

Dietary enrichment with crude protein content and feed additives (Bacillus spp. and yeast strains) improves growth performance, survival and circulating hemocytes in juvenile white shrimp, Litopenaeus vannamei

Enriquecimiento de la dieta con proteína y aditivos alimentarios (cepas de Bacillus spp. y levaduras) mejora el crecimiento, supervivencia y hemocitos circulantes de juveniles de camarón blanco, Litopenaeus vannamei

Yenni Morales-Cristóbal 12, Edilmar Cortés-Jacinto 1, Pedro E. Saucedo 1, Yuniel Méndez-Martínez[®], José L. Ledea-Rodríguez[®], María A. Guzmán-Murillo[®], Ana C. Sánchez-Ortiz[®], Gabriel Aguirre-Guzmán№, Marco Cadena-Roa²† and Angel I. Campa-Córdova®¹

Resumen.- En este estudio se evaluó el efecto del enriquecimiento de dietas con diferentes niveles de proteína cruda (CP) y aditivos en el crecimiento, supervivencia, tasa de conversión alimenticia (FCR), consumo de alimento (FC) y conteo total de hemocitos (THC) en juveniles de camarón blanco Litopenaeus vannamei. El estudio consta de dos bioensayos: en el primero, los juveniles fueron alimentados diariamente por 45 días con cuatro dietas experimentales con diferente contenido de proteína: (1) Alimento comercial o control, CP 35%; (2) CP 29%; (3) CP 32%; (4) CP 35%. Después de seleccionar CP 29%, en el bioensayo II los juveniles fueron alimentados diariamente por 45 días con una dieta proteica complementada con probióticos: (1) Alimento comercial o control, CP 35%; (2) CP 29%; (3) CP 29% + mezcla de Bacillus a 1×106 UFCg⁻¹ alimento; y (4) CP 29% + mezcla de levaduras a 1×106 UFCg-1 alimento. Los camarones juveniles alimentados con las dietas experimentales mostraron ganancia en peso significativamente mayor e incremento en supervivencia, FCR, FC y THC comparado con la dieta control. Sin embargo, no hubo diferencias significativas entre las dietas experimentales. En el segundo bioensayo, los juveniles alimentados con la dieta experimental + aditivos alimentarios incrementaron significativamente supervivencia, FCR, FC y THC comparado con la dieta control. El crecimiento de juveniles se incrementó significativamente con las dietas CP29% y CP29%+mezcla de levaduras, comparado con el crecimiento de la dieta control. La dieta complementada con la mezcla de levaduras mostró el valor más alto de supervivencia y THC de juveniles comparado con los demás tratamientos experimentales. Los diferentes niveles experimentales de CP incluidos en la dieta de camarón incrementaron crecimiento. supervivencia y hemocitos circulantes; la adición de una mezcla de levaduras como aditivo alimentario, indujo mejor supervivencia y respuesta inmune en juveniles de camarón.

Palabras clave: Litopenaeus vannamei, acuicultura, levadura, nivel de proteína, hemocitos

Abstract.- In this study the enrichment dietary effect with different crude protein levels (CP) and feed additives on growth, survival, feed conversion ratio (FCR), feed consumption (FC) and total hemocyte count (THC) in juvenile white shrimp Litopenaeus vannamei were evaluated. The study covered two bioassays: in the first one, juveniles were daily fed for 45 days with four experimental diets containing: (1) Control, commercial feed (35% CP); (2) 29% CP; (3) 32% CP; (4) 35% CP. After the 29% CP diet was selected, juveniles in bioassay II were daily fed for 45 days with a single CP diet complemented with probiotics: (1) Control, commercial feed (35% CP); (2) 29% CP; (3) 29% CP + Bacillus mix at 1×10⁶ CFUg⁻¹ feed; and (4) 29% CP + yeast mix at 1×10° CFUg-1 feed. Juvenile shrimp fed with experimental diets gained significantly more weight and increased survival, FCR, FC and THC compared with control diet. However, differences among experimental diets were not significant. In bioassay II, juvenile shrimp fed with experimental diet + feed additives significantly increased survival, FCR, FC and THC compared with control diet. Growth of juveniles significantly increased with 29% CP and 29% CP + yeast mix diets, compared with control group. Complementing the diet with yeast mix showed higher survival and THC of juveniles compared with other experimental treatments. Different CP levels in shrimp diet improved growth, survival and circulating hemocytes, and addition of mixed yeast as feed additive induced better survival and immune response in juvenile shrimp.

Key words: Litopenaeus vannamei, aquaculture, yeast, protein level, hemocytes

¹Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste (CIBNOR), 23096 La Paz, B.C.S., México

²Universidad Autónoma de Baja California Sur (UABCS), 23080 La Paz, B.C.S., México

³ Laboratorio de Acuicultura Experimental, Facultad de Ciencias Pecuarias y Biológicas, Universidad Técnica Estatal de Quevedo (UTEQ), Quevedo, Los Ríos, Ecuador ⁴Independing Research, Andador Contribución No. 402, 23090 La Paz, B.C.S., México

⁵Universidad de Guadalajara (UdG), CUCEI, 48980 Guadalajara, Jalisco, México

⁶Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Universidad Autónoma de Tamaulipas, Km 5 Carretera Victoria-Mante, Ciudad Victoria, Tamaulipas, México

^{*}Corresponding author: angcamp04@cibnor.mx

Introduction

quaculture industry is supported by cultivation of target Aspecies that survive well and grow fast in captivity (Martínez-Córdova et al. 2009, Ayiku et al. 2020). Worldwide food production by aquaculture has had an average annual increase of 6.7% in recent years (FAO 2020). Consequently, aquaculture is considered one of the most lucrative and fastest growing livestock industries (Stentiford et al. 2012). Among many species of commercial interest, the Pacific white shrimp Litopenaeus vannamei (Boone, 1931) is particularly relevant based on the analysis of nine production years (2007-2016) that positioned Thailand (588,370 t), Indonesia (489,555 t), India (461,302 t), Ecuador (422,000 t) and Vietnam (380,000 t) as the most prominent productive countries worldwide (FAO 2020). In Mexico, L. vannamei is the second most produced species among aquaculture crops, with average yields of 133,338 t that exceeds 4.5 times the volumes of natural catches from estuaries and coastal lagoons, and in 3.2 times the catches from the open sea (CONAPESCA 2017).

Currently, shrimp aquaculture faces a favorable growing scenario, yet some problems such as the availability of raw materials for food processing and the increase of pathogenic diseases undermine the efficiency of productive systems. Moreover, the costs associated with diet preparation can represent between 50 to 80% of total operating costs, which directly affects profitability (Cummins et al. 2017). Thus, formulation of well-balanced, low-cost diets, together with definition of adequate feeding strategies are key for ensuring the supply of nutrients (energy) for overall development and growth of the species, maximizing performance and production (Hernández et al. 2011, Shahkar et al. 2014). On this basis, the inclusion of an adequate protein level in the diet is essential to ensure that body tissues use them optimally, particularly to favor growth rather than baseline maintenance (Gürov et al. 2012, Tacon & Metian 2015).

