

*Citation for published version:* Barnett, R & Sengupta, R 2022, 'The future of axial spondyloarthritis rehabilitation – lessons learned from COVID-19', Arthritis Care & Research, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 44-49. https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.24780

DOI: 10.1002/acr.24780

Publication date: 2022

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Barnett, R. and Sengupta, R. (2022), The Future of Axial Spondyloarthritis Rehabilitation: Lessons Learned From COVID-19. Arthritis Care Res, 74: 44-49which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.24780. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions. This article may not be enhanced, enriched or otherwise transformed into a derivative work, without express permission from Wiley or by statutory rights under applicable legislation.

**University of Bath** 

# **Alternative formats**

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: openaccess@bath.ac.uk

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# The future of axial spondyloarthritis rehabilitation – lessons learned from COVID-19 Rosemarie Barnett, Raj Sengupta.

**Affiliations and degrees:** Rosemarie Barnett, BSc, Royal National Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases, Royal United Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Bath, UK. Department for Health, University of Bath, Bath, UK.

Raj Sengupta, MBBS FRCP PGCME, Royal National Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases, Royal United Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Bath, UK. Department of Pharmacy & Pharmacology, University of Bath, Bath, UK.

**Corresponding author**: Rosemarie Barnett, Royal National Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases, Royal United Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Bath, BA1, UK. Email: <u>rlb60@bath.ac.uk</u>. Telephone: 01225 428331.

Short running title: AxSpA rehabilitation – lessons learned from COVID-19.

Acknowledgements: We acknowledge the specialist physiotherapist team at the RNHRD, RUH NHS Foundation Trust for their work establishing the online virtual axial spondyloarthritis rehabilitation programme and collecting/ coordinating patient feedback. We acknowledge Frankie Brown and Lisa Grey at the University of Bath for conducting the RNHRD service evaluation and sharing some of the results for this publication.

**Financial support:** Project Nightingale was supported by UCB, who provided funding for use of the uMotif app.

**Disclosure of interests:** Dr. Raj Sengupta has received <\$10,000 of speaker fees/ honoraria from Abbvie, Biogen, Novartis, Celgene, Roche, MSD and UCB.

Word count: 4,535 including references, 3,493 not including references.

References: 36.

# Abstract

Supervised physical therapy and rehabilitation are vital for effective long-term management of axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA). However, the unprecedented year of 2020 and the COVID-19 pandemic has prompted a drastic change in healthcare provision across all disease areas. In this review, we summarize changes that have been introduced to support rehabilitation in axSpA during the pandemic and considerations for the future of axSpA rehabilitation in the wake of COVID-19. We have witnessed the launch of online virtual physical therapy and education, in addition to an emphasis on remote monitoring. We have been propelled into a new era of digital service provision; not only providing a temporary stop-gap in treatment for some patients, but in future potentially allowing for a wider reach/ provision of care and resilience of vital services. Unique collaboration between patients, healthcare professionals and researchers will be key to foster relationships/ trust and facilitate wider evaluation and implementation of digital services at each stage in a patient's journey - imperative to relieve pressure from healthcare providers. Despite the potential of such digital interventions, it is important to highlight the maintained critical need for face-to-face services, particularly for vulnerable patients or during diagnosis or a flare of symptoms. It is also vital that we remain vigilant regarding digital exclusion, to avoid further widening of existing health inequalities. Optimisation of digital infrastructure, staff skills and digital education alongside promoting accessibility, engagement and building trust among communities will be vital as we enter this new age of blended in-person/ digital service provision.

# Introduction

Physical therapy and rehabilitation are cornerstones of non-pharmacological treatment for axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) – critical for adequate long-term disease management (1, 2). There is extensive evidence to suggest that physical activity is effective at reducing symptoms and disease activity in axSpA, with a corresponding increase in spinal mobility, physical function and cardiorespiratory fitness (1, 3-8). As such, European treatment guidelines highlight the importance of a combination of non-pharmacological and pharmacological treatment modalities, including an emphasis on physical therapy, to optimise management of the condition (9). However, the most effective protocol for physical activity in axSpA remains unclear (1, 10).

