Cercetări Agronomice în Moldova Vol. XLII . No. 2 (138) / 2009

EXPERIMENTAL ASPECTS REGARDING THE MALOLACTIC FERMENTATION OF SOME RED AND WHITE WINES

G. ODĂGERIU^{1*}, A. BĂLĂNUȚĂ², V.V. COTEA³, E. RUSU⁴, L. VACARCIUC⁵, I. NEACȘU¹

¹Research Centre for Oenology – Iaşi Branch of the Romanian Academy

²Technical University of Republic of Moldova

³University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Iaşi

⁴National Institute for Viticulture and Wine Making, Chisinau, Republic of Moldova

⁵State Agrarian University from Republic of Moldova

Received November 21, 2008

ABSTRACT – This scientific paper presents some aspects typical of the process of malolactic fermentation in some wines, respectively, the variation of total, volatile real acidity (pH), malic metabolization and formation of lactic acid, as well as the changes of some composition characteristics (tartaric and citric acids, potassium, calcium, reducing sugars and phenolic compounds). Trials conducted on seven different wines from the harvest of year 2007: two white wines obtained from Rkațiteli and Zghihară varieties and five red varieties (Burgund mare. Băbească neagră, Cabernet Sauvignon, Merlot and Fetească neagră). We have studied some wines according to their pH values (3.085, 3.135, 3.264, 3.352, 3.422, 3.470 and 3.505) and total acidity (9.96, 8.84, 7.88, 7.56, 7.25, 6.94, 6.63 g/L C₄H₆O₆), which are significant in the development of the malolactic fermentation. The investigations were carried out under laboratory conditions, for 40 days. For starting the malolactic fermentation, at the end of wine alcoholic fermentation (after 7-15 days), selected malolactic bacteria (SMB) from the Biolact assortment (Oenococcus oeni class) were added in wines as leaven. By the action of malolactic bacteria, we found in all the studied wines a differentiated diminution (according to the initial values of total acidity and of pH) in the concentration of malic acid, correlated to an increase in the lactic acid content. The modifications of the other composition characteristics sustained the evolution of malolactic fermentation, realised by the great diminution of total acidity and the pH increase, after a certain period (about 16-24 days).

Key words: composition characteristics, malolactic bacteria, malolactic fermentation, white and red wines

REZUMAT - Aspecte experimentale privind fermentația malolactică la unele

_

^{*} E-mail: odageriu@vahoo.com

G. ODĂGERIU ET AL.

vinuri roșii și albe. Lucrarea prezintă o serie de aspecte caracteristice procesului de fermentație malolactică, respectiv, variația acidității totale, volatile și reale (pH), metabolizarea acidului malic și formarea acidului lactic, precum și modificarea unor caracteristici de compozitie (acizii tartric si citric, potasiu, calciu, zaharuri reducătoare și compuși fenolici). Experimentările s-au efectuat pe vinurile din recolta anului 2007: vinurile albe, provenite din soiurile Rkațiteli și Zghihară și vinurile roșii, provenite din soiurile Burgund mare, Băbească neagră, Cabernet Sauvignon, Merlot si Fetească S-au luat în studiu vinurile diferențiate după valorile de pH (3.085, 3.135, 3.264, 3.352, 3.422, 3.470, 3.505) şi de aciditate totală (9.96, 8.84, 7.88, 7.56, 7.25, 6.94, 6.63 g/L $C_4H_6O_6$), parametrii semnificativi pentru desfăsurarea fermentatiei malolactice. Cercetările au fost efectuate în condiții de laborator. Pentru declanșarea fermentației malolactice, la sfârșitul fermentației alcoolice, după 7-15 zile, vinurile au fost însămânțate cu bacterii malolactice selectionate (BMS) din gama biolact aclimatee (clasa Oenococcus oeni), administrate sub formă de maia. Prin actiunea bacteriilor malolactice, la toate vinurile analizate s-a constatat o scădere diferențiată (în funcție de valorile inițiale ale aciditătii totale si ale pH-ului) concentrației acidului malic, corelată cu o crestere a contintului de acid lactic. De celorlalte modificările caracteristici de compoziție susțin evoluția fermentației malolactice, concretizată prin scăderea pronunțată a acidității totale și creșterea valorii pH-ului, după o anumita perioadă de timp (circa 16-24 de zile).

Cuvinte cheie: bacterii malolactice, caracteristici de compoziție, fermentație malolactică, vinuri albe și roșii

INTRODUCTION

The malolactic fermentation or the biological deacidification represents the change of malic acid from wine into lactic acid and carbon dioxide. This change takes place according to the global reaction:

This reaction shows that by the decarboxylation of malic acid, which is a dicarboxylic acid, and the formation of lactic acid, which is monocarboxylic acid, one of the acid functions disappears. Thus, during the malolactic fermentation, almost half of the acidity determined by malic acid disappears, because it is changed in CO₂, which is released, resulting in the diminution of total acidity (Cotea, 1985).

In the wines from the Romanian vineyards, the process of malolactic

fermentation was studied for the first time by C. Țârdea (1966)*. The malolactic fermentation is a beneficial biological process, especially for red wines, because it leads to a proper deacidification that confers flexibility to red wines, diminishing their astringent trait. Most of the times, it intensifies the wine colour, although

^{*} Țârdea C., 1966 - Fermentația malolactică la vinurile roșii din podgoriile Moldovei (Malolactic Fermentation in Red Wines from the Moldavian Vineyards). PhD Thesis, Institutul Agronomic Bucuresti

diminutions were also found in the anthocyans and tannin content. It ensures the biological stability to lactic bacteria. For white wines, the malolactic fermentation is generally less preferred because, by diminishing the acidity, it determines the loss of qualities some organoleptic freshness and fructuosity. In this case, it is however accepted in colder areas, especially in the years when malic acid from wine exceeds the quantity of tartaric acid (Cotea, 1985; Târdea et. al., 2007).

In a previous work (Odăgeriu, 2008), we have shown some aspects during the malolactic fermentation of some red wines, respectively, the of some physical and variation chemical indices according to the modification of their total and real acidity. We have continued the previous investigations in this study by presenting new aspects typical of the malolactic fermentation in some white sand red wines, respectively, the variation of total, volatile and real acidity (pH), the metabolization of malic acid and the formation of lactic acid, as well as the change of other composition characteristics (tartaric and citric acids. potassium and calcium) during the studied process.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Trials were conducted under laboratory conditions in wines from the harvest of year 2007: two white wines from Rkaţiteli (Stăuceni Viticulture Centre from the Republic of Moldova) and Zghihară varieties (Avereşti-Huşi Viticulture Centre); five red wines, of

which Burgund mare, Băbească neagră and Fetească neagră are from Uricani-Iași Viticulture Centre and Cabernet Sauvignon and Merlot, from Ialoveni Viticulture Centre from Republic of Moldova.

