NTICANCER RESEARCH International Journal of Cancer Research and Treatment Editorial Office: International Institute of Anticancer Research, 1st km Kapandritiou - Kalamou Rd., Kapandriti, P.O.Box 22, Attiki 19014, Greece Fax: 0030-22950-53389 Tel: 0030-22950-52945 e-mail: journals@iiar-anticancer.org Dear Sir/Madam. Please find the enclosed galley proofs of your article. Below are instructions on how to help facilitate the timely publication of your article in ANTICANCER RESEARCH. 1. Please read, correct and return the proofs to the Editorial Office within 2-3 working days. 2. Proofs may be Faxed, e-mailed or returned by the fastest mail available. Delays in the return of your proofs may necessitate transferring your paper to a later issue of the journal. 3. While reviewing your manuscript, please be on the lookout for unintended changes in the meaning of the text through editorial corrections or language improvements. 4. With the exception of the above, corrections should be limited to typographical errors. 5. To promote our rapid publication policy, figures are not sent to authors unless alterations have been made through the editing process. 6. Should you require extra reprints of your article, please order them now. Reprints ordered at this time will reach you considerably faster than if ordered after the publication of your article. 7. For further information on your article (publication date, volume, page numbers etc.), please contact the Editorial Office by Fax/Tel: 0030-22950-53389, e-mail: journals@iiar-anticancer.org, or by sending a letter to the Editorial Office: International Institute of Anticancer Research, 1st km Kapandritiou - Kalamou Rd., Kapandriti, P.O.Box 22, Attiki 19014, Greece. 8. In all correspondence with us please provide your manuscript number, your complete postal address, telephone/fax numbers and e-mail address. Thank you for taking the time to study these guidelines. Yours, John 7 Delivarior

J.G. Delinassios Managing Editor

Enclosures

No: **6581-G** Please mark the appropriate section for this paper Experimental Clinical Epidemiological

P53 Gene Status in Patients with Advanced Serous Epithelial Ovarian Cancer in Relation to Response to Paclitaxel- plus Platinum-based Chemotherapy and Long-term Clinical Outcome

ANGIOLO GADDUCCI¹, CLAUDIO DI CRISTOFANO², MICHELE ZAVAGLIA², LAURA GIUSTI², MICHELE MENICAGLI², STEFANIA COSIO¹, ANTONIO G. NACCARATO², ANDREA R. GENAZZANI¹, GENEROSO BEVILACQUA² and ANDREA O. CAVAZZANA²

¹Department of Procreative Medicine, Division of Gynecology and Obstetrics and ²Department of Oncology, Division of Surgical, Molecular and Ultrastructural Pathology, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

Abstract. Background: The aim of this retrospective study was to assess whether p53 gene status has any predictive or prognostic relevance in patients with advanced, poorlydifferentiated serous epithelial ovarian cancer treated with paclitaxel- plus platinum-based chemotherapy. Materials and Methods: The study was conducted on 46 patients who underwent surgery followed by paclitaxel- plus carboplatinbased chemotherapy. The tumor tissue samples were analyzed for p53 gene mutations. The median follow-up of survivors was 50.3 months. Results: Twenty-three patients (50%) showed p53 mutations at exons 5 to 9. Sixteen (34.8%) patients had a polymorphism at codon 72 in exon 4 (SNP codon 72): 10 were Pro/Pro homozygous and 6 Pro/Arg heterozygous. Four polymorphic patients had a second mutation at exons 5 to 9. An inverse correlation was evidenced between the SNP codon 72 and mutations at exons 5 to 9, with the latter more frequently found in wild-type (Arg/Arg) codon 72 (19/30 versus 4/16, 63.3% versus 25.0%; p=0.03) cases. A clear trend for a higher response rate and longer progression-free and overall survival was observed in wild-type p53 and Pro/Pro polymorphic patients as compared to patients with mutant p53. Conclusion: The addition of paclitaxel to carboplatin does not appear to overcome the negative predictive and prognostic significance of p53 gene mutations in serous ovarian cancer. Nevertheless, the comprehensive analysis of p53 genotype, including the SNP codon 72, warrants further investigation in order to envisage individual responsiveness to cancer therapy.

Key Words: Ovarian cancer, carboplatinum, paclitaxel, p53, polymorphism.

Epithelial ovarian cancer belongs to the 5 leading causes of women tumor mortality in women in developed countries (1). Approximately 70% of epithelial ovarian cancers are detected at an advanced stage, mainly due to the lack of reliable screening methods. Cytoreductive surgery followed by platinum-based chemotherapy has long been considered the standard therapeutic strategy. In the last decade, the combination of paclitaxel plus cisplatin has been shown to obtain a significantly longer progression-free survival and overall survival (2, 3). Furthermore, paclitaxel- plus carboplatin- based regimens appeared to have equivalent activity to paclitaxel- plus cisplatin- based regimens but improved toxicity profile (4, 5). The combination of paclitaxel plus carboplatin is now widely accepted as the standard front-line chemotherapy for advanced epithelial ovarian cancers (6-9).