Recent evidence suggests that physical therapy for axSpA should be prescribed based on the individual, while covering aerobic, flexibility, resistance and neuro-motor training (1). While unsupervised home-based exercises have been found to be efficacious for patients, supervised physical therapy has been suggested to be more effective (2, 11-14). Furthermore, recent research has highlighted the potential paradoxical role of biomechanical stress and entheseal microdamage in the radiological progression of axSpA – through potential development of tissue-specific inflammation and complex interactions between pro-inflammatory pathways, including the likely role of cytokines, growth factors and tissue-resident cells (10). Therefore, evidence-based exercises provided in a one-to-one or group setting guided by a highly experienced, specialised physiotherapist may be preferable initially – whereby, the specialist can gauge the capabilities of the patient and recommend appropriate stretches and exercise accordingly, on a case-by-case basis. This supervised mode of delivery by a specialist has also been identified as important to patients (13).

However, the unprecedented year of 2020 and the COVID-19 pandemic has prompted a drastic change in healthcare provision across all disease areas. Patients have been unable to attend face-to-face appointments or supervised physiotherapy and a widening of existing gaps in healthcare have been highlighted (15). In the international REUMAVID study, of 1,707 patients with rheumatic musculoskeletal diseases (RMDs) surveyed from 15 European countries (47.5% of patients with an axSpA diagnosis), 45.0% reported worsening health during the pandemic (16). In REUMAVID, patients also reported increased alcohol consumption, smoking, weight gain and reduced physical activity, including an inability to continue rehabilitation exercises or physiotherapy programmes (17). Individuals participating in REUMAVID received poor access to care – 60.6% being unable to keep their rheumatologist appointment, 92.5% of which were cancelled by their healthcare provider. Over half of participants perceived their health status to be "fair to very bad" and reported poor wellbeing as indicated by the WHO-5 scale. Similar results have been reported in the UK specifically, where in a survey of healthcare professionals and patients conducted by the National Axial Spondyloarthritis Society (NASS), almost half of the patients reported a worsening of symptoms and deterioration of both general and mental health during lockdown (15). In the US, a study of 1,692 rheumatology patients from New York demonstrated that medication access difficulties and flares were common during the peak of the pandemic (18, 19). Furthermore, medication access difficulty and COVID-related distress were both strongly associated with patient-reported flare and disease activity in this patient group.

As described by NASS in the UK, although the COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted existing gaps in service provision for people with axSpA, it has also accelerated change – with the introduction of virtual and remote consultations, including care for flares, and an increased

interest in digital service provision and the importance of remote monitoring (15). Indeed, it has required a rapid adaptation of both patients and clinicians' practises to embrace new ways of working. The pandemic has also highlighted the need for imminent changes and prioritisation of initiatives to revolutionise both the resilience and efficiency of our current healthcare systems, to ultimately provide optimal support and the best possible care for people with axSpA (15). In the present paper, we discuss changes that have been introduced to support rehabilitation in axSpA during the pandemic and considerations for the future of axSpA rehabilitation in the wake of COVID-19.

#### Change in axSpA rehabilitation services during COVID-19

At the Royal National Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases (RNHRD) in Bath, the unique 2-week inpatient physical therapy rehabilitation programme has been integral to axSpA care since the 1970's. The course provides individuals with the tools that they need to confidently selfmanage their condition, placing an emphasis on education, self-management, physiotherapy, and hydrotherapy, with input from a multidisciplinary team of physiotherapists, a Consultant Rheumatologist, occupational therapist, counsellors, pharmacist, dietician, and health care assistants. There are no strict entry criteria for programme referral. However, it is thought to be particularly beneficial for newly diagnosed patients, those in flare/ who are struggling to manage their condition, post-surgery (e.g. following hip replacement), or to maximise outcomes on biologic therapy. To cater for differing levels of disease activity, function and mobility, the programme is delivered at three levels of intensity, depending on spinal mobility (BASMI score): fast (BASMI 0-3), fast/moderate (BASMI 3-5) and moderate (≥5). Patients may attend the course more than once, on an as- and when- appropriate basis.

