

Alternating sums of binomial quotients

WENCHANG CHU^{1,2,*} AND DONGWEI GUO¹

¹ *School of Mathematics and Statistics, Zhoukou Normal University, 466 001 Henan, P. R. China*

² *Department of Mathematics and Physics, University of Salento, Lecce 73 100, Italy*

Received February 7, 2022; accepted June 8, 2022

Abstract. By combining telescoping and the linearization method, a class of alternating sums of binomial quotients is investigated. Several summation and transformation formulae are established. Asymptotic behavior for these sums is also examined.

AMS subject classifications: 05A10, 11B65

Keywords: binomial quotient, telescoping, linearization method

1. Introduction and outline

Binomial identities appear often in mathematics, physics and computer sciences. Evaluating binomial sums sometimes becomes an entertaining and challenging activity (cf. [7, Chapter 5]). Gould [6] made a comprehensive coverage of 500 classified binomial identities. Riordan [10] and Graham–Knuth–Patashnik [7] recorded several classical methods to treat binomial sums. A modern technological approach was presented by Petkovšek–Wilf–Zeilberger [9] through computer algebra.

In this paper, we shall examine the following alternating sums of binomial quotients:

$$\Omega_{\lambda,\delta}(m) := \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+\lambda}{2k+\delta}}{\binom{m}{k}}, \quad (1)$$

where $\lambda, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$. They are not on the list collected by Gould [6].

In the next section, we shall prove a general summation theorem for $\lambda \geq 2$ that expresses $\Omega_{\lambda,\delta}(m)$ as a finite sum of $\lambda - 1$ terms and contains several elegant closed formulae as consequences. Then in Section 3, the exceptional cases for $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$ will be investigated. Even though in these cases $\Omega_{\lambda,\delta}(m)$ do not admit closed formulae, they can be expressed as reciprocal sums of binomial coefficients. Finally, the asymptotic values of $\Omega_{\lambda,\delta}(m)$ will be determined as $m \rightarrow \infty$. The main results can be summarized as follows: For $\lambda \geq 0$, the limit of $\Omega_{\lambda,\delta}(m)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ results in 0 and 2, for $\delta = 0$ and $\delta = 1$, respectively.

Throughout the paper, we shall make use of the following notations for shifted factorials. For an indeterminate x and a nonnegative integer n , they are defined by $(x)_0 = \langle x \rangle_0 = 1$ and

*Corresponding author. *Email addresses:* chu.wenchang@unisalento.it (W. Chu), guo.dongwei2018@outlook.com (D. Guo)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (x)_n &= x(x+1)\cdots(x+n-1) \\ \langle x \rangle_n &= x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1) \end{aligned} \right\} n = 1, 2, \dots$$

2. Summation formulae by the linearization method

For $\lambda \geq 2$, we shall evaluate $\Omega_{\lambda,\delta}(m)$ in closed forms by means of the linearization method [1–3]. Let us start with an easier case.

Proposition 1.

$$\Omega_{2,1}(m) = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+2}{2k+1}}{\binom{m}{k}} = 2.$$

Proof. Writing the summand as

$$\frac{\binom{m+2}{2k+1}}{\binom{m}{k}} = U_k + U_{k+1}, \quad \text{where } U_k = 2 \frac{\binom{m+1}{2k}}{\binom{m+1}{k}}.$$

Then by telescoping, we can evaluate

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+2}{2k+1}}{\binom{m}{k}} = U_0 + (-1)^m U_{m+1}.$$

The formula in Proposition 1 follows from the facts that $U_0 = 2$ and $U_{m+1} = 0$. \square

Proposition 2.

$$\Omega_{2,0}(m) = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+2}{2k}}{\binom{m}{k}} = -\frac{2}{m}.$$

Proof. Writing the summand as

$$\frac{\binom{m+2}{2k}}{\binom{m}{k}} = V_k + V_{k+1}, \quad \text{where } V_k = 2 \frac{(2k-1)\binom{m+2}{2k}}{m\binom{m+1}{k}}.$$

Then by telescoping, we can evaluate

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+2}{2k}}{\binom{m}{k}} = V_0 + (-1)^m V_{m+1}.$$

The formula in Proposition 2 follows since $V_0 = -\frac{2}{m}$ and $V_{m+1} = 0$. \square

Observing that

$$\binom{m+3}{2k+1} = \binom{m+2}{2k+1} + \binom{m+2}{2k}$$

and then adding the two equations in propositions 1 and 2 together, we deduce the next identity.

