POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Fungi, P-Solubilization, and Plant Nutrition

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)





Review **Fungi, P-Solubilization, and Plant Nutrition**

Maria Vassileva¹, Gilberto de Oliveira Mendes², Marco Agostino Deriu³, Giacomo di Benedetto⁴, Elena Flor-Peregrin¹, Stefano Mocali⁵, Vanessa Martos⁶ and Nikolay Vassilev^{1,6,*}

- ¹ Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Granada, C/Fuentenueva s/n, 18071 Granada, Spain
- Laboratório de Microbiologia e Fitopatologia, Instituto de Ciências Agrárias, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Monte Carmelo 38500-000, Brazil
- ³ PolitoBIOMed Lab, Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, 10129 Turin, Italy
- ⁴ Enginlife, 10128 Torino, Italy
- ⁵ Council for Agricultural Research and Analysis of the Agricultural Economy, Research Centre for Agriculture and Environment, 50125 Firenze, Italy
- ⁶ Institute of Biotechnology, University of Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain
- * Correspondence: nbvass@yahoo.com

Abstract: The application of plant beneficial microorganisms is widely accepted as an efficient alternative to chemical fertilizers and pesticides. It was shown that annually, mycorrhizal fungi and nitrogen-fixing bacteria are responsible for 5 to 80% of all nitrogen, and up to 75% of P plant acquisition. However, while bacteria are the most studied soil microorganisms and most frequently reported in the scientific literature, the role of fungi is relatively understudied, although they are the primary organic matter decomposers and govern soil carbon and other elements, including P-cycling. Many fungi can solubilize insoluble phosphates or facilitate P-acquisition by plants and, therefore, form an important part of the commercial microbial products, with *Aspergillus, Penicillium* and *Trichoderma* being the most efficient. In this paper, the role of fungi in P-solubilization and plant nutrition will be presented with a special emphasis on their production and application. Although this topic has been repeatedly reviewed, some recent views questioned the efficacy of the microbial P-solubilizers in soil. Here, we will try to summarize the proven facts but also discuss further lines of research that may clarify our doubts in this field or open new perspectives on using the microbial and particularly fungal P-solubilizing potential in accordance with the principles of the sustainability and circular economy.

Keywords: sustainable agriculture; fungi; P-solubilization; alternative P-sources; new strategies for P-solubilization

1. Introduction

The continuously growing human population determines the increased global demand for high agricultural productivity, which, at the same time, should follow the principles of sustainability and circular economy. In the last 15 years, several biotechnological approaches were developed to enhance plant growth and health with safe and environmentally mild alternatives, including those based on microorganisms. The practical realization of the proposed strategies will significantly reduce the indiscriminate use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides. Despite the environmentally harsh conditions of surviving, soil contains many individual microbial taxa, including different members of the three domains of life. Although we still sub-estimate (for methodological reasons) microbial diversity [1], microorganisms are one of the key components of both natural and cultivated soils thus affecting the soil quality and plant productivity [2]. It should be noted that long-term chemical fertilization and application of pesticides decreased both the soil microbial species richness and microbial–plant beneficial interactions as a part of the plant holobiont [3]. Therefore, rebuilding soil productivity by



Citation: Vassileva, M.; Mendes, G.d.O.; Deriu, M.A.; Benedetto, G.d.; Flor-Peregrin, E.; Mocali, S.; Martos, V.; Vassilev, N. Fungi, P-Solubilization, and Plant Nutrition. *Microorganisms* 2022, *10*, 1716. https://doi.org/10.3390/ microorganisms10091716

Academic Editor: Michael J. Bidochka

Received: 18 July 2022 Accepted: 23 August 2022 Published: 26 August 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). applying bioeffectors (biostimulants or biofertilizers) is a priority and one of the most studied biotechnological alternatives to chemical fertilizers.

The term biofertilizer has different definitions but in general, it includes plant beneficial microorganisms and their derivates (metabolites), excluding biocontrol agents [4–7]. Among beneficial microorganisms, bacteria and fungi are considered the most important in helping plant nutrient acquisition and improving plant health. In general, the co-existence of fungi and bacteria is reported in microbiomes with different profile characteristics (animal, soil, and food microbiomes) [8]. Particularly in soils, they are reported as the most abundant microorganisms with 10^2-10^4 times more biomass than protists, archaea and viruses [1]. Amongst various functions that bacteria and fungi perform in soil ecosystems, particularly important is their contribution to plant growth and development, and plant diversity. It was demonstrated that fungi play an important role in the utilization of easily available and more complex litter-derived C than bacteria, thus actively taking part in soil formation [9]. However, both bacteria and fungi are present in the soil microbial hotspots where the soil organic carbon decomposition is much higher than in the bulk soil [10].

It was shown that annually, mycorrhizal fungi and nitrogen-fixing bacteria are responsible for 5 to 80% of all nitrogen, and up to 75% of P plant acquisition [2]. However, while bacteria are the most studied soil microorganisms and most frequently reported in the scientific literature, the role of fungi is relatively understudied [11], although they are the primary organic matter decomposers and govern soil carbon and other elements cycling [12]. It is also well established that fungi, particularly in the zone with an abundant presence of fungal hyphae or roots and hyphae (mycosphere or mycorrhizosphere, respectively), greatly affect bacterial growth in soil and, consequently, their interactions with plants [13]. The potential rapid growth and distribution of a given functional bacterium within the community are often linked to fungi as mediators of ecological processes which also impact the diversity of bacterial communities [14]. On the other hand, the cooperation between fungi and bacteria is a selective process depending on the soil, although this phenomenon should be further studied in soil and other systems as well [13,14].

Fungi are known as a diverse and multifunctional group of soil microorganisms, which demonstrate a high capacity to adapt to various adverse abiotic conditions such as salinity, drought, heavy metals, and extreme pH [15–17]. It is also important to mention that fungi manifest significant tolerance to low water activity (a_w) values and high osmotic pressure as proved when growing on solid substrates [18], preserving at the same time high metabolic activity. In soil, 1.5 million fungal species can be found free-living in the bulk soil or as endophytes occupying plant tissues, the mycorrhizae being the most studied beneficial fungus–plant association [19].

Many fungi are able to solubilize insoluble phosphates or facilitate P-acquisition by plants and, therefore, form an important part of the commercial microbial products, with *Aspergillus, Penicillium* and *Trichoderma* being the most efficient. In this paper, the role of fungi in P-solubilization and plant nutrition will be presented with a special emphasis on their production and application. Although this topic has been repeatedly reviewed, some recent views questioned the efficacy of the microbial P-solubilizers in soil. This short review opinion will try to summarize the proven facts, but also discuss further lines of research that may clarify our doubts in this field or open new perspectives on using the microbial and particularly fungal P-solubilizing potential in accordance with the principles of sustainability and circular economy.

2. P-Bearing Sources

2.1. Conventional P-Sources

The amount of P that is available to plants in cultivable soils is frequently low [20]. To satisfy the need of the plants, the P is added to soil in the form of phosphate fertilizers, but the overall P use efficiency is low because, although plants utilize a fraction of soluble P, the rest rapidly forms insoluble complexes with soil constituents [21]. Therefore, frequent application of soluble forms of inorganic P is normally above what would be necessary

under ideal conditions. Even under adequate P-fertilization, only a fraction of the applied P is acquired by the first year's plant growth [22]. A part of the chemical P-fertilizer can be converted into sparingly soluble calcium (alkaline soils), aluminum, and iron (acidic soils) salts of P or be fixed to soil minerals. It was estimated that in the middle of this century about 14 million tons of phosphate fertilizers will be applied, seven million of which will remain in the soil [22].

