

Original Research Article

Comparative study of fentanyl versus tramadol as adjuvant with low dose local anaesthetic ropivacaine (0.1%) for epidural labour analgesia

Aman Choudhary^{1*}, Sakshi Maheshwari², Naveen Paliwal¹, Shobha Ujwal¹, Geeta Singariya¹

¹Department of Anaesthesia, Dr. SN Medical College, Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India

²Department of Anaesthesia, Care Hospital, Musheerabad, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Received: 12 August 2020

Accepted: 14 August 2020

*Correspondence:

Dr. Aman Choudhary,

E-mail: dr.amanchoudhary@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Toxonomy committee of International Association defined pain as an unpleasant emotional and sensory experience associated with potential tissue damage. The present study was conducted to evaluate the effect of a combination of low dose ropivacaine with fentanyl and tramadol in epidural labour analgesia.

Methods: This prospective randomized double blinded clinical study was conducted in 100 patients in labour after ethical committee approval. Inclusion criteria was patients who had ASA I and ASA II (American society of anesthesiologists physical status classification system), age above 18 years, height more than 150 cm, weight less than 110 kg, either primigravidae or gravid 2. Patients were allocated into two groups Group F (ropivacaine with fentanyl) and group T (ropivacaine with tramadol) by computer generated randomisation technique.

Results: In the present study, mean age in group F (ropivacaine with fentanyl) was 22.54 ± 2.5 , mean age in group T (ropivacaine with tramadol) was 22.86 ± 2.17 , and weight in group F was 56.68 ± 2.75 and group T was 56.58 ± 2.58 . Duration of labour in group F was 3.39 ± 1.01 hrs and in group T was 3.42 ± 0.70 hrs. There was no significant difference between the two groups at any time points for mean VAS score. There was no significant difference in the mean heart rate and arterial blood pressure among both the groups statistically ($p > 0.05$). More side effects were seen in group F.

Conclusions: Both fentanyl and tramadol in combination with ropivacaine provide similar analgesia with minimal motor block. Both have no adverse effects on cardiocographic parameters. However side effects were relatively more common in fentanyl group. Thus tramadol is a safer alternative to fentanyl as an adjunct to epidural labour analgesia.

Keywords: Epidural labour analgesia, Fentanyl, Tramadol, Ropivacaine

INTRODUCTION

The delivery of the infant into the arms of a conscious and pain free mother is one of the most exciting and rewarding moments in medicine. Severe labour pain can have neuropsychological consequences, postnatal depression and it has also been correlated with the development of post-traumatic stress disorder.^{1,2}

Pathophysiological responses occur in the body during pain. In the respiratory system there is hyperventilation

during contraction, increasing the work of breathing and oxygen consumption.³ Effective pain relief reduces plasma noradrenaline, prevents the rise during first and second stage of labour, prevents metabolic acidosis by reducing the rate of rise of lactate and pyruvate.^{4,5}

Bupivacaine and ropivacaine are widely used to provide efficient epidural analgesia in labour. Ropivacaine, an amide local anaesthetic is less cardiotoxic as well as it may also be more selective for sensory fibers when compared to other local anaesthetics, producing less motor block.

Epidural opioids ensure analgesia without a motor block; however, when they are used alone, they do not lead to a satisfactory analgesia throughout labour. When the two drugs are combined, both the local anaesthetic and opioids can be administered at low concentrations, resulting in increased maternal satisfaction and most importantly, a decrease in the incidence of adverse effects such as hypotension and drug toxicity.⁶ The absence of the neuronal toxicity of tramadol and fentanyl allowed its use in neuraxial analgesia.⁷

The current study was planned to compare epidural analgesia using low dose ropivacaine with fentanyl and tramadol as adjuvant for labour with respect to maternal and foetal parameters.

METHODS

This was a prospective randomized double blinded clinical study conducted after institutional ethical committee approval at SNMC, Jodhpur from January 2015 to December 2015. The study comprised of 100 patients in labour. Inclusion criteria was patients who had ASA I and ASA II (American society of anesthesiologists physical status classification system), age above 18 years, height more than 150 cm, weight less than 110 kg, either primigravidae or gravid 2. An informed consent was obtained from all patients. 100 patients were allocated into two groups Group F (ropivacaine with fentanyl) and group T (ropivacaine with tramadol) by computer generated randomisation technique.