Among penaeid shrimp, studies relative to the definition of optimal diets have mainly focused on recommended protein content between 30 and 50% of total body mass (Tacon et al. 2002). In L. vannamei, the understanding of protein metabolism in relation to amino acid composition is essential to develop a balanced diet (Portella et al. 2013, Méndez-Martínez et al. 2017). When protein content in the diet is insufficient, a lack or unbalance of essential amino acids can lead to decreased body resistance capacity and insufficient body protein formation, which in consequence affects growth, food conversion ratio, stress resistance, immune response and survival (Jin et al. 2013, Méndez-Martínez et al. 2018). Excess of dietary protein content can also be harmful, since crustaceans only use a small part of this molecule to generate new tissue, being the rest used to produce waste energy and greater amounts of ammonia (NH₂) that finally leads to decreased water quality (Zhou et al. 2007, Shahkar et al. 2014).

Under culturing conditions, research efforts of the last decades have focused on the combat and spread-out of new pathogenic diseases in shrimp, including White Spot Syndrome Virus (WSSV), Black Gill Disease (BGD), Running Mortality Syndrome (RMS), Loose Shell Syndrome (LSS), White Fecal Syndrome (WFS), White Muscle Disease (WMD) and Infectious Hypodermal and Hematopoietic Necrosis (IHHN) which cause severe economic losses to the industry in countries such as China (Ayiku et al. 2020), Thailand (Flegel 2012, Boonyawiwat et al. 2017), Taiwan (Kumar et al. 2020), Ecuador (Gainza & Romero 2020), Brazil (Costa et al. 2009) and Mexico (López-Tellez et al. 2020). The most recent evidence indicates that shrimp pathogens are not the main cause of these mass mortalities and should be classified as opportunistic infections. Therefore, the use of prophylactic and therapeutic (antibiotics) treatments has become necessary to maximize the health and yield of cultivated shrimp. However, antibiotics have proved to be inefficient, since they impregnate in body tissues, subtracting them quality and generating many health problems (Sapcharoen & Rengpipat 2013, Peredo et al. 2015). Antibiotics are also widely criticized for their impact on the environment (Cabello et al. 2013) and induction to resistance among many pathogenic microorganisms (van den Bogaard & Stobberingh 2000).

The current limitation of antibiotics as growth promotors to increase production volumes, demands other alternatives to improve crop technologies, especially during the juvenile stage where disease effects are critical (Walker & Winton 2010). On this basis, treating cultivated shrimp with microbial immunostimulants, such as probiotics, becomes relevant to improve the host's health based on the properties of certain bacteria and marine yeast strains. These properties include synthesis of antimicrobial compounds and digestive enzymes that enhance food conversion and nutrient assimilation by the host, and strengthen its immune system and capacity to tolerate stress (Yang et al. 2013, Chai et al. 2016). Some desirable features of probiotics include its origin, adhesion to intestinal mucosa, safety to the host, antagonism to pathogens, stimulation of the host's immune system and removal of organic matter (Verschuere et al. 2000). Recently, there is a special attention in searching for Bacillus spp. (Silva et al. 2013, Chien et al. 2020), and yeasts (Tovar-Ramírez et al. 2010, Phupet et al. 2018) to culture many species of aquaculture interest. Bacillus spp., for example, has the ability to sporulate, grow fast and tolerate a wide range of physiological conditions, which has been of great value in modern aquaculture to improve the quality of seawater, reduce the load of harmful bacteria and maximize the host's response without antibiotics (Nemutanzhela et al. 2014). Additionally, the oral administration of yeast species, particularly Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Debaryomyces hansenii has demonstrated to enhance the immune response in shrimp and other aquaculture species (Tovar-Ramírez et al. 2010, Babu et al. 2013). Despite of this advancement,

current scientific knowledge relative to the use of probiotics as feed additives and the immune response in aquaculture is scarce for early-development stages (Kesarcodi-Watson et al. 2012, Gyan et al. 2020).

In the present work, the combined effects of different dietary protein contents, mixed with Bacillus spp. and yeasts strains used as feed additives to improve nutritional and immune rearing parameters in juvenile white shrimp (L. vannamei) were evaluated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

ORIGIN OF JUVENILE SHRIMP

Two different trials were developed with *L. vannamei* juveniles having an initial fresh weight of 0.25 ± 0.03 g (Bioassay I) and 0.14 ± 0.03 g (Bioassay II). Juveniles obtained from the cultivation facilities of Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste (CIBNOR, Mexico) were acclimatized for two days in 1,500 L fiberglass tanks at 29 °C and 35 of salinity before running the trials. During acclimatization, shrimp were fed ad libitum twice a day (10:00 AM and 4:00 PM) with a commercial diet, selected for this study according to the recommended protein content of shrimp feed up to 35% crude protein, formulated for shrimp by PIASATM (La Paz, B.C.S., Mexico). The feed composition was: protein (35.03%), crude lipids (9.04%), fiber (2.84%), moisture (8.84%), ash (6.09%) and nitrogen-free extract (38.16%).

FORMULATION AND PREPARATION OF PELLETIZED FOOD

Three experimental diets containing 29, 32 and 35% crude protein (CP) (Table 1) were formulated according to the needs of L. vannamei juveniles (Tacón et al. 2002, Gucic-Soriano et al. 2013). The diets were formulated using 5 Pro Nutrion software (Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico), following procedures described by Méndez-Martínez et al. (2018). The ingredients were firstly reduced in particle size with a sprayer (Molinos Pulvex, Mexico City) and then screened through a 250 µm mesh. Each diet was prepared mixing all macro-ingredients in an industrial mixer (Kitchen Aid MR, St. Joseph, MI, USA) until being homogenized. The micro-ingredients (vitamin premix, sodium dibasic phosphate, mineral premix, vitamin C, BHT, carboxymethyl cellulose, DL-methionine, Lysine-HCl, L-threonine) were then mixed in a plastic container before being added to the macro-ingredients. Fish oil and soy lecithin were included as emulsion before their addition to the mixture. Choline chloride dissolved in distilled water at 40 °C was added until reaching 35% weight of the ingredients (Table 1). The food was passed twice through a meat mill (TorReyTM Monterrey, N.L. Mexico) to form 2-mm diameter granules or pellets, which were dried for 10 h in an air flow oven at 35 °C. Dried pellets were finally packed in plastic bags and kept at 4 °C until use.