Significant short- and long-term improvements in disease activity, spinal mobility and function have been observed following course attendance (20, 21). The social element of the course, including meeting others with the condition, is also a critical element of the programme's success. Participants have been known to forge long-lasting relationships following the course – forming critical support networks of mutual understanding. Although yet to be explored in detail in the context of the course, relatedness indeed forms one of the three basic psychological needs as detailed in self-determination theory. Self-determination theory proposes that when three innate basic psychologic needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness are fulfilled positive outcomes are achieved; with these three factors suggested to be the most predictive and reliable mediators of motivation, engagement and wellbeing (22). The impact of the course on such outcomes is currently being explored in ongoing analysis.

During the pandemic, the importance of maintaining some form of supervised axSpA rehabilitation delivery was recognised very early on at the RNHRD. As such, a group of highly skilled specialist physiotherapists and rheumatologists, with input from a team of academics and behavioural scientists, were able to develop an online course to be delivered remotely via Zoom. While some services were obviously not available virtually (e.g. hydrotherapy), the core components of the course (education, self-management, physiotherapy) remained or could be reproduced, to an extent, online.

Similarly, we have seen organisations such as NASS migrate from in-person to online educational events – enabling a much wider reach for axSpA education (23). NASS have been hosting regular live online self-management sessions – with a wealth of legacy

resources now available across their platforms, including recorded physical therapy sessions delivered live by specialist physiotherapists.

# Introduction of remote data collection for axSpA services

At the RNHRD, not only are participants now able to attend the Bath axSpA rehabilitation course from their own home, but standard patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) collected pre- and post-course (and at each clinic appointment) have been migrated to an online system called Meridian. This includes measures such as disease activity (BASDAI), function (BASFI, AS patient global), quality of life (ASQoL), fatigue (FACIT), anxiety/ depression (EQ5D), work productivity (WPAI), and sleep (Jenkins's sleep scale). Patients enter data via their unique online Meridian portal, for this data to then be automatically integrated into the hospital system. This has facilitated previously unforeseen efficiency of data collection both for research and for clinic use in axSpA. Clinicians can now access individual patient-level graphical representations of, for example, disease activity (BASDAI) over time via Meridian, during a clinic appointment. While approved researchers can access anonymised, aggregated data for patients consented to the Bath Spondyloarthritis Research Biobank. Over 30 years' worth of paper records have also been digitised and integrated into Meridian. This includes additional measures such as spinal mobility (BASMI) and laboratory results such as CRP. Furthermore, a subset of ~200 patients have further digitised information available for research, such as coded Margolis Pain Diagrams (specifying regional or chronic widespread pain) and occurrence of significant life events.

Although traditional PROMs are critical for understanding overall changes in disease activity and quality of life over time, they are subject to recall bias and may fail to capture a significant proportion of day-to-day disease information. In chronic, inflammatory

