

---

This is the **accepted version** of the journal article:

Fernandez Palacios, Eva; Lafuente Sancho, Francisco Javier; Mora Garrido, Mabel; [et al.]. «Exploring the performance limits of a sulfidogenic UASB during the long-term use of crude glycerol as electron donor». *Science of the Total Environment*, Vol. 688 (October 2019), p. 1184-1192. DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.371

---

This version is available at <https://ddd.uab.cat/record/266947>

under the terms of the  license

1 **Exploring the performance limits of a sulfidogenic UASB during the**  
2 **long-term use of crude glycerol as electron donor**

3

E. Fernández-Palacios, J. Lafuente, M. Mora, D. Gabriel\*

GENOCOV Research Group, Department of Chemical, Biological and Environmental  
Engineering, Escola d'enginyeria, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Spain

(\*corresponding author: David.Gabriel@uab.cat)

4

5 **ABSTRACT**

6 SO<sub>x</sub> contained in flue gases and S-rich liquid effluents can be valorized to recover  
7 elemental sulfur in a two-stage bioscrubbing process. The reduction of sulfate to sulfide  
8 is the most crucial stage to be optimized. In this study, the long-term performance of an  
9 up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactor using crude glycerol as electron donor  
10 was assessed. The UASB was operated for 400 days with different sulfate and organic  
11 loading rates (SLR and OLR, respectively) and a COD/S-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> ratio ranging from 3.8 g  
12 O<sub>2</sub> g<sup>-1</sup> S to 5.4 g O<sub>2</sub> g<sup>-1</sup> S. After inoculation with methanogenic, granular biomass, the  
13 competition between sulfate-reducing and methanogenic microorganisms determined to  
14 what extent dissolved sulfide and methane were produced. After the complete washout of  
15 methanogens, which was revealed by next-generation sequencing analysis, the highest S-  
16 EC was reached in the system. The highest average sulfate elimination capacity (S-  
17 EC=4.3 kg S m<sup>-3</sup>d<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained at a COD/S-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> ratio of 5.4 g O<sub>2</sub> g<sup>-1</sup> S and an OLR  
18 of 24.4 kg O<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-3</sup>d<sup>-1</sup> with a sulfate removal efficiency of 94%. The conversion of influent  
19 COD to methane decreased from 12% to 2.5% as the SLR increased while a large fraction  
20 of acetate (35% of the initial COD) was accumulated. Our data indicate that crude  
21 glycerol can promote sulfidogenesis. However, the disappearance of methanogens in the  
22 long-term due to the outcompetition by sulfate reducing bacteria, lead to such large  
23 accumulation of acetate.

24 .

25

26

27 **Keywords:** crude glycerol, UASB, SRB, carbon sink, bioscrubber, SO<sub>2</sub> valorization

28

## 29 **1. INTRODUCTION**

30 Combustion of sulfur-containing fuels, such as coal, natural gas, peat, wood and oil,  
31 results in SO<sub>2</sub> formation mainly generated in the energetic and industrial sectors (Klimont  
32 et al., 2013). These emissions are usually treated through physical-chemical processes  
33 that are expensive and generate additional effluents requiring further processing and  
34 energy inputs (Srivastava and Jozewicz., 2001; Philip and Deshusses., 2003). As an  
35 example, aqueous slurries with high sulfite and sulfate content are generated from wet  
36 flue gas desulfurization (FGD) with sodium hydroxide. The development of  
37 environmentally friendly alternatives to valorize not only SO<sub>2</sub> from FGD but also S-rich  
38 liquid effluents is clearly needed. The two-stage bioscrubber concept described in Figure  
39 S1 (Supplementary Information section) is a potential alternative process to recover  
40 elemental sulfur from such gaseous effluents (Fernández et al., 2017). The process  
41 consists of a first scrubbing stage for SO<sub>x</sub> absorption in water at slightly alkaline pH,  
42 followed by two-stage biological process to obtain elemental sulfur. The biological  
43 process converts, firstly, sulfate to total dissolved sulfide (TDS) using an organic waste  
44 as C source and electron donor and, secondly, TDS to elemental sulfur through a partial  
45 oxidation performed under oxygen limiting conditions. Partial TDS oxidation can be also  
46 performed through autotrophic denitrification.

47 Sulfate to TDS reduction has been studied under a range of substrates such as sewage or  
48 methanol under a range of operating conditions including thermophilic processes (Qian  
49 et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2013; Weijma et al., 2000). However, the sulfate reduction stage  
50 is still the one that requires further economic and technical improvements (Chen et al.,  
51 2014). Sulfate reduction, which is catalyzed by sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB)

52 (Liamleam and Annachhatre, 2007), can be carried out with a large assortment of organic  
53 wastes and under different operating conditions. Recently, crude glycerol has been  
54 proposed as a competitive substrate to reduce high loads of sulfate to TDS in batch tests  
55 as well as during the start-up of an up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor (UASB)  
56 (Mora et al., 2018). Crude glycerol is a waste organic effluent produced in the biodiesel  
57 industry with an exceptional COD concentration ( $\approx 800 \text{ g COD L}^{-1}$ ) that does not require  
58 any additional treatment before its use as carbon source. In most of the recent research,  
59 crude glycerol has been used as a suitable substrate for biogas production in anaerobic  
60 systems (Nakazawa et al., 2015) or as a co-substrate in anaerobic digestion to increase  
61 biogas production (Nghiem et al., 2014; Athanasoulia et al., 2014). Despite different  
62 approaches to reduce sulfate from S-rich streams have been investigated using pure  
63 glycerol (Santos et al., 2017) the potential of crude glycerol has been poorly explored in  
64 sulfidogenic reactors. . In fact, to the best of our knowledge, assessment of the long-term  
65 performance of a UASB using crude glycerol as electron donor for sulfate reduction has  
66 not been addressed before.

67 One of the main problems related to the start-up of a reactor for sulfate reduction with  
68 organic matter is the competition between SRB and methanogens. Since the inoculum is  
69 usually obtained from full-scale anaerobic digesters targeting methane production, the  
70 enrichment of sulfate reducing bacteria (SRB) becomes a decisive threat between sulfate  
71 reduction and methane production. SRB and methanogens competition for the common  
72 intermediates in the anaerobic degradation process has been widely reported, which  
73 results in a variable performance of the reactor. Then, the origin of the inoculum becomes  
74 critical as it contains diverse microbial populations leading to differences in initial activity  
75 and substrate adaptation (De Vrieze et al., 2015). Some variables that have been studied  
76 to assess this competition are COD to  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  ratio (COD/S ratio), TOC/S ratio, organic

77 loading rates (OLR), sulfate loading rates (SLR) and the type of electron donor used to  
78 reduce sulfate (Pol et al., 1998). Most of them have been studied using different electron  
79 donors, such as glucose (O'Reilly and Colleran, 2006), lactate (Zhou et al., 2014), ethanol  
80 (Hu et al., 2015) and Volatile Fatty Acid (VFA) mixtures (acetate, propionate and  
81 butyrate) (Omil et al., 1996; Omil et al., 1998; Lens et al., 1998) but, there are no reports  
82 on the long-term operation using a substrate with a significant fraction of slowly  
83 hydrolysable carbon source such as that contained in crude glycerol. Despite the  
84 competition of SRB over methanogens has been widely described, the use of crude  
85 glycerol as carbon source implies the production of metabolites through its fermentation  
86 that may lead to microbial diversity changes that have not been yet explored. It remains  
87 uncertain if such competition may be beneficial or not to process performance.