The basic raw material to produce phosphate fertilizers is rock phosphate composed mainly of the phosphate mineral apatite $[Ca_5(PO_4)_3(CI/F/OH)]$. The rock phosphate is processed to remove the bulk of the contained impurities, resulting in a concentrate with a content of P_2O_5 ranging from 26% to 34% and up to as much as 42%. It should be noted that lower P-concentrations in rock phosphate and lower quality deposits generate more waste materials, and, on the other hand, more energy and chemicals are required per ton of useful phosphate produced [23]. It is also important to mention the great risk of contamination with different metals present in varying concentrations in rock phosphate and its fertilizer derivates [24,25]. Three facts should be considered when assessing the current situation in this field. One of the main reasons for concern in both phosphate rock-mining and P-fertilizer industries is that inexpensive, high-grade rock phosphate reserves could be exhausted in the next 60 to 80 years as phosphate-bearing ore is a finite non-renewable resource [21,23,26]. P-fertilizer use has increased by around 2.5% per year, 4- to 5-fold during the last 50 years and is projected to increase in the first three decades of the 21st century by 20 Tg per year [27]. Second, there are no substitutes for phosphorus in agriculture, but, on the other hand, phosphorus is recycled by using animal manure and sewage sludge (although there are serious concerns about these alternatives). Finally, it is important to note that the cultivation soil available per capita on a global level is lowering while the world population is increasing by 250,000 people every day (approximately 80 million per year). This situation will result in the enhanced need for food and, consequently, phosphate demand will increase at a rate of 1.5–2% [27]. Therefore, there is an urgent need to find novel phosphate sources and/or novel P-solubilization techniques for ensuring phosphate availability.

2.2. Alternative P-Sources

2.2.1. Struvite

Here, the emphasis will be on potential P-bearing sources such as struvite and bone char. Struvite is a P recovery product, and it should be mentioned that scientific attention to the recovery of P is increasing because of its agricultural and industrial importance and bearing in mind the rapid depletion of natural P-resources. Struvite (NH₄MgPO₄·6H₂O) is relatively abundant in soils and lakes and can be found naturally formed through a variety of reactions with sources such as bird droppings and fish bones, but also in water treatment plants in form of crystals in wastewater pipes [28,29]. Controlled struvite formation can be carried out in reactors during the sludge digestion process thus forming a magnesium ammonium phosphate fertilizer for its use in agriculture [30]. It is also important to note that the NH_4^+ of the struvite composition can be readily replaced by potassium, thus forming MgKPO₄ \cdot 6H₂O (potassium struvite) [31]. This form of struvite could serve as a source of different important nutrients, which enhance its fertilizing value. As with all other P-bearing natural sources, struvite can be added to soils without any previous treatment, but its fertilizing effect is not well pronounced. When assessing the agronomic value of struvite, it should be also mentioned that the solubility of different sources of struvite depends on their chemical and physical composition and soil characteristics such as pH [32]. Application of soluble P during early plant growth is crucial to determine high growth and plant development with optimal yield. However, struvite added to soil-plant systems is not able to satisfy the need of P during this phase of the plant growth as it is only slightly soluble in water (1-5%) [33]. Even when applied to soil in combination with soluble chemical P-fertilizers, dissolution of struvite is not registered and therefore its presence is not beneficial to early plant growth [34]. In general, struvite solubility is very

low in water, gradually decreasing from pH 7.0 to 8.5 in calcareous soils with a high pH [35]. Therefore, it is important to study how to increase the struvite solubility to meet the plant P demand, particularly in the early stage of plant growth during the establishment of the root system, always bearing in mind that this slow-release fertilizer has a P-concentration similar to different superphosphates [36]. Application of *Aspergillus niger* is an option to increase the solubility of struvite, releasing soluble P [37]. A general scheme for struvite solubility and functionality depending on the type of soil, soil conditions (pH, presence of organic acids), characteristics of the struvite related to its nature and formation mode could serve when assessing the nutritional need of the respective crop to optimize its yield.

2.2.2. Biochar and Bone Char

Two main types of biochar could be distinguished, depending on the origin of the material before the treatment: biochar and bone char. Biochar is a biologically derived material produced after a thermal degradation of organic materials such as agricultural wastes. The production of biochar in the absence or very little presence of oxygen is now a very used process mainly due to the energy potential of the respective organic sources [38]. Particularly interesting and well-studied is the pyrolysis of agricultural crop residues producing biochar, which is further applied as a soil amendment [39]. In soil, biochar amendment is accepted as a sustainable approach with multifaceted benefits starting with the management of agricultural wastes, bioenergy production, carbon sequestration during biochar production, improving soil biological and physical characteristics, improving resistance to diseases, and promoting the growth of plants [40]. It was reported that biochar application positively affects the stress tolerance of plants to different abiotic factors derived from industrial activities or climate change such as salinity, drought, metal toxicity and high temperature [41,42]. Another important benefit of applying biochar is its P-content. It was suggested that recycling agricultural residues through biochar may improve sustainable P-recycling bearing in mind the enormous number of agricultural wastes and particularly manures, which have four times higher P-content compared to some solid wastes [43]. Independently of the form of P in the wastes, biochar is much richer in P, which is always insoluble. During the thermal treatment of biochar, the P-content ends in insoluble complexes with Al, Fe, Ca, Mg, and other metal cations [44].

Bone char is another potential alternative source of P and particularly in low-income countries is considered a very attractive slow-release P-bearing source [45]. Like in the case of biochar, bone char is produced via the pyrolysis process, in which bone-containing material is treated in absence of oxygen and at temperatures ranging from 200 to 700 °C. Until recently, residual materials of meat production were efficiently used as high-protein components of animal feed. However, because of the bovine spongiform encephalopathy crisis in the European meat industry, this use of animal wastes is now strictly controlled. The main treatment options are incineration and pyrolysis, which sterilize the product, preventing the transmission of diseases associated with raw animal products [46], and increase the concentration of desirable nutrients such as P and calcium (up to 47%) producing bioapatite and huge amounts of ash, the valorization of which is a major concern. Therefore, apart from using bone residues for their energy content ($\sim 17,000 \text{ kJ kg}^{-1}$), these wastes can be applied to soil as part of the sustainability strategies of the fertilizer industry and agriculture [47]. An important advantage of this P-bearing source is its purity compared to rock phosphate, as it is almost totally free of heavy metals and radionuclides. For example, the cadmium concentrations in bone char range up to only 3.03 mg kg⁻¹ in cattle and pig bone but up to 556 mg kg⁻¹ in rock phosphate [48].

Both bio and bone char are sources of an inorganic, insoluble form of P. Here, P-solubilizing microorganisms could be tested and used as a tool for high and rapid solubilization in fermentation systems or in soil [49,50]. To facilitate the microbial P-solubilizing function and due to their highly porous structure, bone char and biochar were used as soil amendments and simultaneously as cell/spore carriers [49,51]. Biochar derived from agricultural wastes, including bones, can be considered a potential carrier for the formulation of microbial inoculants and might

5 of 14

replace other cheap and widely used commercial materials such as peat. It should be noted that, when assessing the role of biochars as potential P-sources and soil P-improvers, we should also distinguish between different types of these products formed at different temperatures, 500–700 °C being the most appropriate [49,52].

