# On the intrinsic volumes of intersections of congruent balls \*

Károly Bezdek<sup>†</sup>

#### Abstract

Let  $\mathbb{E}^d$  denote the *d*-dimensional Euclidean space. The *r*-ball body generated by a given set in  $\mathbb{E}^d$  is the intersection of balls of radius *r* centered at the points of the given set. In this paper we prove the following Blaschke-Santaló-type inequalities for *r*-ball bodies: for all  $1 \leq k \leq d$  and for any set of given volume in  $\mathbb{E}^d$  the *k*-th intrinsic volume of the *r*-ball body generated by the set becomes maximal if the set is a ball. As an application we investigate the Gromov-Klee-Wagon problem for congruent balls in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ , which is a question on proving or disproving that if the centers of a family of *N* congruent balls in  $\mathbb{E}^d$  are contracted, then the volume of the intersection does not decrease. In particular, we investigate this problem for uniform contractions, which are contractions where all the pairwise distances in the first set of centers are larger than all the pairwise distances in the second set of centers, that is, when the pairwise distances of the two sets are separated by some positive real number. The author and M. Naszódi [Discrete Comput. Geom. 60/4 (2018), 967-980] proved that the intrinsic volumes of the intersection of *N* congruent balls in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ , d > 1 increase under any uniform contraction of the center points when  $N \ge (1 + \sqrt{2})^d$ . We give a short proof of this result using the Blaschke-Santaló-type inequalities of *r*-ball bodies and improve it for  $d \ge 42$ .

# 1 Introduction

We denote the Euclidean norm of a vector  $\mathbf{p}$  in the *d*-dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^d$  by  $|\mathbf{p}| := \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle}$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the standard inner product. For a positive integer N, we use  $[N] := \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . Let  $A \subset \mathbb{E}^d$ be a compact convex set, and  $k \in [d]$ . We denote the *k*-th intrinsic volume of A by  $V_k(A)$ . It is well known that  $V_d(A)$  is the *d*-dimensional volume of A,  $2V_{d-1}(A)$  is the surface area of A, and  $\frac{2\omega_{d-1}}{d\omega_d}V_1(A)$  is equal to the mean width of A, where  $\omega_d$  stands for the volume of a *d*-dimensional unit ball, that is,  $\omega_d = \frac{\pi^2}{\Gamma(1+\frac{d}{2})}$ .

(For a focused overview on intrinsic volumes see [12]). In this paper, for simplicity  $V_k(\emptyset) = 0$  for all  $k \in [d]$ . The closed Euclidean ball of radius r centered at  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{E}^d$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{p}, r] := {\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{E}^d \mid |\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}| \le r}$ . Now, we are ready to introduce the central notion of this paper.

**Definition 1.** For a set  $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq \mathbb{E}^d$ , d > 1 and r > 0 let the r-ball body  $X^r$  generated by X be defined by  $X^r := \bigcap_{\mathbf{x} \in X} \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{x}, r].$ 

We note that either  $X^r = \emptyset$ , or  $X^r$  is a point, or  $\operatorname{int}(X^r) \neq \emptyset$ . Perhaps not surprisingly, *r*-ball bodies of  $\mathbb{E}^d$  have already been investigated in a number of papers however, under various names such as "überkonvexe Menge" ([20]), "*r*-convex domain" ([10]), "spindle convex set" ([4], [18]), "ball convex set" ([19]), "hyperconvex set" ([11]), and "*r*-dual set" ([5]). *r*-ball bodies satisfy some basic identities such as  $((X^r)^r))^r = X^r$ and  $(X \cup Y)^r = X^r \cap Y^r$ , which hold for any  $X \subseteq \mathbb{E}^d$  and  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{E}^d$ . Clearly, also monotonicity holds namely,  $X \subseteq Y \subseteq \mathbb{M}^d$  implies  $Y^r \subseteq X^r$ . In this paper we investigate volumetric relations between  $X^r$  and X in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ . First, recall the recent theorem of Gao, Hug, and Schneider [12] stating that for any convex body of given volume in  $\mathbb{S}^d$  the volume of the spherical polar body becomes maximal if the convex body is a ball. The