Experimental studies on ovarian cancer cell lines and primary tumors have shown that induction of apoptosis in response to cytotoxic drugs, such as cisplatin, cyclophosphamide or paclitaxel, represents the main mechanism of tumor cell death (10). The wild-type p53 gene product is involved in the cellular response to a number of cytotoxic insults, through cell cycle regulation, DNA repair and activation of apoptotic pathways (11). P53 mutations and/or p53 protein overexpression have been detected in 20-79% of epithelial ovarian cancers, and are more frequently observed in advanced than in early stages of the disease (12-29). In vitro experiments in platinum-resistant ovarian cancer cell lines pointed to the involvement of mutant p53 in the failure of cisplatin-induced apoptosis (30-33). Furthermore, transfection of the wild-type p53 via adenovirus significantly sensitized the human ovarian p53 mutant A2780/CP tumor cell line to cisplatin cytotoxicity (33). In vivo studies showed a significant correlation between p53 status and response to cisplatin- or carboplatin-based chemotherapy in ovarian cancer patients.

Correspondence to: Angiolo Gadducci, Department of Procreative Medicine, Division of Gynecology and Obstetrics, University of Pisa, Via Roma 56, Pisa, 56127, Italy. Tel: 39 50 992609, Fax: 39 50 553410, e-mail: a.gadducci@obgyn.med.unipi.it

Table I. PCR primers.

4F/1 5'-AGGACCTGGTCCTCTGAC-3'	4R/1 5'-CTGGGAAGGGACAGAAGA-3'
4F/2 5'-CCCTGCACCAGCCCCCTCCT-3'	4R/2 5'-CCTAAGGGTGAAGAGGAATCCCA-3'
5F 5'-TGACTTTCAACTCTGTCTCCT-3'	5R 5'-TCAGTGAGGAATCAGAGGCC-3'
6F 5'-CTGGAGAGACGACAGGGCTGG-3'	6R 5'-CCAGAGACCCCAGTTGCAAAC-3'
7F 5'-AAGGCGCACTGGCCTCATCTT-3'	7R 5'-CGCCGGAAATGTGATGAGAG-3'
8F 5'-TGGTTGGGAGTAGATGGAGCC-3'	8R 5'-CACCGCTTCTTGTCCTGCTT-3'
9F 5'-GTGGAGGAGACCAAGGGTGCA-3'	9R 5'-AGGTAAAACAGTCAAGAAGAA-3'

Patients with p53-mutated tumors experienced a lower complete response rate than those with p53 wild-type tumors (16-18, 22, 23, 28, 34, 35). While the loss of p53 function is now widely accepted to represent one of the major mechanisms of platinum chemo-resistance, the role of p53 status as a prognostic factor is still matter of discussion. In a number of studies, p53 status did not correlate with survival (12, 14, 19, 21, 24, 26), whereas other authors reported poorer clinical outcome in patients with p53 alterations (15, 17, 20, 25, 28, 29, 36, 37).

Epithelial ovarian cancers comprise a broad spectrum of malignancies, ranging from serous to endometrioid, mucinous, transitional, clear cell and undifferentiated tumor types. These histotypes have been recently associated with distinct molecular profiles (38, 39), making it reasonable to conceive that the different molecular pathways may strongly affect the response to different drugs.

In the present study, a homogenous series of tumors belonging to the most frequent histotype of epithelial ovarian cancer was analyzed. Our aim was to assess the predictive and prognostic value of the p53 gene status in patients with advanced, poorly-differentiated (G₃) serous epithelial ovarian cancer, who received first -line paclitaxel-plus carboplatin-based chemotherapy.

Materials and Methods

Patients. Forty-six consecutive cases of FIGO stage IIc-IV, serous G3 epithelial ovarian cancer were retrieved from the records of the Division of Surgical, Molecular and Ultrastructural Pathology, Department of Oncology, University of Pisa (Italy). Representative tumor tissue blocks from primary tumors were selected for molecular analyses and tissue microarray (TMA) preparation.

All patients underwent primary cytoreductive surgery followed by first-line chemotherapy consisting of paclitaxel (175 mg/m² 3-h infusion) plus carboplatin (Area under curve [AUC] 5-6) for 6 cycles at 3-week intervals at the Division of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Department of Procreative Medicine, University of Pisa between January 1996 and November 2003. The evaluation of the clinical course of disease was based on clinical examination, serum CA–125 assay, chest x-ray, abdominal-pelvic ultrasound and computed tomography scan. Additional investigations were performed when appropriate. After the sixth cycle of chemotherapy, patients with no evidence of disease at clinical, serologic, sonographic and radiologic examinations were defined as being in clinically complete response. Three to 5 weeks after the end of chemotherapy, a second-look surgery was usually proposed to clinically complete responders, mostly to patients enrolled in clinical trials. A pathological complete response at second-look surgery was defined as the disappearance of all macroscopic tumor deposits with negative peritoneal washing and negative multiple random biopsies. All patients with clinically or surgically detectable persistence disease, as well as some pathlogically complete responders received additional chemotherapy.

All patients were observed until death or until December 2004. The median follow-up of survivors was 50.3 months (range, 13 to 106 months).

Molecular analysis. The *p53* gene status was analyzed on formalinfixed, paraffin-embedded tumor specimens. Representative tumor tissue sections (tumor area >80%) were cut and placed directly into a sterile microfuge tube. DNA was extracted using a QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen cat. N° 51304). All procedures were performed according to manifacturer's protocols.