3. Microbial Solubilization of P-Bearing Sources

If some of the conventional and alternative P-sources are applied directly to soil without previous treatment, even with soil acidity below pH 5.5–6.0, they will become as effective as superphosphate after only 4 years of annual direct application [53,54]. It is well established that the application of apatite-based P-sources (rock phosphate and biochars) is not economically feasible, particularly in soil conditions characterized by a high P-fixation capacity [55]. In recent years, various techniques for phosphate solubilization have been proposed, with increasing emphasis on the application of P-solubilizing microorganisms. Microbial P-solubilization is one of the most studied characteristics of soil microorganisms, particularly because of the interest in the production of biofertilizers [7]. Since the early work of Sperber [56], it is widely accepted that the microbial P-solubilization process is based on the organic acid production or release of protons, which attack the structure of the inorganic P-sources. Chelation is a particularly important tool for inorganic P-solubilization by microbially produced organic acids that, through their hydroxyl and carboxyl groups, chelate the cations (mainly calcium) bound to phosphate, the latter being converted into soluble forms [57]. The number of carboxylic groups is of great importance and determines the efficacy of solubilization. Triand di-carboxylic acids, such as citric and oxalic, were shown to be very strong solubilizers compared to monocarboxylic acid (gluconic acid) [58,59]. Moreover, it is known that Ca^{2+} forms bidentate; mononuclear complexes with two carboxylic acid groups [60]. Fungalformed Ca-oxalates are found everywhere in the environment. The production of oxalic acid is a natural process, which can increase the rate of soil weathering, enhancing the availability of nutrients including P [61]. Many free-living and symbiotic fungi can solubilize inorganic phosphate and increase phosphorus availability for plants [62,63] including in highly weathered soils [64] (Figure 1).

Two types of fungal P-solubilization could be distinguished according to the ambient: in vitro, in fermentation systems, and in soil–plant systems. In fermentation systems and in soil, the process of P-solubilization is well established and attributed to the biochemical activity of microorganisms capable of acidifying the microenvironment as described above. To substitute for the chemical P-fertilizers, a wide number of commercial microbial products are produced and introduced into soils. The well-elaborated scheme for studying and producing P-solubilizing biofertilizers includes various steps starting with isolation, identification and selection of P-solubilizing microorganisms, optimization of the fermentation stage of their production followed by formulation stage, field testing and commercialization [65,66]. However, some recent publications questioned the validity of this concept [67] and particularly the effect of microbial P-solubilization suggesting that soil microorganisms solubilize P just to satisfy their needs. Another key suggestion is that the mechanisms of P-solubilization in vitro and in vivo are different. The main reason for these assumptions is the lack of efficacy of biofertilizers in field conditions. On the other hand, Barrow [68] analyzed the two theories concerning the nature of phosphate in soil considering the adsorption-penetration theory is the only valid theory explaining the fate of phosphate in soil, thus changing the model of P-fertilization to feed the plant not the soil. The theory of these authors should also try to explain the P-cycling in soil with or without microbial metabolites. These suggestions are very important as they will force studies on microbial P-solubilization in soils—a process that seems a bit "frozen", continuously repeating one and the same research schemes. In fact, the mechanism of the biochemical production of organic acids in microorganisms seems always the same. The concentration of the produced organic acids depends on environmental conditions. However, it is one thing to produce a given metabolite in a fermenter under controlled conditions and a totally different thing to produce the same amount of acid in the soil. Even

in controlled conditions, there are a number of parameters that determine the metabolic activity of the microbial producer and the final metabolite yield, such as the amount and age of the inoculum, temperature, pH, aeration, medium composition, etc. [69]. The same is valid in soil conditions where the number of factors that determine the production of whichever plant beneficial metabolite is even higher and for this reason, the positive P-solubilizing effect of the existing or introduced fungal microbial inoculant would be different. Taking all these factors into consideration, it would be easy to understand why some fungal microorganisms behave as over-producers of organic acids in vitro (for example, the industrial concentrations of citric acid produced by A. niger can reach 600 mM [70]) although they do not need high organic acid production, or why such fungi solubilize phosphates, additionally supplied to the medium, if they supposedly need P only for their own development. On the other hand, as it is impossible to create the same conditions leading to overproduction of metabolites in soil, the concentration of the latter is low, but in theory sufficient to produce a continuous flow of P-solubilizing agents, produce siderophores, lignocellulose-degrading enzymes, antibiotics, phytohormones, etc. as proved in vitro [71]. The total concentrations of organic anions in the soil solution may appear insufficient to ensure the dissolution of minerals. However, millimolar concentrations could be registered in fungal hyphae microenvironments [72]. Particularly in mycorrhized plants, mycorrhizal hyphae could translocate dissolved minerals directly to the plants bearing also in mind that some mycorrhizal fungi are able to solubilize P in vitro [73].



Figure 1. Fungal P-solubilization and potential beneficial side effects on plants. Fungal acidification by H⁺ extrusion and organic acid production can improve plant access to P by the solubilization of low-reactivity P-sources and P-desorption from soil minerals. In the first case, phosphate-solubilizing fungi (PSF) can increase the fertilizer value of low-reactivity P-sources by treatment before application to the soil or by direct inoculation to the soil fertilized with the source. In the second scenario, PSF can revert P-fixation by soil, improving the fertilizer use efficiency and allowing reducing P-fertilizer rates. Moreover, PSF inoculation can promote plant growth by additional mechanisms, such as nutrient cycling, biocontrol of plant pathogens, synthesis of plant hormones such as indoleacetic acid (IAA) and gibberellins (GA), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and enzymes.

Another point is that many reports on P-solubilizing microorganisms, especially on P-solubilizing bacteria, attribute P-solubilization to gluconic acid production. Indeed, gluconic

acid production results in medium acidification and hence in tricalcium phosphate solubilization in vitro [74]. However, gluconic acid is ineffective to solubilize phosphates since it is a weak acid and has a low capacity to complex cations linked to P [75,76]. Therefore, is very unlikely that gluconic acid would have a major role in P-solubilization in soil due to the pH buffering capacity of soil and its low capacity to promote ligand exchange with soil compounds holding P. Moreover, in acidic soils, the effect of gluconic acid would be null, as demonstrated in solubilization tests with Ca-phosphates under controlled pH [75]. Hence, field trials with P-solubilizing microorganisms in which P-solubilization activity in vitro results from gluconic acid probably will fail, as reported by Meyer et al. [77], who could not find any increase in P-uptake by plants inoculated with a gluconic acid producing Pseudomonas protegens and a non-producing mutant as well. Curiously, there is a bias in studies with P-solubilizing microorganisms that put gluconic acid as the main organic acid involved in P-solubilization, including some efforts to obtain overproducing gluconic acid bacteria [78–81]. On the other hand, oxalic acid—a strong organic acid produced by some P-solubilizing microorganisms, especially fungi, which is as effective as sulfuric acid to release P from rock phosphate [76]—is generally neglected. This acid and the P-solubilizing fungus producing it (Aspergillus niger) were capable of desorbing P from a highly weathered soil [64]. Further, the release of oxalic acid is largely accepted as a mechanism by which mycorrhizae increase the rate of soil weathering and enhance the availability of nutrients for plant uptake [82]. Thus, it should be questioned if PSM unsuccess in some field trials is biased by the selection of P-solubilizing microorganisms based on the gluconic acid production capacity.