Wines were chosen according to the composition parameters that condition the malolactic fermentation: pH and total acidity (*Tables 1-7*).

At the end of alcoholic fermentation (after 7-15 days), wines were inoculated with selected malolactic bacteria (SMB) of Oenococcus oeni Class (made by PASCAL BIOTECH and purchased by the intermediary of Sodinal LTD), which were added in wines as leaven, as rehydrated biological material (RBM). The leaven was prepared as described red wines. below. In after deacidification of 5 L Merlot wine with 10 g CaCO₃ (when pH increased from 3.470 to 4.450), 25 g nutrient of Fermoplus Malolactique and 5 g selected malolactic bacteria (with concentration of 100 g/hL) were added, and the leaven was kept at the temperature of 24°C for 24 h in order to acclimate bacteria to limitative conditions of wine and to begin the cellular multiplication. Under similar conditions, in white wines we have used the Zghihară Variety, then, a mixture in equal volumes (1:1) of uninoculated wine (0.5 L from each wine) with inoculated wine (0.5 L prepared leaven) was realised, afterwards the mixture of obtained wine was left for 48 h at a temperature of 20°C. for the metabolization of 2/3 - 3/4 of the initial content of malic acid from wine.

At the initial moment and at intervals of 0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 24, 32 and 40 days, we took samples from each wine. Thus, from wines obtained from the Rkaţiteli Variety, we took R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_4 , R_5 , R_6 , R_7 and R_8 samples; from the Zghihară Variety, we took Z_1 , Z_2 , Z_3 , Z_4 , Z_5 , Z_6 , Z_7 and Z_8 samples; from the

Burgund mare Variety, we took BM_1 , BM_2 , BM_3 , BM_4 , BM_5 , BM_6 , BM_7 and BM_8 samples; from the Băbească neagră Variety, we took BN_1 , BN_2 , BN_3 , BN_4 , BN_5 , BN_6 , BN_7 and BN_8 samples; from Cabernet Sauvignon Variety, we took CS_1 , CS_2 , CS_3 , CS_4 , CS_5 , CS_6 , CS_7 and CS_8 , samples; from Merlot Variety, we took M_1 , M_2 , M_3 , M_4 , M_5 , M_6 , M_7 and M_8 samples and from Fetească neagră Variety, we took FN_1 , FN_2 , FN_3 , FN_4 , FN_5 , FN_6 , FN_7 , FN_8 samples.

At the end of the malolactic fermentation, when we found that the malic acid reached the recommended quantities, the bacterial activity of wines was stopped by their extraction from deposit and treatment with sulphur dioxide and gelatine, at rates determined in laboratory microprobes.

For each sample taken after filtering decarbonation, we carried out physical- chemical analyses. Before. during and after the malolactic fermentation, we carried out, from October until December 2007, some analyses concerning the main composition characteristics (total acidity, volatile acidity, pH, tartaric, malic, lactic and citric acids, free and total sulphur dioxide, potassium, calcium, total phenolic compounds, reducing sugars and nonreducing extract). These analyses were done according to present standards (*** 1998; *** 2005) and to the literature (Delfini Cl., 1995; Flanzy Cl., 1998; Ribereau -Gayon et al., 1972; Țârdea C., 2007; Würdig and Woller, 1989).

Based on the obtained results, we have also calculated the relative deviation (δ_r) in (%), by which were changed total acidity, real acidity (pH), malic and lactic acids from studied wines, during the malolactic fermentation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The main composition characteristics of the analysed wines (eight samples for each wine) are shown in Tables 1-7. Thus, alcohol content (% vol.) from the studied control wines had following values: 11.10 (Rkaţiteli), 11.50 (Zghihară) 10.80 (Burgund mare), 11.10 (Băbească neagră), 12.00 (Cabernet Sauvignon), 12.20 (Merlot) and 12.50 (Fetească neagră). The other values of the control samples. which were less modified, referred to the succinic acid that had values of 0.38, 0.42, 0.47, 0.52, 0.60, 0.72 respectively, 0.64 g/L, and to the content of total free sulphur dioxide. which was found between 9.3 (32.0) and 13.3 (35.0) mg/L.

The main composition characteristics (total and volatile acidity, pH, malic, lactic and citric acids) of these wines, involved in the typical processes of the malolactic fermentation according to the wine type, are shown in the abovementioned tables.

The total acidity, expressed in g/L $C_4H_6O_6$ has decreased as it follows: in wines obtained from the Rkaṭiteli Variety, from 9.96 (R_1 sample) to 7.86 (R_8 sample), at a rate of 21.1% (2.10 g/L); in wines obtained from the Zghihară Variety, from 8.84 (Z_1 sample) to 6.83 (Z_8 sample), at a rate of 22.7 % (2.01 g/L); in wines obtained from the Burgund mare Variety, from 7.88 (BM_1 sample) to 6.14 (BM_8 sample), at a rate of 22.1% (1.74 g/L); in wines

obtained from the Băbească neagră Variety, from 7.56 (BN₁ sample) to 6.02 (BN₈ sample) at a rate of 20.4% (1.54 g/L); in wines obtained from the Cabernet Sauvignon Variety, from 7.25 (CS₁ sample) to 5.80 (CS₈ sample) at a rate of 20.0% (1.45 g/L); in wines obtained from the Merlot Variety, from 6.94 (M₁ sample) to 5.78 (M₈ sample) at a rate of 16.7% (1.16 g/L); in wines obtained from the Fetească neagră Variety, from 6.63 (FN₁ sample) to 5.36 (FN₈ sample), at a rate of 19.2% (1.27 g/L).

The malic acid (g/L), which was degraded by lactic bacteria during the malolactic fermentation, decreased as it follows: in wines obtained from the Rkatiteli Variety, from 4.32 (R₁ sample) to 0.76 (R₈ sample), at a rate of 82.4% (3.56 g/L); in wines obtained from the Zghihară Variety, from 3.93 (Z_1 sample) to 0.65 $(Z_8 \text{ sample})$, at a rate of 83.5% (3.28) g/L); in wines obtained from the Burgund mare Variety, from 3.41 $(BM_1 \text{ sample})$ to 0.54 $(BM_8 \text{ sample})$ at a rate of 84.2% (2.87 g/L); in wines obtained from the Băbească neagră Variety, from 2.82 (BN₁ sample) to 0.38 (BN₈ sample) at a rate of 86.5% (2.44 g/L); in wines obtained from the Cabernet Sauvignon Variety, from 2.52 (CS₁ sample) to 0.35 (CS₈ sample) at a rate of 86.1% (2.17 g/L); in wines obtained from the Merlot Variety, from 2.22 (M_1 sample) to 0.38 (M₈ sample) at a rate of 82.9%(1.84 g/L) and in wines obtained from the Fetească neagră, from 2.30 (FN₁ sample) to 0.40 (FN₈ sample), at a rate of 82.6% (1.90 g/L).