conditions such as axSpA where there may be fluctuating periods of disease activity and flare, these subtle daily changes in symptoms could be of critical importance for gaining a better understanding of the condition and for optimising/personalising treatments such as physical therapy. In 2017, the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) produced a "Research Roadmap to transform the lives of people with RMDs" – often referred to as Rheuma-Map, which highlighted the need to better explore the impact of physical activity and lifestyle on the progression of axSpA. Implementation of remote monitoring and digital technologies such as wearable devices and smartphones for granular, daily remote monitoring of symptoms and activity, could be critical to meet this outlined need. Monitoring of lifestyle/physical activity and symptom data may also allow patients to gain a better understanding of their condition, while allowing them to gauge the level of physical activity that feels good for them and implement lifestyle changes accordingly. Since the start of the pandemic, we have seen an increased interest in remote monitoring, both for research and clinical purposes. At the RNHRD, over 350 patients are now registered with the RNHRD Project Nightingale study (<u>www.projectnightingale.org</u>), whereby individuals can use a smartphone app to track daily self-report data in between clinical appointments, as well as before, during and after course attendance. This includes variables such as pain, mood, stress, sleep, fatigue, flare, anti-inflammatory use and recommended exercise. In addition to less explored variables such as menstrual cycle, caffeine intake and screen time. The app can also be linked with an individual's wearable device if they have one, to collect step, heart rate and sleep data. Since September 2020, all patients invited to attend the virtual rehabilitation program have been invited to participate in Project Nightingale when referred to the course. This will form a larger piece of validation work, to determine the capabilities of smartphone technologies to support both assessment of rehabilitation outcomes and

potentially self-management. Indeed, enthusiastic patients at the RNHRD have expressed how Project Nightingale has helped them better self-manage and understand their disease, while providing motivation to exercise independently following intensive, supervised rehabilitation (24). However, until the platform has been evaluated scientifically, we cannot make firm recommendations for its use in healthcare.

# Considerations for future axSpA rehabilitation delivery

In terms of rehabilitation specifically, as suggested in feedback from RNHRD patients' postvirtual-course, the future will likely involve a blended combination of in-person and online physical therapy, with complementary remote data collection pre- and post-course. Online therapy could be implemented either as a "top-up" between in-person appointments, or as an alternative for people who may not have the time to commit to an intensive rehabilitation programme, such as the 2-week inpatient course delivered at Bath. Indeed, axSpA often develops in the second or third decade of a patient's life – a critical time for establishing relationships and careers. Therefore, some individuals may prefer a shorter, online course, whereby they can fit their initial education and physiotherapy around their daily routine. This could also potentially be beneficial in terms of incorporating habits into their usual environment, which may be trickier to implement/adjust to if coming from an immersive programme, away from day-to-day life.

In Bath, while feedback on the axSpA virtual rehabilitation programme has been overwhelmingly positive, we need further robust evidence to ensure the acceptability, accessibility, and efficacy of digital rehabilitation interventions - in particular, their comparative effectiveness alongside in-person rehabilitation. While there is some published evidence to suggest telerehabilitation as a suitable substitution for face-to-face

interventions in chronic non-malignant musculoskeletal pain, including some forms of arthritis, we should be cautious about generalising these results to axSpA, specifically and methodological limitations have been described (e.g. small sample size, short follow-up) (25). Research has been conducted assessing the effectiveness of telerehabilitation in RMDs more broadly. These studies have found that real-time telerehabilitation can improve physical function and pain, and is comparable to face-to-face intervention in terms of this improvement (26). A recent systematic review in rheumatoid arthritis identified five randomised controlled trials reporting a positive impact of telehealth interventions on factors such as disease activity, medication adherence, physical activity and self-efficacy (27). Although there was high heterogeneity in the interventions described. Similarly, a recent rapid review identified 14 systematic reviews exploring the effectiveness of telerehabilitation in musculoskeletal conditions – whereby, despite contradictory results, telerehabilitation could be comparable with in-person rehabilitation or better than no rehabilitation for conditions such as osteoarthritis, low-back pain, hip and knee replacement (28). These findings suggest that telerehabilitation may be effective in improving symptoms in RMDs. However, evidence is still limited and there is an imperative need for better quality clinical trials and systematic reviews to provide sufficient evidence on efficacy/effectiveness (28). Analyses of the virtual rehabilitation programme for axSpA are currently ongoing in Bath, while similar web-based physiotherapy interventions are also being tested for axSpA in Glasgow (29).