A detailed history was taken and a complete general physical examination including airway assessment, spine and systemic examination was done to confirm the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The parturients were evaluated by the obstetrician for cervical dilatation, effacement, position, station, integrity of membranes and adequacy of pelvis.

Baseline recording of heart rate, blood pressure and oxygen saturation and fetal heart rate (FHR) were noted. An intravenous (IV) line was accessed and maintenance fluid started. The patient was positioned in a sitting position. Under aseptic conditions the back was prepared with 5% povidone iodine solution and spirit. The L2-L3/L3-L4 interspace was identified by palpation and overlying skin was infiltrated with 2-3 ml of xylocaine (1%). Epidural space was identified with the help of 18 G tuohy needle using loss of resistance (LOR) to air technique and then 20 G epidural catheter was threaded through the epidural needle into the epidural space in cephalic direction, the catheter about 3 to 5 cm was left in epidural space.

10 ml epidural bolus dose was administered according to the group selected viz group F- ropivacaine 0.1% and 2 mcg/ml of fentanyl and group T- ropivacaine 0.1% and 5 mg/ml of tramadol. After the administration of bolus dose, an epidural infusion of ropivacaine/fentanyl (0.1%/2

mcg/ml) was started at the rate of 5 ml/hr by syringe pump in group F. Similarly, group T received a 5 ml/hr continuous infusion of ropivacaine/tramadol (0.1%/5 ml). Supplemental doses of 5 ml ropivacaine (0.1%) were administered on demand in each group. Epidural infusion was continued till the completion of second stage of labour.

Demographic data, haemodynamic effects, sensory block, motor block, onset of analgesia, duration of labour, mode of delivery, pain relief maternal satisfaction, side effects and foetal outcome were noted in both the groups. All the data was expressed as frequency, percentages and mean \pm standard deviation. Qualitative data between the two groups was compared using a chi-square test. Continuous variables between the groups were compared using an independent t-test. P values <0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

Mean age, height, weight, cervical dilatation during epidural placement and gestational age in both the group were similar and non-significant. Group F and group T were similar with respect with to age of the parturient. ($p>0.05$) The mean age in group F was 22.54 ± 2.5 years and group T was 22.86 ± 2.17 years (Table 1).

Height of parturient in this study ranged from 152 cm to 163 cm in both the groups. The mean height in group F was 157.22 ± 2.63 cm and 156.76 ± 3.05 cm in group T. The p value was >0.05 . The results were not statistically significant. Most of the parturient weighed between 50-60 kgs in the two groups. The P value was >0.05 which was not significant.

Around half (48%) of parturient in group F and 38% of parturient in group T had cervical dilatation of 5 cm while 36% in group F and 42% in group T had cervical dilatation of 4 cm. P value was >0.05 and was not statistically significant.

Duration of labour in group F the mean duration of first stage of labour was 2.60 ± 0.87 hours while in group T the mean was 2.67 ± 0.56 hours. The difference between the two groups was not statistically insignificant (Table 2).

Basal visual analogue scale (VAS) of parturient was comparable in the two groups with p value >0.05 which was statistically not significant. There was no significant difference between the two groups at any time points (Table 3).

Side effects between the two groups showed that more side effects were seen in group F i.e. fentanyl along with ropivacaine (Table 4).

There was no significant difference in the mean heart rate and mean arterial blood pressure at any time interval among both the groups ($p>0.05$) (Figure 1 and 2).

Table 1: Demographic profile of both the groups.

	Group F	Group T	P value
Age (years)	22.54±2.5	22.86±2.17	>0.05
Height (cms)	157.22±2.63	156.76±3.05	>0.05
Weight (kg)	56.68±2.75	56.58±2.58	>0.05
Cervical dilatation during epidural placement (cms)	4.32±0.74	4.18±0.74	>0.05
Gestational age (weeks)	38.3±0.93	38.18±0.94	>0.05

Table 2: Duration of labour and requirement of ropivacaine in both the groups.