88 Another important parameter in the start-up and long-term operation of UASB reactors  
89 for sulfate reduction is biomass granulation. Granular biomass provides a strong structure  
90 and good settling properties that contribute to high biomass retention, and stands up  
91 against possible shock and high loading rates (Liu and Tay., 2004). As demonstrated by  
92 De Vrieze et al. (2015), selecting an inoculum according to your objective is crucial for  
93 a robust operation. In the current study, granular sludge from methanogenic anaerobic  
94 digesters is used as inoculum in UASB bioreactors for sulfate reduction (Mora et al.,  
95 2018) considering that no granular SRB reactors are currently operated in the field. Long-  
96 term operation may lead to microbial diversity changes that could affect UASB  
97 performance during the long-term operation of such sulfidogenic reactors.

98 To better understand the limits and applicability of sulfate reduction, this study aimed at  
99 assessing 1) the limits of the process in terms of sulfate reducing capacities and 2) the  
100 long-term performance of a UASB for the treatment of synthetic sulfate-rich effluents to  
101 produce TDS using crude glycerol as electron donor. This study not only provides new

102 information regarding S-rich streams valorization but also assesses the use of crude  
103 glycerol specifically for sulfate reduction through the analysis of C sinks to the main  
104 bioprocess occurring in the system.

105

## 106 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 107 **2.1. Experimental setup**

108 A jacketed glass-made UASB reactor of 2.5 L, with a granular sludge volume of 1L, was  
109 used in this study. A detailed scheme of the UASB is presented in Figure 1. During the  
110 operation, inlet pH ranged between 8.4 and 8.6 and temperature was controlled at 35°C  
111 by a thermostatic bath connected to the water jacket of the reactor (Figure 1). The  
112 composition of the mineral medium was (g L<sup>-1</sup>): K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (3), NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (0.2) dissolved in  
113 tap water to add macro- and micronutrients and adjusted to pH=8.8-9.0 with NaOH (2  
114 M). Because of the difficulty to control pH in a plug-flow type bioreactor, the pH in the  
115 UASB was not controlled. However, the buffering capacity of the mineral medium  
116 allowed maintaining the outlet pH above 7 along the whole operation of the reactor (data  
117 not shown). Mineral medium was pumped at a flow rate of 0.5 L h<sup>-1</sup>, once mixed with the  
118 organic influent, from the bottom to the top of the UASB (up-flow velocity of 0.25 m h<sup>-1</sup>).  
119 The flow rate of the organic influent was set at 30 mL h<sup>-1</sup>. Hence, crude glycerol was  
120 diluted to adjust the inlet COD concentration. The hydraulic residence time (HRT),  
121 calculated as that corresponding to the reaction volume only (sludge blanket), was 2h.  
122 Biogas produced in the UASB was collected in a 5 L Tedlar bag (FlexFoil, SKC Inc.) to  
123 monitor its composition and flow rate. Inlet and outlet flows were also sampled every  
124 two/three days to analyze COD, S compounds (sulfate, thiosulfate and TDS) and VFA.

### 125 **2.2 Operating conditions and short-term experiments**

126 Granular sludge obtained from an anaerobic digester treating wastewater in a pulp and  
127 paper industry was used to inoculate the UASB reactor to reach an initial Volatile  
128 Suspended Solids (VSS) concentration of 28 g VSS L<sup>-1</sup>. As shown in Table 1, the reactor  
129 was operated during 400 days at different sulfate inlet concentrations. Inlet sulfate  
130 concentrations ranging from 235±17 mg S-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> L<sup>-1</sup> to 859±30 mg S-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> L<sup>-1</sup> were fed  
131 by adding sodium sulfate to the mineral medium. Different SLR and OLR were tested  
132 during the long-term operation of the UASB in order to assess the sulfate reducing  
133 capacity of the system

134 The operation was divided into 6 different periods according to the initial sulfate inlet  
135 concentrations and the COD/S ratio tested (Table 1). Period I focused on the UASB start-  
136 up to enrich the microbial community with SRB; Period II served to optimize the  
137 operation at the same inlet sulfate concentration set in Period I by providing a higher  
138 OLR; Periods III and IV were set to study the sulfate reducing activity at a moderate  
139 initial sulfate concentration; Period V served to explore the limits of the system by setting  
140 the highest sulfate inlet concentration and, finally, Period VI targeted the recovery of the  
141 initial UASB stability when the lowest SLR was set. Table 1 shows average operating  
142 conditions and standard deviations obtained from each operational period. SLR and OLR  
143 were calculated considering the reaction volume only.

144 During period VI, short-term assays were carried out during 60 h to assess the sulfate  
145 elimination capacity (S-EC) in the UASB reactor under variable loading rate conditions  
146 typically found in industrial activities. The experiment consisted of a stepwise decrease  
147 of the sulfate inlet concentration every 12 h (from 450 mg S L<sup>-1</sup> to 120 mg S L<sup>-1</sup>). The  
148 COD/S was also varied since the OLR remained constant during the short-term  
149 experimental assays. At each concentration tested, effluent was collected to measure the

150 concentration of sulfate, TDS and VFA. In addition, sulfate concentration in the influent  
151 was also measured every 12 hours.

### 152 **2.3. Analytical methods**

153 Sulfate ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ) and thiosulfate ( $\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$ ) concentrations were analyzed by ion  
154 chromatography with conductivity detection using a Dionex ICS-2000 equipment with  
155 an Ultimate 3000 Autosampler Column Compartment, and an IonPac AS18 column  
156 (ThermoScientific, USA). COD was measured using COD kits and a photometer  
157 (Lovibond®).

158 VFA concentration were measured by gas chromatography (7820-A, Agilent  
159 Technologies) equipped with a DB-FFA column and using a flame ionization detector  
160 (FID) with helium as carrier gas. Prior to VFA analyses, samples were prepared following  
161 the procedure described in Baeza et al. (2017) which consisted of pipetting 0.8 mL of  
162 filtered samples together with 0.2 mL of a preserving solution (which also contained  
163 hexanoic acid as the internal standard) in a glass vial of 1.5 mL. The VFA species  
164 analyzed included acetic, propionic, butyric, isobutyric and valeric acids. Only acetic and  
165 propionic acids were detected in significant amounts. All samples were filtered at 0.22  
166  $\mu\text{m}$  (Millipore, USA).

167 A sulfide selective electrode (VWR International Eurolab, S.L) connected to a benchtop  
168 meter (Symphony, VWR) was used for the off-line measurement of TDS concentration.  
169 Prior to their measurement, samples were diluted and preserved in sulfide antioxidant  
170 buffer (SAOB). The SAOB composition was ( $\text{g L}^{-1}$ ): ascorbic acid (35) and EDTA (67)  
171 dissolved in NaOH (2M).

172  $\text{CH}_4$ ,  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  in the biogas produced were analyzed by gas chromatography (7820-  
173 A, Agilent Technologies, USA). The volume of the gas produced in the UASB reactor  
174 was calculated following the Gas Bag Method (GBM) as presented in Ambler and Logan



175 (2011). This method is based on 1) measuring the initial composition of the collected gas  
176 in the bag, 2) adding a known volume of tracer gas (CO<sub>2</sub> in this case) in order to produce  
177 an appreciable change in the area of the CO<sub>2</sub> peak in the GC chromatogram and 3)  
178 analyzing the new composition after the injection. The average methane flowrate was  
179 calculated based on the volume of gas collected along variable time periods in which  
180 biogas was accumulated in the sampling bag located on top of the UASB and the methane  
181 concentration in the gas bag.