Table 1. Composition of experimental pelletized diets for L. vannamei juveniles / Composición de dietas experimentales peletizadas para juveniles de L. vannamei

| I di t. | Crude protein levels | | |
|--|----------------------|-------|-------|
| Ingredients | 29% | 32% | 35% |
| Fishmeal ¹ | 24.0 | 28 | 33.3 |
| Soybean Paste ¹ | 26.0 | 30 | 30 |
| Whole Wheat Flour ² | 33.2 | 25.2 | 20 |
| Sardine Oil ³ | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Sodium Alginate ³ | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Soy Lecithin ³ | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Vitamin premix ^{3*} | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Sodium dibasic phosphate ³ | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Mineral Premix ^{3**} | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Choline Chloride (62% active agent) ³ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Vitamin C (35% active agent) ^{3*} | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| Butyl-hydroxy-toluene (BHT) ⁴ | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 |
| carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) ⁴ | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| DL-methionine ⁴ | 0.015 | 0.008 | |
| Lysine-HCI ⁴ | 0.014 | | |
| L-threonine ⁴ | 0.054 | 0.045 | 0.033 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

¹Laboratory and Wearhouse of Balanced Foods from the Zootechnical Post of Universidad Autónoma de Baja California Sur (UABCS)

Commercial house (Bravo Market, La Paz, B.C.S.)

³Shrimp balanced food plant PIASA

⁴Laboratory and Wearhouse of Balanced Foods from the Zootechnical Post of Universidad

Autónoma de Baja California Sur (UABCS)
*VITCRU0409: Vitamin A acetate, 15000 IU: D3, 7500 IU; thiamine monohydrate, 150 mg; riboflavin, 100 mg; pyridoxine HCl, 50 mg; pantothenic acid, 100 mg; niacin, 300 mg; biotin, 1 mg; inositol, 500 mg; folic acid, 20 mg; cyanocobalamin, 0.1 mg

^{**}MINCRU0409 (g/kg food): MgSO₄ 7H₂O, 0.5; ZnSO₄ 7H₂O, 0.09; KCl, 0.5; MnCl₂ 4H₂O, 0.0234; CuCl₂ 2H₂O, 0.005; Kl, 0.5; CoCl₂ 6H₂O, 0.0025

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF DIETARY INGREDIENTS

Before using the ingredients and diets, triplicate samples (10 g) were finely grounded, sieved, and analyzed by the Kjeldahl nitrogen method (Foss, Hillerød, DK) to determine their final CP content. Ethereal extract content was determined using the ether extraction method (Soxtec Avanti, Höganäs, Sweden) and raw fiber content was determined according to Weende and Van Soest methods (Fibertec, Foss, Hillerød, Denmark). Ashes were determined by sample incineration in flasks at 550 °C for 6 h and nitrogen-free extract was determined according to Weende system (AOAC 2006). The final composition of diets is detailed in Table 2.

PREPARATION OF PROBIOTIC MIXTURES

Two marine yeast strains obtained from CIBNOR collection were used: *Candida insectorum* (DHHBCS005) and *Debaryomyces hansenii* (DHHBCS006). A third yeast strain (*Debaryomyces hansenii* L1) was isolated from the pericarp of Mexican lime, *Citrus aurantifolia* (Hernández-Montiel *et al.* 2010). In addition, three *Bacillus* spp. strains were isolated from the gut of wild *L. vannamei* shrimp: *Bacillus tequilensis* (YC5-2), *Bacillus endophyticus* (YC3-B) and *Bacillus endophyticus* (C2-2) (Luis-Villaseñor *et al.* 2011).

Preserved yeast and Bacillus strains at -80 °C were thawed and individually reactivated on Petri dishes with Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) at 30 °C for 24 h (for yeasts) and Trypticase Soy Agar (TSA) with 2.5% NaCl at 37 °C for 24 h (for Bacillus spp.). The colonies were extracted from agar and suspended in test tubes with 10 mL NaCl solution (3%). Bacterial suspension was concentrated until reaching 1×109 CFU mL⁻¹, which occurred at 540 nm and an absorbance of 1.0; a concentration of 3×10⁷ CFU mL⁻¹ occurred at 600 nm for yeasts. Once the desired concentrations were obtained, 33.3% of each microbial suspension was added to a 5 mL plastic sprinkler with saline solution, which was added to the food using large sterile Petri dishes to obtain a final concentration of 1×10⁶ CFU g⁻¹ feed. The procedure was carried out under aseptic conditions in a laminar flow hood for both marine Bacillus and yeasts strains.

SHRIMP REARING CONDITIONS

The culture system consisted of twelve 60 L fiberglass aquaria (50x55x38 cm) holding ten shrimp each one $(166.67 shrimp m^{-3})$. The system was supplied with seawater previously passed through $70 \mu m$ sand filters (Cristal-Flo, Santa Rite Industries Inc., Delavan, WI, USA), $10 \text{ and } 5 \mu m$ activated carbon cartridges, and UV light. Physical-chemical parameters of seawater were controlled with 200 W submersible heaters

Table 2. Proximal chemical composition of experimental diets used in bioassay I for *L. vannamei* juveniles / Composición química proximal de dietas experimentales utilizadas en el bioensayo I para juveniles de *L. vannamei*

| Protein content (%) | Ethereal extract (%) | Crude fiber (%) | Ash (%) |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| 29 | 9.15 | 8.93 | 10.09 |
| 32 | 1.02 | 1.20 | 1.21 |
| 35 | 7.48 | 7.25 | 8.83 |

for temperature (28 \pm 0.2 °C), external exhausters and 5 HP blowers for oxygen content (5.44 \pm 0.3 mg mL $^{-1}$; oximeter YSI 550A, OH, USA), and an optical refractometer for salinity (40 \pm 0.26). Photoperiod was controlled for 12 h light and 12 h darkness with a 200 W neon light system. All aquaria were siphoned daily before the first feeding and 60% of total water volume was replaced.

BIOASSAYS

For bioassay I, juvenile shrimp were daily fed for 45 days with three levels of experimental CP: (1) Control, commercial feed (the same used for acclimatization); (2) 29% CP; (3) 32% CP; (4) 35% CP. Based on these results, bioassay II was conducted for 45 days and shrimp were daily fed base experimental diet at 29% CP, complemented with microbial feed additives: (1) mix of B. tequilensis + B. endophyticus + B. endophyticus (Bmix at a 1:1:1 ratio and 1×10^6 CFU g^{-1} feed); (2) mix of C. insectorum + D. hansenii + D. hansenii (Ymix at 1:1:1 ratio and 1×106 CFU g⁻¹ feed). Again, a control group of juvenile shrimp fed the same commercial diet was included. A completely randomized design with three replicates was used for each bioassay. Food was supplied at 10% of total juvenile biomass in three daily rations (9:00, 13:00 and 17:00 h). Food intake was determined by feeding to apparent satiation. Food remains, which could be readily identified by its swollen pellet shape, were removed the next day in the morning and quantified by concentrating on Whatman No.1 filter paper with a vacuum pump (Gast Manufacturing, Benton Harbor, MI). This was done before drying at 50 °C for 18 h in an air flux oven (Hafo Series 1600, Sheldon Manufacturing, Cornelius, OR) (Méndez-Martinez et al. 2018). Ration was adjusted to minimize the amount of uneaten feed. Growth gain, survival and other productive indicators were determined at the beginning of both bioassays and every 15 days until finishing them at each sampling, six randomly-collected shrimps per treatment were processed as described below.