Duration	Group F	Group T	P value
First stage (hours)	2.60±0.87	2.67±0.56	>0.05
Second stage (min)	47.08±17.0	45.34±14.01	>0.05
Total (hours)	3.39±1.01	3.42±0.70	>0.05
Total dose of ropivacaine (mg)	40.05±8.47	42.07±7.77	>0.05




Figure 1: Comparison of heart rate in both groups at different time interval.




Figure 2: Comparison of mean arterial pressure in both groups at different time interval.

Table 3: VAS score in both the groups.

Time interval (min)	Group F	Group T	P value
Basal	9.78±0.67	9.46±1.17	>0.05
5	6.58±1.70	5.92±1.73	>0.05
10	3.7±1.23	3.26±1.29	>0.05
15	2.66±1.08	2.4±0.83	>0.05
20	1.88±0.98	2±0.80	>0.05
25	1.54±1.05	1.66±0.79	>0.05
30	1.08±0.94	1.14±0.8	>0.05
60	1.06±0.89	1.36±0.87	>0.05

Table 4: Side effects in both the groups.

Side effects	Group F	Group T
Nausea	2	5
Vomiting	1	-
Pruritus	1	-
Urinary retention	2	-
Shivering	4	-
Hypotension	-	-
Respiratory depression	-	-

DISCUSSION

Epidural analgesia for painless labour was given to 100 parturient belonging to ASA grade I and II admitted to hospital. The parturient were randomly allocated by computer generated system into 2 groups. Group F (n=50) received ropivacaine (0.1%) with fentanyl (2 mcg/ml) and group T (n=50) received ropivacaine (0.1%) with tramadol (5 mg/ml) given as an initial bolus of 10 ml followed by continuous epidural infusion of 5 ml/hour continued until the delivery. Extra top ups of 5 ml ropivacaine (0.1%) were given on patient demand (VAS>3).

Finegold et al also conducted a similar type of randomized double blind study comparing ropivacaine (0.1%) fentanyl and bupivacaine (0.125%) fentanyl infusions for epidural labour analgesia.⁸ Rao et al also carried out a similar study on walking epidural with low dose bupivacaine plus tramadol on normal labour in primipara patients.⁹ Gupta et al conducted a study on painless labour by epidural analgesia and its effects on cardiocographic parameters and labour.¹⁰ Dostbil et al compared maternal and neonatal effects of adding morphine with fentanyl to low dose bupivacaine for epidural labour analgesia.⁶

In our study after giving a bolus dose we used the same drug concentration as a continuous epidural infusion till the completion of second stage of labour, top-up doses were given in supine position. The dose used for subsequent top-ups was 5 ml ropivacaine (0.1%) in both the groups.

Demographic variables and obstetric characteristics were similar between the two groups. There was no statistically

significant difference between the two groups. Demographic and obstetric data were similar with the Indian studies by Kalra et al and Tomar et al.^{11,12} The baseline mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) and maternal heart rate (HR), VAS score were comparable in both the groups. For study purpose data were recorded for the first 60 minutes. These parameters were in accordance with the study carried out by Fan et al.¹³

Many studies have reported sensory loss up-to T10 to cold, pain and warmth sensation. Tomar et al and Fan et al studied the analgesic effects of fentanyl and tramadol in combination with bupivacaine and ropivacaine for extradural labour analgesia and found that the height level of sensory block was T8 in 16 and T10 level in 10 parturient.^{12,13} In our study we used pinprick method to test the level of sensory blockade 54% in group F versus 56% in group T achieved the sensory level up to T10 which was comparable between the two groups. Motor block was assessed using a modified Bromage scale. All the parturient in both groups had grade 0 score. Most patients usually walked around the room, where they voided spending approximately 10-15 minutes out of bed on each occasion with accompanying person. Kalra et al, Fan et al, and James et al found that in both ropivacaine/fentanyl group and ropivacaine/tramadol group, modified bromage scale was 0 or 1 with most of the patients scoring in both groups.^{11,13,14}

James et al and Saunders et al reported attenuation of endogenous oxytocin during second stage by epidural block which reduced the uterine contractility.^{14,15} They recommended the use of oxytocin during second stage of labour to improve outcome in nulliparous women receiving epidural analgesia.

