Original Research Article

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2320-6012.ijrms20204895

Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health of general population in Kashmir Valley, India

Feroz Ahmad Wani^{1*}, Rifat Jan¹, Mudasir Ahmad²

¹Department of Community Medicine, Government Medical College Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Received: 01 September 2020 **Accepted:** O6 October 2020

*Correspondence: Dr. Feroz Ahmad Wani, E-mail: drferoz47@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: The high morbidity and morbidity associated with epidemics and disasters and economic losses thereof is a high psychosocial risk. Estimation of the burden of mental health issues and recognition of various risk groups will lead to better allocation of resources to prevent the increased burden of psychiatric morbidity during the epidemic.

Methods: This was an online survey conducted during COVID-19 pandemic based on self reporting DASS 21 scale. **Results**: Among the participants 49.5% had depression, 34.8% had anxiety and 22.3% had stress in varying severity from mild to extremely severe forms. Female gender, less age, high level of education and unemployment were the risk factors for increased psychiatric morbidity.

Conclusions: There is a dire need to recognize the mental health threat due to COCID-19 pandemic and implement the steps to protect the vulnerable population from it.

Keywords: COVID-19, Mental health, Risk factors

INTRODUCTION

The emergence of Coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) and the pandemic thereof has lead to major health and economic crisis in the world. The mortality and morbidity has also increased as the disease is spreading at a rapid pace. To curtail the spread of COVID-19 stringent public health measures have been implemented worldwide. These include complete lockdown, social distancing, ban on travel and quarantine of travellers and suspects.

The increasing number of cases worldwide, public worry about becoming infected, threat of unknown outcome of the infection, many myths, misunderstanding and misinformation about the disease and non availability of specific treatment have increased or precipitated the

mental health issues like anxiety, stress and depression among the general population. Further various efforts like social distancing and lockdowns to decrease the spread of the Coronavirus has its impact on health in general and mental health in particular. WHO has also stressed upon identifying the underlying drivers of fear, anxiety and stigma spread through social media which fuels misinformation.¹

Major disasters are almost always accompanied by various mental health disorders besides domestic violence, and child abuse.² Epidemics of infectious disease are also associated with psychological distress and symptoms of mental illness.³ The SARS epidemic of 2003 was also associated with increased mental health issues.⁴ The increasing mental health issues during the

²Department of Paediatric Cardiology, Fortis Escorts Heart Institute, New Delhi, India

COVID-19 pandemic have been reported by many authors.⁵⁻⁸ These may include depression, anxiety, panic disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and others.^{9,10} As is evident COVID-19 pandemic is going to substantially increases anxiety, stress and depression but the literature on the mental health consequences of it is sparse. Hence we conducted this study to further document the impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health so that various majors are taken to mitigate its burden.

METHODS

Current cross-sectional study was conducted online using Google forms app. The study was conducted at Government medical college Srinagar in May 2020 in Kashmir division of Jammu and Kashmir, India. The citizens aged 15 years and above were invited to participate in this online survey.¹¹

A self reporting scale depression anxiety stress scale (DASS 21) was entered in Google forms app and circulated through social media cites. The DASS-21 is a well-established self reporting instrument for measuring depression, anxiety, and stress. It is a set of three self report scales designed to measure the emotional states of depression, anxiety and stress in the week before. Overall there are 21 questions in the instrument with a 4-point, Likert-type response scale. Each of the three subscale contains 7 questions scored from 0-3. The scores for depression, anxiety and stress are calculated by summing the scores for the relevant items and need to be multiplied by 2 to get the final score. The cut-off score recommended for conventional severity labels are mentioned in (Table 1).

Table 1: Cut off score recommended for conventional severity.

	Cut off score					
Severity	Depression Anxiety Stress					
Normal	0-9	0-7	0-14			
Mild	10-13	8-9	15-18			
Moderate	14-20	10-14	19-25			
Severe	21-27	15-19	26-33			
Extremely severe	28+	20+	34+			

In total 287 participants took part in the survey. A written consent in the first section of online survey was given to all participants before filling the questionnaire.

RESULTS

General characteristics of the study population are shown in table 1. Out of 287 participants 138 (28.1%) were male and 149 (51.9%) were females. 157 (54.7%) belonged to rural areas and 130 (45.3%) were living in urban areas. Only 48 (16.7%) had education level below graduation while as majority 239 (83.3%) of the participants had education level graduation or above (Table 2). Of the total participants 136 (47.4%) were employed.

Table 2: General characteristics of the study population.