Corollary 1.

$$\Omega_{3,1}(m) = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+3}{2k+1}}{\binom{m}{k}} = 2 - \frac{2}{m}.$$

In order to treat the $\lambda > 2$ case, we have to invoke the following linear representation lemma whose equivalent form was found by the first author [1, Eq. (8)].

Lemma 1. *Let a and b be two indeterminates. There exist $\{\mathcal{X}_\rho^i\}_{i=0}^\rho$ such that*

$$1 = \sum_{i=0}^\rho \langle a - k \rangle_i \langle b - k \rangle_{\rho-i} \mathcal{X}_\rho^i,$$

where the coefficient \mathcal{X}_ρ^i is independent of the variable k and given explicitly by

$$\mathcal{X}_\rho^i = (-1)^{i+\rho} \binom{\rho}{i} \frac{a - b + \rho - 2i}{(a - b - i)_{\rho+1}}.$$

Observe that

$$\langle m + \lambda \rangle_{2k+\delta} = 4^k \langle m + \lambda \rangle_\delta \left\langle \frac{m + \lambda - \delta}{2} \right\rangle_k \left\langle \frac{m + \lambda - \delta - 1}{2} \right\rangle_k.$$

By specifying in Lemma 1

$$\rho \rightarrow \lambda - 2, \quad a \rightarrow \frac{m + \lambda - \delta}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad b \rightarrow \frac{m + \lambda - \delta - 1}{2},$$

we have a linear equation

$$1 = \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-2} \mathcal{Y}_\lambda^i \left\langle \frac{m + \lambda - \delta}{2} - k \right\rangle_i \left\langle \frac{m + \lambda - \delta - 1}{2} - k \right\rangle_{\lambda-2-i},$$

where

$$\mathcal{Y}_\lambda^i = (-1)^{\lambda-i} \binom{\lambda-2}{i} \frac{\lambda - \frac{3}{2} - 2i}{(\frac{1}{2} - i)_{\lambda-1}}. \tag{2}$$

Therefore, we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} \langle m + \lambda \rangle_{2k+\delta} &= \langle m + \lambda \rangle_{2k+\delta} \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-2} \mathcal{Y}_\lambda^i \left\langle \frac{m + \lambda - \delta}{2} - k \right\rangle_i \left\langle \frac{m + \lambda - \delta - 1}{2} - k \right\rangle_{\lambda-2-i} \\ &= 4^k \langle m + \lambda \rangle_\delta \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-2} \mathcal{Y}_\lambda^i \left\langle \frac{m + \lambda - \delta}{2} \right\rangle_{k+i} \left\langle \frac{m + \lambda - \delta - 1}{2} \right\rangle_{k+\lambda-2-i}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we are ready to examine the sum $\Omega_{\lambda,\delta}(m)$ for $2 < \lambda \leq 1 + \delta + m$, where

$\delta \in \{0, 1\}$. Express it first as a double sum below:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\lambda, \delta}(m) &= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{k! \langle m + \lambda \rangle_{2k+\delta}}{(2k + \delta)! \langle m \rangle_k} \\ &= \binom{m + \lambda}{\delta} \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-2} y_{\lambda}^i \left\langle \frac{m + \lambda - \delta}{2} \right\rangle_i \left\langle \frac{m + \lambda - \delta - 1}{2} \right\rangle_{\lambda-2-i} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\langle \frac{m+\lambda-\delta}{2} - i \rangle_k \langle \frac{3+m-\lambda-\delta}{2} + i \rangle_k}{(\frac{1}{2} + \delta)_k \langle m \rangle_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Then defining the sequence

$$T_k = \frac{\langle \frac{m+\lambda-\delta}{2} - i \rangle_k \langle \frac{3+m-\lambda-\delta}{2} + i \rangle_k}{(\delta - \frac{1}{2})_k \langle m + 1 \rangle_k},$$

we can compute

$$T_k + T_{k+1} = \Delta_m^i \frac{\langle \frac{m+\lambda-\delta}{2} - i \rangle_k \langle \frac{3+m-\lambda-\delta}{2} + i \rangle_k}{(\frac{1}{2} + \delta)_k \langle m \rangle_k},$$

where Δ_m^i is a constant independent of k

$$\Delta_m^i = \frac{(\delta + 2 + m - \lambda + 2i)(\delta - 1 + m + \lambda - 2i)}{2(2\delta - 1)(m + 1)}. \tag{3}$$