<sup>\*</sup>Keywords and phrases: Kneser-Poulsen conjecture, Gromov-Klee-Wagon problem, (intrinsic) volume of intersections of congruent balls, *r*-ball body, uniform contraction, Euclidean space.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 52A20, 52A22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Partially supported by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant.

author has proved the following Euclidean analogue of their theorem in [5]. Let  $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbb{E}^d$ , d > 1 be a compact set of volume  $V_d(\mathbf{A}) > 0$  and r > 0. If  $\mathbf{B} \subseteq \mathbb{E}^d$  is a ball with  $V_d(\mathbf{A}) = V_d(\mathbf{B})$ , then

$$V_d(\mathbf{A}^r) \le V_d(\mathbf{B}^r). \tag{1}$$

As the theorem of Gao, Hug, and Schneider [12] is often called a spherical counterpart of the Blaschke– Santaló inequality, one may refer to the Euclidean analogue (1) of their theorem as a Blaschke–Santaló-type inequality for r-ball bodies in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ . As a first result, we extend (1) to intrinsic volumes by proving the following Blaschke–Santaló-type inequalities of r-ball bodies in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbb{E}^d$ , d > 1 be a compact set of volume  $V_d(\mathbf{A}) > 0$  and r > 0. If  $\mathbf{B} \subset \mathbb{E}^d$  is a ball with  $V_d(\mathbf{A}) = V_d(\mathbf{B})$ , then

$$V_k(\mathbf{A}^r) \le V_k(\mathbf{B}^r) \tag{2}$$

holds for all  $k \in [d]$ .

The author thanks to one of the referees for noting that Theorem 1 follows from a stochastic version proved by G. Paouris and P. Pivovarov (see Theorem 3.1 in [21]).

As a second result, we discuss the following application of Theorem 1. We say that the (labeled) point set  $Q := {\mathbf{q}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_N} \subset \mathbb{E}^d$  is a *contraction* of the (labeled) point set  $P := {\mathbf{p}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_N} \subset \mathbb{E}^d$  in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ , d > 1 if  $|\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{q}_j| \leq |\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_j|$  holds for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq N$ . In 1955, M. Kneser [17] and E. T. Poulsen [22] independently stated the conjecture that if  $Q = {\mathbf{q}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_N}$  is a contraction of  $P = {\mathbf{p}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_N}$  in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ , d > 1, then

$$V_d\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{p}_i, r]\right) \ge V_d\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{q}_i, r]\right)$$
(3)

holds for all N > 1 and r > 0. It is customary to assign also the following related conjecture to M. Kneser and E. T. Poulsen. If  $Q = {\mathbf{q}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_N}$  is a contraction of  $P = {\mathbf{p}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_N}$  in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ , d > 1, then

$$V_d(P^r) = \mathcal{V}_d\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^N \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{p}_i, r]\right) \le \mathcal{V}_d\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^N \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{q}_i, r]\right) = V_d(Q^r)$$
(4)

