



Dear Fatahul Arifin:

Congratulations - We are pleased to inform you that your manuscript #1031 (“Optimization of Molding Parameters for a Micro Gear with Taguchi Method”) has now been **ACCEPTED** by 2nd FIRST International Conference 2018 (Forum in Research, Science, and Technology)

The evaluation of your paper and all comments from reviewers of your paper are enclosed to this message.

To inclusion in IoP proceeding, pay attention to your **similarity**. The IoP only allows the similarity not more than **30%**.

we also would like your cooperation to double check of your paper:

1. The copyright: Please ensure you process the copyright.
2. Complete your data. For **presenter** please complete the form: <https://form.jotform.me/82723606233453> and for **non-presenter, accompanying person, or participant**, please complete the form: <https://form.jotform.me/82724207533454>.
3. For the paper final version of 2nd FIRST 2018, for TRACK 1 and TRACK 2: MUST adhere to the IoP Author Guideline, kindly follow the link:

<http://first.polsri.ac.id/2018/2018/03/20/guidelines-for-authors/>

For TRACK 3, Please follow the Scitepress template manuscript:

4. Please ensure that number of pages of your final paper is not more than 10 pages, or additional fees will be charged to you, i.e. Rp. 250.000 (USD 15) for each page.
5. Please take notice that the Final Paper should be submitted by October 15, 2018.
6. IOP reserves the right to exclude a paper from distribution after the conference (e.g. removal from IOP) if the paper is not presented at the conference.
7. 2nd FIRST 2018 organizers are now planning the rundown program and will inform you in coming weeks the information related to FIRST 2018.

We are looking forward to see you in Palembang-Indonesia, on October 30-31, 2018.

Sincerely Yours,

Assoc. Prof. Rusdianasari
Conference Chair

2nd FIRST website: <http://first.polsri.ac.id/2018/home/>

Email: intfirst@polsri.ac.id

Reviews/Comments:

=====
Review
=====

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

* No weak aspects

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

* The authors need to improve the English

***** Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.**

Good (4)

***** Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.**

Valid work but limited contribution (3)

***** Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.**

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated (3)

***** Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.**

Well written (4)