The lactic acid (g/L), as main product of the malolactic fermentation and as result of the metabolization of some sugars (pentoses and hexoses) or of the transformation of some acids (citric and succinic), has increased as it follows: in wines obtained from the Rkatiteli Variety, from 0.29 (R₁ sample) to 2.78 (R₈ sample), at a rate of 10×85.9% (2.49 g/L); in wines obtained from the Zghihară Variety. from 0.30 (Z_1 sample) to 2.61 (Z_8 sample), at a rate of $10 \times 77.0\%$ (2.31) g/L); in wines obtained from the Burgund mare Variety, from 0.38 $(BM_1 \text{ sample})$ to 2.40 $(BM_8 \text{ sample})$, at a rate of 10×53.2% (2.02 g/L); in wines obtained from the Băbească neagră Variety, from 0.41 (BN₁) sample) to 2.15 (BN₈ sample), at a rate of 10×42.4% (1.74 g/L); in wines obtained from the Cabernet Sauvignon Variety, from 0.40 (CS₁) sample) to 1.96 (CS₈ sample), at a rate of 10×39.0% (1.56 g/L); in wines obtained from the Merlot Variety, from 0.50 (M₁ sample) to 1.85 (M₈ sample), at a rate of 10×27.0% (1.35 g/L) and in wines obtained from the Fetească neagră Variety, from 0.45 (FN₁ sample) to 1.83 (FN₈ sample), at a rate of $10 \times 30.7\%$ (1.38 g/L).

During the trial, in all the studied wines we noticed a differentiated decrease (according to the initial values of total acidity and pH) in the concentration of malic acid, correlated to a corresponding increase in the content of lactic acid.

G. ODĂGERIU ET AL.

Table 1 - Variation of main composition characteristics during the malolactic fermentation in Rkaţiteli white wine

o					Wines	Winesample			
	lnit of	۵	۵	۵			۵	۵	۵
Specification	5	ב	2	<u>ڇ</u>	₹	الح	ي ا ا	2	٤
	measure				Time (days)	(days)			
		0	4	8	12	16	24	32	40
Vicios leto T	g/L C ₄ H ₆ O ₆	96.6	9.93	9.77	9.30	8.89	8.14	8.01	7.86
i otal acidity	δ₁ (%)	0.0	-0.3	-1.9	9.9-	-10.7	-18.3	-19.6	-21.1
Volatile acidity	g/L C ₂ H ₄ O ₂	0.38	0.38	0.39	0.40	0.45	0.47	0.48	0.49
Bool collision	ie,	3.077	3.078	3.084	3.088	3.098	3.141	3.182	3.204
real acidity (pn)	S _r (%)	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4	2.0	2.1	3.4	4.1
C:00 0:10 M	g/L	4.32	4.26	4.01	3.18	2.42	1.14	96.0	92.0
Walle acid	δ₁ (%)	0.0	-1.4	-7.2	-26.4	-44.0	-73.6	-77.3	-82.4
	g/L	0.29	0.34	0.51	1.08	1.61	2.49	2.62	2.78
בשכוני שכוח	$\delta_{r} \times 10^{-1}$ (%)	0.0	1.7	7.6	27.2	45.5	75.9	80.3	85.9
Malic acid/ lactic acid		14.90	12.53	7.86	2.94	1.50	0.46	0.37	0.27
Citric acid	g/L	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.10	90.0	0.04	0.03	0.02
Total tartaric acid	g/L	3.87	3.86	3.84	3.83	3.81	3.78	3.73	3.70
Potassium	mg/L	890	887	882	880	875	898	860	853
Calcium	mg/L	74	74	74	74	74	72	71	71
Total phenolic compounds	g/L	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.23	0.23
Reducing sugars	g/L	1.45	1.44	1.44	1.42	1.40	1.38	1.36	1.34
Non-reducing extract	g/L	19.16	19.14	19.02	18.73	18.44	17.98	17.87	17.76

Table 2 - Variation of main composition characteristics during the malolactic fermentation in Zghihară white wine

	8 1				Wine	Wine sample			
Specification	linit of moseure	Ζı	Z ₂	Z ₃	Z 4	Z ₅	Ζ ₆	Z ₇	Z ₈
Specification	OIIII OI IIIEASAIE				Time	Time (days)			
		0	4	8	12	16	24	32	40
Total scription	g/L C₄H ₆ O ₆	8.84	8.75	8.54	8.01	7.34	7.02	6.90	6.83
I Otal actuity	δ _r (%)	0.0	-1.0	-3.4	-9.4	-17.0	-20.6	-21.9	-22.7
Volatile acidity	g/L C ₂ H ₄ O ₂	0.44	0.44	0.45	0.47	0.51	0.52	0.53	0.55
		3.178	3.180	3.187	3.202	3.240	3.272	3.308	3.340
neal acidity (ph)	δ _r (%)	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.8	2.0	3.0	4.1	5.1
Molio o cio	g/L	3.93	3.81	3.46	2.53	1.42	0.87	0.72	0.65
Walle acid	δ _r (%)	0.0	-3.1	-12.0	-35.6	-63.9	6.77-	-81.7	-83.5
7:00 0: 10 0 0	g/L	0.30	0.38	0.62	1.27	2.04	2.43	2.54	2.61
במכנוכ מכום	$\delta_{r} \times 10^{-1}$ (%)	0.0	2.7	10.7	32.3	58.0	71.0	74.7	77.0
Malic acid/ lactic acid		13.10	10.03	5.58	1.99	0.70	98.0	0.28	0.25
Citric acid	g/L	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.07	90.0	0.05	0.03
Total tartaric acid	g/L	3.04	3.02	3.00	2.96	2.91	2.88	2.86	2.82
Potassium	mg/L	798	793	789	781	772	764	758	752
Calcium	mg/L	78	78	27	9/	74	74	73	72
Total phenolic compounds	g/L	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.25	0.25
Reducing sugars	g/L	1.76	1.76	1.75	1.73	1.70	1.68	1.66	1.64
Non-reducing extract	g/L	19.86	19.80	19.65	19.30	18.86	18.64	18.54	18.49

G. ODĂGERIU ET AL.

Table 3 - Variation of main composition characteristics during the malolactic fermentation in Burgund mare red wine