In 4 cases, due to the low proportion of tumor cells, the specimens were subjected to laser capture microdissection (Leica AS LDM system). The dissected tumor cells (about 7000 cells) were placed directly in 60 μ l of DNA extraction buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8; 1 mM EDTA; 1% Tween-20; 200-300 mg/ml Proteinase K) and incubated at 37°C for 12-16 hours. The reaction was heat terminated (95°C for 10 min).

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) reactions were performed in 30 μ l final volume, containing 2 μ l of DNA, 2 mM dNTP (Eurobio), 250 ng/ μ l of each primer (MWG Biotech), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1 x PCR Gold buffer and 1U AmpliTaq Gold (PE Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

The p53 status was determined by direct sequencing from exon 4 to 9. PCR primers used are reported in Table I.

The expected fragment lengths for amplification products were as follows: 243 bp (4/1), 227 bp (4/2), 290 bp (5), 206 bp (6), 283 bp (7), 240 bp (8), and 227 bp (9).

The PCR reactions were performed using a 9700 GenAmp PCR System (Applera), with the following conditions: initial denaturation, 7 min at 95°C; amplification, 45 s at 94°C, 45 s at 58°C, 1 min at 72°C (40 cycles).

The PCR products were purified using Multi Screen PCR Plates (Millipore) and were sequenced in $10 \ \mu$ l final volume, using Big Dye Terminator kit v3.1 (Applera) and 2.5 pmol of primers. Sequencing products were run on an ABI PRISM 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applera) and analyzed with GeneScan software Sequencing Analysis vers.3.7 (ABI PRISM).

Immunohistochemical analysis. Thirty-six samples were used for immunohistochemical analysis of p53 gene product expression in

Table II. Relationship between p53 status at the molecular level and its expression.

Table III. p53 gene status and complete response rate to chemotherapy.

Immunostaining p53	Mutant p53	SNP codon 72 Pro/Pro p53	Wild-type p53	Overall	
Positive	13 (52.0%)	7 (28.0%)	5 (20%)	25	
Negative	5 (45.4%)	1 (9.1%)	5 (45.4%)	11	

TMA. Core tissue biopsies (1 mm diameter) were taken from representative regions of paraffin-embedded ovarian tumors (donor block) and arrayed into a new recipient paraffin block (45 mm x 20 mm), using an ATA-100 Chemicon International System. In order to minimize the influence of tumor heterogeneity, 3 different core biopsies for each donor block were retrieved together with paired normal tissue as internal control. The arrays contained 50 tissue cylinders, including 10 primary tumors and 10 corresponding controls. Antigen retrieval was accomplished by microwaving (360 watt) the slides for 5 min (3 cicles) in 1 mmol/L citrate buffer pH 7. Primary antibodies were omitted in negative controls. Commercially available prediluted monoclonal p53 antibody (clone Bp53-11, Ventana) at 1:10 diluition was employed, using an automated system (NEXES, Ventana). Tumors were scored as p53-positive when >10% of tumor nuclei were stained in at least one of the tumor spots.

Statistical analysis. Rates of complete response were compared to p53 gene status using the Pearson χ^2 test (or the two-tailed Fisher's exact test when appropriate). The cumulative probability of progression-free survival and overall survival from the time of initial surgery was estimated by the product-limit method. The log-rank test was used to correlate progression-free survival and overall survival curves to p53 status.

Results

The median age of patients was 55 years (range, 41 to 73 years). According to the FIGO classification, the tumor stage was IIc in 5 patients, III in 35 patients and IV in 6 patients. After primary cytoreductive surgery, 22 patients had residual disease ≤ 1 cm and 24 patients had a larger residual tumor. Ascites was detected in 25 patients.

Molecular analysis. Twenty-three (50.0%) out of 46 patients showed mutant p53. In detail, 3 mutations were detected in exon 5, 4 in exon 6, 6 in exon 7, 8 in exon 8 and one mutation was found in exon 9. Only one patient showed a double missense mutation (exons 5 and 6). Missense mutations (20/23) were the most common, with transitions (C>A) more frequent (14/20) than trasversions (6/20). Two deletions and 2 insertions were also found. Codons 7 and 8 were most frequently affected, accounting for approximately two-thirds of the mutations.

A polymorphism at codon 72 in exon 4 (SNP codon 72) was observed in 16 (34.8%) patients. Ten were Pro/Pro

p53 gene status	Patients	Com respo	plete nders
	Ν	Ν	%
Mutant	23	14	60.8
Wild-type	11	10	90.0
SNP codon 72 Pro/Pro	8	7	87.5
SNP codon 72 Pro/Arg	4	1	25.0

homozygous and the remaining 6 were Pro/Arg heterozygous. Four polymorphic patients (2 Pro/Pro and 2 Pro/Arg) also showed an a second mutation at exons 5 to 9. For statistical purposes, they were included within the *p53* mutant group. An inverse correlation was evidenced between SNP codon 72 and mutations at exons 5 to 9, with the latter more frequently found in wild-type (Arg/Arg) codon 72 (19/30 *versus* 4/16, 63.3% *versus* 25.0%, p=0.03) patients.

