



University of Dundee

Is it time to reconsider our understanding of lifelong learning in medical training

McMillan, James C. D.

Published in: Journal of Social Science Student Research

DOI: 10.20933/30000100

Publication date: 2022

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA): McMillan, J. C. D. (2022). Is it time to reconsider our understanding of lifelong learning in medical training. *Journal of Social Science Student Research*, 1(1), 1-6. https://doi.org/10.20933/30000100

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Blog Submission – JOSSSR22_02

Is it time to reconsider our understanding of lifelong learning in medical training?

Author: James C. D. McMillan

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-0605-1023

School of Medicine, University of Dundee, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School. DD1 9SY. +44 (0)1382 381774. j.c.d.mcmillan@dundee.ac.uk

James McMillan is a sessional general practitioner in the Tayside region and as a senior clinical teacher in the School of Medicine at the University of Dundee.

Is it time to reconsider our understanding of lifelong learning in medical training and other professions?

Abstract

In this discussion article, based on research conducted as part of a master's project at the University of Dundee School of Medicine, I argue that professional training programmes may benefit from more scrutiny of the concept of lifelong learning and less reliance on cultural norms and implicit professional narratives.

Keywords: Lifelong learning, medical education, undergraduate, curriculum, professional education.

Main Body

As a general practitioner (GP) and senior clinical teacher within the University of Dundee's medical degree programme, I have become increasingly interested in the semantics of the term lifelong learning (LL). A cursory journal search for the term will return thousands of entries as researchers justify their work in its name. This is understandable. Most of us will have a sense of what it means to be a lifelong learner and, as a concept, it features in the narrative of many professions (Del Gaizo and Laudermith, 2021). In medicine, the World Federation for Medical Education (2015) stipulates that medical schools must set outcomes that demonstrate graduates' commitment to LL. In the United Kingdom, the General Medical Council (GMC) state that, as a matter of professionalism, newly qualified doctors must be able to 'explain and demonstrate the importance of professional development and LL and demonstrate commitment to this' (General Medical Council, 2018, p. 10). Clearly LL is considered an important concept but, despite its ubiquitous use, what exactly does it mean? Is having a general understanding of the concept sufficient?

The GMC describe LL as being able to 'keep up to date with developments in medical practice and trends in disease at population level' (General Medical Council, 2018, p. 3). The World Federation for Medical Education similarly describe it as:

the professional responsibility to keep up to date in knowledge and skills through appraisal, audit, reflection or recognised continuing professional development/continuing medical education activities, (World Federation for Medical Education, 2015, p. 16)

2

In isolation these would seem reasonable as there is clearly a link between LL and maintenance of clinical competence (Sehlbach *et al.*, 2018). There are, however, many aspects to the concept that are absent in these definitions, seeming to exclude the richness of several decades of academic discourse. It has been argued that LL has been a focus for social action and cultural reform; a set of outcomes for individual growth; and a platform to enable individuals and societies to manage rapid and large-scale cultural change (Bagnall, 2000). It is frequently associated with adult learning theory and the attributes required for adult learning (Greveson and Spencer, 2005; Mazmanian and Feldman, 2011; Berkhout *et al.*, 2018) as well as being associated with the 'generic skills' required of graduating students in order to meet the demands of contemporary society (Candy, Crebert and O'Leary, 1994; Pitman and Broomhall, 2009; Steur, Jansen and Hofman, 2012).

Amidst this conceptual haziness there is - despite thousands of articles referencing LL - very little examination of how the concept of LL develops during medical training. This motivated me, as part of a master's degree, to explore graduating medical students' understandings of the concept, whilst attempting to uncover elements of their curriculum that contributed to those understandings (McMillan and Jones, 2022). The results of the study showed that students understood LL across several themes which, perhaps not unexpectedly, closely parallel the existing narrative within medicine. There was particular emphasis on the professional obligation to continue learning in the pursuit of competence. Other themes that emerged were the importance of reflective skills, an individual's intrinsic motivation to learn, and the capacity for critical thinking. Students generally felt prepared for LL - but this was more based on trust that the curriculum had delivered the relevant knowledge and skills - rather than being able to evidence competency. In terms of curriculum influences, by far the strongest theme to emerge was the relationship between students' understanding of LL and their experiences in the clinical workplace.

In addition, there were two particularly striking themes. Firstly, the students were not aware of any formal curriculum interventions exploring the meaning of LL, suggesting that their conceptualisations had formed predominantly through the informal and hidden curriculum (Hafferty, 1998). Secondly, the extent to which students' conceptualisations of LL were formed was almost entirely around clinical competence and career progression. There was little suggesting that their their understanding of LL encompassed adult learning skills more broadly or socio-cultural aspects of learning that are part of the wider discourse on this topic.

3

Although limited to a single institution, this study provides some insight into how students' understandings of LL develop in the absence of a defined educational strategy during professional training. It also raises further questions. For example, are the themes identified above the intended outcomes of the training programme, because there would appear to be a reliance on the implicit professional narrative rather than a defined educational strategy? Even if they are, should they be left to the informal or hidden curriculum? Finally, and perhaps most crucially, are these outcomes related to LL being assessed as robustly as the more easily measurable outcomes such as knowledge and practical skills?