					Wines	Wine sample			
Specification	I Init of moseurs	BM₁	BM ₂	BM ₃	BM₄	BM5	BM ₆	BM ₇	BM ₈
Specification	OIIII OI IIIEASAILE				Time (days)	days)			
		0	4	8	12	16	24	32	40
Total sociality	g/L C₄H ₆ O ₆	7.88	7.80	7.57	7.26	6.82	6.43	6.25	6.14
l otal acidity	δ₁ (%)	0.0	-1.0	-3.9	-7.9	-13.5	-18.4	-20.7	-22.1
Volatile acidity	g/L C ₂ H ₄ O ₂	0.49	0.49	0.51	0.54	0.57	09.0	0.61	0.62
		3.264	3.267	3.272	3.306	3.358	3.402	3.440	3.468
I cal acidity (pl.1)	δ _r (%)	0.0	0.1	0.2	1.3	2.9	4.2	5.4	6.3
7:00 V:10 P	g/L	3.41	3.29	2.90	2.36	1.63	96.0	0.72	0.54
ואומווני מכום	δ₁ (%)	0.0	-3.5	-15.0	-30.8	-52.2	-71.3	-78.9	-84.2
	g/L	0.38	0.46	0.73	1.12	1.63	2.08	2.26	2.40
במכווכ מכום	$\delta_{r} \times 10^{-1}$ (%)	0.0	2.1	9.2	19.5	32.9	44.7	49.5	53.2
Malic acid/ lactic acid		8.97	7.15	3.97	2.11	1.00	0.47	0.32	0.23
Citric acid	g/L	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.11	0.08	90.0	0.05	0.04
Total tartaric acid	g/L	2.47	2.46	2.44	2.40	2.37	2.34	2.32	2.30
Potassium	mg/L	940	937	933	924	920	914	606	906
Calcium	mg/L	82	82	82	81	79	78	77	77
Total phenolic compounds	g/L	1.35	1.35	1.35	1.33	1.33	1.32	1.32	1.31
Reducing sugars	g/L	2.36	2.36	2.35	2.32	2.30	2.28	2.27	2.25
Non-reducing extract	g/L	21.25	21.20	21.02	20.78	20.49	20.21	20.09	20.01

Table 4 - Variation of main composition characteristics during the malolactic fermentation in Băbească neagră red wine

					Wines	Wine sample			
Spocification	linit of moscurs	BN₁	BN ₂	BN ₃	BN₄	BN₅	BN ₆	BN ₇	BN ₈
Specification	OIIII OI IIIEASAIE				Time (days)	days)			
		0	4	8	12	16	24	32	40
Total of the state	g/L C ₄ H ₆ O ₆	7.56	7.50	7.22	6.97	6.48	6.21	6.07	6.02
I Otal acidity	δ₁ (%)	0.0	-0.8	-4.5	-7.8	-14.3	-17.9	-19.7	-20.4
Volatile acidity	g/L C ₂ H ₄ O ₂	0.53	0.53	0.55	0.59	0.62	0.65	0.66	0.68
Cool acidity (Hay)		3.352	3.356	3.390	3.429	3.470	3.515	3.572	3.593
real acidity (ph)	∂⊦ (%)	0.0	0.1	1.1	2.3	3.5	4.9	9.9	7.2
	g/L	2.82	2.72	2.31	1.76	1.06	09.0	0.44	0.38
Walle acid	δ₁ (%)	0.0	-3.5	-18.1	-37.6	-62.4	-78.7	-84.4	-86.5
7:00 0:100 -	g/L	0.41	0.49	0.78	1.18	1.67	1.99	2.10	2.15
במכוני מכוח	$\delta_{\rm r} \times 10^{-1}$ (%)	0.0	2.0	9.0	18.8	30.7	38.5	41.2	42.4
Malic acid/ lactic acid		6.88	5.55	2.96	1.49	0.63	0.30	0.21	0.18
Citric acid	g/L	0.17	0.17	0.15	0.12	60.0	0.07	90.0	0.04
Total tartaric acid	g/L	2.70	2.68	2.64	2.60	2.57	2.55	2.53	2.52
Potassium	mg/L	1010	1005	962	988	986	985	983	983
Calcium	mg/L	93	93	92	91	90	89	88	88
Total phenolic compounds	g/L	1.56	1.56	1.55	1.53	1.52	1.51	1.50	1.48
Reducing sugars	g/L	2.75	2.74	2.72	2.69	2.66	2.65	2.65	2.64
Non-reducing extract	g/L	22.13	22.09	21.87	21.63	21.33	21.14	21.05	20.99

G. ODĂGERIU ET AL.

Table 5 - Variation of main composition characteristics during the malolactic fermentation in Cabernet Sauvignon red wine