Input and considerations from physiotherapists will also be critical when considering implementation of telerehabilitation for axSpA. Key challenges currently identified are difficulties assessing patient mobility via Zoom or when observing and instructing patients, particularly while monitoring their performance of instructed exercises or if needing to

provide discrete, individualised feedback during group activities (much easier in person – e.g. taking someone to one side to adjust their movement, not so feasible in an online setting). Smaller groups of patients were also preferable with remote delivery, as it was harder to monitor multiple patients' movement via a screen.

Over time, the format of the digital course can be tweaked based on further feedback from patients and the unique experience/ expert knowledge of the contributing healthcare professionals. Economic evaluations could also be useful to determine the costeffectiveness of digital versus in-patient rehabilitation. Future wider implementation of digital rehabilitation for axSpA could be critical in terms of relieving pressure from the health services, reducing wait times and reducing travel burden for patients. However, we foresee that some form of in-person, supervised delivery will still be vital, particularly for those individuals who are newly diagnosed, fearful of movement or may have more severe disease and need closer supervision to prevent injury during exercise. Future studies to identify those patients who may most benefit from an in-person versus virtual rehabilitation programme will be useful to refine these parameters. As will collaborations between patients, healthcare professionals and researchers from multidisciplinary fields (biomechanics, human-computer interaction, health psychology) to assess the impact of such interventions and the best way to implement them. An initial in-person first-contact visit should also be considered to fully triage a patients' capabilities before prescription.

The immersive element of the 2-week inpatient programme may also have greater benefits in terms of improving or maintaining motivation for exercise long term. Spurring or maintaining motivation may be more difficult when being guided over a monitor – versus an immersive experience with peers and physiotherapists, living and breathing the

rehabilitation together in a socially supportive environment, away from other commitments/worries in day-to-day life. Even in terms of the pandemic, many of us have experienced a dull in motivation and focus described as languishing (30), when attempting to work from home all day behind a monitor – similar feelings could be experienced with the virtual course. It must therefore be ensured that we do not simply abandon invaluable in-person follow-ups/rehabilitation completely, as certain aspects simply cannot be replicated virtually. Furthermore, loss of in-person follow-up, or initiation of patientinitiated in-person follow-up may be particularly detrimental to those patients who are more stoic in nature. Indeed, in clinic it is not unusual for a physician to notice a sign/symptom that has not otherwise been raised by a patient. Indeed, in a recent service evaluation in Bath, involving interviews with rheumatology patients and clinicians at the RNHRD, the importance of in-person interaction for reassurance was highlighted (both for patients that they have been assessed holistically and for staff that they haven't missed key signs of disease progression) and to build patient trust in what is going to be a long-term therapeutic relationship.

While digital interventions such as virtual rehabilitation potentially offer an array of benefits in terms of accessibility, relieving pressure on health services, and economic implications, digital exclusion is another key factor that must be considered. The term digital exclusion refers to those who lack the access, capacity, skills, motivation and/or trust to confidently go online (31). Indeed, digital exclusion exists at the intersection of multiple inequalities – whereby studies have shown that non-users of the internet, devices and online services are increasingly in vulnerable groups and may be older, less educated, and more likely to be unemployed, disabled or socially isolated (32). In a recent study of 548 rheumatologists from 64 countries, although 82% of rheumatologists had switched to telehealth video during

the pandemic, 17% estimated that approximately a quarter of patients did not have access to telehealth video – especially those patients living below the poverty line (33). Respondents expressed a concern for these more socially and economically vulnerable patients – whereby wide implementation of telehealth could further widen existing health inequalities and differences in health literacy. During the pandemic, disease-modifying antirheumatic drug interruption without recommendation by a physician was also shown to be associated with lower socioeconomic status (34). The identification of vulnerable patients at risk of digital exclusion should be considered when beginning to implement telehealth. These patients should perhaps be prioritised for in-person/face-to-face healthcare delivery. Although, in the context of rehabilitation, for individuals who may be more economically vulnerable and unable to take considerable time off work for an immersive rehabilitation programme such as the 2-week course at the RNHRD, an online course to complete around other commitments may be preferable, if provided with the appropriate resources and support.