Morales-Cristóbal *et al.* Vol. 57(1): 45-56, 2022

EVALUATION OF PRODUCTIVE INDICATORS

After removing excess of water with absorbent paper, collected juvenile shrimp were individually counted to estimate survival (%) and weighed on a digital balance (± 0.01 g; PE 3600 Mettler-Toledo, Columbus, OH, USA) to estimate gain in total fresh weight. With this data, the following parameters were determined:

Percentage of survival (%):

 $Survival = \frac{initial \ numbers \ of \ organisms}{final \ number \ of \ organisms} \times 100$

Apparent feed consumption (FC, g):

FC = food supplied (g) - residual food (g)

Feed conversion ratio (FCR, g day⁻¹):

 $FCR = \frac{consumed apparent food}{corrected weight gain}$

TOTAL HEMOCYTE COUNT (THC)

Hemolymph samples (40 μ L) were extracted from pleopod base of the first abdominal segment near the genital pore of each collected shrimp, using a 3 mL syringe with 160 μ L precoagulant solution precooled at 4 °C (450 mM NaCl, 10 mM KCl, 10 mM EDTA-Na₂, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.3, 850 mOsm kg⁻¹) and 4% formalin (Fermont, Monterrey, Mexico) (Vargas-Albores *et al.* 1996). Extracted hemolymph was placed in sterile 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes and kept in an ice bed for immediate counting of circulating hemocytes. Subsequently, the samples (100 μ L) were placed in a hematocytometer (Marienfeld, Germany) for counting the total number of hemocytes under an optical microscope (Optika, Italy). Total hemocyte count was expressed as 1x10⁶ hemocytes mL⁻¹.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data homoscedasticity and homogeneity were determined with Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Bartlett tests, respectively. To identify differences in total weight gain, FC, CR, and THC between treatments, one-way ANOVA, followed by *post-hoc* Duncan test for mean comparisons when necessary, was used at 95% confidence level (Zar 1984). All tests were determined with STATISTICA software (v.12.0, StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA)

RESULTS

PRODUCTIVE VARIABLES AND THC: BIOASSAY I

After 45 days of culture, mean weight (Fig. 1), FC, FCR, and survival of juvenile shrimp fed on experimental diets showed significant differences ($P \le 0.05$) compared to the control diet (Table 3). Weight gain significantly ($P \le 0.05$) increased in shrimp fed on 32% CP diet at 30 and 45 days, compared to control diet. Similarly, shrimp fed on 29 and 35% CP diets significantly increased weight gain after 45 days of cultivation, compared to commercial diet (Fig. 1). THC of juveniles fed on experimental diets, particularly 32% CP diet, was significantly (P < 0.05) higher than counts of juveniles fed on control diet (Fig. 2). No significant ($P \ge 0.05$) differences in productive indicators occurred among experimental diets (29, 32, and 35% CP). Based on these results, 29% CP experimental diet was selected as optimal for the second bioassay that included probiotics as feed additives.

PRODUCTIVE VARIABLES AND THC: BIOASSAY II

Final weight, FC, and FCR of juvenile shrimp fed on the base experimental diet (29% CP) complemented with probiotics after 45 days culture is shown in Table 2. Juveniles fed on 29% CP and 29% CP + Ymix showed a significant ($P \le 0.05$) increase in all productive variables, compared to control group. In contrast, juvenile shrimp fed on 29% CP diet + Bmix did not significantly ($P \ge 0.05$) increase final weight and FC compared to control group (Table 4).

Table 3. Productive variables for *L. vannamei* juveniles fed with different levels of crude protein for 45 days / Variables productivas en juveniles de *L. vannamei* alimentados por 45 días con diferentes niveles de proteína cruda

| Treatment | Apparent feed consumption (g) | Feed conversion ratio (g day ⁻¹) | Survival (%) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Commercial food (Control) | 14.49 ± 0.13a | 1.5 ± 0.05^{a} | 73 ± 25.2^{a} |
| 29% CP | 16.6 ± 0.95^{b} | 3.6 ± 0.15^{b} | 100^{b} |
| 32% CP | 17.7 ± 1.67^{b} | 3.6 ± 0.38^{b} | 100^{b} |
| 35% CP | 16.9 ± 0.49^{b} | 3.8 ± 0.46^{b} | 96 ± 5.7^{b} |
| Significance | 0.00032 | 0.00004 | 0.00001 |

Different superscript letters denote significant differences between treatments according to Duncan (1951)




Figure 1. Growth of juvenile *Litopenaeus vannamei* fed with different levels of crude protein (CP) for 45 days. Different superscript letters indicate significant ($P \le 0.05$) differences between treatments / Crecimiento de juveniles de *Litopenaeus vannamei* alimentados con diferentes niveles de proteína cruda (CP) por 45 días. Literales diferentes indican diferencias significativas ($P \le 0.05$) entre tratamientos




Figure 2. Total hemocyte count in juvenile *Litopenaeus vannamei* fed with different levels of crude protein for 45 days. Different superscript letters indicate significant ($P \le 0.05$) differences between treatments / Conteo total de hemocitos en juveniles de *Litopenaeus vannamei* alimentados con diferentes niveles de proteína cruda por 45 días. Literales diferentes indican diferencias significativas ($P \le 0.05$) entre tratamientos

Table 4. Productive variables for *L. vannamei* juveniles fed with isoproteic and probiotic diets / Variables productivas en juveniles de *L. vannamei* alimentados con dietas isoprotéicas y probióticas

| Treatment | Apparent feed consumption (g) | Feed conversion ratio (g day ⁻¹) | Survival (%) |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| Commercial food (Control) 29% de CP | $\begin{array}{c} 2.21 \pm 0.44^{a} \\ 6.98 \pm 0.43^{b} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l} 0.81 \pm 0.06^a \\ 1.56 \pm 0.18^b \end{array}$ | 63 ± 11.5^{a} 80 ± 7.3^{b} |
| 29% CP +B mix (1x10 ⁶ CFU g ⁻¹ feed) | 5.87 ± 0.16^{ab} | 1.52 ± 0.26^b | 83 ± 7.7^{b} |
| 29% CP+ Ymix (1x10 ⁶ CFU g ⁻¹ feed) | 6.09 ± 0.62^b | 1.45 ± 0.05^b | 93 ± 5.7^{b} |
| Significance | 0.025 | 0.020 | 0.00001 |

Different superscript letters denote significant differences between treatments according to Duncan (1951)

Survival of juvenile shrimp fed with probiotic treatments for 45 days shown in Figure 3. Juveniles fed on 29% CP diet complemented with additives significantly ($P \le 0.05$) increased survival, compared with control group. In particular, complementing the base diet with a yeast mix significantly ($P \le 0.05$) increased survival compared with experimental groups. Counts of circulating hemocytes were significantly ($P \le 0.05$) higher in juvenile shrimp fed on experimental diets than in those only fed on a control diet (Fig. 4). Significantly ($P \le 0.05$) higher THC values occurred in juveniles treated on the base 29% CP diet +Ymix.