#### 182 **2.4. Illumina sequencing analysis**

183 Microbial diversity analysis was performed using next-generation sequencing. Genomic  
184 DNA was extracted from samples of the inoculum and on day 190 of the UASB operation  
185 by applying the protocol of MoBio PowerSoil™ DNA extraction kit (MoBio  
186 Laboratories, USA). The quantity and quality of the extracted DNA were evaluated by  
187 using a NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). DNA  
188 metabarcoding analysis was performed on an Illumina MiSeq platform by AllGenetics &  
189 Biology SL (A Coruña, Spain). For library preparation, a fragment of the bacterial 16S  
190 V4-V5 ribosomal RNA gene of around 400 bp was amplified using the primers 515F (5'  
191 GTG CCA GCM GCC GCG GTA A 3') and 909R (5' CCG TCA ATT YHT TTR AGT  
192 3').

193

### 194 **3. RESULTS**

#### 195 **3.1 Long-term performance of the UASB and short-term experiments**

196 The UASB performance was evaluated during 400 days of continuous operation in terms  
197 of sulfate removal efficiency (S-RE), COD removal efficiency (COD-RE) and sulfate and  
198 COD elimination capacities (S-EC and COD-EC, respectively) using crude glycerol as  
199 carbon source. Table 2 shows the results obtained from the long-term UASB operation as

200 averages and standard deviations of all data acquired in each period. Monitoring results  
201 of sulfur species are shown in Figure 2 while COD measurements together with the  
202 average flowrate of methane and the concentration of each VFA monitored are presented  
203 in Figure 3.

204 As shown in Table 2, the UASB operation was divided into six periods. During the UASB  
205 start-up, sulfate inlet concentration and OLR were maintained at  $235 \pm 17$  mg S-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> L<sup>-1</sup>  
206 and  $12.0 \pm 2.1$  kg O<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-3</sup>d<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. As can be observed in Figure 2, sulfate reduction  
207 started almost immediately after inoculation and increased steadily during period I.  
208 During period II (days 99-115) the OLR was stepwise increased since the organic matter  
209 was limiting in Period I for the complete reduction of sulfate. An S-RE up to 99% with  
210 an almost complete removal of the COD (Figure 3A) was obtained at the end of this  
211 period. Consequently, the SLR and OLR were increased in Period III, day 115 to 197, by  
212 doubling the inlet sulfate and COD concentrations in order to reach a higher sulfate  
213 reduction capacity in the system while maintaining the COD/S ratio around 5 g O<sub>2</sub> g<sup>-1</sup> S,  
214 which was found to provide the best results in terms of sulfate and COD removal  
215 efficiencies during period II. Even if almost complete sulfate removal (S-RE up to 94%)  
216 was found during period III, there was a progressive VFA accumulation coupled to a  
217 decrease of the COD-RE (Figure 3B).

218 Despite the S and C loads were not changed, a fourth period was defined because the  
219 actual crude glycerol (glycerol 1) was replaced by a new batch from the supplier at the  
220 beginning of period IV. The new crude glycerol (glycerol 2) contained 35% less water,  
221 an average COD of 900 g O<sub>2</sub> L<sup>-1</sup> (640 g C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>3</sub> L<sup>-1</sup>) and a lower BOD<sub>5</sub>/COD ratio (Table  
222 SI-3 in Supplementary Information). Lower average S-REs were found with the new  
223 crude glycerol (Table 2) despite some progressive acclimation of functional bacteria to  
224 the crude glycerol towards the end of period IV (S-RE above 85%). Consequently, the

225 SLR was increased in Period V (days 238-288) to verify the maximum treatment capacity  
226 of the reactor. In Period V the lowest COD/S ratio was tested despite the system was  
227 already overloaded. VFAs accumulated to reaching their maximum concentrations  
228 (Figure 3B) as described in section 3.2. During Period VI the UASB operated during 112  
229 days under the conditions tested during period III-IV to recover the initial stability of the  
230 system.

231 In addition, short-term experiments were performed. For that purpose, different sulfate  
232 inlet concentrations were tested at the end of period VI (days 360-370) to verify system  
233 robustness to face quickly variable inlet loads during the operation. Figure 4 shows sulfate  
234 and sulfide concentration profiles as well as the corresponding S-RE and S-EC obtained  
235 during the short-term assays. As can be observed in Figure 4, the sulfate RE was almost  
236 doubled for the lowest sulfate concentration tested ( $120 \text{ mg S-SO}_4^{2-} \text{ L}^{-1}$ ) compared to the  
237 initial situation before the short-term experiment.

238

### 239 **3.2 Organic matter sink: sulfate reduction and biogas and VFA production**

240 Even if traces of other VFA were measured from the biodegradation of crude glycerol,  
241 only acetate and propionate were predominant and therefore considered for further  
242 analysis (Figure 3B). During periods I and II, inlet COD was completely consumed and  
243 no VFA were detected in the effluent while some  $\text{CH}_4$  was produced and recovered as  
244 part of the gas phase. From period III onwards, when an average OLR of  $25 \text{ kg O}_2 \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$   
245 <sup>1</sup> was fed (Table 1), the effluent contained mainly acetate. As can be observed in Figure  
246 3A, this increase in acetate coincided with a decrease in  $\text{CH}_4$  production, which ceased  
247 75 days after the beginning of period III. During period V, the maximum concentration  
248 of acetate in the reactor was reached ( $1000 \text{ mg acetate L}^{-1}$ ), which progressively decreased

249 until the end of the operation when acetate concentrations below 340 mg L<sup>-1</sup> were  
250 detected.

251 The conversion of COD resulting from each operating period was also assessed in terms  
252 of CH<sub>4</sub> composition in biogas, VFA concentrations in the effluent (acetate and  
253 propionate), and COD used for sulfate reduction (Table 3). The COD balance was  
254 calculated based on measurements of inlet and outlet COD and VFAs and corresponding  
255 methane production. According to the methane composition in biogas, the biogas flowrate  
256 and the TDS and residual COD in the effluent, COD conversion proportions along the  
257 different periods were obtained according to processes stoichiometry (see Supplementary  
258 Information for calculation details). Table 3 shows that along Periods I and II around 11%  
259 of the inlet COD was directed to methane production while almost no VFA accumulated  
260 in the reactor. However, between 33 and 41% of the influent COD ended up in acetic acid  
261 from Period III until the end of the operation while between 3 and 6% of the inlet COD  
262 was converted to propionic acid from Period III onwards. Concomitantly, the COD  
263 fraction converted to methane had the opposite behavior and was around 0% from Period  
264 III onwards, which was taken into account as a way of reporting the percentage of  
265 electrons utilized by methanogens. The potential use of COD for sulfate reduction was  
266 more stable along the operation even if a progressive deterioration could be detected that  
267 accounted for a 26.5% less of organic matter calculated for this purpose comparing the  
268 last and the first periods. The rest of COD was assumed to be used for growth and CO<sub>2</sub>  
269 formation, although it could not be accurately quantified.

### 270 **3.3 . Illumina sequencing analysis and bacterial community assessment**

271 The scope of the microbial analysis was not to describe the evolution of the microbial  
272 diversity along the UASB operation but to provide further data to explain the switch from  
273 methane production to non-methane production conditions from a microbial perspective.