Other considerations are provision of digital education and optimisation of health services, which will be critical for suitable implementation. In a recent survey of patients and clinicians, although over 70% of patients and rheumatologists believed that digital health applications were useful in the management of RMDs, patients and rheumatologists respectively highlighted lack of information on suitable applications (58.5% of patients; 41.9% of rheumatologists) and poor usability (42.1% of patients) as key barriers to implementation (35). Rheumatologists also highlighted the importance of research evidence to support the implementation of such digital services. In the UK, a survey study of axSpA patients and rheumatologists during the pandemic highlighted some key areas requiring urgent attention: including upskilling of digital service provision (embedding good digital

practice) and addressing gaps in digital infrastructure and staff skills (15). For example, in terms of patient coding, just 58% of healthcare professionals surveyed in the aforementioned study were able to identify the cohort of patients at high-risk of COVID-19 under their care in 2-weeks or less. Furthermore, 10% of respondents were still unable to identify high-risk patients 4-months after shielding guidance was first issued by the UK government. Coding challenges were often the cause for these delays and huge variation in times to identify high-risk patients. Interestingly, similar coding concerns throughout other Rheumatology services prompted in Leeds, development of a strategy to communicate with patients online and enable them to self-assess their COVID-19 risk (36). The authors described the flexibility and agility of the UK NHS to introduce drastic change rapidly when pressured to such an unprecedented scale. In addition to describing the encouraging level of engagement of patients when it came to self-assessment/self-education.

# Conclusion

Physical therapy and rehabilitation are key in the management of axSpA. Despite the challenges faced during the pandemic, it has also fostered an environment for adaptation and development of creative solutions to provide continued care. Indeed, all services have been tested, and as such have been propelled into a new era of digital service provision. We have witnessed the launch of online virtual physical therapy and education, in addition to an emphasis on remote monitoring. Not only has this provided a temporary stop-gap in treatment for some patients, but in future may allow for a wider reach/ provision of care and resilience of vital services. Unique collaboration between patients, healthcare professionals and researchers will be key to foster relationships/ trust and facilitate wider evaluation and implementation of digital services at each stage in a patient's journey – from

diagnosis to rehabilitation and long-term condition management - imperative in order to relieve pressure from healthcare providers. Despite the potential of such digital interventions, it is important to highlight the maintained critical need for face-to-face services – particularly during diagnosis or during a flare of symptoms. We must ensure that digital interventions are evaluated rigorously before widespread implementation in clinical practice. It is also vital that we remain vigilant regarding digital exclusion and that we avoid a further widening of existing health inequalities. Optimisation of digital infrastructure, staff skills and digital education alongside promoting accessibility, engagement and building trust among communities will be vital as we enter this new age of blended in-person/ digital service provision.

### References

1. Martey C, Sengupta R. Physical therapy in axial spondyloarthritis: guidelines, evidence and clinical practice. Current Opinion in Rheumatology. 2020;32(4).

2. Perrotta FM, Musto A, Lubrano E. New Insights in Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation in Axial Spondyloarthritis: A Review. Rheumatology and therapy. 2019;6(4):479-86.

3. Pécourneau V, Degboé Y, Barnetche T, Cantagrel A, Constantin A, Ruyssen-Witrand A. Effectiveness of exercise programs in ankylosing spondylitis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation. 2018;99(2):383-9. e1.

4. Zão A, Cantista P. The role of land and aquatic exercise in ankylosing spondylitis: a systematic review. Rheumatology international. 2017;37(12):1979-90.

5. Osthoff A-KR, Niedermann K, Braun J, Adams J, Brodin N, Dagfinrud H, et al. 2018 EULAR recommendations for physical activity in people with inflammatory arthritis and osteoarthritis. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2018;77(9):1251-60.

