/2019	1.86129921	0.647333385

Table 2 exhibits a comparison of time and final mass of the vehicle with the use of the optimized maneuver without *flyby* e with *flyby* at the Earth, and, Earth and Mars.

5. CONCLUSION

The search for the best initial parameters for a mission is facilitated if the transfer orbit with free time is optimized first. The ideal asteroids for EGA missions should possess low orbit energy, perihelion close to 1 UA, low inclination per EGA.

Indirect optimization methods based on optimal control theory supply accurate solutions. The use of Gravity Assisted Maneuver (EGA, EMGA or EVGA) in this mission reduces the fuel consumption and the time of the maneuver, demonstrating that this important formulation is viable and useful.

Orbits with Phall 1 had been analyzed using gravity assisted maneuvers and verified resulted optimistical for the implantation of probes using this technology, also being able to use this formularization in the future missions that use launch vehicle that is in development/improvement (VLS-2, Brazil), which can inject in LEO (low earth orbit) a satellite medium sized, thereafter, use the solar electric propulsion (SEP) or nuclear (NEP) to dislocate the vehicle for desired orbits, maximizing them with the maneuver that use assisted gravity.

The present analysis favor a guess at the tentative solution as the Earth's positions as departure and flyby are a priori known. The ideal asteroid has perihelion radius which is close to 1AU, a low-energy orbit and low inclination with relation to the ecliptical axis.

The performance parameters of Phall are competitive with known electromagnet Hall thrusters found on the literature.

The fuel consumption for a mission with multiples flyby's follows the criterion of the asteroid orbit.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was accomplished with the support of CAPES – Brazil, INPE – National Institute for Space Research – Brazil, and Instituto Politecnico de Torino – Italy.

These results are part of the work of doctoral thesis in engineering and space technologies – space mechanical and control, developed in INPE and Instituto Politecnico de Torino.

REFERENCES

- [1] Casalino, L. and Colasurdo, G., "Missions to Asteroids Using Solar Electric Propulsion." *Acta Astronautica* Vol. 50, No. 11,, 2002: pp.705–711.
- [2] Santos, D. P. S dos., *Estudo Comparativo de Diferentes Métodos de Manobras Orbitais de Empuxo Contínuo. Exame de Qualificação de Doutorado em Engenharia e Tecnologias Espaciais*, São José dos Campos: INPE, 2006.
- [3] Lawden, D.F., "Fundamentals of Space Navigation." *JBIS*, 1954: Vol. 13, pp. 87-101.
- [4] Lawden, D.F., "Minimal Rocket Trajectories." *ARS Journal*, 1953: Vol. 23, No. 6, pp. 360-382.
- [5] Casalino, L.; Colasurdo, G., and Pasttrone., "Optimal Low-Thrust Scape Trajectories Using Gravity Assist." *Journal Of Guidance, Control and Dynamics*., 1999: v. 22, n. 5, p. 637-642,.
- [6] Prado, A. F.B. A; Santos, D. P. S.; Rocco, E. M., "Consecutive Collision Orbit Problems Transfer Maneuvers From One Body Back To The Same Body". *Icnpaa - 6th International Conference On Mathematical Problems In Engineering And Aerospace Sciences*, 2006.
- [7] Prado, A.F.B.A, "Low-Thrust Trajectories to the Moon", *Computer and Simulation in Modern Science*, Vol 1, 2008, pg 89-94. WSEAS Press, ISBN 978-960-474-010-9. Editor-in-Chief: Nikos Mastorakis.
- [8] Santos, D. P. S.; Prado, A. F.B. A; Rocco, E. M., "The Use of Consecutive Collision Orbits to Obtain Swing-By Maneuvers." *IAF - 56th International Astronautical Congress*, 2005.
- [9] Santos, D.P.S., Casalino, L, Colasurdo, G, Prado, AFBAP, "Optimal Trajectories using Gravity Assisted Maneuver and Solar Electric Propulsion (Sep) Towards Near-Earth-Objects", *Proceedings of the 4th WSEAS International Conference on APPLIED and THEORETICAL MECHANICS (MECHANICS '08)*, pg 62-68 , Cairo, Egypt December 29-31, 2008.
- [10] Marec, J.P., *Optimal Space Trajectories*. New York, NY,: Elsevier., 1979.
- [11] Brophy, J. R. and Noca, M., "Electric propulsion for solar system exploration." *Journal of Propulsion and Power*, 1998: 14, 700-707.