The whole process of production of plant-available P by soil microorganisms is extremely complex with a wide number of abiotic and biotic factors characterizing each different soil or site. For this reason, there is no universal fungal biofertilizer able to provoke P-solubilization and plant growth enhancement everywhere [83–85]. In the latter case, Penicillium bilaiae was tested in 27 different soils. As a part of the analysis of prerequisites for selecting an effective biofertilizer in general, we should mention the isolation of local microorganism(s) with specific desired functions, which are reintroduced into the soil would be able to rapidly adapt to the "well-known" existing abiotic and biotic reality; develop an optimized fermentation production system; formulate commercial products containing, at least partly, a sufficient amount of nutrients to facilitate the inoculant establishment in the soil [86] (Figure 2). In this sense, fungal-based biofertilizers, including P-solubilizing ones, demonstrate a number of advantages by being more adaptive to different stress factors [87]. The nature and characteristics of the target plant should also be considered as well as the soil properties, including the microbial profile. If we assume that plants contract microorganisms with specific traits, we should select the same specific plant-microbe combination in field biofertilization. Fungal community structures were shown to be particularly closely related to specific soil characteristics such as available P, exchangeable H^+ and Al^{3+} , NH_4^+ -N, NO_3^- -N, and pH [88]. Therefore, we could not expect the development of the same microbial structure and activity in soil with different specific characteristics. As in crop breeding, target traits (e.g., P-solubilization) and environmental (including host plant) compatibility must be considered side-by-side during biofertilizer development [89]. In summary, the use of plant beneficial microorganisms, including P-solubilizers, could be a process similar to personalized medicine for humans but oriented to "cure" a specific deficiency in a soil-plant system.



Figure 2. Development of microbial products for plant nutrition. Before reaching field tests, a potential beneficial microorganism must be characterized, efficiently produced, and correctly formulated. The choice of fermentation systems, mixtures of microorganisms and/or phytostimulants and additives, and the formulation will depend on factors such as the microbial species, target plant, mode of action, mode of application, etc. Any wrong decision can compromise the microbial product efficiency.

4. Alternative Approach to Application of P-Solubilizing Fungi

The strong P-solubilizing activity of fungal microorganisms such as *Penicillium, Aspergillus*, and *Trichoderma* strains can be used to solubilize P-bearing materials in fermentation systems and substitute for chemical processing. Here, two lines of application could be distinguished: (1) microbial P-solubilization directly in the fermentation systems and (2) P-solubilization by organic acids produced after fermentation processes by fungal microorganisms without the presence of phosphate sources.

Particularly fungal treated (partially solubilized) P-bearing materials can be produced in solid-state fermentation (SSF) processes using agro-industrial wastes as substrates [55,90]. All parameters and culture media could be optimized to facilitate fungal growth, metabolic activity, and P-solubilization [65,90]. The advantage of such a model for P-solubilization is that the resulting final fermentation product contains a partially solubilized P-source, mineralized organic matter (agro-wastes), and fungal mycelium is a formulated biofertilizer that could be applied in the soil directly or after thermal treatment [90]. Similarly, P-solubilization is carried out in submerged fermentation (SmF) where the fungal microorganisms are tested for the production of other metabolites with plant beneficial properties such as siderophores, indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), antibiotics, volatile organic compounds, etc. (Figure 1). The inclusion of additional components in the medium proved a possible increase in organic acid production and P-solubilization efficacy [91]. Applying various types of SmF and different fermenter designs such as fed-batch and repeated-batch fermentations and air-lift bioreactor seems advantageous, increasing both the organic acid production and P-solubilization [92–94]. Immobilized cell technology was also applied in SmF with P-solubilizing filamentous fungi combining the advantages of both the

immobilization state of the cells and the repeated-batch fermentations [66,95] (Figure 2). In many cases, these biofertilizers demonstrated high P-solubilizing activity but also multifunctional properties, for example, biocontrol [96,97]. Due to the continuous efforts of many scientists, the progress in fungal P-solubilization in fermentation conditions during the last 20–30 years is impressive. Various species of fungal microorganisms were isolated, characterized, selected for their P-solubilizing activity and further experimented within different modes of fermentation processes. The number of studies in conditions of liquid submerged and solid-state fermentation is enormous and impossible to include in this review. In many of these works, fermentation parameters and media were optimized, and metabolite profiles of the respective fungi were determined simultaneously with their P-solubilizing activity.

The obtained results generated the idea of applying the resulting final liquid and free of mycelium products containing solubilized P directly in soil-plant systems as post-biotic fertilizers [7] (Figure 2). Mendes et al. [98] showed the practical advantage of applying such kinds of biofertilizers by analyzing Trifolium repens grown in soil or soil-less (vermiculite/perlite) conditions. The application of both filtrated and non-filtrated fermentation liquid samples was found to promote growth and P-uptake of the test plant (*Trifollium repens*), particularly in treatments that received microbially solubilized phosphate. A simple method of P-solubilization and biofertilizer mycelium-free production based on immobilized Piriformospora indica employed in a repeated-batch fermentation process was developed in the presence of bone char as a P-source [99]. Thus, the final liquid contained all plant-stimulating metabolites released by the fungus and soluble phosphate. The results demonstrated that by introducing cell-free liquid into the soil-plant system, in addition to the improved plant growth and plant P-content, normally registered in the test plant, other beneficial effects can be observed. Moreover, A. niger with proven P-solubilizing activity [100] can promote the growth of vegetable seedlings [101] and can be used as a biocontrol agent against soil-borne plant pathogens Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. phaseoli, Fusarium solani f. sp. phaseoli, Macrophomina phaseolina, Rhizoctonia solani, Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, Sclerotium cepivorum, and Sclerotium rolfsii (unpublished). This effect was attributed to the release of thermostable metabolites and volatile organic compounds (VOC). The production of VOC is of particular interest as an important part of the overall effect of these metabolites released by biofertilizers and plants, which determine the sensory perception of fruits [102]. Therefore, it is a good point to mention that when fungal biofertilizer actions are assessed, a wide number of beneficial side effects should be evaluated (Figure 1) including the quality of the target plant and the production of high-quality functional foods [103]. At the European level there is a serious interest in the development of tools able to predict the functionality of agri-foods and their organoleptic characteristics, starting from strategies based on biofertilizers and thus avoiding chemical fertilizers, through enhancement of antioxidants, vitamins, minerals, flavors, and other human health-related compounds which could make our diet healthier and free from chemicals (see the web page of the EC Project VIRTUOUS—http://virtuoush2020.com, accessed on 20 July 2022). In this line of research, another possibility for P-solubilization should be mentioned such as the solubilization of P-bearing materials out of the fermenters by organic acids produced by microbial P-solubilizers. The high potential of oxalic acid for rock phosphate solubilization was frequently shown [57,104]. Recently, Mendes et al. [59,76] showed that this di-carboxylic acid is able to extract 100% of P contained in rock phosphates with different characteristics. Moreover, oxalic acid is more efficient than sulfuric acid, releasing more P per mol of acid applied [76]. Thus, mycogenic oxalic acid appears to be a promising alternative for the production of phosphate fertilizers thus substituting the traditionally used sulfuric acid offering an efficient, low-cost, and environmentally friendly method for P-extraction [76,105].