Unit of measure CS1 CS2 CS3 CS4 0 4 8 12 $g/L C_4 H_6 O_6$ 7.25 7.18 6.94 6.56 δ_1 (%) 0.0 -1.0 -4.3 -9.5 g/L 0.42 0.43 0.47 0.47 g/L 2.52 2.40 1.36 1.36 g/L 0.0 -4.8 -22.2 -46.0 g/L 0.0 0.4 0.5 2.0 g/L 0.4 0.4 0.82 1.24 g/L 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 g/L 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 g/L 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	Ň					Mine	-			
Unit of measure CS1 CS2 CS3 CS4 Ime (da) 0 4 8 12 $g/L C_4 H_6 O_6$ 7.25 7.18 6.94 6.56 $\delta_+ (\%)$ 0.0 -1.0 -4.3 -9.5 $\delta_+ (\%)$ 0.0 -1.0 -4.3 -9.5 $\delta_+ (\%)$ 0.0 -1.0 -4.3 -9.5 $\delta_+ (\%)$ 0.0 0.2 0.44 0.47 g/L 2.52 2.40 1.96 1.36 g/L 2.52 2.40 1.96 1.36 g/L 0.0 -4.8 -22.2 -46.0 g/L 0.0 -4.8 -22.2 -46.0 g/L 0.0 2.3 10.5 21.0 g/L 0.0 2.3 10.5 21.0 g/L 0.28 0.27 0.26 0.24 g/L 2.62 2.61 2.59 2.55 g/L 2.62 2.61 <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>MILE</td> <td>allible</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		•				MILE	allible			
Time (ide) 0 4 8 12 0 7.25 7.18 6.94 6.56 $\delta_{\gamma}(\%)$ 0.0 -1.0 -4.3 -9.5 $\delta_{\gamma}(\%)$ 0.0 -1.0 -4.3 -9.5 $\delta_{\gamma}(\%)$ 0.0 -1.0 -4.3 -9.5 $\delta_{\gamma}(\%)$ 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.47 $\delta_{\gamma}(\%)$ 0.0 0.2 0.5 2.0 $\delta_{\gamma}(\%)$ 0.0 -4.8 -22.2 -46.0 $\delta_{\gamma}(\%)$ 0.0 2.3 10.5 21.0 $\delta_{\gamma}(\%)$ 0.0 2.3 10.5 21.0 $\delta_{\gamma}(\%)$ 0.0 2.3 10.5 21.0 $\delta_{\gamma}(\%)$ 0.2 2.6 2.59 2.55 $\delta_{\gamma}(\%)$	Specification	I laif of moseline	CS1	CS_2	CS3	CS⁴	CS ₅	CSe	CS ₇	CS®
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Specification					Time (days)			
g/L C ₄ H ₆ O ₆ 7.25 7.18 6.94 6.56 δ_{+} (%) 0.0 -1.0 -4.3 -9.5 g/L C ₂ H ₄ O ₂ 0.42 0.43 0.47 0.47 δ_{+} (%) 0.0 0.2 0.43 3.492 3.492 δ_{+} (%) 0.0 0.2 0.5 2.0 2.0 δ_{+} (%) 0.0 -4.8 -22.2 -46.0 3.45 δ_{+} (%) 0.0 -4.8 -22.2 -46.0 3.2 δ_{+} (%) 0.0 0.49 0.82 1.24 3.2 δ_{+} (%) 0.0 2.3 1.0.5 21.0 4.0 δ_{+} (%) 0.2 2.9 2.5 2.5 2.2 δ_{-} (%) 0.2 <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>4</td> <td>8</td> <td>12</td> <td>16</td> <td>24</td> <td>32</td> <td>40</td>			0	4	8	12	16	24	32	40
δ_{r} (%) 0.0 -1.0 -4.3 -9.5 g/L C ₂ H ₄ O ₂ 0.42 0.43 0.44 0.47 g/L C ₂ H ₄ O ₂ 3.422 3.429 3.438 3.492 g/L D ₁ 0.0 0.2 0.5 0.5 2.0 g/L D ₂ 0.0 -4.8 -2.2 -46.0 0.0 0.0 1.36 1.36 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.36 1.24 0.0 0	Vision of T	g/L C ₄ H ₆ O ₆	7.25	7.18	6.94	92'9	6.19	5.94	5.84	5.80
g/L $C_2H_4O_2$ 0.42 0.43 0.44 0.47 3.422 3.429 3.429 3.438 3.492 δ_1 (%) 0.0 0.2 0.5 2.0 g/L 2.52 2.40 1.96 1.36 δ_1 (%) 0.0 -4.8 -22.2 -46.0 g/L 0.40 0.49 0.82 1.24 $\delta_7 \times 10^{-1}$ (%) 0.0 2.3 10.5 21.0 g/L 0.28 0.27 0.26 0.24 g/L 0.28 0.27 0.26 0.24 g/L 2.62 2.61 2.59 2.55 nmg/L 59 589 585 57 nmg/L 5.26 2.25 2.24 2.23 ounds g/L 2.86 2.85 2.81 2.79 ounds g/L 2.560 2.81 2.71	I Otal actuity	δ₁ (%)	0.0	-1.0	-4.3	-9.5	-14.6	-18.1	-19.4	-20.0
3.422 3.429 3.438 3.492 δ_{1} (%) 0.0 0.2 0.5 2.0 g/L 2.52 2.40 1.96 1.36 δ_{1} (%) 0.0 -4.8 -22.2 -46.0 g/L 0.40 0.49 0.82 1.24 δ_{1} (%) 0.0 2.3 10.5 21.0 g/L 0.28 0.27 0.26 0.24 g/L 0.28 0.27 0.26 0.24 g/L 2.62 2.61 2.59 2.55 g/L 592 589 585 57 g/L 5.26 5.2 52 51 g/L 5.26 2.25 2.24 2.23 g/L 2.86 2.85 2.81 2.79 g/L 2.86 2.87 2.81 2.71	Volatile acidity	g/L C₂H₄O₂	0.42	0.43	0.44	0.47	0.49	0.53	0.56	0.58
δ_{r} (%) 0.0 0.2 0.5 2.0 g/L 2.52 2.40 1.36 1.36 δ_{r} (%) 0.0 -4.8 -22.2 -46.0 g/L 0.40 0.49 0.82 1.24 $\delta_{r} \times 10^{-1}$ (%) 0.0 2.3 10.5 21.0 I g/L 0.28 0.27 0.26 0.24 g/L 2.62 2.61 2.59 2.55 g/L 592 589 585 577 g/L 52 52 52 51 g/L 5.26 5.25 5.2 51 g/L 2.26 2.25 2.24 2.23 g/L 2.86 2.85 2.81 2.79 g/L 2.86 2.85 2.81 2.79	(Us) viligion Issa	3	3.422	3.429	3.438	3.492	3.514	3.585	3.678	3.695
g/L 2.52 2.40 1.96 1.36 δ_{1} (%) 0.0 -4.8 -22.2 -46.0 g/L 0.40 0.49 0.82 1.24 $\delta_{\times}10^{-1}$ (%) 0.0 2.3 10.5 21.0 I 6.30 4.90 2.39 1.10 g/L 0.28 0.27 0.26 0.24 g/L 2.62 2.61 2.59 2.55 mg/L 592 589 585 57 mg/L 5.2 52 52 51 g/L 2.26 2.25 2.24 2.23 g/L 2.86 2.85 2.81 2.79 g/L 2.86 2.85 2.81 2.79	iveal acidity (pl.1)	δ₁ (%)	0.0	0.2	0.5	2.0	2.7	4.8	7.5	8.0
δ_{\uparrow} (%) 0.0 -4.8 -22.2 -46.0 g/L 0.40 0.49 0.82 1.24 δ_{\times} 10 ⁻¹ (%) 0.0 2.3 10.5 21.0 I 6.30 4.90 2.39 1.10 g/L 0.28 0.27 0.26 0.24 g/L 2.62 2.61 2.59 2.55 mg/L 592 589 585 57 nud/L 52 52 52 51 ounds g/L 2.26 2.25 2.24 2.23 g/L 2.86 2.85 2.81 2.79 g/L 2.560 2.54 25.71 25.11	7.00	g/L	2.52	2.40	1.96	1.36	0.72	0.40	0.38	0.35
	Walle acid	δ₁ (%)	0.0	-4.8	-22.2	-46.0	-71.4	-84.1	-84.9	-86.1
\$\rightarrow{1}\(\psi\rmathcal{0}\) 0.0 2.3 10.5 21.0 6.30 4.90 2.39 1.10 g/L 0.28 0.27 0.26 0.24 g/L 2.62 2.61 2.59 2.55 mg/L 52 589 585 57 ounds g/L 5.26 2.25 5.2 51 g/L 2.86 2.85 2.81 2.79 g/L 2.560 25.54 25.71 25.11	7:00 0: 10 0	g/L	0.40	0.49	0.82	1.24	1.68	1.90	1.93	1.96
6.30	במכווכ מכומ	$\delta_{r} \times 10^{-1}$ (%)	0.0	2.3	10.5	21.0	32.0	37.5	38.3	39.0
g/L 0.28 0.27 0.26 0.24 g/L 2.62 2.61 2.59 2.55 mg/L 592 589 585 577 nmg/L 52 52 52 51 ounds g/L 2.26 2.25 2.24 2.23 g/L 2.86 2.85 2.81 2.79 g/L 25.60 25.54 25.37 25.11	Malic acid/ lactic acid		6.30	4.90	2.39	1.10	0.43	0.21	0.20	0.18
g/L 2.62 2.61 2.59 2.55 mg/L 592 589 585 577 ng/L 52 52 52 51 ounds g/L 2.26 2.25 2.24 2.23 g/L 2.86 2.85 2.81 2.79 g/L 2.560 25.54 25.37 25.11	Citric acid	g/L	0.28	0.27	0.26	0.24	0.22	0.19	0.16	0.14
mg/L 592 589 585 577 mg/L 52 52 52 51 unds g/L 2.86 2.85 2.81 2.79 a/L 25.60 25.54 25.37 25.11	Total tartaric acid	g/L	2.62	2.61	2.59	2.55	2.53	2.49	2.46	2.44
mg/L 52 52 51 51 51 51 52 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	Potassium	mg/L	592	589	585	277	572	562	556	550
ounds g/L 2.26 2.25 2.24 2.23 g/L 2.86 2.85 2.81 2.79 g/L 25.60 25.54 25.37 25.11	Calcium	mg/L	52	52	52	51	51	20	49	49
g/L 2.86 2.85 2.81 2.79 a/L 25.60 25.54 25.37 25.11		g/L	2.26	2.25	2.24	2.23	2.21	2.20	2.18	2.16
a/L 25.60 25.54 25.37 25.11	Reducing sugars	g/L	2.86	2.85	2.81	2.79	2.78	2.78	2.76	2.75
	Non-reducing extract	g/L	25.60	25.54	25.37	25.11	24.84	24.64	24.55	24.48