274 Thus, the bacterial community through Illumina analysis of the 16S rRNA gene was  
275 applied to compare the methanogenic granular sludge used as inoculum with the biomass  
276 developed after 190 days of the UASB operation when no methane production was  
277 observed. Results obtained from the microbial analysis are presented in Figure 5.  
278 *Deltaproteobacteria* and *Methanomicrobia* were the main classes detected in the  
279 inoculum with a relative abundance of 20% and 16% respectively (Figure 5). *Clostridia*  
280 was the third class in order of abundance (13.5%). After operating the UASB for 190  
281 days, *Deltaproteobacteria* increased their relative abundance to 49% in the sludge bed  
282 sample, clearly the most abundant class of the total reads; while *Methanomicrobia*  
283 decreased to 0% without detecting any other methanogenic microorganism. *Clostridia*  
284 was the second class in order of abundance (12.15%), followed by *Gammaproteobacteria*  
285 and *Bacteroidia* (11 and 7.3% of total reads, respectively).

286 As the operation proceeded, in the biomass community of day 190 (Tables S1 and S2;  
287 Supplementary Information), *Desulfovibrio* was the most abundant OTU at genus level,  
288 with a 35.3% of total retrieved sequences. Oude Elferink et al. (1994) reported that  
289 *Desulfovibrio* had higher affinity for sulfate and higher growth rate than other SRB genera  
290 such as *Desulfobulbus* and *Desulfobacter*. Interestingly, *Desulfatirhabdium* sp. accounted  
291 for 2% of total operational taxonomic units at genus level which has been described as  
292 butyrate-oxidizing bacteria (Balk et al., 2008). In the case of *Proteobacteria* that were not  
293 SRB, the highest relative proportion of microorganisms belonged to the  
294 *Enterobacteriaceae* family (11%).

295

## 296 **4. DISCUSSION**

### 297 **4.1 Startup of a sulfate reducing UASB reactor and influence of inoculum**

298 In practice, start-up of full-scale UASB reactors for sulfate reduction is handicapped  
299 because of the lack of reactors from where inocula with a high density of SRB can be

300 withdrawn. Few works have reported the start-up of sulfidogenic reactors with inocula  
301 that have not been adapted to sulfidogenic conditions (García-Solares et al., 2014; Omil  
302 et al., 1998).. Inoculation with methanogenic sludge from widespread, full-scale  
303 mesophilic anaerobic digesters is the most common alternative and, probably, the only  
304 alternative in practice at full-scale. The evolution of the UASB performance observed in  
305 Figure 2 shows that stable sulfate removal efficiencies higher than 80% were achieved  
306 just one month after the continuous operation of the UASB reactor initially inoculated  
307 with granular sludge from an anaerobic digester treating wastewater in a pulp and paper  
308 industry. The inoculum was not pre-adapted but sulfate reduction started almost from the  
309 beginning of the operation since sulfate was present in the pulp and paper industry and,  
310 consequently, sulfate-reducing bacteria. This is in agreement with Roest et al. (2005),  
311 who stated that anaerobic digesters sludge from paper mill industries are suitable for  
312 providing an appropriate process culture to promote sulfidogenesis.

313 Compared to previous works, such short and efficient start-up was remarkable  
314 considering the source of the inoculum used. As examples, Gonçalves et al. (2005) needed  
315 over 6 months to bioactivate an UASB to obtain anaerobic sulfidogenic sludge able to  
316 degrade  $400 \text{ mg SO}_4^{2-} \text{ L}^{-1}$  using molasses as C source, while Bertolino et al. (2015) needed  
317 over 200 days to enrich granular sludge from an UASB treating domestic wastewater  
318 during the treatment of  $2 \text{ g SO}_4^{2-} \text{ L}^{-1}$  influent with pure glycerol. In our work, the granular  
319 sludge used as inoculum was mainly methanogenic, which was confirmed through  
320 Illumina sequencing. *Methanosaeta* and *Methanobacterium* were the most abundant  
321 methanogens at genus level in the inoculum, what was expected as they are the most  
322 characteristic archaeal sequences found in anaerobic digesters (Leclerc et al., 2004), while  
323 a reduced amount of SRB were found. Figure 3A shows that the maximum flow of  
324 methane was produced during period I and II due to the influence of the inoculum. During

325 period I (OLR of  $12.0 \pm 2.1 \text{ kg O}_2 \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) and II (OLR of  $15.8 \pm 4.6 \text{ kg O}_2 \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ), the  
326 average organic matter consumption was 86% and 89% respectively. COD concentrations  
327 were below  $100 \text{ mg O}_2 \text{ L}^{-1}$  in the effluent, which probably corresponded to the less  
328 biodegradable matter contained in crude glycerol considering that the anaerobic  
329 biodegradability of crude glycerol due to presence of such inhibitory impurities has been  
330 reported to be between 65-85% (Viana et al., 2012). Furthermore, 10% of the oxidized  
331 organic matter was used for methane production during period I (Table 3). Similarly,  
332 during period II, 11% of the transferred electrons were utilized for methane production.  
333 Despite such methanogenic activity, Figure 2 shows that a stable operation in terms of  
334 sulfate reduction was reached by the end of period II with almost complete removal of  
335 sulfate and COD (S-RE of 96.5% and COD-RE of 89.3%). Despite methane production,  
336 results confirmed that organic substrates were available for sulfate reduction and that  
337 microbial communities underwent a fast and gradual acclimation to their environment.  
338 Our work demonstrates that using methanogenic granular sludge from a paper and pulp  
339 industry leads to a fast start-up of sulfidogenic UASBs when moderate inlet sulfate  
340 concentrations of  $235 \pm 17 \text{ mg S-SO}_4^{2-} \text{ L}^{-1}$  are treated using crude glycerol as electron  
341 donor at C/S ratio of  $3.8 \text{ g O}_2 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ S}$ .

#### 342 **4.2 Shifts in the organic matter sink**

343 The sink of the organic matter can shift drastically due to the evolution of the microbial  
344 population, which influences the performance of the UASB reactor. During Period III, a  
345 high S-RE was reached after few days of operation which allowed obtaining a maximum  
346 S-EC of  $6.6 \text{ kg S-SO}_4^{2-} \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$  ( $273 \text{ g S-SO}_4^{2-} \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) from this period (Period III).  
347 Compared to previous periods, the COD-RE dropped drastically (Figure 3A) and an  
348 accumulation of VFA was observed (Figure 3B), which indicated that a steady, almost  
349 complete sulfate reduction could be reached at a SLR of  $4.6 \text{ kg S-SO}_4^{2-} \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$  and COD/S

350 ratios lower than  $5 \text{ g C g}^{-1} \text{ S}$ . As previously described by Pol et al. (1998), when a sulfate-  
351 rich wastewater is fed into an anaerobic reactor, organic matter will be removed both via  
352 methanogenesis and sulfate reduction and when methanogenesis becomes suppressed  
353 then a gradual decrease in the organic matter conversion (COD removal) is observed,  
354 which was corroborated herein with crude glycerol instead. During this third period (OLR  
355 of  $24.4 \text{ kg COD m}^{-3}\text{d}^{-1}$ ), the average organic matter consumption was 38 % while only 2.3  
356 % of the COD removed ended in methane production when the COD/S was increased to  
357  $5.4 \text{ g O}_2 \text{ g S}^{-1}$  (Tables 2 and 3). Taking into account that the reported cellular yield for  
358 acidogenic bacteria ( $0.14\text{-}0.17 \text{ gVSS/gCOD}$ ) is five times higher than that of acetogenic  
359 bacteria ( $0.025\text{-}0.051 \text{ gVSS/gCOD}$ ) or methanogenic archaea ( $0.01\text{-}0.054 \text{ gVSS/gCOD}$ )  
360 (Pavlostathis and Giraldo-Gomez, 1991) glycerol will be readily available for acidogenic  
361 bacteria, and the limiting step will be the methanogenesis.