5. Conclusions

In the few last years, the search for substitutes for phosphate fertilizers is of high importance and urgency as the natural P-source (rock phosphate) is a finite resource. Other reasons also include public acceptance of bio-based agricultural products, climate change,

and the growing population. In controlled conditions (laboratories and greenhouses), a large number of microorganisms were reported to solubilize inorganic and organic phosphates. However, the biotech companies were not focused on mass-producing bio-based fertilizers due to their high cost when compared with the low prices of mineral fertilizers. Particularly at this moment, the situation is different: due to the crisis provoked by the war in Ukraine, the prices of chemical fertilizers are extremely high. On the other hand, the success of the field application of biofertilizers is not always visible. The reasons for this are mainly the wrong schemes of product development and a lack of collaboration between experts in different fields of research. Wrong experiments in soil without any microbial inoculant development/formulation could be the reason for a "new" theory or call for reconsideration of the current status. The implementation of new technologies and high investments are not sufficient for the development of serious biotechnological production of P-biofertilizers. What is needed is the establishment of expert protocols for each step of the P-biofertilizer production, which should include all potential possibilities and risks (Figure 2). Alternative P-sources should be tested including low-P rocks. In soil and out of soil microbial solubilization should be considered depending on previous deep analysis of variants. Multidisciplinary approaches and tools including soil science, microbiological, biotechnological, and plant physiology analysis, artificial intelligence, machine learning, and mist computing should be widely used to predict, select and control plant (P) nutrition, particularly in field conditions based on biofertilizers (and precision agriculture in general) and create a larger view on the effects of the multiple properties of the fungal microorganisms from seed germination to fruit quality. All this is following a strategy similar to personalized medicine for humans but is oriented to "cure" a specific deficiency in a soil-plant system. Multiple abiotic and biotic soil factors and plant characteristics and plant-soil-microbe history should be considered before taking a final management decision. This diversity of variables means that one approach for the production and application of fungal P-solubilizers cannot fit all the different contexts, but that different and interconnected strategies should be investigated (Figure 2). Based on the scientific literature, a strong wave of novel strategies and wider application of fungal biofertilizers are expected in the near future including in the field of fungal P-solubilization, always looking for safe and healthy final products [106,107].

Author Contributions: M.V., G.d.O.M. and N.V. designed and drafted the work. S.M., M.A.D., G.d.B., V.M. and E.F.-P. contributed to the revision of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the project EXCALIBUR funded from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program under grant agreement No. 817946 and partly by the EU projects VIRTUOUS, EU grant agreement no. 872181 and SUSTAINABLE, EU grant agreement no. 101007702. GO Mendes was supported by the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG), grant number APQ-01842-17, and the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), grant number 407793/2021-6.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Fierer, N. Embracing the unknown: Disentangling the complexities of the soil microbiome. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2017, 15, 579–590. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- van der Heijden, M.G.A.; Bardgett, R.D.; van Straalen, N.M. The unseen majority: Soil microbes as drivers of plant diversity and productivity in terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecol. Lett.* 2008, *11*, 296–310. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Huang, R.; McGrath, S.P.; Hirsch, P.R.; Clark, I.M.; Storkey, J.; Wu, L.; Zhou, J.; Liang, Y. Plant-microbe networks in soil are weakened by century-long use of inorganic fertilizers. *Microb. Biotechnol. Nov.* 2019, 12, 1464–1475. [CrossRef]
- Du Jardin, P. The science of plant biostimulants—A bibliographic analysis. In *Ad hoc Study Report to the European Commission DG ENTR*; 2012. Available online: https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/5c1f9a38-57f4-4f5a-b021-cad867c1ef3c (accessed on 22 August 2022).
- 5. Calvo, P.; Nelson, L.; Kloepper, J.W. Agricultural uses of plant biostimulants. Plant Soil 2014, 383, 3–41. [CrossRef]

- 6. Malusa, E.; Vassilev, N. A contribution to set a legal framework for biofertilisers. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *98*, 6599–6607. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vassileva, M.; Flor-Peregrin, E.; Malusá, E.; Vassilev, N. Towards Better Understanding of the Interactions and Efficient Application of Plant Beneficial Prebiotics, Probiotics, Postbiotics and Synbiotics. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2020, 11, 1068. [CrossRef]
- 8. Frey-Klett, P.; Burlinson, P.; Deveau, A.; Barret, M.; Tarkka, M.; Sarniguet, A. Bacterial-fungal interactions: Hyphens between agricultural, clinical, environmental, and food microbiologists. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 2011, 75, 583–609. [CrossRef]
- 9. Müller, K.; Marhan, S.; Kandeler, E.; Poll, C. Carbon flow from litter through soil microorganisms: From incorporation rates to mean residence times in bacteria and fungi. *Soil. Biol. Biochem.* **2017**, *115*, 187–196. [CrossRef]
- 10. Kuzyakov, Y.; Blagodatskaya, E. Microbial hotspots and hot moments in soil: Concept & review. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2015**, *83*, 184–199.
- Hyde, K.D.; Xu, J.; Rapior, S.; Jeewon, R.; Lumyong, S.; Niego, A.G.T.; Abeywickrama, P.D.; Aluthmuhandiram, J.V.S.; Brahamanage, R.S.; Brooks, S.; et al. The amazing potential of fungi: 50 ways we can exploit fungi industrially. *Fungal Divers.* 2019, 97, 1–136. [CrossRef]
- Gadd, G.M. The geomycology of elemental cycling and transformations in the environment. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 2017, *5*, 371–386. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Jiao, S.; Chu, H.; Zhang, B.; Wei, X.; Chen, W.; Wei, G. Linking soil fungi to bacterial community assembly in arid ecosystems. *iMeta* **2022**, *1*, e2. [CrossRef]
- 14. Haq, I.U.I.; Zhang, M.; Yang, P.; van Elsas, J.D. The interactions of bacteria with fungi in soil: Emerging concepts. *Adv. Appl. Microbil.* **2014**, *89*, 185–215. [CrossRef]
- 15. Gadd, G. Geo-mycology: Biogeochemical transformations of rocks, minerals, metals and radionuclides by fungi, bio-weathering, and bioremediation. *Mycol. Res.* 2007, 111, 3–49. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Frac, M.; Hannula, S.E.; Bełka, M.; Jedryczka, M. Fungal Biodiversity and Their Role in Soil Health. *Front. Microbiol.* **2018**, *9*, 707. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Otlewska, A.; Migliore, M.; Dybka-Stępień, K.; Manfredini, A.; Struszczyk-Świta, K.; Napoli, R.; Białkowska, A.; Canfora, L.; Pinzari, F. When Salt Meddles Between Plant, Soil, and Microorganisms. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 553087. [CrossRef]
- Rimbault, M. General and microbiological aspects of SSF. In *International Training Course on Solid-State Fermentation*; Rimbault, M., Soccol, C.R., Chuzel, G., Eds.; ORSTOM: Montpellier, France; Curitiba, Brazil, 1998.
- Rai, A.K.; Sunar, K.; Sharma, H. Agriculturally Important Microorganism: Understanding the Functionality and Mechanisms for Sustainable Farming. In *Microbiological Activity for Soil and Plant Health Management*; Soni, R., Suyal, D.C., Bhargava, P., Goel, R., Eds.; Springer: Singapore, 2021. [CrossRef]
- George, T.S.; Hinsinger, P.; Turner, B.L. Phosphorus in soils and plants-facing phosphorus scarcity. *Plant Soil* 2016, 401, 1–6. [CrossRef]
- 21. Runge-Metzger, A. Closing the cycle: Obstacles to efficient P management for improved global security. In *Phosphorus in the Global Environment*; Tiessen, H., Ed.; John Wiley and Sons Ltd.: Chichester, UK, 1995; pp. 27–42.
- 22. Roy, E.D.; Richards, P.D.; Martinelli, L.A.; Coletta, L.D.; Lins, S.R.; Vazquez, F.F.; Willig, E.; Spera, S.A.; VanWey, L.K.; Porder, S. The phosphorus cost of agricultural intensification in the tropics. *Nat. Plants* **2016**, *43*, 16043. [CrossRef]
- Cordell, D.; Drangert, J.-O.; White, S. The story of phosphorus: Global food security and food for thought. *Glob. Environ. Chang.* 2009, 19, 292–305. [CrossRef]
- 24. Stoica, L.; Georgescu, I.L.; Filip, D.; Bunus, F. Determination of valuable elements in natural phosphates. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* **1997**, 216, 161–163. [CrossRef]
- 25. Sabiha, J.; Mehmood, T.; Chaudhry, M.M.; Tufail, M.; Irfan, N. Heavy metal pollution from phosphate rock used for the production of fertilizer in Pakistan. *Microchem. J.* **2009**, *91*, 94–99. [CrossRef]
- Cordell, D.; White, S. Peak phosphorus: Clarifying the key issues of a vigorous debate about long-term phosphorus security. *Sustainability* 2011, 3, 2027–2049. [CrossRef]
- Bouwman, L.; Goldewijk, K.K.; Van Der Hoek, K.W.; Beusen, A.H.W.; van Vuuren, D.P.; Willems, J.; Rufino, M.C.; Stehfest, E. Exploring global changes in nitrogen and phosphorus cycles in agriculture induced by livestock production over the 1900–2050 period. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2013, 110, 20882–20887. [CrossRef]
- 28. Le Corre, K.S.; Valsami-Jones, E.; Hobbs, P.; Parsons, S.A. Phosphorus recovery from wastewater by struvite crystallization: A review. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *39*, 433–477. [CrossRef]
- 29. Kataki, S.; West, H.; Clarke, M.; Baruah, D.C. Phosphorus recovery as struvite from farm, municipal and industrial waste: Feedstock suitability, methods and pre-treatments. *Waste Manag.* **2016**, *49*, 437–454. [CrossRef]
- Schauer, P.; Baur, R.; Barnard, J.; Britton, A. Increasing revenue while reducing nuisance struvite precipitation: Pilot scale testing of the WASSTRIP process. *Proc. Water Environ. Fed.* 2011, 1, 848–865. [CrossRef]
- Suryawanshi, V.B.; Chaudhari, R.T. Synthesis and Characterization of Struvite-K Crystals by Agar Gel. J. Cryst. Process Technol. 2014, 4, 212–224. [CrossRef]
- 32. Rech, I.; Withers, P.J.A.; Jones, D.L.; Pavinato, P.S. Solubility, Diffusion and Crop Uptake of Phosphorus in Three Different Struvites. *Sustainability* **2019**, *11*, 134. [CrossRef]