Table 6 - Variation of main composition characteristics during the malolactic fermentation in Merlot red wine

					Wine sample	ample			
100000000	1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	Σ	M ₂	∑ ∑	M ₄	M ₅	Me	M ₇	×
Specification	OIIII OI IIIEASUIE				Time (days)	days)			
		0	4	8	12	16	24	32	40
Tipioo loto L	g/L C₄H ₆ O ₆	6.94	68.9	6.75	6.56	6.19	5.84	5.82	5.78
i otal acidity	S _r (%)	0.0	-0.7	-2.7	-5.5	-10.8	-15.9	-16.1	-16.7
Volatile acidity	g/L C ₂ H ₄ O ₂	0.50	0.54	0.57	0.61	0.63	0.65	99.0	99'0
		3.470	3.475	3.533	3.552	3.629	3.645	3.652	3.665
iveal acidity (pl.1)	δ _r (%)	0.0	0.1	1.8	2.4	4.6	5.0	5.2	5.6
Molico cilon	g/L	2.22	2.12	1.96	1.57	1.08	0.44	0.42	0.38
ואומווכ מכוח	δ₁ (%)	0.0	-4.5	-11.7	-29.3	-51.4	-80.2	-81.1	-82.9
7.000	g/L	0.50	0.58	0.70	1.01	1.34	1.78	1.81	1.85
Lacile acid	$\delta_{r} \times 10^{-1}$ (%)	0.0	1.6	4.0	10.2	16.8	25.6	26.2	27.0
Malic acid/ lactic acid		4.44	3.66	2.80	1.55	0.81	0.25	0.23	0.21
Citric acid	g/L	0.25	0.22	0.19	0.16	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.11
Total tartaric acid	g/L	2.36	2.34	2.30	2.28	2.24	2.22	2.21	2.20
Potassium	mg/L	029	999	657	650	643	640	929	634
Calcium	mg/L	58	58	22	22	26	26	99	56
Total phenolic compounds	g/L	2.01	2.01	2.00	1.98	1.97	1.95	1.94	1.92
Reducing sugars	g/L	2.60	2.59	2.57	2.52	2.52	2.51	2.49	2.47
Non-reducing extract	g/L	23.50	23.43	23.30	23.13	22.89	22.63	22.59	22.55

G. ODĂGERIU ET AL.

Table 7 - Variation of main composition characteristics during the malolactic fermentation in Fetească neagră red wine

					Wine sample	ample			
n distribution of	Unit of	FN	FN ₂	FN ₃	FN₄	FN ₅	PN ₆	FN ₇	, SA
Specification	measure				Time (days)	days)			
		0	4	8	12	16	24	32	40
Vision lety T	g/L C₄H ₆ O ₆	6.63	6.58	6.42	60.9	5.73	5.44	5.39	5.36
ן סנמן מכוטונץ	δ _r (%)	0.0	-0.8	-3.2	-8.1	-13.6	-17.9	-18.7	-19.2
Volatile acidity	g/L C ₂ H ₄ O ₂	0.57	0.59	0.63	99.0	0.69	0.71	0.73	0.75
(In) visiting Inda		3.505	3.514	3.530	3.654	3.743	3.782	3.796	3.806
real acidity (pl.1)	δ _r (%)	0.0	0.3	0.7	4.3	6.8	7.9	8.3	8.6
7:00	g/L	2.30	2.20	1.90	1.52	96.0	0.51	0.46	0.40
Walle acid	δ _r (%)	0.0	-4.3	-17.4	-33.9	-57.4	-77.8	-80.0	-82.6
7:00 0: 10 0 -	g/L	0.45	0.54	92.0	1.03	1.41	1.74	1.78	1.83
במכווט מכוח	$\delta_{\rm r} \times 10^{-1}$ (%)	0.0	2.0	6.9	12.9	21.3	28.7	29.6	30.7
Malic acid/ lactic acid		5.11	4.07	2.50	1.48	0.70	0.29	0.26	0.22
Citric acid	g/L	0.20	0.18	0.15	0.12	0.10	0.08	90.0	0.05
Total tartaric acid	g/L	2.08	2.06	2.05	2.00	1.98	1.96	1.94	1.92
Potassium	mg/L	1040	1035	1032	1023	1019	1014	1010	1004
Calcium	mg/L	92	92	92	90	88	89	88	88
Total phenolic compounds	g/L	1.80	1.80	1.79	1.77	1.76	1.75	1.74	1.72
Reducing sugars	g/L	2.94	2.92	2.90	2.88	2.86	2.84	2.84	2.83
Non-reducing extract	g/L	24.20	24.15	24.00	23.78	23.55	23.34	23.27	23.20

In all the studied wines, we found that the content of malic acid has slightly decreased in the first 16 days and then (during the next 8 days), it generally had the greatest diminution and, subsequently, during it diminished slowly, days. reaching, at the end, values comprised between 0.65 and 0.76 g/L in white wines and, respectively, between 0.35 and 0.54 g/L in red wines. In all the studied wines, we have also noticed that the content of lactic acid has slightly increased in the first 16 days and then (during the next 8 days), it the greatest increase subsequently, during 40 days, it has slowly increased, reaching, at the end, the value of 2.78 g/L in white wines and values comprised between 1.83 and 2.40 g/L in red wines.