holds for all N > 1 and r > 0. However, a closer look of the relevant literature reveals that the question on proving (4) has been raised by the following people in a somewhat less straightforward way. First, in 1979 V. Klee [15] asked whether (4) holds in  $\mathbb{E}^2$ . Then in 1987, M. Gromov [14] published a proof of (4) for all  $N \leq d+1$  and for not necessarily congruent balls and conjectured that his result extends to spherical d-space  $\mathbb{S}^d$  (resp., hyperbolic d-space  $\mathbb{H}^d$ ) for all d > 1. Finally, in 1991 V. Klee and S. Wagon [16] asked whether (4) holds for not necessarily congruent balls as well. (We note that in [16] the Kneser-Poulsen conjecture under (3) is stated in its most general form, that is, for not necessarily congruent balls.) Thus, it would be proper to refer to (4) as a special case of the Gromov-Klee-Wagon problem, which is about proving or disproving the monotonicity of the volume of intersection of not necessarily congruent balls under arbitrary contractions of their center points in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ ,  $\mathbb{S}^d$ , and  $\mathbb{H}^d$  for d > 1. In any case, the author jointly with R. Connelly [3] confirmed (3) as well as (4) for not necessarily congruent balls when  $N \leq d+3$  generalizing the relevant result of M. Gromov [14] for  $N \le d+1$ . On the other hand, the author and R. Connelly [3] proved (3) as well as (4) for N not necessarily congruent circular disks and for all N > 1 in  $\mathbb{E}^2$ . Very recently B. Csikós and M. Horváth [8] (resp., I. Gorbovickis [13]) gave a positive answer to the Gromov-Klee-Wagon problem in  $\mathbb{H}^2$  (resp.,  $\mathbb{S}^2$  for circular disks having radii at most  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ ). However, both (3) and (4) remain open in  $\mathbb{E}^d$  for all  $d \geq 3$ . Just very recently the author and M. Naszódi [6] investigated the Kneser-Poulsen conjecture and the Gromov-Klee-Wagon problem for congruent balls and for uniform contractions in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ . Following P. Pivovarov ([6]) we say that the (labeled) point set  $Q := {\mathbf{q}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_N} \subset \mathbb{E}^d$  is a uniform contraction of the (labeled) point set  $P := {\mathbf{p}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_N} \subset \mathbb{E}^d$  with separating value  $\lambda > 0$  in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ , d > 1 if  $|\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{q}_j| \le \lambda \le |\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_j|$ holds for all  $1 \le i < j \le N$ . Theorem 1.4 of [6] proves (4) as well as its extension to intrinsic volumes for all uniform contractions in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ , d > 1 under the condition that  $N \ge (1+\sqrt{2})^d$ . We give a short proof of this result using Theorem 1 and improve it for  $d \ge 42$  as follows.

**Theorem 2.** Let d > 1,  $\lambda > 0$ , r > 0, and  $k \in [d]$  be given and let  $Q := \{\mathbf{q}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_N\} \subset \mathbb{E}^d$  be a uniform contraction of  $P := \{\mathbf{p}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_N\} \subset \mathbb{E}^d$  with separating value  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ . (i) If 1 < d < 42 and  $N > (1 + \sqrt{2})^d$ , then

$$V_k(P^r) \le V_k(Q^r). \tag{5}$$

(ii) If  $d \ge 42$  and  $N \ge \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2d}}(1+\sqrt{2})^d + 1$ , then (5) holds.

# 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Clearly, if  $\mathbf{B}^r = \emptyset$ , then  $\mathbf{A}^r = \emptyset$  and (2) follows. Similarly, it is easy to see that if  $\mathbf{B}^r$  is a point in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ , then (2) follows. Hence, we may assume that  $\mathbf{B}^r = \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{o}, R]$  and  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{o}, r - R]$  with 0 < R < r. Next recall that a special case of the Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality yields the following statement ([23], p. 334): if **K** is a convex body in  $\mathbb{E}^d$  satisfying  $V_i(\mathbf{K}) \leq V_i(\mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{o}, R])$  for given  $1 \leq i < d$  and R > 0, then

$$V_j(\mathbf{K}) \le V_j(\mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{o}, R]) \tag{6}$$

holds for all j with  $i < j \le d$ . Thus, it is sufficient to prove (2) for k = 1 and  $\mathbf{B}^r = \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{o}, R]$  with 0 < R < r.