Figure 3. Survival of juvenile *Litopenaeus vannamei* fed with 29% CP and feed additives for 45 days. Different superscript letters indicate significant ($P \le 0.05$) differences between treatments / Supervivencia de juveniles de *Litopenaeus vannamei* alimentados con CP 29% y aditivos alimentarios por 45 días. Literales diferentes indican diferencias significativas ($P \le 0.05$) entre tratamientos




Figure 4. Total hemocyte count in juvenile *Litopenaeus vannamei* fed with 29% CP and feed additives for 45 days. Different superscript letters indicate significant ($P \le 0.05$) differences between treatments / Conteo total de hemocitos en juveniles de *Litopenaeus vannamei* alimentados con CP 29% y aditivos alimentarios por 45 días. Literales diferentes indican diferencias significativas ($P \le 0.05$) entre tratamientos

DISCUSSION

In this study, the formulation of experimental diets was not only based on the requirements of CP recommended for penaeid shrimp (Tacon *et al.* 2002, Terrazas *et al.* 2010, Gucic-Soriano *et al.* 2013, Ayisi *et al.* 2017), but on the need of increasing profits and decreasing operational costs in the Mexican shrimp aquaculture. The evidence from bioassay I showed that the inclusion of different levels of CP to base commercial diet significantly increased FC, FCR, and improved growth, survival, and THC compared to control group. However, as none of these variables yielded significant differences among the three experimental diets (29, 32, and 35% CP), the diet containing 29% CP was selected as optimal for bioassay II to reduce operational costs.

Several studies have analyzed the inclusion of different CP levels to the diet of cultivated *L. vannamei* juveniles, but results of growth and FCR between commercial and experimental diets have been inconclusive so far. Some authors recommend high CP levels (40 to 45%) to maximize these traits (Tacon *et al.* 2002), lower CP contents between 30-35% have been proposed for adults and <30% for juveniles (Colvin & Brand 1977), or even <25% CP in commercial ponds (Martínez-Córdova *et al.* 2002). Similar to our study, inconclusive patterns are reported in other shrimp species treated with different CP contents added to the diet. For example, no significant differences in weight gain of juvenile white shrimp *Penaeus schmitti* (*L. schmitti*) occurred when using diets containing 25, 30, and 35% CP (Parra 1992), 28

Morales-Cristóbal *et al.* Vol. 57(1): 45-56, 2022

and 33% CP (Galindo *et al.* 2002), or 25, 30, 35, and 40% CP (Pérez-Velázquez *et al.* 2008). In contrast, García *et al.* (1998) supplied four different CP levels (40, 50, 60 and 65%) to the diet of *Penaeus setiferus* and *Farfantepenaeus duorarum* juveniles and reported maximal growth and survival at 50% CP. Usually, when dietary protein is offered in excess, catabolism produces high concentrations of NH₂ and NH₃ compounds that are mobilized in the hemolymph and interfere with most metabolic functions. In turn, these compounds may cause different problems that include unbalanced osmotic pressure and oxygen transport (Schmitt & Santos 1998), slow growth due to increasing metabolic cost of nitrogen excretion (Rosas *et al.* 2001), and toxicity from gradual accumulation in body fluids of free amino acids (Méndez-Martínez *et al.* 2018).

Defining an optimal protein intake in the diet is difficult, as most shrimp species are slow feeders that generate some leaching and nutrient loss during chewing. Protein digestion is highly efficient in crustaceans and higher protein content usually represents improved digestive efficiency. Despite this, the pattern is species-dependent and greatly varies in relation to the quality and quantity of non-protein energy available in the diet, such as lipids and carbohydrates (Méndez-Martínez et al. 2017). Consequently, some authors suggest including vegetal protein sources to the diet of juvenile shrimp as a strategy to enhance survival and feed conversion ratio (FCR) (Venkataramiah et al. 1975), maximize the assimilation of low-cost nutrients (Chen et al. 1985), and improve growth with an animal/vegetal protein source >25% (Cruz-Suárez et al. 2000). Méndez-Martínez et al. (2017) reported that an adequate combination of animal and vegetable protein enhances weight gain of juvenile shrimp due to the inclusion of a balanced combination of amino acids and vitamins in the mixture. For most shrimp species, the formulation of a digestible amino acids profile is important to compensate the quality level of ingredients defined in the diet (Terrazas et al. 2005). In L. vannamei, for example, Huai et al. (2010) compared four levels of dietary CP (35.5-41.3%), or their digestible protein equivalent (29.8-35.3%), and suggest that reducing the protein level in the diet is feasible without affecting growth and overall production, as long as essential amino acids are supplemented. In our study, all diets were combined with animal (fishmeal) and vegetable protein (soybean paste, whole wheat flour), and supplemented with essential amino acids, such as DL-methionine, lysine, and L-threonine (Table 1).

Recently, some novel protein sources that include dried microbial biomass (called microbial protein) beneficial strains (Alloul *et al.* 2021), and microbial immunostimulants have been used as a strategy to control the spread-out of infectious diseases in shrimp commercial cultivation (Gómez-Gil *et al.* 2000, Phupet *et al.* 2018). Many advantages of beneficial bacteria and yeasts are reported in relation to the synthesis

of enzymes and bioactive compounds that bring balance to the host intestinal flora, improve the absorption of nutrients, strengthen its immune system, and displace harmful bacteria (Gullian et al. 2003). Moreover, some authors report that the mixtures of probiotics are far more effective for the control of pathogens than single strains, due to the synergic effect of the mix (Douillet 2000, Sotomayor & Balcazar 2003). In this study, the mix of Bacillus strains evaluated as feed additives did not increase shrimp growth, but improved survival and THC compared to control juveniles. These results, however, are not consistent with those reported by Rengpipat et al. (1998), where significant differences in growth between probiotics and control groups occurred for Penaeus monodon juveniles treated with Bacillus sp. (strain S11) in three different presentations: fresh cells, fresh cells with saline solution, and lyophilized cells. Similarly, Alloul et al. (2021) reported more weight gain and improved FCR in whiteleg shrimp (L. vannamei) treated with Rhodopseudomonas palustris and Rhodobacter capsulatus for 28 days.

Marine yeasts have been used in the last decades as protein source, despite of their lack of essential aminoacids and high nucleic acid contents (Palacios et al. 2007, Alamillo et al. 2017). Consequently, many yeast strains represent an alternative to partially substitute fish flour in different shrimp species, contributing thus to a more sustainable aquaculture industry (Alloul et al. 2021). Additionally, yeasts usually produce polyamines that accelerate gut maturation (Peulen et al. 2000) and increase the number of circulating hemocytes (Song & Hsieh 1994, Chaosomboon et al. 2017). Increasing the number of hemocytes is associated with greater resistance against pathogens and decreased susceptibility to diseases (Rodríguez & Le Moullac 2000, Gyan et al. 2020). Consistently, enhancing the immune system of reared juveniles was possible when the yeasts S. cerevisiae and D. hansenii were added as immunostimulants to the diet (Gatesoupe 2007, Pacheco et al. 2012). Accordingly, in the present study, juvenile shrimp fed on diets complemented with mixed yeasts enhanced survival and THC compared to control diet, including the mix of bacilli strains.