Immunohistochemical analysis. Twenty-five (69.4%) out of 36 samples submitted to immunohistochemical analysis scored positive for p53. No significant correlation was found between p53 expression and p53 gene status (Table II) (p=0.21). Among the 25 patients with positive p53 immunostaining, 13 (52%) had p53 mutations at exons 5 to 9 and 5 (20.0%) had wild-type p53. The remaining 7 (28.0%) cases were polymorphic at codon 72. Seven out of 8 Pro/Pro and 5 out 10 wild-type p53 samples stained positive.

Clinical analysis. After the sixth cycle of chemotherapy, 11 patients achieved a pathologically complete response at second-look surgery, 21 patients obtained a clinically complete response but were not submitted to second-look surgery and 14 patients had clinically or surgically detectable persistent disease. Therefore, taking into consideration the best assessed response, a complete (either clinical or pathologic) response was observed in 32 patients.

Complete response rates were higher in patients with wild-type p53 as compared to patients with mutant p53 (90.0% versus 60.8%, p=0.11) (Table III). Among the 12 p53 wild-type polymorphic patients, the homozygous Pro/Pro patients experienced higher complete response rates than heterozygous ones (87.5% versus 25.0%, p=0.07). Complete response rates were obtained in 18 (72.0%) out of 25 patients with positive immunostaining for p53 compared to 6 (54.6%) out of 11 patients with negative p53 expression (p=0.44).

For statistical purposes, the patients with Pro/Arg polymorphism were not included in progression-free survival and overall survival analysis, due to the limited



Figure 1. Progression-free survival in patients with advanced serous poorly-differentiated epithelial ovarian cancer by p53 gene status.

number of cases. Patients with wild-type p53 as well as those with homozygous Pro/Pro polymorphism had a better progression-free survival (Figure 1) and overall survival (Figure 2) when compared to patients with mutant p53, although the differences were not statistically significant.

No correlation was found between p53 immunoreactivity and progression-free or overall survival (data not shown).

Discussion

Epithelial ovarian cancers represent the overwhelming majority of ovarian malignancies, with the serous histotype being the most common. In the last decade, complete response rates as well as short-term survival have significantly improved, but long-term clinical outcome remains unsatisfactory. P53 gene inactivation has been found to confer resistance to cisplatin and other DNAdamaging agents (11). Conversely, recent clinical studies reported that patients with mutant p53 tumors were responsive to paclitaxel- plus platinum-based chemotherapy (18, 19, 21-23). The mechanism of action of taxanes consists of alterations in microtubule function and the presence of a functional p53 gene does not seem to be required for apoptotic cell death induction by antimicrotubule agents (22). Furthermore, pharmacological studies support the notion of increased sensitivity to taxanes by mutant p53 cells, due to the accumulation of treated cells in the G2-M phase (40). Lavarino et al. (18) found that all but one of the 10 ovarian cancer patients who showed p53 accumulation by immunocytochemistry achieved a pathologically or clinically

complete response to paclitaxel- plus carboplatin- based chemotherapy. Seven out of the 10 p53-positive cases were missense mutations. Smith-Sorensen et al. (19) detected p53 mutations in 73% of tumor samples from 45 ovarian cancer patients randomized to receive paclitaxel-plus-cisplatin or cyclophosphamide-plus-cisplatin. Despite the lack of information on tumor histotype, it was found that, among p53 mutated patients, relapse-free survival was significantly longer for the paclitaxel plus cisplatin group compared with the cyclophosphamide plus cisplatin group. Moreover, p53 status was found to be prognostically irrelevant for the patients treated with paclitaxel-based regimens. In a retrospective investigation on 43 patients with advanced ovarian cancer treated with paclitaxel-based chemotherapy, Laframbroise et al. (23) showed that p53 status was neither predictive of chemoresistance nor prognostic of disease-free and overall survival. A multicentric Italian study (22) assessed p53 status by genetic analysis of exons 5 through 8 in tumor specimens collected at the time of initial surgery from 48 advanced ovarian cancer patients who subsequently received paclitaxel-plus platinum- based chemotherapy. Twenty-five (86.0%) out of 29 patients with mutant p53 responded to therapy as opposed to only 9 (47.0%) out of 19 patients with wild-type p53. Actuarial overall survival analysis revealed no significant difference between mutant and wild-type p53 cases.

To date no information is available for serous carcinoma *per se.* Here, we presented a series of 46 patients with advanced, G3 serous epithelial ovarian cancer. Twenty-three (50.0%) had *p53* mutations at exons 5 to 9 and 16



Figure 2. Overall survival in patients with advanced serous poorly-differentiated epithelial ovarian cancer by p53 gene status.