By telescoping, we can evaluate the sum

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\langle \frac{m+\lambda-\delta}{2} - i \rangle_k \langle \frac{3+m-\lambda-\delta}{2} + i \rangle_k}{(\frac{1}{2} + \delta)_k \langle m \rangle_k} &= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{T_k + T_{k+1}}{\Delta_m^i} \\ &= \frac{T_0}{\Delta_m^i} + (-1)^{m+1} \frac{T_{m+1}}{\Delta_m^i} = \frac{1}{\Delta_m^i}, \end{aligned}$$

where T_{m+1} vanishes since among the two factors appearing in the numerator of T_{m+1} , there is one falling factorial with its parameter inside $\langle \dots \rangle$ being an integer between 0 and m .

Therefore, after substitution, we find the following general summation formula.

Theorem 1 ($2 < \lambda \leq 1 + \delta + m$ with $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$). For $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\lambda > 2$, let y_{λ}^i and Δ_m^i be defined by (2) and (3), respectively. Then the following formula holds:

$$\Omega_{\lambda, \delta}(m) = \binom{m + \lambda}{\delta} \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-2} \frac{y_{\lambda}^i}{\Delta_m^i} \left\langle \frac{m + \lambda - \delta}{2} \right\rangle_i \left\langle \frac{m + \lambda - \delta - 1}{2} \right\rangle_{\lambda-2-i}.$$

When λ is a small integer, we can compute $\Omega_{\lambda, \delta}(m)$ by this theorem in a few terms, for example, those displayed in propositions 1, 2 and Corollary 1. Further summation formulae are recorded below.

$$\begin{aligned} \boxed{\Omega_{3,0}(m)} & \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+3}{2k}}{\binom{m}{k}} = \frac{2(3-m)}{m(m-1)}. \\ \boxed{\Omega_{4,0}(m)} & \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+4}{2k}}{\binom{m}{k}} = \frac{2(m^2-7m+16)}{m(m-1)(2-m)}. \\ \boxed{\Omega_{4,1}(m)} & \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+4}{2k+1}}{\binom{m}{k}} = \frac{2(m^2-3m+4)}{m(m-1)}. \\ \boxed{\Omega_{5,0}(m)} & \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+5}{2k}}{\binom{m}{k}} = \frac{2(5-m)(m^2-7m+24)}{\langle m \rangle_4}. \\ \boxed{\Omega_{5,1}(m)} & \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+5}{2k+1}}{\binom{m}{k}} = \frac{2(m-3)(m^2-3m+8)}{\langle m \rangle_3}. \end{aligned}$$

3. Four binomial transformation formulae

When $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$, the corresponding binomial sums $\Omega_{\lambda,\delta}(m)$ have no closed formulae. However, they can be expressed in this case as finite reciprocal sums of binomial coefficients. For this purpose, we need the following crucial lemma.

Lemma 2. *For the reciprocal sum of binomial coefficients*

$$\mathcal{S}_m = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k}^{-1},$$

we have the following identity:

$$\mathcal{S}_m = \frac{m+1}{2^m} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{2^k}{k+1}.$$

Furthermore, \mathcal{S}_m satisfies the recurrence relation

$$\mathcal{S}_m = 1 + \frac{m+1}{2m} \mathcal{S}_{m-1}.$$

The results in this lemma were the subject of problem 1 in the afternoon session of the 1958 Putnam Exam and then recorded first by Comtet [4, Exercise 15, p. 294] (see also [7, Exercise 5.100, pp. 542–543]). Different proofs for the identity in the middle can be found in [8, 11–13]. To make the paper self-contained, we produce their proofs below.