**Definition 2.** Let  $\emptyset \neq K \subset \mathbb{E}^d$ , d > 1 and r > 0. Then the r-ball convex hull  $\operatorname{conv}_r K$  of K is defined by

$$\operatorname{conv}_r K := \bigcap \{ \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{x}, r] \mid K \subseteq \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{x}, r] \}.$$

Moreover, let the r-ball convex hull of  $\mathbb{E}^d$  be  $\mathbb{E}^d$ . Furthermore, we say that  $K \subseteq \mathbb{E}^d$  is r-ball convex if  $K = \operatorname{conv}_r K$ .

**Remark 3.** We note that clearly,  $\operatorname{conv}_r K = \emptyset$  if and only if  $K^r = \emptyset$ . Moreover,  $\emptyset \neq K \subset \mathbb{E}^d$  is r-ball convex if and only if K is an r-ball body.

We need the following statement that has been proved in [5].

**Lemma 4.** If  $K \subseteq \mathbb{E}^d$ , d > 1 and r > 0, then  $K^r = (\operatorname{conv}_r K)^r$ .

Hence, via an easy application of Lemma 4 we may assume that  $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbb{E}^d$  is an *r*-ball body of volume  $V_d(\mathbf{A}) > 0$  and  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{o}, r - R]$  with 0 < R < r such that  $V_d(\mathbf{A}) = V_d(\mathbf{B})$ . Our goal is to prove that

$$V_1(\mathbf{A}^r) \le V_1(\mathbf{B}^r) = V_1(\mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{o}, R]).$$
(7)

Next recall Theorem 1 of [7], which we state as follows.

**Lemma 5.** If  $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbb{E}^d$  is an r-ball body (for r > 0), then  $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^r$  is a convex body of constant width 2r, where + denotes the Minkowski sum.

Thus, we have

**Corollary 6.** If  $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbb{E}^d$  is an r-ball body (for r > 0), then

$$V_1(\mathbf{A}) + V_1(\mathbf{A}^r) = \frac{d\omega_d}{\omega_{d-1}}r = V_1(\mathbf{B}) + V_1(\mathbf{B}^r),$$

where  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{o}, r - R]$  and  $\mathbf{B}^r = \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{o}, R]$  with 0 < R < r and  $V_d(\mathbf{A}) = V_d(\mathbf{B})$ .

Finally, recall that (6) for j = d can be restated as follows ([23], p. 335): if **A** is a convex body in  $\mathbb{E}^d$  satisfying  $V_d(\mathbf{A}) = V_d(\mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{o}, r - R])$  for given d > 1 and 0 < R < r, then

$$V_i(\mathbf{A}) \ge V_i(\mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{o}, r-R]) \tag{8}$$

holds for all i with  $1 \leq i < d$ .

Hence, Corollary 6 and (8) for i = 1 imply (7) in a straightforward way. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

# 3 Proof of Theorem 2

## 3.1 Proof of Part (i) of Theorem 2

Let d > 1,  $\lambda > 0$ , r > 0, and  $k \in [d]$  be given. If  $\lambda > 2r$ , then  $V_k(P^r) = V_k(\emptyset) = 0$  and (5) follows. Thus, we may assume that  $0 < \lambda \leq 2r$ , and as in [6], we proceed by proving the following theorem, which implies part (i) of Theorem 2 in a straightforward way.

**Theorem 7.** Let d > 1,  $\lambda > 0$ , r > 0, and  $k \in [d]$  be given such that  $0 < \lambda \leq 2r$ . Let  $Q := \{\mathbf{q}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_N\} \subset \mathbb{E}^d$ be a uniform contraction of  $P := \{\mathbf{p}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_N\} \subset \mathbb{E}^d$  with separating value  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ . If

(a) 
$$N \ge \left(1 + \frac{2r}{\lambda}\right)^d$$
, or  
(b)  $0 < \lambda \le \sqrt{2}r$  and  $N \ge \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2d}{d+1}}\right)^d$ ,  
then (5) holds.

*Proof.* Following [6], our proof is based on proper estimates of the following functionals.