There are, arguably, broader imperatives for answering these questions in professional education programmes. In medicine, current models of healthcare would appear to be failing, having an impact on physician and patient alike (The Lancet, 2019; Wellings *et al.*, 2022) and indicating a need to explore alternative perspectives on health and the role of the medical practitioner. One such perspective is to be found in the concept of salutogenesis, a paradigm of health which places a greater emphasis on resilience and health literacy and, consequently, prioritises learning at an individual and societal level (Lindström and Eriksson, 2011; Jonas *et al.*, 2014; Lindström, 2020). Within such a paradigm the practitioner is required to understand LL not only for their own benefit but also to facilitate the development of a similar understanding in others (Alivia, Guadagni and Roberti di Sarsina, 2011).

In summary, if a profession, such as medicine, demands that its members engage with LL, then it would seem appropriate that this is supported by interrogation of the concept and formal pedagogical strategies to develop relevant competencies. Defaulting to implicit cultural norms regarding the concept of LL may risk restricting practitioners' personal growth, their resilience, and the wellbeing of the communities they serve.

References

Alivia, M., Guadagni, P. and Roberti di Sarsina, P. (2011) 'Towards salutogenesis in the development of personalised and preventive healthcare', *EPMA Journal*, 2(4), pp. 381–384. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s13167-011-0131-9.

Bagnall, R.G. (2000) 'Lifelong learning and the limitations of economic determinism', *International Journal of Lifelong Education*, 19(1), pp. 20–35. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/026013700293430.

Berkhout, J.J., Helmich, E., Teunissen, P.W., van der Vleuten, C.P.M and Jaarsma, A.D.C. (2018) 'Context matters when striving to promote active and lifelong learning in medical education', *Medical Education*, 52(1), pp. 34–44. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.13463.

Candy, P.C., Crebert, R.G. and O'Leary, J. (1994) *Developing lifelong learners through undergraduate education*. Australian Government Publishing Service. Available at: http://hdl.voced.edu.au/10707/94444 (Accessed: 22 August 2022).

Del Gaizo, E. and Laudermith, A. (2021) 'Professionals as Lifelong Learners', in M. London (ed.) *The Oxford Handbook of Lifelong Learning*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 367–388. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780197506707.013.18.

General Medical Council (2018) *Outcomes for Graduates*. Available at: https://www.gmc-uk.org/-/media/documents/outcomes-for-graduates-a4-20190717_pdf-78071845.pdf (Accessed: 14 August 2019).

Greveson, G.C. and Spencer, J.A. (2005) 'Self-directed learning – the importance of concepts and contexts', *Medical Education*, 39(4), pp. 348–349. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2929.2005.02115.x.

Hafferty, F.W. (1998) 'Beyond curriculum reform: confronting medicine's hidden curriculum', *Academic medicine*, 73(4), pp. 403–7.

Jonas, W.B., Chez, R.A., Smith, K. and Sakallaris, B. (2014) 'Salutogenesis: The Defining Concept for a New Healthcare System', *Global Advances in Health and Medicine*, 3(3), pp. 82–91.

Lindström, B. (2020) *Salutogenesis: an introduction | Local Government Association*. Available at: https://www.local.gov.uk/case-studies/salutogenesis-introduction (Accessed: 7 June 2022).

Lindström, B. and Eriksson, M. (2011) 'From health education to healthy learning: Implementing salutogenesis in educational science', *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*, 39(6_suppl), pp. 85–92. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/1403494810393560.

Mazmanian, P. and Feldman, M. (2011) 'Theory is needed to improve education, assessment and policy in self-directed learning', *Medical Education*, 45(4), pp. 324–326. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2011.03937.x.

McMillan, J.C.D. and Jones, L. (2022) 'A qualitative study exploring how students' conceptualisations of lifelong learning develop in an undergraduate medical training programme', *PRACTICE*, 0(0), pp. 1–14. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/25783858.2022.2133624.

Pitman, T. and Broomhall, S. (2009) 'Australian universities, generic skills and lifelong learning', *International Journal of Lifelong Education*, 28(4), pp. 439–458. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/02601370903031280.

Sehlbach, C., Balzan, M. Bennett, J., Prior Filipe, H., Thinggaard, E. and Smeenk, F. (2018) "Certified ... now what?" On the Challenges of Lifelong Learning: Report from an AMEE 2017 Symposium', *Journal of European CME*, 7(1), pp. 1–5. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/21614083.2018.1428025.

Steur, J.M., Jansen, E.P.W.A. and Hofman, W.H.A. (2012) 'Graduateness: an empirical examination of the formative function of university education', *Higher Education*, 64(6), pp. 861–874. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-012-9533-4.

The Lancet (2019) 'Physician burnout: a global crisis', *The Lancet*, 394(10193), p. 93. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31573-9.

Wellings, D., Jefferies, D., Maguire, D., Appleby, J., Hemmings, N., Morris, J. and Schlepper, L. (2022) *Public satisfaction with the NHS and social care in 2021: Results from the British Social Attitudes survey*. London: The Kings Fund. Available at: https://www.nuffieldtrust.org.uk/research/public-satisfaction-with-the-nhs-and-social-care-in-2021-results-from-the-british-social-attitudes-survey (Accessed: 2 September 2022).

World Federation for Medical Education (2015) *Basic Medical Education WFME Global Standards* 2015: The 2015 Revision. World Federation for Medical Education. Available at: https://wfme.org/standards/bme/ (Accessed: 15 August 2022).