- Achat, D.L.; Sperandio, M.; Daumer, M.L.; Santellani, A.C.; Prud'Homme, L.; Akhtar, M.; Morel, C. Plant-availability of phosphorus recycled from pig manures and dairy effluents as assessed by isotopic labeling techniques. *Geoderma* 2014, 232, 24–33. [CrossRef]
- 34. Talboys, P.J.; Heppell, J.; Roose, T.; Healey, J.R.; Jones, D.L.; Withers, P.J. Struvite: A slow-release fertiliser for sustainable phosphorus management? *Plant Soil* 2016, 401, 109–123. [CrossRef]
- 35. Bhuiyan, M.I.H.; Mavinic, D.S.; Beckie, R.D. A solubility and thermodynamic study of struvite. *Environ. Technol.* 2007, 28, 1015–1026. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Li, B.; Boiarkina, I.; Yu, W.; Huang, H.M.; Munir, T.; Wang, G.Q.; Young, B.R. Phosphorus recovery through struvite crystallization: Challenges for future design. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, *648*, 1244–1256. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Suyamud, B.; Ferrier, J.; Csetenyi, L.; Inthorn, D.; Gadd, G.M. Biotransformation of struvite by Aspergillus niger: Phosphate release and magnesium biomineralization as glushinskite. *Environ. Microbiol.* **2020**, *22*, 1588–1602. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lehmann, J.; Joseph, S. Biochar for environmental management: An introduction. In *Biochar for Environmental Management Science* and Technology; Lehmann, J., Joseph, S., Eds.; Earthscans: London, UK, 2009; pp. 1–12.
- 39. Lehmann, J.; Rillig, M.C.; Thies, J.; Masiello, C.A.; Hockaday, W.C.; Crowley, D. Biochar effects on soil biota—A review. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2011**, *43*, 1812–1836. [CrossRef]
- Wang, C.; Wang, H. Biochar based slow release of fertilizers for sustainable agriculture: A minireview. *Environ. Sci. Ecotechnol.* 2022, 10, 100167. [CrossRef]
- Kammann, C.I.; Schmidt, H.P.; Messerschmidt, N.; Linsel, S.; Steffens, D.; Muller, C.; Koyro, H.W.; Conte, P.; Joseph, S. Plant growth improvement mediated by nitrate capture in co-composted biochar. *Sci Rep.* 2015, *5*, 11080. [CrossRef]
- Schmidt, H.P.; Pandit, B.H.; Martinsen, V.; Cornelissen, G.; Conte, P.; Kammann, C.I. Fourfold increase in pumpkin yield in response to low-dosage root zone application of urine enhanced biochar to a fertile tropical soil. *Agriculture* 2015, *5*, 723–741. [CrossRef]
- Dai, L.; Li, H.; Tan, F.; Zhu, N.; He, M.; Hu, G. Biochar: A potential route for recycling of phosphorus in agricultural residues. GCB Bioenergy 2016, 8, 852–858. [CrossRef]
- Heilmann, S.M.; Molde, J.S.; Timler, J.G.; Wood, B.M.; Mikula, A.L.; Vozhdayev, G.V.; Colosky, E.C.; Spokas, K.A.; Valentas, K.J. Phosphorus reclamation through hydrothermal carbonization of animal manures. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2014, 48, 10323–10329. [CrossRef]
- 45. Kibert, H.A.; Nesin, B. Pan pelletization of bone char fertilizer: An evaluation of process parameters and their effect on granule strength. *Waste Biomass-Valorization* **2021**, *12*, 5599–5610. [CrossRef]
- 46. Warren, G.P.; Robinson, J.S.; Someus, E. Dissolution of phosphorus from animal bone char in 12 soils. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* **2009**, *84*, 167–178. [CrossRef]
- Zwetsloot, M.J.; Lehmann, J.; Solomon, D. Recycling slaughterhouse waste into fertilizer: How do pyrolysis temperature and biomass additions affect phosphorus availability and chemistry? *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2015, 95, 281–288. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Van Kauwenbergh, S.J. Cadmium and other minor elements in world resources of phosphate rock. In *Proceedings No.* 400 *International Fertiliser Society;* Fertilizer Society: York, UK, 1997; pp. 1–40.
- 49. Vassilev, N.; Martos, E.; Mendes, G.; Martos, V.; Vassileva, M. Biochar of animal origin: A sustainable solution to the global problem of high-grade rock phosphate scarcity? *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2013**, *93*, 1799–1804. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Vassilev, N.; Medina, A.; Mendes, G.; Galvez, A.; Martos, V.; Vassileva, M. Solubilization of animal bonechar by a filamentous fungus employed in solid state fermentation. *Ecol. Eng.* **2013**, *58*, 165–169. [CrossRef]
- 51. Egamberdieva, D.; Hua, M.; Reckling, M.; Wirth, S.; Bellingrath-Kimura, S.D. Potential effects of biochar-based inoculants in agriculture. *Environ. Sustain.* 2018, 1, 19–24. [CrossRef]
- 52. Zhang, H.; Chen Ch Gray, E.M.; Boyd, S.E.; Yang, H.; Zhang, D. Roles of biochar in improving phosphorus availability in soils: A phosphate adsorbent and a source of available phosphorus. *Geoderma* **2016**, 276, 1–6. [CrossRef]
- 53. Ghani, A.; Rajan, S.S.S.; Lee, A. Enhancement of rock phosphate solubility through biological processes. *Soil Biol Biochem.* **1994**, 26, 127–136. [CrossRef]
- 54. Rajan, S.S.S.; Watkinson, J.H.; Sinclair, A.G. Phosphate rocks for direct application to soils. Adv. Agron. 1996, 57, 77–159.
- 55. Vassilev, N.; Vassileva, M. Biotechnological solubilization of rock phosphate on media containing agro-industrial wastes. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2003**, *61*, 435–440. [CrossRef]
- 56. Sperber, J.I. Solution of apatite by soil microorganisms producing organic acids. Austr. J. Agric. Res. 1958, 9, 782–787. [CrossRef]
- 57. Kpomblekou, K.; Tabatabai, M.A. Effect of organic acids on release of phosphorus from phosphate rocks. *Soil Sci.* **1994**, *158*, 442–453. [CrossRef]
- 58. Sagoe, C.I.; Ando, T.; Kouno, K.; Nagaoka, T. Relative importance of protons and solution calcium concentration in phosphate rock dissolution by organic acids. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* **1998**, *44*, 617–625. [CrossRef]
- Mendes, G.O.; Bahri-Esfahani, J.; Csetenyi, L.; Hillier, S.; George, T.S.; Gadd, G.M. Chemical and physical mechanisms of fungal bioweathering of rock phosphate. *Geomicrobiol. J.* 2020, *38*, 384–394. [CrossRef]
- Gadd, G. Fungal production of citric and oxalic acid: Importance in metal speciation, physiology and biogeochemical processes. *Adv. Microb. Physiol.* 1999, 41, 47–92. [PubMed]
- Tait, K.; Sayer, J.A.; Gharieb, M.M.; Gadd, G. Fungal production of calcium oxalate in leaf litter microcosms. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 1999, *31*, 1189–1192. [CrossRef]