#### Definition 3. Let

$$f_{k,d}(N,\lambda,r) := \min\{V_k(Q^r) \mid Q := \{\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_N\} \subset \mathbb{E}^d, \ |\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{q}_j| \le \lambda \text{ for all } 1 \le i < j \le N\}$$
(9)

and

$$g_{k,d}(N,\lambda,r) := \max\{V_k(P^r) \mid P := \{\mathbf{p}_1,\dots,\mathbf{p}_N\} \subset \mathbb{E}^d, \ \lambda \le |\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_j| \text{ for all } 1 \le i < j \le N\}$$
(10)

We note that in this paper the maximum of the empty set is zero. We need also

**Definition 4.** The circumradius  $\operatorname{cr} X$  of the set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{E}^d$ , d > 1 is defined by

$$\operatorname{cr} X := \inf\{r \mid X \subseteq \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{x}, r] \text{ for some } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{E}^d\}.$$

Part (a): By assumption  $N \ge \left(1 + \frac{2r}{\lambda}\right)^d$  and so

$$N\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^d \kappa_d \ge \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} + r\right)^d \kappa_d,\tag{11}$$

where  $\kappa_d$  denotes the volume of a *d*-dimensional unit ball in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ . As the balls  $\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{o}, \frac{\lambda}{2}], \ldots, \mathbf{p}_N + \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{o}, \frac{\lambda}{2}]$ are pairwise non-overlapping in  $\mathbb{E}^d$  therefore (11) yields in a straightforward way that  $\operatorname{cr} P > r$ . Thus,  $P^r = \emptyset$ and therefore clearly,  $g_{k,d}(N, \lambda, r) = 0 \leq f_{k,d}(N, \lambda, r)$  holds, finishing the proof of Theorem 7, part (a).

Part (b): For the proof that follows we need the following straightforward extension of the rather obvious but very useful Euclidean identity (9) of [6]: for any  $X = {\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n} \subset \mathbb{E}^d, n > 1, d > 1, r > 0$ , and  $r^* > 0$  one has

$$X^{r} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{B}^{d}[\mathbf{x}_{i}, r^{*}]\right)^{r+r^{*}}.$$
(12)

First, we give a lower bound for (9). Jung's theorem ([9]) implies in a straightforward way that  $\operatorname{cr} Q \leq \sqrt{\frac{2d}{d+1}\frac{\lambda}{2}}$  and so,  $\mathbf{B}^d \left[ \mathbf{x}, r - \sqrt{\frac{2d}{d+1}\frac{\lambda}{2}} \right] \subset Q^r$  for some  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{E}^d$ . (We note that by assumption  $N \geq \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2d}{d+1}}\right)^d > 1$  and  $r - \sqrt{\frac{2d}{d+1}\frac{\lambda}{2}} > r - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda \geq 0$ .) As a result we get that

$$f_{k,d}(N,\lambda,r) > V_k\left(\mathbf{B}^d\left[\mathbf{x}, r - \sqrt{\frac{2d}{d+1}}\frac{\lambda}{2}\right]\right).$$
(13)

Second, we give an upper bound for (10). (12) implies that

$$P^{r} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{B}^{d} \left[\mathbf{p}_{i}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right]\right)^{r+\frac{\lambda}{2}},\tag{14}$$

where the balls  $\mathbf{B}^{d}[\mathbf{p}_{1}, \frac{\lambda}{2}], \ldots, \mathbf{B}^{d}[\mathbf{p}_{N}, \frac{\lambda}{2}]$  are pairwise non-overlapping in  $\mathbb{E}^{d}$ . Thus,

$$V_d\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{B}^d\left[\mathbf{p}_i, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right]\right) = NV_d\left(\mathbf{B}^d\left[\mathbf{p}_1, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right]\right).$$
(15)

Let  $\mu > 0$  be chosen such that  $NV_d\left(\mathbf{B}^d\left[\mathbf{p}_1, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right]\right) = V_d\left(\mathbf{B}^d\left[\mathbf{p}_1, \mu\right]\right)$ . Clearly,