Proof. Writing the inverse binomial coefficient in terms of the Beta integral

$$\binom{m}{k}^{-1} = (m+1)B(m-k+1, k+1) = (m+1) \int_0^1 x^{m-k}(1-x)^k dx,$$

then interchanging the order between the sum and the integral, we can proceed with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_m &= \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{\binom{m}{k}} = (m+1) \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^m x^{m-k} (1-x)^k dx \\
&= (m+1) \int_0^1 \frac{x^{m+1} - (1-x)^{m+1}}{2x-1} dx \\
&= \frac{m+1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{x^{m+1} - 2^{-m-1}}{x-1/2} dx + \frac{m+1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{2^{-m-1} - (1-x)^{m+1}}{x-1/2} dx \\
&= \frac{m+1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m \int_0^1 \frac{x^k}{2^{m-k}} dx + \frac{m+1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m \int_0^1 \frac{(1-x)^k}{2^{m-k}} dx \\
&= (m+1) \sum_{k=0}^m \int_0^1 \frac{x^k}{2^{m-k}} dx = \frac{m+1}{2^m} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{2^k}{k+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the identity in the lemma. The recurrence relation follows easily by putting aside the end term from the above expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_m &= \frac{m+1}{2^m} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{2^k}{k+1} = 1 + \frac{m+1}{2^m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{2^k}{k+1} \\
&= 1 + \frac{m+1}{2^m} \left\{ \mathcal{S}_{m-1} \times \frac{2^{m-1}}{m} \right\} = 1 + \frac{m+1}{2m} \mathcal{S}_{m-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Alternative proof. Thanks to the anonymous referee, who offers the following elegant and independent proof for the above recurrence relation. Firstly, by taking out the initial term with $k=0$, write

$$\mathcal{S}_m = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^m \binom{m}{k}^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{k+1}{m \binom{m-1}{k}}.$$

Then by putting aside the end term $k=m$, we can also write

$$\mathcal{S}_m = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{k}^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{m-k}{m \binom{m-1}{k}}.$$

Now adding the two equations, we confirm the recurrence relation

$$2\mathcal{S}_m = 2 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{k}^{-1} = 2 + \frac{m+1}{m} \mathcal{S}_{m-1}.$$

□

Proposition 3.

$$\Omega_{1,1}(m) = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+1}{2k+1}}{\binom{m}{k}} = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{\binom{m}{k}}.$$

Proof. This can be done by showing that the sum $\Omega_{1,1}(m)$ satisfies the same recurrence relation as \mathcal{S}_m in Lemma 2. Recalling that

$$\binom{m}{k} = \binom{m-1}{k} \frac{m}{m-k} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{m-k}{m} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{m-2k}{2m},$$

we can reformulate the sum

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{1,1}(m) &= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+1}{2k+1}}{\binom{m}{k}} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+1}{2k+1}}{\binom{m-1}{k}} \frac{m-k}{m} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+1}{2k+1}}{\binom{m-1}{k}} + \frac{m+1}{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m}{2k+1}}{\binom{m-1}{k}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{2,1}(m-1) + \frac{m+1}{2m} \Omega_{1,1}(m-1). \end{aligned}$$

According to Proposition 1, we get the recurrence relation

$$\Omega_{1,1}(m) = 1 + \frac{m+1}{2m} \Omega_{1,1}(m-1).$$

Keeping in mind the initial value $\Omega_{1,1}(0) = 1$, we conclude that $\Omega_{1,1}(m) = \mathcal{S}_m$. \square

Proposition 4.

$$\Omega_{1,0}(m) = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+1}{2k}}{\binom{m}{k}} = 2 - \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{\binom{m}{k}}.$$

Proof. According to the binomial recurrence

$$\binom{m+2}{2k+1} = \binom{m+1}{2k+1} + \binom{m+1}{2k},$$

we get the following expression

$$\Omega_{1,0}(m) = \Omega_{2,1}(m) - \Omega_{1,1}(m).$$

Then the desired identity follows immediately from propositions 1 and 3. \square

Proposition 5.

$$\Omega_{0,0}(m) = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m}{2k}}{\binom{m}{k}} = m+1 - \frac{m}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{\binom{m}{k}}.$$

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Proposition 3, we can reformulate

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{0,0}(m) &= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m}{2k}}{\binom{m}{k}} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{\binom{m}{2k}}{\binom{m-1}{k}} \frac{m-k}{m} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m}{2k}}{\binom{m-1}{k}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m-1}{2k}}{\binom{m-1}{k}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{1,0}(m-1) + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{0,0}(m-1). \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the above $\Omega_{1,0}(m-1)$ by Proposition 4 and then multiplying the resulting equation by 2^m , we get the recurrence relation below:

$$2^m \Omega_{0,0}(m) - 2^{m-1} \Omega_{0,0}(m-1) = 2^m - 2^{m-1} \mathcal{S}_{m-1}.$$

Summing the above equation over m from 1 to m by telescoping and taking into account that $\Omega_{0,0}(0) = 1$, we get the equality

$$2^m \Omega_{0,0}(m) = 2^{m+1} - 1 - \sum_{n=1}^m 2^{n-1} \mathcal{S}_{n-1}. \quad (4)$$