- 62. Whitelaw, M.A. Growth promotion of plants inoculated with phosphate-solubilizing fungi. Adv. Agron. 2000, 69, 99–151.
- 63. Khan, M.S.; Zaidi, A.; Ahemad, M.; Oves, M.; Wani, P.A. Plant growth promotion by phosphate solubilizing fungi-current perspective. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.* 2010, *56*, 73–98. [CrossRef]
- 64. Nascimento, J.M.D.; Netto, J.A.F.V.; Valadares, R.V.; Mendes, G.D.O.; da Silva, I.R.; Vergütz, L.; Costa, M.D. Aspergillus niger as a key to unlock fixed phosphorus in highly weathered soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2021**, *156*, 108190. [CrossRef]
- 65. Vassilev, N.; Mendes, G. Solid-state fermentation and plant-beneficial microorganisms. In *Current Developments in Biotechnology* and Bioengineering: Current Advances in Solid-State Fermentation; Pandey, A., Larroche, C., Soccol, C.R., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2018; pp. 402–416.
- 66. Vassileva, M.; Malusà, E.; Sas-Paszt, L.; Trzcinski, P.; Galvez, A.; Flor-Peregrin, E.; Shilev, S.; Canfora, L.; Mocali, S.; Vassilev, N. Fermentation Strategies to Improve Soil Bio-Inoculant Production and Quality. *Microorganisms* **2021**, *9*, 1254. [CrossRef]
- Raymond, N.S.; Gómez-Muñoz, B.; van der Bom, F.J.T.; Nybroe, O.; Jensen, L.S.; Muller-Stover, D.S.; Oberson, A.; Richardson, A.E. Phosphate-solubilising microorganisms for improved crop productivity: A critical assessment. *New Phytol.* 2021, 229, 1268–1277. [CrossRef]
- 68. Barrow, N.J. Comparing two theories about nature of soil phosphate. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 2021, 72, 679–685. [CrossRef]
- 69. Vassilev, N.; Mendes, G.; Costa, M.; Vassileva, M. Biotechnological tools for enhancing microbial solubilization of insoluble inorganic phosphates. *Geomicrobiol. J.* 2014, *31*, 751–763. [CrossRef]
- 70. Mattey, M. The production of organic acids. CRC Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 1992, 12, 87–132. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vassileva, M.; Serrano, M.; Bravo, V.; Jurado, E.; Nikolaeva, I.; Martos, V.; Vassilev, N. Multifunctional properties of phosphatesolubilizing microorganisms grown on agro- industrial wastes in fermentation and soil conditions. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2010, *85*, 1287–1299. [CrossRef]
- 72. Drever, J.I.; Stillings, L.L. The role of organic acids in mineral weathering. Coll. Surf. 1997, 120, 167–181. [CrossRef]
- 73. Lapeyrie, F.; Ranger, J.; Vairelles, D. Phosphate solubilizing ability of ectomycorrhizal fungi in vitro. *Can. J. Bot.* **1991**, *69*, 342–346. [CrossRef]
- 74. de Werra, P.; Péchy-Tarr, M.; Keel, C.; Maurhofer, M. Role of gluconic acid production in the regulation of biocontrol traits of Pseudomonas fluorescens CHA0. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2009**, 75, 4162–4174. [CrossRef]
- 75. Illmer, P.; Schinner, F. Solubilization of inorganic calcium phosphates-solubilization mechanisms. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **1995**, 27, 257–263. [CrossRef]
- Mendes, G.O.; Murta, H.M.; Valadares, R.V.; da Silveira, W.B.; da Silva, I.R.; and Costa, M.D. Oxalic acid is more efficient than sulfuric acid for rock phosphate solubilization. *Miner. Eng.* 2020, 155, 106458. [CrossRef]
- 77. Meyer, G.; Maurhofer, M.; Frossard, E.; Gamper, H.A.; Mäder, P.; Mészáros; Schönholzer-Mauclaire, L.; Symanczik, S.; Oberson, A. Pseudomonas protegens CHA0 does not increase phosphorus uptake from, 33P labeled synthetic hydroxyapatite by wheat grown on calcareous soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2019, 131, 217–228. [CrossRef]
- 78. Goldstein, A.H.; Rogers, R.D.; Mead, G. Mining by microbe. Nat. Biotechnol. 1993, 11, 1250–1254. [CrossRef]
- Liu, S.T.; Lee, L.Y.; Tai, C.Y.; Hung, C.H.; Chang, Y.S.; Wolfram, J.H.; Rogers, R.; Goldstein, A.H. Cloning of an Erwinia herbicola gene necessary for gluconic acid production and enhanced mineral phosphate solubilization in Escherichia coli HB101: Nucleotide sequence and probable involvement in biosynthesis of the coen- zyme pyrroloquinoline quinone. *J. Bacteriol.* 1992, 174, 5814–5819. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 80. Kim, C.H.; Han, S.H.; Kim, K.Y.; Cho, B.H.; Kim, Y.H.; Koo, B.S.; Kim, Y.C. Cloning and expression of pyrroloquinoline quinone (PQQ) genes from a phosphate-solubi- lizing bacterium Enterobacter intermedium. *Curr. Microbiol.* **2003**, *47*, 457–461. [CrossRef]
- Wagh, J.; Shah, S.; Bhandari, P.; Archana, G.; Kumar, G.N. Heterologous expres-sion of pyrroloquinoline quinone (pqq) gene cluster confers mineral phosphate so- lubilization ability to Herbaspirillum seropedicae Z67. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2014, 98, 5117–5129. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dutton, M.V.; Evans, C.S. Oxalate production by fungi: Its role in pathogenicity and ecology in the soil environment. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 1996, 42, 881–895. [CrossRef]
- 83. Raymond, N.S.; Jensen, L.S.; van der Bom, F.; Nicolaisen, M.H.; Muller-Stover, D. Fertilising effect of sewage sludge ash inoculated with the phosphate-solubilising fungus Penicillium bilaiae under semi-field conditions. *Biol. Fert. Soils* 2019, *55*, 43–51. [CrossRef]
- 84. Shanta, N.; Schwinghamer, T.; Backer, R.; Allaire, S.E.; Teshler, I.; Vanasse, A.; Whalen, J.; Baril, B.; Lange, S.; MacKay, J.; et al. Biochar and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria effects on switchgrass (Panicum virgatum cv. Cave-in-rock) for biomass production in southern Québec depend on soil type and location. *Biomass Bioenergy* **2016**, *95*, 167–173. [CrossRef]
- 85. Karamanos, R.; Flore, N.; Harapiak, J. Re-visiting use of Penicillium bilaii with phosphorus fertilization of hard red spring wheat. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* **2010**, *90*, 265–277. [CrossRef]