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2} N^{\frac{1}{d}} \lambda \tag{16}$$

Now Theorem 1, (14), (15), and (16) imply in a straightforward way that

$$V_k(P^r) = V_k\left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathbf{B}^d\left[\mathbf{p}_i, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right]\right)^{r+\frac{\lambda}{2}}\right) \le V_k\left(\left(\mathbf{B}^d\left[\mathbf{p}_1, \frac{1}{2}N^{\frac{1}{d}}\lambda\right]\right)^{r+\frac{\lambda}{2}}\right)$$
(17)

Clearly,  $\left(\mathbf{B}^{d}\left[\mathbf{p}_{1}, \frac{1}{2}N^{\frac{1}{d}}\lambda\right]\right)^{r+\frac{\lambda}{2}} = \mathbf{B}^{d}\left[\mathbf{p}_{1}, r-\frac{N^{\frac{1}{d}}-1}{2}\lambda\right]$  with the convention that if  $r-\frac{N^{\frac{1}{d}}-1}{2}\lambda < 0$ , then  $\mathbf{B}^{d}\left[\mathbf{p}_{1}, r-\frac{N^{\frac{1}{d}}-1}{2}\lambda\right] = \emptyset$ . Hence (17) yields

$$g_{k,d}(N,\lambda,r) \le V_k \left( \mathbf{B}^d \left[ \mathbf{p}_1, r - \frac{N^{\frac{1}{d}} - 1}{2} \lambda \right] \right)$$
 (18)

(with  $V_k(\emptyset) = 0$ ). Finally, as  $N \ge \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2d}{d+1}}\right)^d$  therefore  $\frac{N^{\frac{1}{d}} - 1}{2}\lambda \ge \sqrt{\frac{2d}{d+1}\frac{\lambda}{2}}$  and so, (13) and (18) yield  $g_{k,d}(N,\lambda,r) < f_{k,d}(N,\lambda,r)$ , finishing the proof of Theorem 7, part (b).

## 3.2 Proof of Part (ii) of Theorem 2

The following strengthening of Theorem 7 implies part (ii) of Theorem 2 in a straightforward way. Thus, we are left to prove

**Theorem 8.** Let  $d \ge 42$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , r > 0, and  $k \in [d]$  be given such that  $0 < \lambda \le 2r$ . Let  $Q := \{\mathbf{q}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_N\} \subset \mathbb{E}^d$  be a uniform contraction of  $P := \{\mathbf{p}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_N\} \subset \mathbb{E}^d$  with separating value  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ . If

(a) 
$$N \ge \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2d}} \left(1 + \frac{2r}{\lambda}\right)^d + 1$$
, or  
(b)  $0 < \lambda \le \sqrt{2r}$  and  $N \ge \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2d}} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2d}{d+1}}\right)^d + 1$ ,  
then (5) holds.

*Proof.* We use the notations and methods of the proof of Theorem 7. Furthermore, we need the following well-known result of U. Betke and M. Henk [2], which proves the sausage conjecture of L. Fejes Tóth in  $\mathbb{E}^d$  for  $d \geq 42$ : whenever the balls  $\mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{p}_1, \frac{\lambda}{2}], \ldots, \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{p}_N, \frac{\lambda}{2}]$  are pairwise non-overlapping in  $\mathbb{E}^d$  then

$$V_d\left(\operatorname{conv}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{B}^d\left[\mathbf{p}_i, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right]\right)\right) \ge (N-1)\lambda\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{d-1}\kappa_{d-1} + \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^d\kappa_d,\tag{19}$$

where conv(·) denotes the convex hull of the given set. Using the inequality  $\frac{\kappa_{d-1}}{\kappa_d} > \sqrt{\frac{d}{2\pi}}$  for  $d \ge 1$  (see Lemma 1 in [1]) we get in a straightforward way from (19) that

$$V_d\left(\operatorname{conv}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{B}^d\left[\mathbf{p}_i, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right]\right)\right) > \left((N-1)\sqrt{\frac{2d}{\pi}} + 1\right)\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^d \kappa_d.$$
(20)