362 During the anaerobic digestion of glycerol, some organic acids (acetic, propionic, butyric,  
363 valeric and others), produced by fermentative acidogenic bacteria, cannot be consumed  
364 by methanogenic archaea at the same rate at which they are produced (Viana et al., 2012).  
365 The accumulation of VFA indicated that the slowly growing methanogens could not  
366 sufficiently and rapidly metabolize the intermediate products from VFA producers  
367 (acidogenic and acetogenic populations). Since acetate is mainly converted by  
368 methanogens and no methanogens were found in the sludge sample from day 190 (Figure  
369 5), increasing concentrations of acetic acid were found in the reactor between period III  
370 and V. This is in agreement with the production of methane measured from the gas phase  
371 (Figure 3A) and with some authors statements (Harada et al., 1994; Omil et al., 1998),  
372 who pointed out that the predominance of SRB over methanogens in sulfate-rich streams  
373 is only achieved after long-term operation (more than 100 days) in UASB reactors. As  
374 reported with other electron donors (Dar et al., 2008; Raskin and Rittmann, 1996), SRB



375 also out-competed methanogens using crude glycerol. However, more research is needed  
376 to understand to which extent is this competition beneficial or, if losing completely the  
377 presence of methanogens at such a low up-flow velocity would imply losing S-EC due to  
378 other problems. Potentially, diffusional limitations and bed stratification may appear due  
379 to the lack of gas bubbles moving upward.

#### 380 **4.3 Long-term UASB performance and microbial diversity changes**

381 High sulfate reduction efficiencies together with VFA accumulation were also observed  
382 by Bertolino et al. (2012). From period III onwards, the acetate concentration remained  
383 in the 400-1100 mg O<sub>2</sub> L<sup>-1</sup> range. Although the S-RE was significant in Period III (80%),  
384 it progressively decreased to below 80% (even below 50% in periods V and VI). Despite  
385 some SRB are able to oxidize acetate to CO<sub>2</sub> (Widdel and Pfennig, 1982; Szewzyk and  
386 Pfennig, 1987; Muyzer and Stams, 2008), only incomplete oxidizers were detected in the  
387 190-day sample (Supplementary Information). Consequently, promoting acetate-  
388 oxidizing SRB may be an alternative to increase the sulfate reduction concomitantly  
389 producing a less C loaded effluent.

390 Most of the COD used for methane production lead to acetate and propionate  
391 accumulation from Period III onwards (Table 3) together with an evolution of the  
392 microbial diversity (Figure 5). *Deltaproteobacteria* was the most abundant class after 190  
393 days of operation. Many genera such as *Desulfovibrio*, *Desulfobacter* and  
394 *Desulfuromonas* belong to this class and play a fundamental role in the sulfur cycle. Only  
395 4% of reads were not identified at class level, compared to the 31% of reads not identified  
396 in the inoculum sample. This result indicated that the microbial community specialized  
397 in more specific functions and that populations were selected according to operating  
398 conditions. In the presence of sulfate, SRB usually out-compete methanogens, which only  
399 dominate in a low-sulfate environment (Oude Elferink et al., 1994).

400 As acclimation proceeded under the high TDS concentration reached during the operation  
401 of the reactor, methanogens were completely washed out. In general, sulfate-reducing  
402 bacteria can grow with a much wider substrate range than methanogens (Muyzer and  
403 Stams, 2008). Consequently, methanogenic communities require syntrophic associations,  
404 which are not essential in sulfate reducing environments (Janssen et al., 2009). Several  
405 SRB are able to use glycerol as an electron donor and some *Desulfovibrio* species have  
406 been reported to grow with glycerol (Stams et al., 1985; Kremer and Hansen, 1987;  
407 Esnault et al., 1988). As reported by Hu et al. (2015) and Lens et al. (1998) the complete  
408 or incomplete oxidation of organic substrates accomplished by some species of SRB will  
409 depend on the COD/SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> ratio in the influent. However, in this study, it is reasonable to  
410 conclude that SRB always performed incomplete oxidation at the ratios tested. The  
411 disappearance of methanogens and the concomitant accumulation of acetate in the system  
412 suggested that methanogens were probably the only microorganisms consuming acetate  
413 at observable rates.

414 It remains an open question how acetate oxidation can be stimulated in order to improve  
415 the reactor performance. Despite some promising attempts have been made (Lens et al.,  
416 1998), further development of strategies for augmentation of acetotrophic SRB are  
417 warranted to increase the sulfidogenic capacity of the process. A strong association  
418 between two acidophiles, a sulfate reducing bacterium and a non-sulfate reducing  
419 bacterium is proposed by Kimura et al. (2006) but further improvements of the operation  
420 are still required. Also, despite VFA accumulation has been regarded as a sign of process  
421 failure in anaerobic digestion, VFA accumulation can be seen as an opportunity in sulfate-  
422 reducing UASBs since VFA have important biotechnological potential as these  
423 carboxylates can be used as substrates for production of biofuels and bioplastics, or in  
424 other bioprocesses. The loss of organic matter from the UASB reactor is economically

425 undesirable since the reducing power supplied with glycerol is only partly used. In  
426 addition, further resources must be used to treat the excess COD from the anaerobic  
427 reactor. In the sulfur recovery process depicted in Figure S1, a reduction-oxidation  
428 bioprocess was proposed. Then, the COD in the effluent could be treated in the CSTR  
429 reactor for the partial oxidation of sulfide to elemental sulfur although an extra  
430 consumption of oxygen to treat COD would be required. Consequently, optimization in  
431 the use of the electron donor is warranted.

#### 432 **4.4 Potential process limitations**

433 Inhibitory substances are often found to be the main cause of anaerobic reactor  
434 disturbance and failure as they cause an adverse shift in the microbial population or  
435 inhibition of bacterial growth (Chen et al., 2008). Inhibition of anaerobic digestion is  
436 usually diagnosed by a decrease of the steady-state rate of methane gas production and  
437 accumulation of organic acids (Kroeker et al., 1979), which was found in the long-term  
438 operation of the UASB. The organic acid and methane forming microorganisms differ  
439 widely in terms of physiology, growth kinetics, and sensitivity to environmental  
440 conditions (Pohland and Ghosh, 1971). At pHs below 7.0, most carboxyl groups are  
441 undissociated, thus they pass freely through the membrane and can inhibit the growth of  
442 many bacteria. Uncharged molecules such as acetic acid may be inhibitory because they  
443 diffuse across the cell membrane and act as an uncoupler (Ghose and Wiken, 1955),  
444 whereas acetate ion is not permeant. Inhibition concentrations of  $4.68 \cdot 10^{-3}$  mg free acetic  
445 acid L<sup>-1</sup> (pH=7.5) have been reported to block acetoclastic methanogenesis (Fukuzaki et  
446 al., 1990). Despite the buffer used, the pH in the reactor varied from 8.4-8.7 at the inlet  
447 to 6.7-7.5 at the outlet due to VFAs accumulation, and particularly acetic acid.  
448 Considering the  $pK_a$  of acetic acid (4.76) and the concentrations of acetate found in the  
449 early stages of period III (around 375 mg acetate L<sup>-1</sup>), concentrations above 0.23 mg free