(34.8%) showed SNP codon 72. Of these latter, 10 were Pro/Pro homozygous and 6 were Pro/Arg heterozygous. Only 4 polymorphic patients showed a second mutation at exons 5 to 9, and an inverse correlation was evidenced between the SNP codon 72 and mutations at exons 5 to 9, with the latter more frequently found in wild-type codon 72 (63.3% versus 25.0%, p=0.03) patients. Complete response rates were appreciably higher in patients with wild-type p53 compared to patients with mutant p53 (90.0% versus 60.8%). Among the polymorphic patients with no associated mutations in exons 5 to 9, Pro/Pro homozygous patients experienced a higher complete response rate than Pro/Arg heterozygous ones (87.5% versus 25.0%). Recently, it has been shown that the SNP codon 72 modulates the response to chemotherapy both in vitro and in vivo (41). This effect may be explained by the capability for some tumor-derived p53 mutants to bind and inactivate p73, a p53-related gene, which also induces apoptosis (42). The amount of p73 protein in cells has been shown to be increased by cisplatin (43). Binding of p53 mutants to p73 appears to be influenced by whether codon 72 encodes arginine or proline. In fact, the ability of p53 to bind p73, to neutralize p73induced apoptosis and to transform cells in cooperation with EJ-Ras was enhanced when codon 72 encoded arginine (42). Bergamaschi et al. (44) showed that head and neck squamous cell carcinomas characterized by the Arg polymorphism had a response rate to cisplatin-based chemo-radiotherapy lower than those with a Pro polymorfism. In our series, the Pro/Pro patients showed a trend to a higher response rate, longer progression-free survival and longer overall survival as compared to p53 mutants. This finding suggests a role for the SNP codon 72 in the response of advanced epithelial ovarian cancer to paclitaxel- plus carboplatin based-chemotherapy; further studies enrolling a larger number of patients are warranted to elucidate its function.

Patients with mutant p53 gene showed a lower response rate and worse clinical outcome when compared to patients with either wild-type or homozygous polymorphic p53 gene, thus confirming the negative predictive and prognostic role of a mutated p53 gene in human malignancies. The addition of paclitaxel to carboplatin does not seem as effective in the treatment of p53-mutated ovarian carcinomas as reported in previous studies (19, 22, 23). Discrepancies with the literature may be ascribed in part to the different histological features of the tumors analyzed in different series. The percentage of serous carcinomas included in previously reported studies ranges from 56% to 77% (22, 23). Considering the different molecular pathways involved in ovarian cancerogenesis (38, 39), histological heterogeneity may constitute a severe bias in drawing definitive results.

As regards the immunohistochemical studies, the detection of p53 accumulation does not appear a reliable method to predict either response to treatment or clinical outcome. Moreover, immunohistochemical results may be misleading in judging p53 gene status; in our hands there

was no correlation between p53 status at the molecular level and its expression.

In conclusion, p53 gene status, as determined at the molecular level, remains a prominent prognostic tool to predict the clinical outcome of patients affected by serous epithelial ovarian cancer treated with paclitaxel- plus carboplatin- based regimens. In particular, the comprehensive analysis of the p53 genotype, including the SNP codon 72, appears to represent a valuable tool in conceiving individual responsiveness to cancer therapy.

References

- 1 La Vecchia C: Epidemiology of ovarian cancer: a summary review. Eur J Cancer Prev 10: 125-129, 2001.
- 2 McGuire WP, Hoskins WJ, Brady MF, Kucera PR, Partridge EE, Look KY, Clarke-Pearson DL and Davidson M: Cyclophosphamide and cisplatin *versus* paclitaxel and cisplatin: a phase III randomized trial in patients with suboptimal stage III/IV ovarian cancer (from the Gynecologic Oncology Group). Semin Oncol 23: 40-47, 1996.
- 3 Piccart MJ, Bertelsen K, James K, Cassidy J, Mangioni C, Simonsen E, Stuart G, Kaye S, Vergote I, Blom R, Grimshaw R, Atkinson RJ, Swenerton KD, Trope C, Nardi M, Kaern J, Tumolo S, Timmers P, Roy JA, Lhoas F, Lindvall B, Bacon M, Birt A, Andersen JE, Zee B, Paul J, Baron B, and Pecorelli S: Randomized intergroup trial of cisplatin-paclitaxel *versus* cisplatincyclophosphamide in women with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer: three-year results. J Natl Cancer Inst 92: 699-708, 2000.
- 4 Neijt JP, Engelholm SA, Tuxen MK, Sorensen PG, Hansen M, Sessa C, de Swart CA, Hirsch FR, Lund B and van Houwelingen HC: Exploratory phase III study of paclitaxel and cisplatin versus paclitaxel and carboplatin in advanced ovarian cancer. J Clin Oncol 18: 3084-3092, 2000.
- 5 du Bois A, Luck HJ, Meier W, Adams HP, Moebus V, Costa S, Bauknecht T, Richter B, Warm M, Schroder W, Olbricht S, Nitz U, Jackisch C, Emons G, Wagner U, Kuhn W and Pfisterer J: A randomized clinical trial of cisplatin/paclitaxel versus carboplatin/paclitaxel as first-line treatment of ovarian cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 95: 1320-1330, 2003.
- 6 Conte PF, Cianci C and Gadducci A: Up date in the management of advanced ovarian carcinoma. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol *32*: 49-58, 1999.
- 7 Ozols RF: Paclitaxel (Taxol)/carboplatin combination chemotherapy in the treatment of advanced ovarian cancer. Semin Oncol 27: 3-7, 2000.
- 8 Piccart MJ, Du Bois A, Gore ME, Neijt JP, Pecorelli S and Pujade-Lauraine E: A new standard of care for treatment of ovarian cancer. Eur J Cancer 36: 10-12, 2000.
- 9 Ozols RF, Bundy BN, Greer BE. Fowler JM, Clarke-Pearson D, Burger RA, Mannel RS, DeGeest K, Hartenbach EM and Baergen R: Phase III trial of carboplatin and paclitaxel compared with cisplatin and paclitaxel in patients with optimally resected stage III ovarian cancer: a Gynecologic Oncology Group Study. J Clin Oncol 21: 3194-3200, 2003.
- 10 Havrilesky LJ, Elbendary A, Hurteau JA, Whitaker RS, Rodriguez GC and Berchuck A: Chemotherapy-induced apoptosis in epithelial ovarian cancers. Obstet Gynecol *85*: 1007-1010, 1995.