Part (a): By assumption  $N \ge \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2d}} \left(1 + \frac{2r}{\lambda}\right)^d + 1 > \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2d}} \left[ \left(1 + \frac{2r}{\lambda}\right)^d - 1 \right] + 1$  and so

$$\left( (N-1)\sqrt{\frac{2d}{\pi}} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^d \kappa_d > \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} + r\right)^d \kappa_d.$$
(21)

As the balls  $\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{o}, \frac{\lambda}{2}], \dots, \mathbf{p}_N + \mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{o}, \frac{\lambda}{2}]$  are pairwise non-overlapping in  $\mathbb{E}^d$  therefore (20) and (21) yield in a straightforward way that  $\operatorname{cr} P > r$ . Thus,  $P^r = \emptyset$  and therefore clearly,  $g_{k,d}(N, \lambda, r) = 0 \leq f_{k,d}(N, \lambda, r)$ holds, finishing the proof of Theorem 8, part (a).

Part (b): In the same way as in the proof of part (b) of Theorem 7 one can derive that

$$f_{k,d}(N,\lambda,r) > V_k\left(\mathbf{B}^d\left[\mathbf{x}, r - \sqrt{\frac{2d}{d+1}}\frac{\lambda}{2}\right]\right).$$
(22)

Next, recall that

$$P^{r} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{B}^{d} \left[\mathbf{p}_{i}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right]\right)^{r+\frac{\lambda}{2}},$$
(23)

where the balls  $\mathbf{B}^{d}[\mathbf{p}_{1}, \frac{\lambda}{2}], \ldots, \mathbf{B}^{d}[\mathbf{p}_{N}, \frac{\lambda}{2}]$  are pairwise non-overlapping in  $\mathbb{E}^{d}$ . Lemma 4 applied to (23) yields

$$P^{r} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{B}^{d}\left[\mathbf{p}_{i}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right]\right)^{r+\frac{\lambda}{2}} = \left(\operatorname{conv}_{r+\frac{\lambda}{2}}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{B}^{d}\left[\mathbf{p}_{i}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right]\right)\right)^{r+\frac{\lambda}{2}} \subset \left(\operatorname{conv}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{B}^{d}\left[\mathbf{p}_{i}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right]\right)\right)^{r+\frac{\lambda}{2}}.$$
 (24)

Hence, Theorem 1, (20), and (24) imply in a straightforward way that

$$V_k(P^r) < V_k\left(\left(\mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{o},\mu]\right)^{r+\frac{\lambda}{2}}\right),\tag{25}$$

where  $V_d\left(\mathbf{B}^d[\mathbf{o},\mu]\right) = \left((N-1)\sqrt{\frac{2d}{\pi}}+1\right)\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^d\kappa_d$ . Thus, (25) yields

$$g_{k,d}(N,\lambda,r) < V_k \left( \mathbf{B}^d \left[ \mathbf{o}, r - \left( \left( (N-1)\sqrt{\frac{2d}{\pi}} + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} - 1 \right) \frac{\lambda}{2} \right] \right)$$
(26)

(with  $V_k(\emptyset) = 0$ ). Finally, as  $N \ge \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2d}} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2d}{d+1}}\right)^d + 1 > \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2d}} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2d}{d+1}}\right)^d + \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2d}}\right)$  therefore  $\left(\left((N-1)\sqrt{\frac{2d}{\pi}} + 1\right)^{\frac{1}{d}} - 1\right)\frac{\lambda}{2} > \sqrt{\frac{2d}{d+1}\frac{\lambda}{2}}$  and so, (22) and (26) yield  $g_{k,d}(N,\lambda,r) < f_{k,d}(N,\lambda,r)$ , finishing the proof of Theorem 8, part (b).