6. Sveaas SH, Smedslund G, Hagen KB, Dagfinrud H. Effect of cardiorespiratory and strength exercises on disease activity in patients with inflammatory rheumatic diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. British journal of sports medicine. 2017;51(14):1065-72.

 Coulter EH, McDonald MT, Cameron S, Siebert S, Paul L. Physical activity and sedentary behaviour and their associations with clinical measures in axial spondyloarthritis. Rheumatol Int. 2020;40(3):375-81.

8. Sveaas SH, Bilberg A, Berg IJ, Provan SA, Rollefstad S, Semb AG, et al. High intensity exercise for 3 months reduces disease activity in axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA): a multicentre randomised trial of 100 patients. Br J Sports Med. 2020;54(5):292-7.

van der Heijde D, Ramiro S, Landewé R, Baraliakos X, Van den Bosch F, Sepriano A, et al.
 2016 update of the ASAS-EULAR management recommendations for axial spondyloarthritis. Ann
 Rheum Dis. 2017;76(6):978-91.

10. Perrotta FM, Lories R, Lubrano E. To move or not to move: the paradoxical effect of physical exercise in axial spondyloarthritis. RMD Open. 2021;7(1).

11. Dagfinrud H, Hagen KB, Kvien TK. Physiotherapy interventions for ankylosing spondylitis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2008(1).

12. Kasapoglu Aksoy M, Birtane M, Taştekin N, Ekuklu G. The Effectiveness of Structured Group Education on Ankylosing Spondylitis Patients. J Clin Rheumatol. 2017;23(3):138-43.

13. Hilberdink B, van der Giesen F, Vliet Vlieland T, van Gaalen F, van Weely S. Supervised Group Exercise in Axial Spondyloarthritis: Patients' Satisfaction and Perspective on Evidence-Based Enhancements. Arthritis Care & Research. 2020;72(6):829-37.

Lubrano E, D'Angelo S, Spadaro A, Palazzi C, Olivieri I. Rehabilitation for Ankylosing
 Spondylitis in the Era of Biologics: Any Room Left for This Treatment? The Journal of Rheumatology.
 2011;38(7):1228-30.

15. Marzo-Ortega H, Whalley S, Hamilton J, Webb D. COVID-19 in axial spondyloarthritis care provision: helping to straighten the long and winding road. The Lancet Rheumatology. 2021;3(1):e11-e3.

Garrido-Cumbrera M M-OH, Correa-Fernández J, Sanz-Gomez S, Christen L, Navarro-Compán
 V. Assessment of the COVID-19 Pandemic from the Perspective of People with Rheumatic
 Musculoskeletal Diseases in Europe. Preliminary Results from the REUMAVID Study [abstract].
 Arthritis Rheumatol. 2020;72.

17. Garrido-Cumbrera M, Marzo-Ortega H, Christen L, Plazuelo-Ramos P, Webb D, Jacklin C, et al. Assessment of impact of the COVID-19 pandemic from the perspective of patients with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases in Europe: results from the REUMAVID study (phase 1). RMD Open. 2021;7(1):e001546.

18. Maldonado D, Tu E, Mahmood S, Wahezi D, Darapaneni R, Sima N, et al. Medication access difficulty and COVID-related distress are associated with disease flares in rheumatology patients during the COVID-19 pandemic. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2020.

19. Maldonado D, Tu E, Mahmood SN, Wahezi DM, Darapaneni R, Sima N, et al. Association of Medication Access Difficulty and COVID-19-Related Distress With Disease Flares in Rheumatology Patients During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2021;73(8):1162-70.

20. Band DA, Jones SD, Kennedy LG, Garrett SL, Porter J, Gay L, et al. Which patients with ankylosing spondylitis derive most benefit from an inpatient management program? J Rheumatol. 1997;24(12):2381-4.