In our study the mean duration of first stage of labour was 2.60 hours in group F and 2.67 hours in group T. As far as the duration of labour was considered, there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups. Tomar et al in a study using fentanyl 2 mcg/ml with bupivacaine 0.125% for epidural labour analgesia found the mean duration of first stage of labour to be 2.87 hours.¹² The mean duration of second stage of labour was 47.08 min in group F and 45.34 min in group T in this study. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups. Rao et al also found similar results in a study using tramadol 5 mg/ml with 0.1% bupivacaine.⁹

Total amount of drug used from bolus till the completion of second stage was calculated. Total average dose of ropivacaine used was 40.05±8.47 mg and 42.07±7.77 mg in group F and T respectively. Total average dose of fentanyl used in group F was 53.77±10.08 mcg. Total average dose of tramadol used in group T was 135.96±17.67 mg.

In our study no significant difference in maternal mean arterial pressure (MAP), heart rate (HR) and visual

analogue scale (VAS) score seen in both groups at any time points. Similar finding were seen in a study conducted by Fan et al.¹³

In our study, spontaneous vaginal delivery occurred in 94% of the parturient in group F and 96% in group T. Three patients in group F and 2 patients in group T underwent caesarean. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups. Fan et al in a comparative study of epidural tramadol/ropivacaine and fentanyl/ropivacaine for labour analgesia found that caesarean was done in 2 patients in both groups.¹³ Kalra et al in a study comparing efficacy of bupivacaine/fentanyl with bupivacaine/sufentanyl for epidural labour analgesia found the similar results where one patient in each group had undergone caesarean.¹²

In our study FHR were comparable in both the groups throughout the study. Gupta et al found no significant effect on cardiocographic parameters in epidural and control group.¹⁰ Fan et al found neonatal HR comparable between both groups at any time points.¹³ Reynold et al in their study did not report any change in the neurobehavioural or appearance, pulse, grimace, activity, and respiration (APGAR) score when up to 80 mcg fentanyl was given for first stage of labour for pain relief.¹⁶

In our study APGAR score was employed to assess the newborns. At 1-minute interval only 10% of the newborns in group F, 4% in group T had an APGAR score of less than 7. Although no significant difference was seen, there was a tendency that the incidence of side-effects (pruritus, shivering and urinary retention) was higher in group F. No significant difference was observed in other side effects such as nausea, vomiting, motor block, respiratory depression and hypotension between the two groups. Furthermore, none of the parturient in any group required specific treatment during labour. Chestnut et al found pruritus (7%), nausea (14%), emesis (14%) and urinary retention (41%) when bupivacaine (0.0625%)/fentanyl (0.0002%) was used as continuous infusion.¹⁷ Cohen et al reported pruritus in 26%, drowsiness in 11% and urinary retention 21% of the patients when bupivacaine (0.068%) with fentanyl 10 mcg was used.¹⁸ Fan et al in a comparative study of tramadol/ropivacaine and fentanyl/ropivacaine for epidural labour analgesia found the similar results.¹³

In our study 40 in group F and 38 patients in group T had good satisfaction score while 7 patients in group F and 10 patients in group T had excellent satisfaction scores. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups. Tomar et al in a study using fentanyl 2 mcg/ml with bupivacaine 0.125% for epidural labour analgesia found 7 patients reporting good satisfaction level and 20 reporting excellent satisfaction levels.¹²

CONCLUSION

Epidural analgesia is a safe and excellent method of relieving pain in labour with excellent satisfaction in

parturient. Both fentanyl and tramadol in combination with ropivacaine provide similar analgesia with minimal motor block. Both have no adverse effects on cardiocographic parameters. However side effects were relatively more common in fentanyl group. Thus tramadol is a safer alternative to fentanyl as an adjunct to epidural labour analgesia.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