Characteristics		Frequency	Percent			
Gender	Male	138	48.1			
Gender	Female	149	51.9			
	Up to 30	211	73.5			
A ()	>30	76	26.5			
Age (years)	Mean ±SD (27.35±7.812), Range (15-58)					
Residence	Rural	157	54.7			
Residence	Urban	130	45.3			
Educational qualification	High/higher sec. school	48	16.7			
	Graduate or higher	239	83.3			
Employment	Unemployed	151	52.6			
	Employed	136	47.4			
Total		287	100.0			

Out of the total participants mild forms of depression, anxiety and stress were present in 19.9%, 9.8% and 11.8% respectively. Moderate forms of depression, anxiety and stress were present in 19.2%, 16.7% and 7.0% respectively. Severe forms depression, anxiety and stress were present in 8.4%, 5.6% and 3.5% respectively. Extremely severe forms of depression, anxiety and stress were present in 2.1%, 2.8% and 0.0% respectively. Thus in overall 49.5% had depression, 34.8% had anxiety and 22.3% had stress in varying severity (Table 3).

Table 3: Prevalence of mental health issues as per DAS 21 scale.

Severity	Depression		Anxiety	Anxiety		Stress	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent	
Normal	145	50.5	187	65.2	223	77.7	
Mild	57	19.9	28	9.8	34	11.8	
Moderate	55	19.2	48	16.7	20	7.0	
Severe	24	8.4	16	5.6	10	3.5	
Extremely severe	6	2.1	8	2.8	0	0.0	
Total	287	100.0	287	100.0	287	100.0	

The relationship between general characteristics of the participants and depression is depicted in (Table 4). The features of depression were higher in females, age group up to 30 years, people living in urban areas, among participants with more education level and in those who

were unemployed. However the difference was statistically significant with respect to age group only. Participants with age up to 30 year had odds of 2.749 (95% CI=1.578-4.787) of being depressed than those with age >30 years.

Table 4: General characteristics vs. depression.

Variables		Depression N (%)	Total	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value
Gender	Female	82 (55.0)	149	1.591	0.050
Genuer	Male	60 (43.5)	138	(0.998-2.536)	0.030
Ago (voorg)	Up to 30	118 (55.9)	211	2.749	0.000
Age (years)	>30	24 (31.6)	76	(1.578-4.787)	0.000
Residence	Rural	75 (47.8)	157	0.860	0.525
Residence	Urban	67 (51.5)	130	(0.540-1.369)	
Educational	Postgraduate or higher	120 (50.2)	239	1.192	0.500
qualification	High/ higher sec. school	22 (45.8)	48	(0.640-2.219)	0.580
Employment	Unemployed	82 (54.3)	151	1.505	0.084
	Employed	60 (44.1)	136	(0.944-2.398)	0.084

Table 5: General characteristics vs. anxiety.

Variables		Anxiety N (%)	Total	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value
C 1	Female	52 (34.9)	149	1.005	0.983
Gender	Male	48 (34.8)	138	(0.618-1.634)	0.983
A ga (yaawa)	Up to 30	80 (37.9)	211	1.709	0.069
Age (years)	>30	20 (26.3)	76	(0.956-3.058)	0.068
Residence	Rural	49 (31.2)	157	0.702	0.156
	Urban	51 (39.2)	130	(0.431-1.144)	0.130
Educational	Postgraduate or higher	93 (38.9)	239	3.730	0.001
qualification	High/ higher sec. school	7 (14.6)	48	(1.606-8.665)	0.001
Employment	Unemployed	54 (35.8)	151	1.089	0.730
	Employed	46 (33.8)	136	(0.669-1.772)	

Table 6: General characteristics vs. stress.

Variables		Stress N (%)	Total	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value
C 1	Female	32 (21.5)	149	0.906	0.727
Gender	Male	32 (23.2)	138	(0.519-1.579)	0.727
A co (voora)	Up to 30	52 (24.6)	211	1.744	0.112
Age (years)	>30	12 (15.8)	76	(0.873-3.483)	0.112
Residence	Rural	35 (22.3)	157	0.999	1.000
Residence	Urban	29 (22.3)	130	(0.571-1.746)	1.000
Educational	Postgraduate or higher	59 (24.7)	239	2.818	0.030
qualification	High/ higher sec. school	5 (10.4)	48	(1.066-7.448)	0.030
Employment	Unemployed	36 (23.8)	151	1.207	0.508
	Employed	28 (20.6)	136	(0.690-2.112)	0.508

Anxiety was found to be higher in participants with age up to 30 years, living in urban areas, having higher level of education and in the unemployed. The difference was statistically significant for literacy and the participants with postgraduate or higher qualification had odds of 3.730 (95% CI=1.606-8.665) of having anxiety than those with only high/ higher secondary school education (Table 5).