Recalling Lemma 2 and then exchanging the summation order, we can express the last sum with respect to n as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^m 2^{n-1} \mathcal{S}_{n-1} &= \sum_{n=1}^m n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2^{k-1}}{k} = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{2^{k-1}}{k} \sum_{n=k}^m n \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{2^{k-1}}{k} \left\{ \binom{m+1}{2} - \binom{k}{2} \right\} \\ &= \binom{m+1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{2^{k-1}}{k} - \sum_{k=1}^m (k-1) 2^{k-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating further

$$\sum_{k=1}^m (k-1) 2^{k-2} = 1 - 2^m + 2^{m-1} m,$$

we find the closed form expression

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^m 2^{n-1} \mathcal{S}_{n-1} &= 2^{m-1} m (\mathcal{S}_m - 1) - (1 - 2^m + 2^{m-1} m) \\ &= 2^{m+1} - 1 + 2^{m-1} m \mathcal{S}_m - 2^m (m+1). \end{aligned}$$

By making substitution in (4), we finally arrive at

$$2^m \Omega_{0,0}(m) = 2^m (m+1) - 2^{m-1} m \mathcal{S}_m.$$

Dividing across by 2^m gives rise to the identity in Proposition 5. \square

Proposition 6.

$$\Omega_{0,1}(m) = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m}{2k+1}}{\binom{m}{k}} = \frac{m+2}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{\binom{m}{k}} - m - 1.$$

Proof. By making use of the binomial recurrence

$$\binom{m+1}{2k+1} = \binom{m}{2k} + \binom{m}{2k+1}$$

we get the following expression

$$\Omega_{0,1}(m) = \Omega_{1,1}(m) - \Omega_{0,0}(m).$$

Then the desired identity follows immediately from propositions 3 and 5. \square

4. Asymptotic values

Farmer and Leth [5] investigated asymptotic behaviors of some binomial sums. When $m \rightarrow \infty$, asymptotic values of the $\Omega_{\lambda,\delta}(m)$ sums can be determined. We start from the following limiting relations.

Lemma 3. *There is the limiting value*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}(m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k}^{-1} = 2.$$

More precisely, we have the asymptotic estimation with the subdominant term

$$\mathcal{S}(m) = 2 \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{m} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right) \right\}.$$

Proof. For $m \geq 4$, by pulling out the two initial and the two end terms from the sum, write

$$\mathcal{S}(m) = 2 + \frac{2}{m} + \sum_{k=2}^{m-2} \binom{m}{k}^{-1}.$$

Since the binomial coefficients $\binom{m}{k}$ are unimodal with respect to k , we have the inequalities

$$2 + \frac{2}{m} < \mathcal{S}(m) = 2 + \frac{2}{m} + \sum_{k=2}^{m-2} \binom{m}{k}^{-1} \leq 2 + \frac{2}{m} + \frac{m-3}{\binom{m}{2}} < 2 + \frac{4}{m}.$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we confirm the limiting value of $\mathcal{S}(m)$.

The asymptotic estimation is similarly determined by

$$\mathcal{S}(m) = 2 + \frac{2}{m} + \frac{2}{\binom{m}{2}} + \sum_{k=3}^{m-3} \binom{m}{k}^{-1} \leq 2 + \frac{2}{m} + \frac{2}{\binom{m}{2}} + \frac{m-5}{\binom{m}{3}}.$$

□

Applying this lemma to propositions 3–6, we can easily deduce the following interesting asymptotic relations.

Proposition 7 (Limiting values).

$$\boxed{\Omega_{1,1}} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+1}{2k+1}}{\binom{m}{k}} = 2,$$

$$\boxed{\Omega_{1,0}} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+1}{2k}}{\binom{m}{k}} = 0,$$

$$\boxed{\Omega_{0,0}} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m}{2k}}{\binom{m}{k}} = 0,$$

$$\boxed{\Omega_{0,1}} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m}{2k+1}}{\binom{m}{k}} = 2.$$

Instead, the explicit formulae obtained in Section 2 for $\Omega_{\lambda,\delta}(m)$ with $\lambda \geq 2$ suggest the following remarkable results that are not deducible directly from Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 (Limiting values: $\lambda \geq 0$).

$$\boxed{\Omega_{\lambda,0}} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+\lambda}{2k}}{\binom{m}{k}} = 0,$$

$$\boxed{\Omega_{\lambda,1}} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+\lambda}{2k+1}}{\binom{m}{k}} = 2.$$