- 11 Harris CC: Structure and function of the p53 tumor suppressor gene: clues for rational cancer therapeutic strategies. J Natl Cancer Inst 88: 1442-1455, 1996.
- 12 Marks JR, Davidoff AM, Kerns BJ, Humphrey PA, Pence JC, Dodge RK, Clarke-Pearson DL, Iglehart JD, Bast RC Jr and Berchuck A: Overexpression and mutation of p53 in epithelial ovarian cancer. Cancer Res 51: 2979-2984, 1991
- 13 Kupryjanczyk J, Thor AD, Beauchamp R, Merritt V, Edgerton SM, Bell DA and Yandell DW: *p53* gene mutations and protein accumulation in human ovarian cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA *90*: 4961-4965, 1993.
- 14 Kohler MF, Kerns BJ, Humphrey PA, Marks JR, Bast RC Jr and Berchuck A: Mutation and overexpression of p53 in early-stage epithelial ovarian cancer. Obstet Gynecol 81: 643-650, 1993.
- 15 Herod JJO, Eliopoulos AG, Warwick J, Niedobitek G, Young LS and Kerr DJ: The prognostic significance of Bcl-2 and p53 expression in ovarian carcinoma. Cancer Res 56: 2178-2184, 1996.
- 16 Righetti SC, Della Torre G, Pilotti S, Menard S, Ottone F, Colnaghi MI, Pierotti MA, Lavarino C, Cornarotti M, Oriana S, Bohm S, Bresciani GL, Spatti G and Zunino F: A comparative study of *p53* gene mutations, protein accumulation, and response to cisplatin-based chemotherapy in advanced ovarian carcinoma. Cancer Res 56: 689-693, 1996.
- 17 Buttitta F, Marchetti A, Gadducci A, Pellegrini S, Morganti M, Carnicelli V, Cosio S, Gagetti O, Genazzani AR and Bevilacqua G: p53 alterations are predictive of chemoresistance and aggressiveness in ovarian carcinomas: a molecular and immunohistochemical study. Br J Cancer 75: 230-235, 1997.
- 18 Lavarino C, Delia D, Di Palma S, Zunino F, and Pilotti S: P53 in drug resistance in ovarian cancer. Lancet 349: 1556, 1997.
- 19 Smith-Sorensen B, Kaern J, Holm R, Dorum A, Tropè C and Borresen-Dale AL: Therapy effect of either paclitaxel or cyclophosphamide combination treatment in patients with epithelial ovarian cancer and relation to TP53 gene status. Br J Cancer 78: 375-381,1998.
- 20 Wen WH, Reles A, Runnebaum IB, Sullivan-Halley J, Bernstein L, Jones LA, Felix JC, Kreienberg R, el-Naggar A and Press MF: P53 mutations and expression in ovarian cancers: correlation with overall survival. Int J Gynecol Pathol *18*: 29-41,1999.
- 21 Gadducci A, Cianci C, Cosio S, Carnino F, Fanucchi A, Buttitta F, Conte PF and Genazzani AR: P53 status is neither a predictive nor a prognostic variable in patients with advanced ovarian cancer treated with a paclitaxel-based regimen. Anticancer Res 20: 4793-4799, 2000.
- 22 Lavarino C, Pilotti S, Oggionni M, Gatti L, Perego P, Bresciani G, Pierotti MA, Scambia G, Ferrandina G, Fagotti A, Mangioni C, Lucchini V, Vecchione F, Bolis G, Scarfone G and Zunino F: *P53* gene status and response to platinum/paclitaxel-based chemotherapy in advanced ovarian carcinoma. J Clin Oncol *18*: 3936-3945, 2000.
- 23 Laframboise S, Chapman W, McLaughlin J and Andrulis IL: P53 mutations in epithelial ovarian cancers: possible role in predicting chemoresistance. Cancer J 6: 302-308, 2000.
- 24 Fallows S, Price J, Atkinson RJ, Johnston PG, Hickey I and Russell SE. P53 mutation does not affect prognosis in ovarian epithelial malignancies. J Pathol *194*: 68-75, 2001.
- 25 Havrilesky L, Darcy KM, Hamdan H, Priore RL, Leon J, Bell J and Berchuck A: Prognostic significance of p53 mutation and p53 overexpression in advanced epithelial ovarian cancer: a

Gynecologic Oncology Group Study. J Clin Oncol 21: 3814-3825, 2003.