### Acknowledgements

The author is indebted to the anonymous referees for careful reading and valuable comments.

# References

- U. Betke, P. Gritzmann, and J. M. Wills, Slices of L. Fejes Tóth's sausage conjecture, Mathematika 29/2 (1982), 194–201.
- [2] U. Betke and M. Henk, Finite packings of spheres, Discrete Comput. Geom. 19/2 (1998), 197–227.
- [3] K. Bezdek and R. Connelly, Pushing disks apart the Kneser-Poulsen conjecture in the plane, J. Reine Angew. Math. 553 (2002), 221–236.
- [4] K. Bezdek, Zs. Lángi, M. Naszódi, and P. Papez, Ball-polyhedra, Discrete Comput. Geom. 38/2 (2007), 201–230.
- [5] K. Bezdek, From r-dual sets to uniform contractions, Aequationes Math. 92/1 (2018), 123–134.
- [6] K. Bezdek and M. Naszódi, The Kneser-Poulsen conjecture for special contractions, Discrete and Comput. Geom. 60/4 (2018), 967–980.
- [7] V. Capoyleas, On the area of the intersection of disks in the plane, Comput. Geom. 6/6 (1996), 393–396.
- [8] B. Csikós and M. Horváth, Two Kneser-Poulsen-type inequalities in planes of constant curvature, Acta Math. Hungar. 155/1 (2018), 158–174.
- [9] B. V. Dekster, The Jung theorem for spherical and hyperbolic spaces, Acta Math. Hungar. 67/4 (1995), 315–331.
- [10] L. Fejes Tóth, Packing of r-convex discs, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 17/1-4 (1982), 449-452.
- [11] F. Fodor, Á. Kurusa, and V. Vígh, Inequalities for hyperconvex sets, Adv. Geom. 16/3 (2016), 337–348.
- [12] F. Gao, D. Hug, and R. Schneider, Intrinsic volumes and polar sets in spherical space, Math. Notae 41 (2003), 159–176.
- [13] I. Gorbovickis, The central set and its application to the Kneser-Poulsen conjecture Discrete Comput. Geom. 59/4 (2018), 784–801.
- [14] M. Gromov, Monotonicity of the volume of intersections of balls, in *Geometrical Aspects of Functional Analysis*, ed. by J. Lindenstrauss, V. D. Milman. Springer Lecture Notes, **1267**, Springer, New York, 1987, 1–4.
- [15] V. Klee, Some unsolved problems in plane geometry, Math. Mag. 52/3 (1979), 131-145.
- [16] V. Klee and S. Wagon, Old and new unsolved problems in plane geometry and number theory, The Dolciani Mathematical Expositions, vol. 11, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 1991.
- [17] M. Kneser, Einige Bemerkungen über das Minkowskische Flächenmass, Arch. Math. 6 (1955), 382–390.
- [18] Y. S. Kupitz, H. Martini, and M. A. Perles, Ball polytopes and the Vázsonyi problem, Acta Math. Hungar. 126/1-2 (2010), 99–163.
- [19] Zs. Lángi, M. Naszódi, and I. Talata, Ball and spindle convexity with respect to a convex body, Aequationes Math. 85/1-2 (2013), 41–67.
- [20] A. E. Mayer, Eine Überkonvexität, Math. Z. **39/1** (1935), 511–531.
- [21] G. Paouris and P. Pivovarov, Random ball-polyhedra and inequalities for intrinsic volumes, Monatsh. Math. 182/3 (2017), 709–729.
- [22] E. T. Poulsen, Problem 10, Math. Scand. 2 (1954), 346.
- [23] R. Schneider, Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

Károly Bezdek

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary, Canada Department of Mathematics, University of Pannonia, Veszprém, Hungary E-mail: bezdek@math.ucalgary.ca