The citric acid (g/L), which, during the malolactic fermentation, was degraded by lactic bacteria, has decreased as it follows: in wines obtained from the Rkatiteli Variety, from 0.12 (R_1 sample) to 0.02 (R_8 sample) at a rate of 83.3% (0.10 g/L); in wines obtained from the Zghihară Variety, from 0.13 (Z_1 sample) to 0.03 $(Z_8 \text{ sample})$, at a rate of 76.9% (0.10) g/L); in wines obtained from the Burgund mare Variety, from 0.15 $(BM_1 \text{ sample})$ to 0.04 $(BM_8 \text{ sample})$, at a rate of 73.3% (0.11 g/L); in wines obtained from the Băbească neagră Variety, from 0.17 (BN₁ sample) to 0.04 (BN₈ sample), at a rate of 76.5% (0.13 g/L); in wines obtained from the Cabernet Sauvignon Variety, from 0.28 (CS₁ sample) to 0.14 (CS₈ sample), at a rate of 50.0% (0.14 g/L); in wines obtained from the Merlot Variety, from 0.25 (M_1 sample) to 0.11 (M_8 sample) at a rate of 56.0% (0.14 g/L) and in wines obtained from the Fetească neagră Variety, from 0.20 (FN₁ sample) to 0.05 (FN₈ sample), at a rate of 75.0% (0.15 g/L).

The volatile acidity (volatile acids), as a direct result of the metabolization of citric acid and as by-product of other secondary reactions, typical of the malolactic fermentation, expressed as C₂H₄O₂, has increased as it follows: in wines obtained from the Rkatiteli Variety, from 0.38 (R₁ sample) to 0.49 $(R_8 \text{ sample})$, at a rate of 28.9% (0.11) g/L); in wines obtained from the Zghihară Variety, from 0.44 (Z₁ sample) to 0.55 (Z_8 sample), at a rate of 25.0% (0.11); in wines obtained from the Burgund mare Variety, from 0.49 (BM₁ sample) to 0.62 (BM₈ sample), at a rate of 26.5% (0.13); in wines obtained from the Băbească neagră Variety, from 0.53 (BN₁ sample) to 0.68 (BN₈ sample), at a rate of 28.3% (0.15): in wines obtained from the Cabernet Sauvignon Variety, from 0.42 (CS₁) sample) to 0.58 (CS₈ sample), at a rate of 38.1% (0.16); in wines obtained from the Merlot Variety, from 0.50 $(M_1 \text{ sample})$ to 0.66 $(M_8 \text{ sample})$, at a rate of 32.0% (0.16) and in wines obtained from the Fetească neagră Variety, from 0.57 (FN₁ sample) to 0.75 (FN₈ sample), at a rate of 31.6%(0.18). The values of volatile acidity did not exceed the limit of 0.20 g/L C₂H₄O₂, which is shown in the literature (Bauer and Dicks, 2004; Cotea, 1985; Odăgeriu et al., 2008; Țârdea et al., 2000; Țârdea, 2007;

Würdig and Woller, 1989) pointing out the best development of the malolactic fermentation.

At the same time, there was found a diminution in the main components, which influenced the solubility of tartaric compounds, respectively, tartaric acid, potassium and calcium. At its turn, this diminution was also influenced by the increase in the pH value.

The tartaric acid (g/L), as result of the insolubilization of tartaric compounds during the malolactic fermentation, has decreased as it follows: in wines obtained from the Rkaţiteli Variety, from 3.87 (R₁ sample) to 3.70 (R₈ sample), at a rate of 4.4% (0.17 g/L); in wines obtained from the Zghihară Variety, from 3.04 $(Z_1 \text{ sample})$ to 2.82 $(Z_8 \text{ sample})$, at a rate of 7.2% (0.22 g/L); in wines obtained from the Burgund mare Variety, from 2.47 (BM₁ sample) to 2.28 (BM₈ sample), at a rate of 7.7% (0.19 g/L); in wines obtained from the Băbească neagră Variety, from 2.70 (BN₁ sample) to 2.52 (BN₈ sample), at a rate of 6.7% (0.18 g/L); in wines from obtained the Cabernet Sauvignon Variety, from 2.62 (CS₁ sample) to 2.44 (CS₈ sample), at a rate of 6.9% (0.18 g/L); in wines obtained from the Merlot Variety, from 2.36 $(M_1 \text{ sample})$ to 2.20 $(M_8 \text{ sample})$, at a rate of 6.8% (0.16 g/L) and in wines obtained from the Fetească neagră Variety, from 2.08 (FN₁ sample) to 1.92 (FN₈ sample), at a rate of 7.7%(0.16 g/L).

Potassium and calcium contents have also decreased because of the insolubilization of tartaric

compounds during the malolactic fermentation, at the same time with the diminution in tartaric acid content. Thus, the potassium content (mg/L) has decreased as it follows: from 890 $(R_1 \text{ sample})$ to 853 $(R_8 \text{ sample})$ in wines obtained from the Rkatiteli Variety; from 798 (Z_1 sample) to 752 (Z₈ sample) in Zghihară wine; from 940 (BM₁ sample) to 906 (BM₈ sample) in Burgund mare wine; from 1010 (BN₁ sample) to 983 (BN₈ sample) in Băbească neagră wine; from 592 (CS₁ sample) to 550 (CS₈ sample) in wines obtained from the Cabernet Sauvignon Variety; from $670 \text{ (M}_1 \text{ sample) to } 634 \text{ (M}_8 \text{ sample)}$ in wines obtained from the Merlot Variety and from 1040 (FN₁ sample) to 1004 (FN₈ sample) in wines obtained from the Fetească neagră Variety. The calcium content (mg/L) has shown a lower diminution than the potassium content and decreased as it follows: from 74 (R₁ sample) to 71 (R₈ sample) in wines obtained from the Rkatiteli Variety; from 78 $(Z_1 \text{ sample})$ to 72 $(Z_8 \text{ sample})$ in Zghihară wine; from 82 (BM₁ sample) to 77 (BM₈ sample) in Burgund mare wine; from 93 (BN₁ sample) to 88 (BN₈ sample) in Băbească neagră wine; from 52 (CS₁ sample) to 49 (CS₈ sample) in wines obtained from the Cabernet Sauvignon Variety; from 58 (M₁ sample) to 56 (M₈ sample) in wines obtained from the Merlot Variety and from 92 (FN₁ sample) to 89 (FN₈ sample) in wines obtained from the Fetească neagră Variety.