- 26 Wang Y, Helland A, Holm R, Skomedal H, Abeler VM, Danielsen HE, Trope CG, Borresen-Dale AL and Kristensen GB: TP53 mutations in early-stage ovarian carcinoma, relation to long-term survival. Br J Cancer 90: 678-685, 2004.
- 27 Camilleri-Broet S, Hardy-Bessard AC, Le Tourneau A, Paraiso D, Levrel O, Leduc B, Bain S, Orfeuvre H, Audouin J and Pujade-Lauraine E: HER-2 overexpression is an independent marker of poor prognosis of advanced primary ovarian carcinoma: a multicenter study of the GINECO group. Ann Oncol 15: 104-112, 2004.
- 28 Iba T, Kigawa J, Kanamori Y, Itamochi H, Oishi T, Simada M, Uegaki K, Naniwa J and Terakawa N: Expression of the c-myc gene as a predictor of chemotherapy response and a prognostic factor in patients with ovarian cancer. Cancer Sci 95: 418-423, 2004.
- 29 Reles A, Wen WH, Schmider A, Gee C, Runnebaum IB, Kilian U, Jones LA, El-Naggar A, Minguillon C, Schonborn I, Reich O, Kreienberg R, Lichtenegger W and Press MF: Correlation of p53 mutations with resistance to platinum-based chemotherapy and shortened survival in ovarian cancer. Clin Cancer Res 7: 2984-2997, 2001.
- 30 Perego P, Giarola M, Righetti SC, Supino R, Caserini C, Delia D, Pierotti MA, Miyashita T, Reed JC and Zunino F: Association between cisplatin resistance and mutation of *p53* gene and reduced bax expression in ovarian carcinoma cell systems. Cancer Res 56: 556-562, 1996.
- 31 Fajac A, Da Silva J, Ahomadegbe JC, Rateau JG, Bernaudin JF, Riou G and Benard J: Cisplatin-induced apoptosis and *p53* gene status in a cisplatin-resistant human ovarian carcinoma cell line. Int J Cancer 68: 67-74, 1996.
- 32 Vaisman A, Varchenko M, Said I and Chaney SG: Cell cycle changes associated with formation of Pt-DNA adducts in human ovarian carcinoma cells with different cisplatin sensitivity. Cytometry 27: 54-64, 1997.
- 33 Song K, Li Z, Seth P, Cowan KH and Sinha BK: Sensitization of cis-platinum by a recombinant adenovirus vector expressing wildtype *p53* gene in human ovarian carcinomas. Oncol Res *9*: 603-609, 1997.
- 34 Kigawa J, Sato S, Shimada M, Takahashi M, Itamochi H, Kanamori Y and Terakawa N: P53 gene status and chemosensitivity in ovarian cancer. Hum Cell 14: 165-171, 2001.
- 35 Calvert AH, Ghokul S, Al-Azraqi A, Wright J, Lind M, Bailey N, Highley M, Siddiqui N, Lunec J, Sinha D, Boddy A, Roberts T and Fenwick J: Carboplatin and paclitaxel, alone and in combination: dose escalation, measurement of renal function, and role of the p53 tumor suppressor gene. Semin Oncol 26: 90-94, 1999.

- 36 Schuyer M, van der Burg ME, Henzen-Logmans SC, Fieret JH, Klijn JG, Look MP, Foekens JA, Stoter G and Berns EM: Reduced expression of BAX is associated with poor prognosis in patients with epithelial ovarian cancer: a multifactorial analysis of TP53, p21, BAX and BCL-2. Br J Cancer 85: 1359-1367, 2001.
- 37 Tachibana M, Watanabe J, Matsushima Y, Nishida K, Kobayashi Y, Fujimura M and Shiromizu K: Independence of the prognostic value of tumor suppressor protein expression in ovarian adenocarcinomas: A multivariate analysis of expression of p53, retinoblastoma, and related proteins. Int J Gynecol Cancer *13*: 598-606, 2003.
- 38 Shih IeM and Kurman RJ: Ovarian tumorigenesis: a proposed model based on morphological and molecular genetic analysis. Am J Pathol 164: 1511-1518, 2004.
- 39 Ho CL, Kurman RJ, Dehari R, Wang TL and Shih IeM: Mutations of BRAF and KRAS precede the development of ovarian serous borderline tumors. Cancer Res 64: 6915-6918, 2004.
- 40 Wahl AF, Donaldson KL, Fairchild C, Lee FY, Foster SA, Demers GW and Galloway DA: Loss of normal p53 function confers sensitization to Taxol by increasing G2/M arrest and apoptosis. Nat Med 2: 72-79, 1996.
- 41 Sullivan A, Syed N, Gasco M, Bergamaschi D, Trigiante G, Attard M, Hiller L, Farrell PJ, Smith P, Lu X and Crook T: Polymorphism in wild-type p53 modulates response to chemotherapy *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Oncogene 23: 3328-3337, 2004.
- 42 Marin MC, Jost CA, Brooks LA, Irwin MS, O'Nions J, Tidy JA, James N, McGregor JM, Harwood CA, Yulug IG, Vousden KH, Allday MJ, Gusterson B, Ikawa S, Hinds PW, Crook T and Kaelin WG Jr: A common polymorphism acts as an intragenic modifier of mutant p53 behaviour. Nat Genet 25: 47-54, 2000.
- 43 Gong JG, Costanzo A, Yang HQ, Melino G, Kaelin WG Jr, Levrero M and Wang JY: The tyrosine kinase c-Abl regulates p73 in apoptotic response to cisplatin-induced DNA damage. Nature 399: 806-809, 1999.
- 44 Bergamaschi D, Gasco M, Hiller L, Sullivan A, Syed N, Trigiante G, Yulug I, Merlano M, Numico G, Comino A, Attard M, Reelfs O, Gusterson B, Bell AK, Heath V, Tavassoli M, Farrell PJ, Smith P, Lu X, and Crook T: P53 polymorphism influences response in cancer chemotherapy *via* modulation of p73-dependent apoptosis. Cancer Cell 3: 387-402, 2003.