- Vassilev, N.; Vassileva, M.; Martos, V.; Garcia Del Moral, L.F.; Kowalska, J.; Tylkowski, B.; Malusá, E. Formulation of microbial inoculants by encapsulation in natural polysaccharides: Focus on beneficial properties of carrier additives and derivates. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2020, *11*, 270. [CrossRef]
- Vassilev, N.; Eichler-Lobermann, B.; Vassileva, M. Stress tolerant P-solubilizing microorganisms. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2012, 95, 851–859. [CrossRef]
- Shi, Q.; Liu, Y.; Shi, A.; Cai, Z.; Nian, H.; Hartmann, M.; Lian, T. Rhizosphere Soil Fungal Communities of Aluminum-Tolerant and -Sensitive Soybean Genotypes Respond Differently to Aluminum Stress in an Acid Soil. *Front. Microbiol.* 2020, 11, 1177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Kaminsky, L.M.; Trexler, R.V.; Malik, R.J.; Hockett, K.L.; Bell, T.H. The inherent conflicts in developing soil microbial inoculants. *Trends Biotechnol.* 2019, 37, 140–151. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mendes, G.D.O.; Silva, N.M.R.M.; Anastácio, T.C.; Vassilev, N.B.; Ribeiro, J.I.; Silva, I.R.; Costa, M.D. Optimization of Aspergillus niger rock phosphate solubilization in solid-state fermentation and use of the resulting product as a P fertilizer. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 2015, *8*, 930–939. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mendes, G.; Zafra, D.; Vassilev, N.; Silva, I.R.; Ribeiro, J.I., Jr.; Costa, M.D. Biochar Enhances Aspergillus niger Rock Phosphate Solubilization by Increasing Organic Acid Production and Alleviating Fluoride Toxicity. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2014, 80, 3081–3085. [CrossRef]
- Ahuja, A.; D'Souza, S.F. Bioprocess for solubilization of rock phosphate on starch based medium by Paecilomyces marquandii immobilized on polyurethane foam. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 2009, 152, 1–5. [CrossRef]
- 93. Klaic, R.; Plotegher, F.; Ribeiro, C.; Zangirolami, T.C.; Farinas, C.S. A fed-batch strategy integrated with mechanical activation improves the solubilization of phosphate rock by Aspergillus niger. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2018**, *6*, 11326–11334. [CrossRef]
- 94. Cereti, C.F.; Rossini, F.; Federici, F.; Quaratino, D.; Vassilev, N.; Fenice, M. Reuse of microbially treated olive mill wastewater as fertiliser for wheat (Triticum durum Desf.). *Biores. Technol.* **2004**, *91*, 135–140. [CrossRef]
- 95. Vassilev, N.; Vassileva, M.; Fenice, M.; Federici, F. Immobilized cell technology applied in solubilization of insoluble inorganic (rock) phosphates and P plant acquisition. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2001**, *79*, 263–271. [CrossRef]
- 96. Vassilev, N.; Requena, A.; Nieto, L.; Nikolaeva, I.; Vassileva, M. Production of manganese peroxidase by Phanerochaete chrysosporium grown on medium containing agro-wastes/rock phosphate and biocontrol properties of the final product. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2009**, *30*, 28–32. [CrossRef]
- Emami-Karvani, Z.; Chitsaz-Esfahani, Z. Phosphorus solubilization: Mechanisms, recent advances and future challenge. In Soil Microbiomes for Sustainable Agriculture, Sustainable Development and Biodiversity 27; Yadav, A.N., Ed.; Springer Nature Switzerland AG: Cham, Switzerland, 2021.
- 98. Mendes, G.; Galvez, A.; Vassileva, M.; Vassilev, N. Fermentation liquid containing microbially solubilized P significantly improved plant growth and P uptake in both soil and soilless experiments. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* **2017**, *117*, 208–211. [CrossRef]
- Vassilev, N.; Eichler-Löbermann, B.; Flor-Peregrin, E.; Martos, V.; Reyes, A.; Vassileva, M. Production of a potential liquid plant bio-stimulant by immobilized Piriformospora indica in repeated-batch fermentation process. AMB Express 2017, 7, 106. [CrossRef]
- Mendes, G.O.; Freitas, A.L.M.; Pereira, O.L.; Silva, I.R.; Vassilev, N.B.; Costa, M.D. Mechanisms of phosphate solubilization by fungal isolates when exposed to different P sources. *Ann. Microbiol.* 2014, 64, 239–249. [CrossRef]
- 101. Mundim, G.d.S.M.; Maciel, G.M.; Mendes, G.D.O. Aspergillus niger as a biological input for improving vegetable seedling production. *Microorganisms* **2022**, *10*, 674. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Duan, W.; Peng, L.; Zhang, H.; Han, L.; Li, Y. Microbial biofertilizers increase fruit aroma content of Fragaria ananassa by improving photosynthetic efficiency. *Alex Eng. J.* 2021, 60, 5323–5330. [CrossRef]
- 103. Flores-Félix, J.D.; Silva, L.R.; Rivera, L.P.; Marcos-García, M.; García-Fraile, P.; Martínez-Molina, E.; Mateos, P.F.; Velázquez, E.; Andrade, P.; Rivas, R. Plants Probiotics as a Tool to Produce Highly Functional Fruits: The Case of Phyllobacterium and Vitamin C in Strawberries. PLoS ONE 2015, 10, e0122281. [CrossRef]
- Kpomblekou-A, K.; Tabatabai, M.A. Effect of low-molecular weight organic acids on phosphorus release and phytoavailability of phosphorus in phosphate rocks added to soils. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 2003, 100, 275–284. [CrossRef]
- 105. Liang, X.; Gadd, G.M. Metal and metalloid biorecovery using fungi. Microb. Biotechnol. 2017, 10, 1199–1205. [CrossRef]
- 106. Garcia, S.N.; Osburn, B.I.; Jay-Russell, M.T. One Health for Food Safety, Food Security, and Sustainable Food Production. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* **2020**, *4*, 1. [CrossRef]
- Vassileva, M.; Mocali, S.; Canfora, L.; Malusá, E.; García del Moral, L.F.; Martos, V.; Flor-Peregrin, E.; Vassilev, N. Safety Level of Microorganism-Bearing Products Applied in Soil-Plant Systems. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2022, 13, 862875. [CrossRef]