- Hiltunen P, Raudaskoski T, Ebeling H, Moilanen I. Does pain relief during delivery decrease the risk of postnatal depression?. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2004;83:257-61.
- Soet JE, Brack GA, Dilorio C. Prevalence and predictors of women experience of psychological trauma during childbirth. *Birth.* 2003;30:36-46.
- Holdcroft A. Regional anaesthetic techniques. Chapter-15. Principles and practice of obstetric anaesthesia and analgesia, Black Well Science Publishers. 2000;243-59.
- Falconer AD, Powles AB. Plasma noradrenaline levels during labour-influence of elective lumbar epidural blockade. *Anaesthesiol.* 1982;37:16-8.
- Marx GF, Greene NM. Maternal lactate, pyruvate and excess pyruvate production during labour and delivery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1964;90:786-9.
- Dostbil A, Celik M, Alici HA, Erdem AF, Aksoy M, Ahiskalioglu A. Maternal and neonatal effects of adding morphine to low dose bupivacaine for epidural labour analgesia: Niger J Clin Prac. 2014;17(2):205-11.
- Peng PWH, Sandler AN. A review of the use of fentanyl analgesia in the management of acute pain in adults. *Anesthesiol.* 1999;90(2):576-99.
- Finegold, Mandell G, Ramanathan S. Comparison of ropivacaine 0.1% fentanyl and bupivacaine 0.125% fentanyl infusions for epidural labour analgesia. *Can J Anaesth.* 2000;47(8):740-5.
- Rao ZA, Choudhri A, Naqvi S, Haq EU. Walking epidural with low dose bupivacaine plus tramadol on normal labour in primipara. *J Coll Phys Surg Pak.* 2010;20(5):295-8.
- Gupta N, Gupta S, Agarwal A, Agarwal S, Dwivedi S, Singh A. To study the painless labour by epidural analgesia and its effects on cardiocographic parameters and labour. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;2(4):666-7.
- Kalra S, Saraswat N, Agnihotri GS. Comparison of efficacy of bupivacaine and fentanyl with bupivacaine and sufentanyl for epidural labour analgesia Saudi J Anaesth. 2010;4(3):178-81.
- Tomar GS, Godwin RB, Gaur N, Sethi A, Narang N. A double blind study on analgesic effects of fentanyl combined with bupivacaine for extradural labour

- analgesia. *Anaesthesia: Essays and Researches.* 2011;5(2):147-52.
13. Fan Y, Ji M, Zang L, Wang W, Yin Q, Xu J, Yang J. Comparison of epidural tramadol ropivacaine and fentanyl ropivacaine for labour analgesia: a prospective randomized study. *Upsala J Med Sci.* 2011;116:252-7.
 14. James KS, McGrady, Quasim J, Patrick. A comparison of epidural bolus administration of 0.25% bupivacaine and 0.1% bupivacaine with 0.0002% fentanyl for analgesia during labour. *Br J Anaesth.* 1998;81:501-10.
 15. Saunders NJ, Spiby H, Gilbert L, Fraser RB. Oxytocin infusion during second stage of labour in primiparous women using epidural analgesia. *BMJ.* 1989;299(6713):1423-6.
 16. Reynolds F, Sullivan GO. Epidural fentanyl and perineal pain in labour. *Anaesth.* 1989;44:341-4.
 17. Chestnut DS, Laszewski LJ Pollack K, Bates JN, Manage NK, Choi DW. Continuous epidural infusion of 0.0625% bupivacaine+0.0002% fentanyl during second stage labour. *Anaesthesiol.* 1990;72:613-8.
 18. Cohen SE, Tan S, Albright GA, Halpern J. Epidural fentanyl/bupivacaine mixture for obstetric analgesia. *Anesth.* 1987;67:403-7.

Cite this article as: Choudhary A, Maheshwari S, Paliwal N, Ujwal S, Singariya G. Comparative study of fentanyl versus tramadol as adjuvant with low dose local anaesthetic ropivacaine (0.1%) for epidural labour analgesia. *Int J Res Med Sci.* 2020;8(9):3309-14.