Proof. The two limiting values can be shown by means of the induction principle on λ . For $\lambda = 0, 1$, the limiting values are already given in Proposition 7. When $\lambda = 2$, both values are confirmed by the explicit formulae given in propositions 1 and 2. Suppose that they are true for all λ with $2 \leq \lambda < n$. Then we have to validate them for $\lambda = n$. For $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$, recall the binomial recurrence relation

$$\binom{m+n}{2k+\delta} = \binom{m+n-1}{2k+\delta} + \binom{m+n-1}{2k+\delta-1}. \quad (5)$$

When $\delta = 1$, we can write

$$\Omega_{n,1}(m) = \Omega_{n-1,1}(m) + \Omega_{n-1,0}(m).$$

Then according to the induction hypothesis, we get

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{n,1}(m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{n-1,1}(m) + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{n-1,0}(m) = 2 + 0 = 2.$$

Instead, when $\delta = 0$, we have from (5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{n,0}(m) &= \Omega_{n-1,0}(m) + \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+n-1}{2k-1}}{\binom{m}{k}} \\ &= \Omega_{n-1,0}(m) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+n-1}{2k+1}}{\binom{m}{k+1}} \\ &= \Omega_{n-1,0}(m) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \frac{\binom{m+n-1}{2k+1}}{\binom{m-1}{k}} \times \frac{k+1}{m}, \end{aligned}$$

where the summation index k has been shifted to $k+1$ in the middle line. In view of the linear equation

$$k+1 = \frac{(2k+1)(m+n)}{2(m+n-1)} + \frac{m+n-2-2k}{2(m+n-1)},$$

we have the corresponding binomial relation:

$$\frac{k+1}{m} \binom{m+n-1}{2k+1} = \frac{m+n}{2m} \binom{m+n-2}{2k} + \frac{1}{2m} \binom{m+n-2}{2k+1}.$$

Therefore, we can express further

$$\Omega_{n,0}(m) = \Omega_{n-1,0}(m) - \frac{m+n}{2m}\Omega_{n-1,0}(m-1) - \frac{1}{2m}\Omega_{n-1,1}(m-1).$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ across the last equation and then appealing to the induction hypothesis, we deduce that

$$\Omega_{n,0}(m) = 0 - 0 \times \frac{1}{2} - 0 \times 2 = 0.$$

This completes the proof for the limiting values in Theorem 2. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors express their sincere gratitude to the anonymous referee for careful reading, generous comments and valuable suggestions that have significantly contributed to improving the manuscript during the revision process. In particular, the referee corrected two limiting relations in Proposition 7 by introducing the subdominant term for S_m , which escaped our attention.

References

- [1] W. CHU, *Analytical formulae for extended ${}_3F_2$ -series of Watson–Whipple–Dixon with two extra integer parameters*, Math. Comput. **81**(2012), 467–479.
- [2] W. CHU, *Terminating ${}_4F_3$ -series extended with two integer parameters*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **27**(2016), 794–805.
- [3] W. CHU, *Trigonometric expressions for Gaussian ${}_2F_1$ -series*, Turk. J. Math. **43**(2019), 1823–1836.
- [4] L. COMTET, *Advanced Combinatorics*, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, 1974.
- [5] J. D. FARMER, S. C. LETH, *An asymptotic formula for powers of binomial coefficients*, Math. Gaz. **89**(2005), 385–391.
- [6] H. W. GOULD, *Combinatorial Identities: A Standardized Set of Tables Listing 500 Binomial Coefficient Summations*, Morgantown Printing and Binding Co., West Virginia, 1972.
- [7] R. L. GRAHAM, D. E. KNUTH, O. PATASHNIK, *Concrete Mathematics: A Foundation for Computer Science* (2nd ed.), Addison–Wesley Publ. Company, Reading, Massachusetts, 1994.
- [8] T. MANSOUR, *Combinatorial identities and inverse binomial coefficients*, Adv. Appl. Math. **28**(2002), 196–202.
- [9] M. PETKOVŠEK, H. S. WILF AND D. ZEILBERGER, *A = B*, A. K. Peters, Wellesley (1996), available at <http://www.math.upenn.edu/~wilf/AeqB.html>.
- [10] J. RIORDAN, *Combinatorial Identities*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1968.
- [11] A. M. ROCKETT, *Sums of the inverses of binomial coefficients*, Fibonacci Quart. **19**(1981), 433–437.
- [12] B. SURY, *Sum of the reciprocals of the binomial coefficients*, European J. Combin. **14**(1993), 351–353.
- [13] T. TRIF, *Combinatorial sums and series involving inverses of binomial coefficients*, Fibonacci Quart. **38**(2000), 79–84.