The results (Table 6) showed that stress was higher among males, participants with age up to 30 years, those living in urban areas, having higher level of education and among unemployed participants. Again the risk of having stress was higher (2.818; 95% CI=1.066-7.448) among participants with postgraduate or higher education level and the difference was found to be statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

This cross sectional study was conducted among general population of Kashmir Valley, India in May 2020 to study the mental health impact of COVID-19 pandemic lockdown using a self reporting scale DASS 21. A total of 287 responded to our request for participation in the study of which 138 (28.1%) were males and 149 (51.9%) were females. 157 (54.7%) belonged to rural areas and 130 (45.3%) were living in urban areas. Majority 239 (83.3%) of the participants had education level graduation or above and 136 (47.4%) were employed.

Prevalence of mental health issues

In our study we found that the prevalence of mental health issues was high. In overall 49.5% had depression, 34.8% had anxiety and 22.3% had stress in varying from mild to extremely severe forms. Of the total participants mild forms of depression, anxiety and stress were present in 19.9%, 9.8% and 11.8% respectively. Moderate forms of depression, anxiety and stress were present in 19.2%, 16.7% and 7.0% respectively. Severe forms of depression, anxiety and stress were present in 8.4%, 5.6% and 3.5% respectively. Extremely severe forms of depression, anxiety and stress were present in 2.1%, 2.8% and 0.0% respectively. It is well documented that epidemics in past have lead to increase in mental health issues to varied extent. One study on impact of SARS 2003 on the mental health in Taiwan has found 4% prevalence of depression at the end of epidemic and another study from the same region revealed that the 12% had psychiatric morbidity after SARS 2003. 13,14 Similarly H1N1 2009 pandemic was associated with mental distress not only among family members of hospitalized patients of H1N1 and healthcare workers but also with general population.¹⁵ During the current pandemic of COVID 19 a study from China found that individuals without prior psychiatric disease had high risk for insomnia, depression and obsessive-compulsive symptoms. 16 In consistent to our study a study from Spain revealed that severe and extremely severe levels of stress, anxiety, and depression were lower.¹⁷ However higher mean levels of psychiatric problems were observed in later phase of epidemic when there was complete lockdown for prolonged period all over the World. A study done later from China also found that the prevalence was higher with respect to depression (48.3%), anxiety (22.6%) and combination of depression and anxiety (CDA) (19.4%) during COVID-19 in Wuhan, China.

Socio-demographic characteristics

In our study we found that psychiatric morbidity was higher among females, in younger age group, participants from urban areas, among participants with more education level and in those who were unemployed (Table 3, Table 4 and Table 5). Participants with age up to 30 year had odds of 2.749 (95% CI=1.578-4.787) of being depressed than those with age >30 years and the participants with postgraduate or higher qualification had odds of 3.730 (95% CI=1.606-8.665) of having anxiety than those with only high/higher secondary school education. Again the risk of having stress was higher (2.818; 95% CI=1.066-7.448) among participants with postgraduate or higher education level.

In accordance to our study anxiety and depression symptoms were higher in Chinese women during the COVID-19 pandemic.^{20,21} Our findings demonstrate that higher level of education were associated with more psychiatric symptomatology which is also in accordance with the study among Chinese individuals conducted by Qiu et al.²² This can be due to the reason that more educated people are more aware of the risks associated with COVID-19 pandemic. However, a study done earlier does not support our results.¹⁸

Study on mental health during COVID-19 outbreak in China reported that younger Chinese participants (12 to 21 years), mostly students are more affected by the pandemic in comparison to older adults which is in accordance to our study.²³ Depression and anxiety levels were also higher in individuals under 35 years of age in Huang Y et al study.²⁴ Another research from China reported less distress in participants under 18 years of age and more distress for participants with age 18-30 years which is contrary to our findings.²² However our study only included individuals with age 15 years or more. In another study they found that there were higher mean levels of stress, anxiety, and depression in the 18-25 year age bracket as compared to 26-60 year bracket and these levels were lowest in individuals 61 years and older.¹⁷ This can be due to the reason that younger people are more likely to have additional stress due to more exposure to social media, stress due to examinations and more unemployment.

A multicentric study confirms a decreased psychiatric morbidity risk for married, higher income group, age group (60 years and above), higher educational level (University), male gender and among employed which is in consistent to our findings.²⁵

CONCLUSION

We conclude that the prevalence of psychiatric morbidity is very high in our study population. There is a need for early strategies besides programs and mental health policy during the COVID-19 pandemic to mitigate its effects.