From the evidence collected in this study, formulating the diet for *L. vannamei* juveniles with 29% CP complemented with a mix of live yeasts is recommended to increase its productive response under culturing conditions. Analyses of the nutritional requirements of shrimp in relation to the inclusion of dietary immunostimulants, essential amino acids, and alternative sources of protein (such as microbial biomass) is necessary to broaden the understanding of overall physiological response of the species under pilot-to-commercial cultivation scale, and to reduce the environmental pressure. Future studies that focuse on reaching a functional balance between feed production costs and immunenutritional diets in juvenile shrimp should be conducted.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank CIBNOR staff Sandra de la Paz and Pablo Monsalvo for hatchery-rearing of juvenile shrimp. Funding was provided by SEP-CONACYT (243532) grant. First author was recipient of a fellowship from CONACYT. Dedicated to the memory of Dr. Marco Antonio Cadena Roa who actively participated in the planning and development of this research work.

LITERATURE CITED

- Alamillo E, M Reyes-Becerril, A Cuesta & C Angulo. 2017. Marine yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* improves the immune responses in Pacific red snapper (*Lutjanus peru*) leukocytes. Fish & Shellfish Immunology 70: 48-56.
- Alloul A, M Wille, P Lucenti, P Bossier, G Van Stappen & SE Vlaeminck. 2021. Purple bacteria as added-value protein ingredient in shrimp feed: *Penaeus vannamei* growth performance and tolerance against *Vibrio* and ammonia stress. Aquaculture 530(15): 735788. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735788
- AOAC. 2006. Official methods of analysis of the AOAC International, 18th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington DC.
- Ayiku S, JF Shen, BP Tan, XH Dong & HY Liu. 2020. Effects of dietary yeast culture on shrimp growth, immune response, intestinal health and disease resistance against *Vibrio harveyi*. Fish & Shellfish Immunology 102: 286-295. <doi: 10.1016/j. fsi.2020.04.036>
- Ayisi CL, X Hua, A Apraku, G Afriyie & BA Kyei. 2017. Recent studies toward the development of practical diets for shrimp and their nutritional requirements. HAYATI Journal of Biosciences 24: 109-117.
- Babu DT, SP Antony, SP Joseph, AR Bright & R Philip. 2013.

 Marine yeast *Candida aquaetextoris* S527 as a potential immunostimulant in black tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon*.

 Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 112(3): 243-252.
- Boonyawiwat V, T Patanasatienkul, J Kasornchandra, C Poolkhet, S Yaemkasem & L Hammell. 2017. Impact of farm management on expression of early mortality syndromeacute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease (AHPND) on penaeid shrimp farms in Thailand. Journal of Fish Diseases 40: 649-659
- Cabello FC, HP Godfrey, A Tomova, L Ivanova, H Dölz, A Millanao & AH Buschmann. 2013. Antimicrobial use in aquaculture re-examined: its relevance to antimicrobial resistance and to animal and human health. Environmental Microbiology 15(7): 1917-1942.
- Chai PC, XL Song, GF Chen, H Xu & J Huang. 2016. Dietary Supplementation of Probiotic Bacillus PC465 Isolated from the Gut of *Fenneropenaeus chinensis* improves the health status and resistance of *Litopenaeus vannamei* against white spot syndrome virus. Fish & Shellfish Immunology 54(2): 2-10.

- **Chaosomboon A, B Phupet, O Rattanaporn, P Runsaeng & P Utarabhand. 2017.** Lipopolysaccharide-and β-1, 3-glucanbinding protein from *Fenneropenaeus merguiensis* functions as a pattern recognition receptor with a broad specificity for diverse pathogens in the defense against microorganisms. Developmental & Comparative Immunology 67: 434-444.
- **Chen HY, ZP Zein-Eldin & DV Aldrich. 1985.** Combined effects of shrimp size and dietary protein source on growth of *Penaeus setiferus* and *P. vannamei*. Journal of the World Maricure Society 16: 288-296.
- **Chien CC, TY Lin, CC Chi & CH Liu. 2020.** Probiotic, *Bacillus subtilis* E20 alters the immunity of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* via glutamine metabolism and hexosamine biosynthetic pathway. Fish & Shellfish Immunology 98: 176-185.
- **Colvin LB & CW Brand. 1977.** The protein requirement of penaeid shrimp at various life cycle stages in controlled environment systems. Proceedings of the World Mariculture Society 8: 821-840.
- CONAPESCA. 2017. Anuario estadístico de acuacultura y pesca. Comisión Nacional de Acuacultura y Pesca, México. https://www.gob.mx/conapesca/es/documentos/estadistica-pesquera-y-acuicola-de-mexico
- **Costa AM, CC Buglione, FL Bezerra, PCC Martins & MA Barracco. 2009.** Immune assessment of farm-reared *Penaeus vannamei* shrimp naturally infected by IMNV in NE Brazil. Aquaculture 291: 141-146.
- Cruz-Suárez LE, JS Antimo-Pérez, N Luna-Mendoza, M Tapia-Salazar, C Guajardo-Barbosa & D Ricque-Marie. 2000. Relaciones proteína/energía y proteína vegetal/animal optimas en alimentos de engorda para *Litopenaeus vannamei* y *L. stylirostris*. In: Cruz-Suárez LE, D Ricque-Marie, M Tapia-Salazar, MA Olvera-Novoa & R Civera-Cerecedo (eds). Avances en Nutrición Acuícola V. Memorias del V Simposio Internacional de Nutrición Acuícola (SINA), noviembre 19-22, pp. 141-160. Mérida, Yucatán.
- Cummins JVC, SD Rawles, KR Thompson, A Velasquez, Y Kobayashi, J Hager & CD Webster. 2017. Evaluation of black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) larvae meal as partial or total replacement of marine fish meal in practical diets for Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). Aquaculture 473: 337-344.
- **Douillet P. 2000.** Bacterial additives that consistently enhance rotifer growth under synxenic culture conditions 2. Use of single and multiple bacterial probiotics. Aquaculture 183: 241-248.
- **FAO. 2020**. El estado mundial de la pesca y la acuicultura, 243 pp. FAO, Roma.
- Flegel TW. 2012. Historic emergence, impact and current status of shrimp pathogens in Asia. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 110: 166-173.
- **Gainza O & J Romero. 2020**. Effect of mannan oligosaccharides on the microbiota and productivity parameters of *Litopenaeus vannamei* shrimp under intensive cultivation in Ecuador. Scientific Reports 10: 2719. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59587-y