During this trial, we found in all the analysed wines a differentiated diminution of total acidity, correlated

to similar increases of the pH value. according to the decrease in malic acid content and the increase in lactic acid content. Thus. the greatest diminution in g/L $C_4H_6O_6$ (2.10, 2.01) of total acidity was found in white wines (Rkatiteli and Zghihară), which had the highest initial values of malic acid (4.32 and 3.93 g/L), followed by the acidity diminution in the two red wines (Burgund mare and Băbească neagră), which were more acid (1.74 and 1.54 g/L C₄H₆O₆) and had initial contents of malic acid of 3.41 and 2.82 g/L, and by the diminution found in the last three red wines (Cabernet Sauvignon, Merlot and Fetească neagră) of 1.45, 1.16 and 1.27 g/L C₄H₆O₆, at which the initial content of malic acid was of 2.52, 2.22 and 2.30 g/L.

The experimental data have also shown that the duration of the malic acid metabolization was differentiated according to wine type (white or red), initial total acidity (given by the contents of malic, tartaric, succinic, lactic, citric and volatile acids), pH value and to the content of total free sulphur dioxide, temperature whole composition of the studied wines. We also mention that in these trials we preferred a temperature of 16-18°C, closer to the technological conditions from the oenological practice.

CONCLUSIONS

The inoculation with selected malolactic bacteria (SMB) from the Biolact assortment (*Oenococcus oeni* Class), added in wines as leaven, is

recommended in the oenological practice, because it offers the best conditions for the biological deacidification of wines. Therefore, we found an efficient metabolization of malic acid to lactic acid, which gives high organoleptic qualities to the wines submitted to this process.

The modifications of the other composition characteristics sustain the best evolution of malolactic fermentation, materialized by the increase in pH value and the great diminution in total acidity for a certain period (about 16-24 days).

The malolactic fermentation is a beneficial process, both for red and white wines, because it ensures their biological stability towards the lactic bacteria. Correlated to this aspect, we assess that it leads to a proper deacidification, which gives flexibility to red wines, by diminishing the astringency (tannin content decrease) and intensifying their colours.

In white wines, the malolactic fermentation is indicated only for the diminution of total acidity within the limits of 1.0-2.0 g/L C₄H₆O₆, because the organoleptic qualities are affected by greater decreases. However, this process can be successfully used in white and red wines, with greater contents than 4.5 g/L malic acid (total acidity of 9.0-12.0 g/L C₄H₆O₆), on the condition that deacidification should be done only in part of wine and then it should be blended with the initial wine, as fast as possible, after ceasing the malolactic fermentation and wine clearing with specific treatments.

REFERENCES

- Bauer R., Dicks L. M. T., 2004 Control of Malolactic Fermentation in Wine. A Review. S. Afr. J. Enol. Vitic., Vol. 25, No. 2. p. 74-88
- Bartowsky E. J., 2005 Oenococcus oeni and malolactic fermentation - moving into the molecular arena. Austral. J. grape and wine res., vol. 2, no. 11, p. 174-187
- Cotea D. V., 1985 Tratat de Oenologie (Treatise of Oenology), vol. 1. Bucuresti, Edit. Ceres, p. 533-546
- Croitoru C., 2005 -Reducerea acidității musturilor și vinurilor, Metode și procedee fizice, fizico-chimice, chimice și biologice (Diminution in must and wine acidity. Physical, physical-chemical, chemical and biological methods and proceedings). Edit. Agir, București, p. 241-307
- **Delfini CI., 1995-**Scienza e tehnica di microbiologia enologica. Edizioni "IL LIEVITO", Asti, Italia, p. 238-247
- Flanzy CI., 1998-Œnologie, Fondements scientifiques et technologiques. Edition Lavoisier, Techniques & Documentation, Paris, France, p. 498-525
- Kontek A., Kontek Adriana, 1979 Dirijarea şi controlul fermentației
 malolactice la vinurile roşii de
 calitate superioară (Management
 and control of malolactic
 fermentation in high quality red
 wines), Producția vegetalăHorticultura, nr. 12, p. 20-28
- Lepădatu V., Sandu-Ville G., Sandu-Ville Gabriela., Sauciuc J., 1975 -Studiul unor factori care influentează desfăsurarea fermentatiei malolactice la vinurile din podgoria lasi (Study on some factors influencina the malolactic fermentation in wines from lasi Vineyard), An. Inst. Vitic., Vinif., vol. VI, p. 431-441
- Odăgeriu G., Cotea V. V., Țîbîrnă C., Bărboiu Al. B., 1994 - Modificarea conținutului de aminoacizi din vin ca urmare a fermentatiei malolactice

- (Modification of the amino acid content from wine, as result of malolactic fermentation). Cercet. agron. în Moldova, vol. 1-2 (101), laşi, p. 209-213
- Odăgeriu G., Neacşu I., Niculaua M., Zamfir C., Buzilă I., 2008 Aspecte privind variația unor indici fizico-chimici în timpul fermentației malolactice la unele vinuri roșii (Aspects on the variation of some physical-chemical indices during the malolactic fermentation in some red wines). Simpozion INVV "Realizări inovative în domeniul viti-vinicol", Chişinău, 18-19 septembrie, p. 186-188
- Ribereau-Gayon J., Peynaud E., Sudraud P., Ribereau-Gayon P., 1972 - Traité d'oenologie. Sciences et techniques du vin, tome 1. Analyse et contrôle des vins. Dunod-Paris, France
- Ţârdea C., Sârbu Gh., Ţârdea Angela,2000 Tratat de vinificație (Wine-
making treatise). Edit. "Ion Ionescu
de la Brad Iași", p. 337-348
- Țârdea C.,
 2007- Chimia și analiza vinurilor (Wine chemistry and analysis).

 Edit. "Ion Ionescu de la Brad". Iasi
- Vodošek Vrščaj T., Cigic Kralj I., Strlič M., Košmerl T., 2008 -The utilization of free amino acids during the malolactic fermentation of Malvasia wine. Riv. Vitic. Enol., n. 2-3-4, 2008, Italia, p. 243-254
- Würdig G., Woller R., 1989 Chemie des wines. Ed. Ulmer, Stuttgart, Germany
- *** 2005, Colectie de standarde pentru industria vinului si bauturilor alcoolice (Collection of standards for industry of wines and alcoholic beverages). Ministerul Industriei Alimentare, Bucuresti
- *** 2005, Recueil des méthodes internationales d'analyse des vins et des moûts. Office International de la Vigne et du Vin, Édition Officielle, juin, Paris