450 acetic acid  $L^{-1}$  found in the UASB could have led to significant methanogens inhibition.  
451 In addition, free  $H_2S$  concentrations leading to 50% inhibition of methanogenesis of 250  
452  $mg\ S\ L^{-1}$  in the pH range 6.4–7.2 and 90  $mg\ S\ L^{-1}$  at pH = 7.8–8.0 have been reported  
453 (Koster et al., 1986), indicating that methanogens were also inhibited by sulfide  
454 accumulation in the early stages of Period III. Overall, TDS and acetate accumulation  
455 lead to a fast decrease of the methanogenic activity in Period III. No methane production  
456 from period IV until the end of the operation indicated that methanogenic communities  
457 were more susceptible to dissolved sulfide concentration than SRB as was also observed  
458 in Jing et al. (2013).

459 Despite the high concentrations of acetate found in the reactor during period III, IV and  
460 V (400-1100  $mg\ O_2\ L^{-1}$ ), sulfate reduction proceeded at high S-RE during period III,  
461 indicating that SRB were not affected by acetic acid. Similarly to methanogens, free  $H_2S$   
462 may inhibit SRB. However, inhibitory free  $H_2S$  concentration in literature are often  
463 contradictory and confusing probably due to the difference in anaerobic inocula used, the  
464 susceptibility of anaerobes and the experimental methods and conditions tested in each  
465 study, and particularly the pH in the bioreactor. Anaerobic treatment of sulfate-rich  
466 wastewater proceeds successfully at COD/sulfate ratios lower than 10  $g\ COD\ g^{-1}\ SO_4^{2-}$   
467 when precautions are taken to prevent sulfide toxicity (Pol et al., 1998). The TDS during  
468 the operation reached 460  $mg\ S\ L^{-1}$  by day 240 (Period V). Reis et al. (1992) found that  
469 more than 547  $mg\ H_2S\ L^{-1}$  can completely inhibit SRB activity at pH 6.2, whereas at pH  
470 9.0, dissolved  $H_2S$  is mainly in the form of  $HS^-$ , which does not penetrate into cells easily  
471 (Mora-Naranjo et al., 2003) and therefore would not have a strong inhibitory effect over  
472 SRB. As Reis et al. (1992) observed, sulfate uptake decreased when sulfide concentration  
473 in the medium increased, and increased again when it was removed from the medium,  
474 which pointed out that sulfide is a reversible inhibitor of SRB. A pH range of 6.7-6.8 at

475 the outlet of the reactor points out at a reduction of the potential maximum SRB rates due  
476 to inhibition of SRB by hydrogen sulfide.

#### 477 **4.5 Overall performance of the sulfidogenic UASB**

478 Sulfidogenesis was achieved through adaptation of granular sludge with important  
479 methanogenic activity, using electrons derived from substrate towards sulfate reduction.

480 While adapting methanogenic granular sludge to sulfate reduction is one of the most  
481 common and widespread procedures to engineer microbial sulfate reduction (García-  
482 Solares et al., 2014), the stability of the system during long-term operations is still a cause  
483 of concern. During period V, SLR was maintained during 50 days but the high sulfate  
484 inlet resulted in a sulfate-reduction failure. The system was overloaded and its maximum  
485 capacity,  $6.5 \text{ kg S m}^{-3}\text{d}^{-1}$ , was reached after 165 days of operation at a SLR of  $6.7 \text{ kg S-}$   
486  $\text{SO}_4^{2-} \text{ m}^{-3}\text{d}^{-1}$  and a COD/S of  $5.6 \text{ g O}_2 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ S}$ . Overall, the performance of the UASB is  
487 comparable to that obtained by Bijmans et al. (2008) ( $9.7 \text{ kg S m}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) using formate,  
488 which is more biodegradable than crude glycerol. Higher S-ECs were found compared to  
489 Boshoff et al. (2004) ( $600 \text{ mg SO}_4 \text{ L}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) who used tannery effluent as carbon source.

490 After a long-term operation of 360 days, short-term SLR assays (Figure 4) were  
491 performed to study the capability of the UASB to reduce sulfate at such a low HRT (2h)  
492 and under dynamic conditions with non limiting COD availability. The UASB adapted  
493 well to transient load changes and, more interestingly, recovered to the initial load  
494 exhibiting a 25% higher S-RE compared to that before the short-term experiment.  
495 However, it remains to be investigated why such temporary load decrease resulted  
496 apparently beneficial for the UASB performance considering that the same sulfide  
497 concentration was found before and after the stepwise decrease of the inlet sulfate  
498 concentration. Based on a sulfur balance, a larger C/S ratio during the short-term  
499 experiment (up to  $19.1 \text{ g COD g S}^{-1}$ ) could have led to an increase in the production of

500 organosulfur compounds. Overall, a sulfur balance of 85-95% along the UASB operation  
501 was obtained based on inlet and outlet sulfate ( $S-SO_4^{2-}$ ) concentrations and produced TDS  
502 (Figure 1). Such imbalance was attributed to other organosulfur compounds such as  
503 dimethyl sulfide (DMS) or dimethyl disulfide (DMDS) amongst others that were  
504 qualitatively detected in the effluent of the UASB (see Table SI-4 in Supplementary  
505 Information).

506

#### 507 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

508 Long-term operation of a sulfidogenic UASB reactor can be successfully achieved using  
509 crude glycerol as carbon source at low up-flow velocities. It was demonstrated that at  
510 OLR above  $24 \text{ kg O}_2 \text{ m}^{-3}\text{d}^{-1}$  and SLR of  $4.6 \text{ kg S-SO}_4^{2-}\text{m}^{-3}\text{d}^{-1}$  VFA were accumulated.  
511 The TDS concentration increase together with VFA accumulation were potential  
512 inhibitors of methanogenic activity and when methane production decreased, glycerol  
513 was converted mainly to acetic acid and propionic acid. It was not only the  $COD/S-SO_4^{2-}$   
514 ratio, but a sum and combination of factors along the operation that determined the  
515 competition between SRB and methanogenic archaea. However, further batch activity  
516 tests are warranted to properly validate the results obtained herein.

517

#### 518 **5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

519 The authors are members of the GENOCOV research group from the Department of  
520 Chemical, Biological and Environmental Engineering at UAB (Universitat Autònoma de  
521 Barcelona), a unit of Biochemical Engineering of Xarxa de Referència en Biotecnologia  
522 de Catalunya (XRB), Generalitat de Catalunya. Authors acknowledge Nubiola Spain  
523 (FERRO Corporation) and the Spanish Government, Ministerio de Economía y  
524 Competitividad, through the project CTQ2015-69802-C2-1-R MINECO/FEDER, EU,

525 for the financial support provided to perform this research. The authors also acknowledge  
526 UIPSA and ECOMOTION for their kind collaboration in this work.