- Galindo J, I Fraga, M Arazoza, JS Alvarez, D Ramos & R González. 2002. Requerimientos nutricionales de juveniles de camarón blanco (*Litopenaeus schmitti*): evaluación de dietas prácticas. Congreso Iberoamericano Virtual de Acuacultura, La Habana, CIVA 2002: 84-94.
- García T, G Gaxiola, T García, R Pedroza, L Soto, N López & C Rosas. 1998. Influencia de las proteínas dietéticas sobre el crecimiento, la sobrevivencia y el rendimiento de las postlarvas del camaron blanco (*Penaeus setiferus*) y del camarón rosado (*P. duorarum*) del golfo de México. Revista AquaTIC 2: 16-26.
- **Gatesoupe FJ. 2007**. Live yeasts in the gut: Natural occurrence, dietary introduction, and their effects on fish health and development. Aquaculture 267: 20-30.
- **Gómez-Gil B, A Roque & J Turnbull. 2000**. The use and selection of probiotic bacteria for use in the culture of larval aquatic organisms. Aquaculture 191: 259-270.
- Gucic-Soriano M, E Cortes-Jacinto, R Civera-Cerecedo, MD Ricque-Marie & LR Martínez-Córdova. 2013. Apparent carbohydrate and lipid digestibility of feeds for whiteleg shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Decapoda: Penaeidae) cultivated at different salinities. Revista de Biología Tropical 61(3): 1201-1213.
- **Gullian M, F Thompson & J Rodríguez. 2003.** Selection of probiotic bacteria and study of their immunostimulatory effect in *Penaeus vannamei*. Aquaculture 233: 1-14.
- **Güroy D, B Güroy, DL Merrifield, AA Tekinay, SJ Davies & I Sahin. 2012.** Effects of fish oil and partial fish meal substitution with oilseed oils and meals on growth performance, nutrient utilization and health of the rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. Aquaculture International 20(3): 481-497.
- **Gyan WR, Q Yang, B Tan, SS Jan, L Jiang, S Chi, X Dong, H Liu & Z Shuang. 2020.** Effects of antimicrobial peptides on growth, feed utilization, serum biochemical indices and disease resistance of juvenile shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Aquaculture Research 51(3): 1222-1231.
- **Hernández C, MA Olvera-Novoa, DM Smith, RW Hardy & B González-Rodriguez. 2011**. Enhancement of shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* diets based on terrestrial protein sources via the inclusion of tuna by-product protein hydrolysates. Aquaculture 317: 117-123.
- Hernández-Montiel LG, CP Larralde-Corona, S Vero, MG López-Aburto, JL Ochoa & F Ascencio-Valle. 2010. Characterization of yeast *Debaryomyces hansenii* for the biological control of blue mold decay of Mexican lemon. CyTA Journal of Food 8(1): 49-56.
- Huai MY, YJ Liu, LH Tian, SH Deng, AL Xu, W Gao & HJ Yang. 2010. Effect of dietary protein reduction with synthetic amino acids supplementation on growth performance, digestibility, and body composition of juvenile Pacific White shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Aquaculture International 18: 255-269.
- Jin M, QC Zhou, W Zhang, FJ Xie, TJK Shen & XL Huang. 2013. Dietary protein requirements of the juvenile swimming crab, *Portunus trituberculatus*. Aquaculture 414: 303-308.

- Kesarcodi-Watson A, H Kaspar, MJ Lategan & L Gibson. 2012. Performance of single and multi-strain probiotics during hatchery production of Greenshell™ mussel larvae, *Perna canaliculus*. Aquaculture 354/355: 56-63.
- Kumar R, TH Ng & HC Wang. 2020. Acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease in penaeid shrimp. Reviews in Aquaculture 2: 1867-1880.
- López-Tellez NA, JA Corbalá-Bermejo, ML Bustamante-Unzueta, LP Silva-Ledesma, VM Vidal-Martínez & R Rodriguez-Canul. 2020. History, impact, and status of infectious diseases of the Pacific white shrimp *Penaeus vannamei* (Boone, 1831) cultivated in Mexico. Journal of the World Aquaculture Society 51: 334-345.
- Luis-Villaseñor IE, ME Macías-Rodríguez, B Gómez-Gil, F Ascencio-Valle & AI Campa-Córdova. 2011. Beneficial effects of four *Bacillus* strains on the larval cultivation of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Aquaculture 321: 136-144.
- Martínez-Córdova LR, M Ezquerra-Brauer, L Bringas-Alvarado, E Aguirre-Hinojosa & MC Garza-Aguirre. 2002. Optimización de alimentos y prácticas de alimentación en el cultivo de camarón en el Noroeste de México. In: Cruz-Suárez LE, D Ricque-Marie, M Tapia-Salazar, MG Gaxiola-Cortés & N Simoes (eds). Avances en Nutrición Acuícola, VI Simposio Internacional de Nutrición Acuícola, septiembre 3-6, pp. 559-581. Cancún, Quintana Roo, México.
- Martínez-Córdova LR, M Martinez-Porchas & E Cortés-Jacinto. 2009. Camaronicultura mexicana y mundial: ¿actividad sustentable o industria contaminante? Revista Internacional de Contaminación Ambiental 25(3): 181-196.
- Méndez-Martínez Y, S Yamasaki-Granados, MU García-Guerrero, LR Martínez-Córdova, ME Rivas-Vega, FG Arcos-Ortega & E Cortés-Jacinto. 2017. Effect of dietary protein content on growth rate, survival and body composition of juvenile cauque river prawn, *Macrobrachium americanum* (Bate, 1868). Aquaculture Research 48(3): 741-751.
- Méndez-Martínez Y, MU García-Guerrero, FG Arcos-Ortega, LR Martínez-Córdova, S Yamasaki-Granados, JC Pérez-Rodríguez & E Cortés-Jacinto. 2018. Effect of different ratios of dietary protein-energy on growth, body proximal composition, digestive enzyme activity, and hepatopancreas histology in *Macrobrachium americanum* (Bate, 1868) prawn juveniles. Aquaculture 485: 1-11.
- Nemutanzhela ME, Y Roets, N Gardiner & R Lalloo. 2014. The use and benefits of *Bacillus* based biological agents in aquaculture. In: Hernandez-Vergara M & CI Perez-Rostro (eds). Sustainable aquaculture techniques, pp. 239-265. InTech, Murcia. http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/57198
- Pacheco M, ÁI Campa, G Aguirre, A Luna, M Guzmán & F Ascencio. 2012. Effect of *Debaryomyces hansenii* on the antioxidant response of juvenile white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Revista MVZ Córdoba 17: 2820-2826.
- Palacios J, I Coral-Santander, A Zambrano-Lucero & J López-Macías. 2007. Evaluación comparativa de prebióticos y probióticos incorporados en el alimento comercial sobre el crecimiento y la sobrevivencia de una especie nativa, el sábalo amazónico (*Brycon melanopterus*) y una especie foránea, trucha arcoíris (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Revista Electrónica de Ingeniería en Producción Acuícola 3(3): 193-229.