21. Barnett R, McGrogan A, Young M, Cavill C, Freeth M, Sengupta R, editors. Long-term improvement in axial spondyloarthritis clinical outcomes following 2-weeks intensive education and rehabilitation: Results from the Bath residential rehabilitation programme. British Society for Rheumatology Conference; 2021: Rheumatology.

22. Ryan RM, Deci EL. Brick by brick: The origins, development, and future of self-determination theory. Advances in Motivation Science: Elsevier; 2019. p. 115-56.

23. National Axial Spondyloarthritis Society. Facebook Live Legacy Materials [Accessed 30th March 2021] 2021 [Available from:

https://business.facebook.com/pg/NationalAxialSpondyloarthritisSociety/videos/?ref=page\_internal].

24. Project Nightingale Blog. Participant experience [Accessed 28th March 2021] 2019 [Available from: <a href="https://www.projectnightingale.org/blogs/participant-experience-of-project-nightingale/">https://www.projectnightingale.org/blogs/participant-experience-of-project-nightingale/</a>].

25. Turolla A, Rossettini G, Viceconti A, Palese A, Geri T. Musculoskeletal Physical Therapy During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Is Telerehabilitation the Answer? Physical therapy.

2020;100(8):1260-4.

26. Cottrell MA, Galea OA, O'Leary SP, Hill AJ, Russell TG. Real-time telerehabilitation for the treatment of musculoskeletal conditions is effective and comparable to standard practice: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Rehabil. 2017;31(5):625-38.

27. MacIver A, Hollinger H, Carolan C. Tele-health interventions to support self-management in adults with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review. Rheumatology international. 2021;41(8):1399-418.

28. Seron P, Oliveros M-J, Gutierrez-Arias R, Fuentes-Aspe R, Torres-Castro RC, Merino-Osorio C, et al. Effectiveness of Telerehabilitation in Physical Therapy: A Rapid Overview. Physical therapy. 2021;101(6):pzab053.

29. Paul L, Coulter EH, Cameron S, McDonald MT, Brandon M, Cook D, et al. Web-based physiotherapy for people with axial spondyloarthritis (WEBPASS) – a study protocol. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders. 2016;17(1):360.

30. Grant A. There's a Name for the Blah You're Feeling: It's Called Languishing [Accessed 5th August 2021]: The New York Times; 2021 [Available from:

https://www.nytimes.com/2021/04/19/well/mind/covid-mental-health-languishing.html.

31. Schejter A, Ben Harush OR, Tirosh N, editors. Re-theorizing the "digital divide": Identifying dimensions of social exclusion in contemporary media technologies. FACE Conference: European Media Policy 2015: New Contexts, New Approaches; 2015.

32. Helsper EJ, Reisdorf BC. The emergence of a "digital underclass" in Great Britain and Sweden: Changing reasons for digital exclusion. New Media & Society. 2016;19(8):1253-70.

33. Mehta B, Jannat-Khah D, Fontana MA, Moezinia CJ, Mancuso CA, Bass AR, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on vulnerable patients with rheumatic disease: results of a worldwide survey. RMD Open. 2020;6(3).

34. George MD, Venkatachalam S, Banerjee S, Baker JF, Merkel PA, Gavigan K, et al. Concerns, Healthcare Use, and Treatment Interruptions in Patients With Common Autoimmune Rheumatic Diseases During the COVID-19 Pandemic. J Rheumatol. 2020.

35. Kernder A, Morf H, Klemm P, Vossen D, Haase I, Mucke J, et al. Digital rheumatology in the era of COVID-19: results of a national patient and physician survey. RMD Open. 2021;7(1):e001548.
36. Marzo-Ortega H, Tan AL, Bissell L-A, Morgan AW, Vandevelde C, Vital EM, et al. Self-risk assessment for patients with rheumatic disease during the COVID-19 pandemic. Lancet Rheumatol. 2020;2(7):e386-e7.