527

## 528 **6. REFERENCES**

- 529 Ambler, J.R., Logan, B.E., 2011. Evaluation of stainless steel cathodes and a  
530 bicarbonate buffer for hydrogen production in microbial electrolysis cells using a  
531 new method for measuring gas production. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 36, 160–166.
- 532 Athanasoulia, E., Melidis, P., Aivasidis, A., 2014. Co-digestion of sewage sludge and  
533 crude glycerol from biodiesel production. *Renew. Energy* 62, 73–78.
- 534 Baeza, J.A., Martínez-Miró, À., Guerrero, J., Ruiz, Y., Guisasola, A., 2017.  
535 Bioelectrochemical hydrogen production from urban wastewater on a pilot scale. *J.*  
536 *Power Sources* 356, 500–509.
- 537 Balk, M., Altinbaş, M., Rijpstra, W.I.C., Damsté, J.S.S., Stams, A.J.M., 2008.  
538 *Desulfatirhabdium butyrativorans* gen. nov., sp. nov., a butyrate-oxidizing, sulfate-  
539 reducing bacterium isolated from an anaerobic bioreactor. *Int. J. Syst. Evol.*  
540 *Microbiol.* 58, 110–115.
- 541 Bertolino, S.M., Rodrigues, I.C.B., Guerra-Sá, R., Aquino, S.F., Leão, V.A., 2012.  
542 Implications of volatile fatty acid profile on the metabolic pathway during  
543 continuous sulfate reduction. *J. Environ. Manage.* 103, 15–23.
- 544 Bertolino, S.M., Silva, L.A.M., Aquino, S.F., 2015. Comparison of UASB and  
545 fluidized-bed reactors for sulfate reduction. *Brazilian J. Chem. Eng.* 32, 59–71.
- 546 Bijmans, M.F.M., Peeters, T.W.T., Lens, P.N.L., Buisman, C.J.N., 2008. High rate  
547 sulfate reduction at pH 6 in a pH-auxostat submerged membrane bioreactor fed  
548 with formate. *Water Res.* 42, 2439–2448.
- 549 Boshoff, G., Duncan, J., Rose, P.D., 2004. Tannery effluent as a carbon source for  
550 biological sulphate reduction. *Water Res.* 38, 2651–2658.
- 551 Chen, C., Liu, L., Lee, D.J., Guo, W., Wang, A., Xu, X., Zhou, X., Wu, D., Ren, N.,  
552 2014. Integrated simultaneous desulfurization and denitrification (ISDD) process at  
553 various COD/sulfate ratios. *Bioresour. Technol.* 155, 161–169.
- 554 Chen, Y., Cheng, J.J., Creamer, K.S., 2008. Inhibition of anaerobic digestion process: A  
555 review. *Bioresour. Technol.* 99, 4044–4064.
- 556 Dar, S.A., Kleerebezem, R., Stams, A.J.M., Kuenen, J.G., Muyzer, G., 2008.  
557 Competition and coexistence of sulfate-reducing bacteria, acetogens and  
558 methanogens in a lab-scale anaerobic bioreactor as affected by changing substrate  
559 to sulfate ratio. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 78, 1045–1055.
- 560 De Vrieze, J., Raport, L., Willems, B., Verbrugge, S., Volcke, E., Meers, E., Angenent,  
561 L.T., Boon, N., 2015. Inoculum selection influences the biochemical methane  
562 potential of agro-industrial substrates. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 8, 776–786.

- 563 Esnault, G., Caumette, P., Garcia, J.L., 1988. Characterization of *Desulfovibrio*  
564 *giganteus* sp. nov., a Sulfate-reducing Bacterium Isolated from a Brackish Coastal  
565 Lagoon. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 10, 147–151.
- 566 Fernández, E., Mora M., Gabriel D. (2017). Long-term UASB operation as first stage of  
567 an elemental sulfur recovery process from S-rich effluents. Paper presented at the  
568 7th International Conference on Biotechniques for Air Pollution Control and  
569 Bioenergy. La Coruña, Spain, 19-21 July (pp. 70-71). Reprografia Noroeste S.L.
- 570 Fukuzaki, S., Nishio, N., Nagai, S., 1990. Kinetics of the methanogenic fermentation of  
571 acetate. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 56, 3158–3163.
- 572 García-Solares, S.M., Ordaz, A., Monroy-Hermosillo, O., Jan-Roblero, J., Guerrero-  
573 Barajas, C., 2014. High Sulfate Reduction Efficiency in a UASB Using an  
574 Alternative Source of Sulfidogenic Sludge Derived from Hydrothermal Vent  
575 Sediments. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 174, 2919–2940.
- 576 Ghose, T., Wiken, T., 1955. Inhibition of Bacterial Sulphate-Reduction in Presence of  
577 Short Chain Fatty Acids. *Physiol. Plant.* 8, 116–134.
- 578 Gonçalves, M.M.M., Leite, S.G.F., Sant'Anna, G.L., 2005. The bioactivation procedure  
579 for increasing the sulphate-reducing bacteria in a UASB reactor. *Brazilian J. Chem.*  
580 *Eng.* 22, 565–571.
- 581 Harada, H.U.S.M.K., 1994. Interaction Between Sulfate Reducing Bacteria and  
582 Methane Producing Bacteria In Uasb Reactors Fed With Low Strength Wastes  
583 Containing Different Levels Of Sulfate. *Water Res.* 28, 355–367.
- 584 Hu, Y., Jing, Z., Sudo, Y., Niu, Q., Du, J., Wu, J., Li, Y.Y., 2015. Effect of influent  
585 COD/SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> ratios on UASB treatment of a synthetic sulfate-containing  
586 wastewater. *Chemosphere* 130, 24–33.
- 587 Janssen, A.J.H., Lens, P.N.L., Stams, A.J.M., Plugge, C.M., Sorokin, D.Y., Muyzer, G.,  
588 Dijkman, H., Van Zessen, E., Luimes, P., Buisman, C.J.N., 2009. Application of  
589 bacteria involved in the biological sulfur cycle for paper mill effluent purification.  
590 *Sci. Total Environ.* 407, 1333–1343.
- 591 Jiang, F., Zhang, L., Peng, G.L., Liang, S.Y., Qian, J., Wei, L., Chen, G.H., 2013. A  
592 novel approach to realize SANI process in freshwater sewage treatment - Use of  
593 wet flue gas desulfurization waste streams as sulfur source. *Water Res.* 47, 5773–  
594 5782.
- 595 Jing, Z., Hu, Y., Niu, Q., Liu, Y., Li, Y.Y., Wang, X.C., 2013. UASB performance and  
596 electron competition between methane-producing archaea and sulfate-reducing  
597 bacteria in treating sulfate-rich wastewater containing ethanol and acetate.  
598 *Bioresour. Technol.* 137, 349–357.
- 599 Kimura, S., Hallberg, K.B., Johnson, D.B., 2006. Sulfidogenesis in low pH (3.8-4.2)  
600 media by a mixed population of acidophilic bacteria. *Biodegradation* 17, 159–167.
- 601 Klimont, Z., Smith, S.J., Cofala, J., 2013. The last decade of global anthropogenic  
602 sulfur dioxide: 2000-2011 emissions. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 8.
- 603 Koster, I.W., Rinzema, A., de Vegt, A.L., Lettinga, G., 1986. Sulfide inhibition of the