- Parra URE. 1992. Resultados preliminares sobre los requerimientos proteicos de juveniles de camarón blanco (*Penaeus schmitti*, burkenroad) en acuarios experimentales. Zootecnia Tropical 10(2): 189-203.
- **Peredo AM, A Buentello, DM III Gatlin & M Hume. 2015.**Evaluation of a dairy-yeast prebiotic in the diet of juvenile Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. Journal of the World Aquaculture Society 46: 92-101.
- Pérez-Velázquez M, ML Gonzalez-Felix, S Gomez-Jimenez, DA Davis & N Miramontes-Higuera. 2008. Nitrogen budget for a low-salinity, zero-water Exchange culture system: II Evaluation of isonitrogenous feeding of various dietary protein levels to *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone). Aquaculture Research 39: 995-1004.
- Peulen O, P Deloyer, C Grandfils, S Loret & G Dandrifosse. 2000. Intestinal maturation induced by spermine in young animals. Livestock Production Science 66: 109-120.
- Phupet B, T Pitakpornpreecha, N Baowubon, P Runsaeng & P Utarabhand. 2018. Lipopolysaccharide-and β-1, 3-glucan-binding protein from *Litopenaeus vannamei*: purification, cloning and contribution in shrimp defense immunity via phenoloxidase activation. Developmental & Comparative Immunology 81: 167-179.
- Portella MC, R Takata, NJ Leitão, OC Menossi, K Kwasek & K Dabrowski. 2013. Free amino acids in Pacu, *Piaractus mesopotamicus*, eggs and larvae. Journal of the World Aquaculture Society 44: 425-434.
- **Rengpipat S, W Phianphak, P Menasveta & S Piyatiratitivorakul. 1998.** Effects of a probiotic bacterium on black tiger shrimp *Peneaus monodon*, survival and growth. Aquaculture 167 (3): 301-313.
- **Rodríguez J & G Le Moullac. 2000**. State of the art of immunological tools and health control of penaeid shrimp. Aquaculture 191: 109-119.
- Rosas C, G Cuzon, G Taboada, C Pascual, G Gaxiola & AV Wormhoudt. 2001. Effect of dietary protein and energy levels on growth, oxygen consumption, haemolymph and digestive gland carbohydrates, nitrogen excretion and osmotic pressure of *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone) and *L. setiferus* (Linne) juveniles (Crustacea; Decapoda; Penaeidae). Aquaculture Research 32: 531-547.
- **Sapcharoen P & S Rengpipat. 2013**. Effects of the probiotic *Bacillus subtilis* (BP 11 and BS 11) on the growth and survival of Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Aquaculture Nutrition 19(6): 946-954.
- Schmitt ASC & EA Santos. 1998. Ammonia-N efflux rate and nutritional state of juvenile pink shrimp, *Penaeus paulensis* (Perez-Farfante), in relation to food type. Aquaculture Research 29: 495-502.
- Shahkar E, H Yun, G Park, IK Jang, S Kim, K Katya & SC Bai. 2014. Evaluation of optimum dietary protein level for juvenile whiteleg shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). Journal of Crustacean Biology 34(5): 552-558.

- **Silva EF, MA Soares, NF Calazans, JL Vogeley, BC Do Valle, R Soares & S Peixoto. 2013**. Effect of probiotic (*Bacillus* spp.) addition during larvae and postlarvae culture of the white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Aquaculture Research 44: 13-21. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2011.03001.x
- Song YL & YT Hsieh. 1994. Immunostimulation of tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) hemocytes for generation of microbicidal substances: analysis of reactive oxygen species. Developmental & Comparative Immunology 18(3): 201-209.
- **Sotomayor MA & JL Balcazar. 2003**. Inhibición de vibrios patógenos de camarón por mezclas de cepas probióticas. Revista AquaTIC 19: 9-15.
- Stentiford GD, DM Neil, EJ Peeler, JD Shields, HJ Small, TW Flegel, JM Vlak, B Jones, F Morado, S Moss, J Lotz, L Bartholomay, DC Behringer, C Hauton & DV Lightner. 2012. Disease will limit future food supply from the global crustacean fishery and aquaculture sectors. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 110(2): 141-157.
- **Tacon AGJ & M Metian. 2015.** Feed matters: Satisfying the feed demand on aquaculture. Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture 23(1): 1-10. <10.1080/23308249.2014.987209>
- **Tacon AGJ, JJ Cody, LD Conquest, S Divakaran, IP Forster & OE Decamp. 2002.** Effect of culture system on the nutrition and growth performance of Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone) fed different diets. Aquaculture Nutrition 8: 121-137.
- **Terrazas MM, GE Avila & SH Nolasco. 2005**. Efecto de la incorporación de harina de pescado con distinto grado de cocción a dietas para pollos de engorda formuladas a un perfil de aminoácidos digeribles. Técnica Pecuaria en México 43(3): 297-308.
- Terrazas-Fierro M, R Civera-Cerecedo, L Ibarra-Martínez, E Goytortúa-Bores, M Herrera-Andrade & A Reyes-Becerra. 2010. Apparent digestibility of dry matter, protein, and essential amino acid in marine feedstuffs for juvenile whiteleg shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Aquaculture 308(3-4): 166-173.
- **Tovar-Ramírez D, D Mazurais, JF Gatesoupe, P Quazuguel, CL Cahu & JL Zambonino-Infante. 2010.** Dietary probiotic live yeast modulates antioxidant enzyme activities and gene expression of sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) larvae. Aquaculture 300(1-4): 142-147.
- Van den Bogaard AE & EE Stobberingh. 2000. Epidemiology of resistance to antibiotics: links between animals and humans. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 14(4): 327-335.
- Vargas-Albores F, F Jiménez-Vega & K Söderhäll. 1996. A plasma protein isolated from brown shrimp (*Penaeus californiensis*), which enhances the activation of prophenoloxidase system by β-1,3-glucan. Developmental & Comparative Immunology 20(5): 299-306.
- **Venkataramiah A, GJ Lakshmi & G Gunter. 1975.** Effect of protein level and vegetable matter on growth and food conversion efficiency of brown shrimp. Aquaculture 6(2): 15-125.

- Verschuere L, G Rombaut, P Sorgeloos & W Verstraete. 2000.
 Probiotic bacteria as biological control agents in aquaculture.
 Microbiology & Molecular Biology Reviews 64(4): 655-671.
- **Walker PJ & JR Winton. 2010**. Emerging viral diseases of fish and shrimp. Veterinary Research 41: 51. <doi: 10.1051/vetres/2010022>
- **Yang SP, ZH Wu & JC Jian. 2013.** Effect of marine red yeast *Rhodosporidium paludigenum* on antioxidant-related gene expression in *Litopenaeus vannamei*. The Israeli Journal of Aquaculture (Bamidgeh) 65(1): 1-6. <10.46989/001c.20665>
- **Zhou Z, Z Ding & LV Huiyuan. 2007.** Effects of dietary short-chain fructooligosaccharides on intestinal microflora, survival and growth performance of juvenile white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Journal of the World Aquaculture Society 38(2): 296-301.

Received 20 March 2021 Accepted 28 March 2022