Funding: No funding sources Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the

Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

- WHO, COVID 2019 PHEIC global research and innovation forum: towards a research roadmap. Available at: https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/ 12-02-2020-world-experts-and-funders-set-prioritiesfor-covid-19-research. Accessed on 27 February 2020.
- 2. Neria Y, Nandi A, Galea S. Post-traumatic stress disorder following disasters: a systematic review. Psychol Med. 2008;38(4):467-80.
- 3. Bao Y, Sun Y, Meng S, Shi J, Lu L. 2019-nCoV epidemic: address mental health care to empower society. Lancet. 2020;22(395):e37-8.
- Lee AM, Wong JG, McAlonan GM, Cheung V, Cheung C, Sham PC, et al. Stress and psychological distress among SARS survivors 1 year after the outbreak. Can J Psychiatry. 2007;52:233-40.
- 5. Duan L, Zhu G. Psychological interventions for people affected by the COVID-19 epidemic. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(4):300-2.
- 6. Chen Q, Liang M, Li Y, Guo J, Fei D, Wang L, et al. Mental health care for medical staff in China during the COVID-19 outbreak. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7 (4):e15-6.
- 7. Liem A, Wang C, Wariyanti Y, Latkin CA, Hall BJ. The neglected health of international migrant workers in the COVID-19 epidemic. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(4):e20.
- 8. Yang Y, Li W, Zhang Q, Zhang L, Cheung T, Xiang YT. Mental health services for older adults in China during the COVID-19 outbreak. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020a;7(4):e19.
- Goyal K, Chauhan P, Chhikara K, Gupta P, Singh MP. Fear of COVID 2019: first suicidal case in India. Asian J Psychiatry. 2020;49:e101989.
- 10. Yi Y, Lagniton PN, Ye S, Li E, Xu RH, Zhong BL, et al. COVID-19: what has been learned and to be learned about the novel coronavirus disease. Int J Biol Sci. 2020;16(10):1753-66.
- 11. Online survey. Available at: https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLScK7fFKkDIgrdzYUbMlZZhNTyt_Hb4DbsMD8RGHFeozj6ajqw/viewform?usp=sf_link. Accessed on 31 August 2020.
- 12. Lovibond PF, Lovibond SH. The structure of negative emotional states: comparison of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS) with the

- Beck depression and anxiety inventories. Behav Res Ther. 1995;33(3):335-43.
- 13. Ko CH, Yen CF, Yen JY, Yang MJ. Psychosocial impact among the public of the severe acute respiratory syndrome epidemic in Taiwan. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2006;60:397-403.
- 14. Peng EY, Lee MB, Tsai ST, Yang CC, Morisky DE, Tsai LT, et al. Population-based post-crisis psychological distress: an example from the SARS outbreak in Taiwan. J Formos Med Assoc. 2010;109: 524-32.
- 15. Elizarraras-Rivas J, Vargas-Mendoza JE, Mayoral-Garcia M, Matadamas-Zarate C, Elizarrarás-Cruz A, Taylor M, et al. Psychological response of family members of patients hospitalized for influenza A/H1N1 in Oaxaca, Mexico. BMC Psychiatry. 2010; 10:104.
- Zhang W, Wang K, Yin L, Zhao W, Xue Q, Peng M, et al. Mental health and psychosocial problems of medical health workers during the COVID-19 epidemic in China. Psychother Psychosom. 2020;89: 242-50.
- 17. Ozamiz-Etxebarria N, Dosil-Santamaria M, Picaza-Gorrochategui M, Idoiaga-Mondragon N. Stress, anxiety, and depression levels in the initial stage of the COVID-19 outbreak in a population sample in the northern Spain. Cad. Saúde Pública. 2020; 36(4):e00054020.
- 18. Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. Lancet. 2020;395:912-20.
- 19. Gao J, Zheng P, Jia Y, Chen H, Mao Y, Chen S, et al. Mental health problems and social media exposure during COVID-19 outbreak. PLoS ONE. 2020;15(4):e0231924.
- 20. Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, Cai Z, Hu J, Wei N, et al. Factors associated with mental health outcomes among health care workers exposed to Coronavirus disease 2019. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(3): e203976.
- Liu N, Zhang F, Wei C, Jia Y, Shang Z, Sun L, et al. Prevalence and predictors of PTSS during COVID-19 outbreak in China hardest-hit areas: Gender differences matter. Psychiatry Res. 2020;287: 112921.
- 22. Qiu J, Shen B, Zhao M, Wang Z, Xie B, Xu Y. A nationwide survey of psychological distress among Chinese people in the COVID-19 epidemic: implications and policy recommendations. Gen. Psychiatry. 2020;33:e100213.
- 23. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, McIntyre RS, et al. A longitudinal study on the mental health of general population during the COVID-19 epidemic in China. Brain Behav Immun. 2020;87:40-8.
- 24. Huang Y, Zhao N. Mental health burden for the public affected by the COVID-19 outbreak in China: Who will be the high-risk group? Psychol. Health Med. 2020;25:1-12.

25. Chabaud F, Benradia I, Bouet R, Caria A, Roelandt JL. Sociodemographic and mental health risk factors: global and local conditions according to the survey results "mental health in the general population" in 18 International locations. Encephale. 2017;43(6):540-57.

Cite this article as: Wani FA, Jan R, Ahmad M. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health of general population in Kashmir Valley, India. Int J Res Med Sci 2020;8:4011-6.