- 604           methanogenic activity of granular sludge at various pH-levels. *Water Res.* 20,  
605           1561–1567.
- 606    Kremer, D.R., Hansen, T.A., 1987. Glycerol and dihydroxyacetone dissimilation in  
607           Desulfovibrio strains. *Arch. Microbiol.* 147, 249–256.
- 608    Kroeker, E.J., Schulte, D.D., Sparling, A.B., Lapp, H.M., 1979. Anaerobic treatment  
609           process stability. *J. (Water Pollut. Control Feredation)* 51, 718–727.
- 610    Leclerc, M., Delgènes, J.P., Godon, J.J., 2004. Diversity of the archaeal community in  
611           44 anaerobic digesters as determined by single strand conformation polymorphism  
612           analysis and 16S rDNA sequencing. *Environ. Microbiol.* 6, 809–819.
- 613    Lens, P.N.L., Van Den Bosch, M.C., Hulshoff Pol, L.W., Lettinga, G., 1998. Effect of  
614           staging on volatile fatty acid degradation in a sulfidogenic granular sludge reactor.  
615           *Water Res.* 32, 1178–1192.
- 616    Liamleam, W., Annachhatre, A.P., 2007. Electron donors for biological sulfate  
617           reduction. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 25, 452–463.
- 618    Liu, Y., Tay, J.H., 2004. State of the art of biogranulation technology for wastewater  
619           treatment. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 22, 533–563.
- 620    Mora-Naranjo, N., Alamar-Provecho, C., Meima, J., Haarstrick, A., Hempel, D.C.,  
621           2003. Experimental investigation and modelling of the effect of sulfate on  
622           anaerobic biodegradation processes in municipal solid waste. *Water Sci. Technol.*  
623           48, 221–227.
- 624    Mora, M., Lafuente, J., Gabriel, D., 2018. Screening of biological sulfate reduction  
625           conditions for sulfidogenesis promotion using a methanogenic granular sludge.  
626           *Chemosphere* 210, 557–566.
- 627    Muyzer, G., Stams, A.J.M., 2008. The ecology and biotechnology of sulphate-reducing  
628           bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 6, 441–454.
- 629    Nakazawa, M.M., Silva Junior, W.R.S., Kato, M.T., Gavazza, S., Florencio, L., 2015.  
630           Anaerobic treatment of crude glycerol from biodiesel production. *Water Sci.*  
631           *Technol.* 72, 1383–1389.
- 632    Nghiem, L.D., Nguyen, T.T., Manassa, P., Fitzgerald, S.K., Dawson, M., Vierboom, S.,  
633           2014. Co-digestion of sewage sludge and crude glycerol for on-demand biogas  
634           production. *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.* 95, 160–166.
- 635    O'Reilly, C., Colleran, E., 2006. Effect of influent COD/SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> ratios on mesophilic  
636           anaerobic reactor biomass populations: Physico-chemical and microbiological  
637           properties. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 56, 141–153.
- 638    Omil, F., Lens, P., Hulshoff Pol, L., Lettinga, G., 1996. Effect of upward velocity and  
639           sulphide concentration on volatile fatty acid degradation in a sulphidogenic  
640           granular sludge reactor. *Process Biochem.* 31, 699–710.
- 641    Omil, F., Lens, P., Visser, A., Hulshoff Pol, L.W., Lettinga, G., 1998. Long-term  
642           competition between sulfate reducing and methanogenic bacteria in UASB reactors  
643           treating volatile fatty acids. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 57, 676–685.

- 644 Oude Elferink, S.J.W.H., Visser, A., Hulshoff Pol, L.W., Stams, A.J.M., 1994. Sulfate  
645 reduction in methanogenic bioreactors. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 15, 119–136.
- 646 Pavlostathis, S.G., Giraldo-Gomez, E., 1991. Kinetics of Anaerobic Treatment. *Water*  
647 *Sci. Technol.* 24, 35–59.
- 648 Philip, L., Deshusses, M.A., 2003. Sulfur dioxide treatment from flue gases using a  
649 biotrickling filter - Bioreactor system. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 37, 1978–1982.
- 650 Pohland, F.G., Ghosh, S., 1971. Developments in anaerobic stabilization of organic  
651 wastes - the two-phase concept. *Environ. Lett.* 1, 255–266.
- 652 Pol, L.W.H., Lens, P.N.L., Stams, A.J.M., Lettinga, G., 1998. Anaerobic treatment of  
653 sulphate-rich wastewaters. *Biodegradation* 9, 213–224.
- 654 Qian, J., Lu, H., Jiang, F., Ekama, G.A., Chen, G., 2015. Beneficial co-treatment of  
655 simple wet flue gas desulfurization wastes with freshwater sewage through  
656 development of mixed denitrification – SANI process. *Chem. Eng. J.* 262, 109–  
657 118.
- 658 Raskin, L., Rittmann, B.E., 1996. Competition and Coexistence of Sulfate-Reducing  
659 and Methanogenic Populations in Anaerobic Biofilms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*  
660 62, 3847–3857.
- 661 Reis, M. A., Almeida, J.S., Lemos, P.C., Carrondo, M.J., 1992. Effect of hydrogen  
662 sulfide on growth of sulfate reducing bacteria. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 40, 593–600.
- 663 Roest, K., Heilig, H.G.H.J., Smidt, H., De Vos, W.M., Stams, A.J.M., Akkermans,  
664 A.D.L., 2005. Community analysis of a full-scale anaerobic bioreactor treating  
665 paper mill wastewater. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 28, 175–185.
- 666 Santos, S.C., Liebensteiner, M.G., van Gelder, A.H., Dimitrov, M.R., Almeida, P.F.,  
667 Quintella, C.M., Stams, A.J.M., Sánchez-Andrea, I., 2017. Bacterial glycerol  
668 oxidation coupled to sulfate reduction at neutral and acidic pH. *J. Gen. Appl.*  
669 *Microbiol.* 64, 1–8.
- 670 Srivastava, R.K., Jozewicz, W., 2001. Flue Gas Desulfurization : The State of the Art.  
671 *Air Waste Manag.* 51, 1676–1688.
- 672 Stams, A.J.M., Hansen, T.A., Skyring, G.W., 1985. Utilization of amino acids as energy  
673 substrates by two marine *Desulfovibrio* strains. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 31, 11–15.
- 674 Szewzyk, R., Pfennig, N., 1987. Complete oxidation of catechol by the strictly  
675 anaerobic sulfate-reducing *Desulfobacterium catecholicum* sp. nov. *Arch.*  
676 *Microbiol.* 147, 163–168.
- 677 Viana, M.B., Freitas, A. V., Leitão, R.C., Pinto, G.A.S., Santaella, S.T., 2012.  
678 Anaerobic digestion of crude glycerol: a review. *Environ. Technol. Rev.* 1, 81–92.
- 679 Weijma, J., Hulshoff Pol, L.W., Stams, A.J.M., Lettinga, G., 2000. Performance of a  
680 thermophilic sulfate and sulfite reducing high rate anaerobic reactor fed with  
681 methanol. *Biodegradation* 11, 429–439.
- 682 Widdel, F., Pfennig, N., 1982. Studies on dissimilatory sulfate-reducing bacteria that

- 683 decompose fatty acids II. Incomplete oxidation of propionate by *Desulfobulbus*  
684 *propionicus* gen. nov., sp. nov. *Arch. Microbiol.* 131, 360–365.
- 685 Zhou, J.M., Song, Z.Y., Yan, D.J., Liu, Y.L., Yang, M.H., Cao, H. Bin, Xing, J.M.,  
686 2014. Performance of a haloalkaliphilic bioreactor and bacterial community shifts  
687 under different COD/SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>-ratios and hydraulic retention times. *J. Hazard. Mater.*  
688 274, 53–62.
- 689