Henry Ford Health Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons

Cardiology Meeting Abstracts

Cardiology/Cardiovascular Research

9-1-2022

TCT-171 Predicting the Risk of Perforation Requiring Pericardiocentesis in Chronic Total Occlusion Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: The PROGRESS-CTO Pericardiocentesis Score

Spyridon Kostantinis

Judit Karacsonyi

Khaldoon Alaswad

Oleg Krestyaninov

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/cardiology_mtgabstracts

Authors

Bahadir Simsek, Spyridon Kostantinis, Judit Karacsonyi, Khaldoon Alaswad, Oleg Krestyaninov, Dmitrii Khelimskii, Rhian Davies, Jeremy Rier, Omer Goktekin, Sevket Gorgulu, Ahmed ElGuindy, Raj Chandwaney, Mitul Patel, Dimitri Karmpaliotis, Jaikirshan Khatri, Farouc Jaffer, Paul Poommipanit, Bavana Rangan, Yader Sandoval, Basem Elbarouni, William Nicholson, Stephane Rinfret, Michail Koutouzis, Ioannis Tsiafoutis, Robert Yeh, M. Nicholas Burke, Salman Allana, Olga Mastrodemos, and Emmanouil Brilakis

TCT-171

Predicting the Risk of Perforation Requiring Pericardiocentesis in Chronic Total Occlusion Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: The PROGRESS-CTO Pericardiocentesis Score



¹Minneapolis Heart Institute Foundation, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA;
²Minneapolis Heart Institute, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA;
³Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, Michigan, USA;
⁴Meshalkin Siberian Federal Biomedical Research Center, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation;
⁵Meshalkin National Research Center, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation;
⁶Wellspan York Hospital, York, Pennsylvania, USA;
⁷Memorial Bahcelievler Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey;
⁸Acıbadem University, Istanbul, Turkey;
⁹Magdi Yacoub Heart Foundation, Cairo, Egypt;
¹⁰Oklahoma Heart Institute, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA;
¹¹University of California, San Diego, Health System, La Jolla, California, USA;
¹²Morristown Medical Center, Morristown, New Jersey, USA;
¹³Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio, USA;
¹⁴Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA;
¹⁵University Hospital, Parma, Ohio, USA;
¹⁶Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA;
¹⁷St. Boniface Hospital, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada;
¹⁸Emory Healthcare, Fisher, Minnesota, USA;
¹⁹Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA;
²⁰Athens, Greece;
²¹Red Cross Hospital Athens, Athens, Greece;
²²Reth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA;

BACKGROUND Estimating the risk for complications facilitates riskbenefit assessment and procedural planning in chronic total occlusion (CTO) percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).

METHODS We analyzed the PROGRESS-CTO (Prospective Global Registry for the Study of Chronic Total Occlusion Intervention; NCT02061436) and created a risk score for pericardiocentesis. Patients with histories of coronary artery bypass graft surgery were excluded. Logistic regression prediction modeling was used to identify independently associated variables, and the model was internally validated with bootstrapping.

RESULTS Of the 7,672 CTO PCI cases performed between 2012 and 2022 at 40 centers, 83 (1.1%) required pericardiocentesis. The final prediction model identified predictors of pericardiocentesis: $age \ge 65$ years (OR: 2.10; 95% CI: 1.27-3.46), 1 point; female sex (OR: 2.25; 95% CI: 1.39-3.63), 1 point; moderate to severe calcification (OR: 3.28; 95% CI: 1.39-5.49), 1 point; antegrade dissection re-entry (OR: 2.83, 95% CI: 1.45-5.51), 1 point; ant etrograde strategy (OR: 3.50; 95% CI: 2.08-5.87), 2 points; with a bootstrap corrected C statistic of 0.78 (95% CI: 0.72-0.83). The calculated risk percentages for pericardiocentesis on the basis of the PROGRESS-CTO mortality score ranged from 0.18% to 8.74% for pericardiocentesis, and 55% of patients had PROGRESS-CTO pericardiocentesis scores of 1 or 2, corresponding to a pericardiocentesis risk of 0.4% to 1.6% **(Figure 1)**.

9 A Pericardiocentesis risk (%) 8 9 4 2 0 PROGRESS-CTO pericardiocentesis risk score 0 1 📖 2 🗖 3 - 4 -5 В 30 Patients in PROGRESS-CTO (%) 10 20 3 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 PROGRESS-CTO pericardiocentesis risk score Figure 1: PROGRESS-CTO pericardiocentesis risk score (A) and the corresponding risk percentage and percentage of patients in the respective risk group within the

PROGRESS-CTO registry for pericardiocentesis (B)

CONCLUSIONS The PROGRESS-CTO pericardiocentesis risk score can facilitate risk-benefit assessment and procedural planning in patients undergoing CTO PCI.

CATEGORIES CORONARY: Complex and Higher Risk Procedures for Indicated Patients (CHIP)

TCT-172

Coronary In-Stent Restenosis Treatment-Related Perforations Are Relatively Benign Stephen Ellis,¹ Samir Kapadia,¹ Jaikirshan Khatri¹

Stephen Ellis,' Samir Kapadia,' Jaikirshan Khatri 'Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio, USA

BACKGROUND Prognosis and treatment of coronary perforations during percutaneous coronary intervention is based largely on Ellis grade and whether they are wire induced. We sought to test the hypothesis, driven by limited anecdotal observations, that in-stent restenosis (ISR) perforations are usually benign.

METHODS Our institutional percutaneous coronary intervention database was queried for all perforations between 2000 and 2021, which were divided into ISR related (within 5 mm of the edges of the angiography core laboratory. Distal wire-induced perforations were excluded. ISR perforations were matched by date 1:2 with non-ISR perforations to ensure matched availability of treatments (eg, Papyrus). The prespecified primary outcome was clinical tamponade, with the McNemar test (paired chi-square) used to assess statistical significance. Baseline characteristics and outcomes are shown.

RESULTS See table.