Received October 3, 2005 Accepted November 24, 2005

ANTICANCER RESEARCH

International Journal of Cancer Research and Treatment

ISSN: 0250-7005

November 24, 2005

Dr. Angiolo Gadducci

Re: Your manuscript No. 6581-G entitled «P53 Gene Status in Patients with Advanced...»

Dear Dr

Referring to your above manuscript for publication in AR, please allow us to use this form letter in reply: 1. *Referee's recommendations:*

- Urgent to be published immediately.
- \Box Accepted in the presented form.
- \Box Accepted with minor changes.
- Accepted with grammatical or language corrections.
- \Box Remarks:
- 2. Excess page charges.
 - Your article has approx. 7 printed pages and is in excess of the allotted number by approx. 3 printed pages. The charges are EURO € 180 per excess page, totalling EURO € 540 We ask you to confirm acceptance of these charges.
 - □ Your article includes pages with color figures. The charges are EURO € per color page, totalling EURO €
 - Our invoice is sent by air mail to the corresponding author.
- 3. Your article will appear in Volume 26, Issue No. 1, 2006
- 4. Please order your reprints now. This will facilitate our prompt planning of future issues and rapid publication of your article. Reprints will be delivered by air mail within one month from publication.

We would appreciate your prompt reply. With many thanks, Yours sincerely,

John 7 Delicamion-

J.G. Delinassios Managing Editor

EDITORIAL OFFICE: INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ANTICANCER RESEARCH 1st km Kapandritiou - Kalamou Rd., Kapandriti, P.O.B. 22, Attiki 19014, Greece. Tel.: 0030-22950-52945; Tel & Fax:0030-22950-53389; e-mail: journals@iiar-anticancer.org

ANTICANCER RESEARCH International Journal of Cancer Research and Treatment

Editorial Office: International Institute of Anticancer Research,

ISSN: 0250-7005

1st km Kapandritiou - Kalamou Rd., Kapandriti, P.O.B. 22, Attiki 19014, Greece Fax:0030-22950-53389;Tel.: 0030-22950-52945; e-mail: journals@iiar-anticancer.org

Please type or print the requested information on the reprint order form and return it to the Editorial Office by fax or e-mail.

Reprints must be paid for in advance.

If your paper is subject to charges for excess pages or color plates, please add these charges to the payment for reprints.

The reprints are not to be sold.

I NICE LIST FOR REI NINTS WITHOUT COVER										
Number of copies requested										
Page	100	200	300	400	500	1000	1500	2000	3000	5000
length										
1-4pp euro	335	387	438	503	554	851	1135	1470	2038	3225
5-8	438	503	580	645	722	1083	1445	1832	2554	4012
9-12	554	619	709	787	877	1341	1780	2219	3096	4824
13-16	709	787	890	993	1096	1625	2141	2657	3676	5715
17-20	838	929	1032	1148	1277	1883	2451	3044	4244	6527
Page length 1-4pp EURO 5-8 9-12 13-16 17-20	100 335 438 554 709 838	200 387 503 619 787 929	300 438 580 709 890 1032	400 503 645 787 993 1148	500 554 722 877 1096 1277	1000 851 1083 1341 1625 1883	1500 1135 1445 1780 2141 2451	2000 1470 1832 2219 2657 3044	3000 2038 2554 3096 3676 4244	5000 3225 4012 4824 5715 6527

DDICE I ICT FOD DEDDINTC WITHOUT COVED

For reprints with cover: Please add EURO 140.00 per 100 copies. Postage: Please add 4% on the above prices.

Reprint Order Form

Of my paper No. 6581-G comprising 7 printed pages, entitled «P53 Gene Status in Patients with Advanced...»

accepted for publication in ANTICANCER RESEARCH Vol. 26 No. 1

 \Box I require a total of copies at EURO

 \Box I do not require reprints

 \Box Please send me a copy of this issue containing my paper at EURO 45.00

- □ Please enter my personal subscription to ANTICANCER RESEARCH at the special Author's price of EURO 400.00 (\[Year: 2006)
- □ A check for the above amounts payable to J. G. Delinassios, Executive Publisher of Anticancer Research Journal, is enclosed.

□ Please send an invoice to:

For EC countries: Please give your VAT number: City and Date: Exact postal address: Tel: Fax:

Signature: