

Kent Academic Repository

Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Tsegai, Zewdi J., Alamsyah, Nico, Sutikna, Thomas, Tocheri, Matthew W and Skinner, Matthew M. (2022) Locomotion in Homo floresiensis: reconstructing foot use from the internal bone structure of the metatarsals of LB1. In: PaleoAnthropology. 12th Annual Meeting of the European Society for the Study of Human Evolution Abstracts. 2022 (2). p. 591. Paleoanthropology Society

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.48738/2022.iss2.809>

Link to record in KAR

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/97728/>

Document Version

Publisher pdf

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.

Users are advised to check <http://kar.kent.ac.uk> for the status of the paper. **Users should always cite the published version of record.**

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact:

researchsupport@kent.ac.uk

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at <http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html>

Pecha Kucha Presentation Session 11, Saturday 14:40-15: 05

Locomotion in *Homo floresiensis*: reconstructing foot use from the internal bone structure of the metatarsals of LB1

Zewdi J. Tsegai¹, Nico Alamsyah², Thomas Sutikna^{3,4}, Matthew W. Tocheri^{5,6,4}, Matthew M. Skinner^{1,7}

1 - Skeletal Biology Research Centre, School of Anthropology and Conservation, University of Kent · 2 - Organisasi Riset Arkeologi, Bahasa, dan Sastra, Jakarta, Indonesia · 3 - Centre for Archaeological Science, University of Wollongong · 4 - Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Australian Biodiversity and Heritage, University of Wollongong · 5 - Department of Anthropology, Lakehead University · 6 - Human Origins Program, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution · 7 - Centre for the Exploration of the Deep Human Journey, University of the Witwatersrand

The enigmatic *Homo floresiensis* displays a unique combination of cranial and post-cranial morphology [1-3], distinguishing it from other species of the genus *Homo*. Although its skeletal anatomy shows clear adaptations for terrestrial bipedalism, it also retains a suite of features conducive to arboreal behaviours. Thus, exactly how the locomotor behaviours of *H. floresiensis* compare with those of other hominins remains an important research question. The foot of the holotype (LB1) is long relative to its femoral length, has a longer forefoot than hindfoot, long phalanges relative to the non-hallucial metatarsals (Mts), and a short Mt1 relative to the other Mts [3]. However, it also possesses a human-like Mt head morphology and relative robusticity pattern [2-3]. Here, we assess the internal morphology of the Mts of LB1 to further assess foot functional morphology and locomotor kinematics in *H. floresiensis*.

Using high resolution micro-CT scans of the Mts of LB1 and a comparative sample of *Homo sapiens* (N=10), *Pan troglodytes* (N=15), *Pan paniscus* (N=15), *Gorilla* spp. (N=10) and *Pongo* spp. (N=9), we conducted a cross-sectional geometric analysis at mid-shaft and analysis of trabecular bone distribution in the Mt head. As the head was only fully preserved for the right Mt5 of LB1, trabecular analysis was limited to this element.

Cross-sectional geometry of the Mts at mid-shaft distinguishes between ape-like and human-like biomechanics, with greater loading of the Mt2 and Mt3 in apes compared with more lateral loading in humans [4]. The Mts of LB1 are internally robust, having a high cross-sectional area relative to bone length. Results show that the relative strength of the Mts, based on the internal structure, differs from the previously reported human-like pattern, which was based on external measurements of midshaft circumference [2-3]. We find that, after scaling by total bone length, the robusticity pattern for the left Mts of LB1 is $1 > 2 > 5 > 3 > 4$ for CSA and Z, and $1 > 5 > 2 > 4 > 3$ for J. Although there is some variation among humans, in general the Mt3 and Mt2 have lower measures of robusticity than the Mt4 and Mt5 [4]. In LB1, the Mt2 is consistently more robust than is expected in humans, with the pattern being $1 > 2/5 > 3/4$ compared to $1 > 4/5 > 2/3$ in humans.

The distribution of trabecular bone in the Mt5 head distinguishes between locomotor groups. In *H. sapiens*, where the foot is loaded in dorsiflexion there is a dorsal concentration of bone, which is asymmetric in extending dorsomedially. In African apes, where the toes are positioned dorsally during knuckle-walking and disto-plantarly during climbing (depending on substrate size) the distribution of trabecular bone extends dorsally to plantarly on the metatarsal head. In *Pongo*, trabecular bone is distributed distally and plantarly reflecting a grasping foot. The distribution of trabecular bone in the Mt5 of LB1 is located dorsally and distally but does not extend plantarly. This distribution pattern differs from humans in being centrally located, rather than medially, and in extending further distally. This suggests that the metatarsophalangeal joint in LB1 was loaded in a more a neutral position than in humans.

Together, the results suggest that loading of the foot of *H. floresiensis* differed from modern humans. First, the distribution of load across the foot was likely higher in the Mt2, a feature that could relate to higher loading of the second ray in a foot with a relatively short first ray. Secondly, the trabecular pattern suggests loading of the Mt5 head more distally than in humans, and with less asymmetric loading. This differing position of the metatarsophalangeal joint could be related to the long, curved phalanges of LB1. Future research exploring whole-bone cortical and trabecular structure of the metatarsals will shed new light on the kinematics of locomotion in *H. floresiensis*.

This research was supported by the Max Planck Society (ZJT, MMS), European Research Council Consolidator Grant #819960 (MMS, MWT), Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada Insight Grant #435-2017-1234 (MWT), and Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant #101025719 (ZJT). For access to specimens we thank Organisasi Riset Arkeologi, Bahasa, dan Sastra (Jakarta, Indonesia), Jean-Jacques Hublin, Christophe Boesch and Roman Wittig (Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology) Inbal Livne (Powell-Cotton Museum), Birgit Großkopf (Georg-August-Universität Göttingen), Anneke van Heteren (Zoologische Staatssammlung München), Emmanuel Gilissen (Royal Museum for Central Africa), School of Anthropology and Conservation (University of Kent), Frieder Mayer and Christiane Funk (Museum für Naturkunde - Leibniz Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity Science) and Marta Mirazón Lahr and Trish Biers (Duckworth Laboratory, University of Cambridge).

References: [1] Brown, P., Sutikna, T., Morwood, M.J., Soejono, R.P., Wayhu Saptomo, E., Awe Due, R., 2004. A new small-bodied hominin from the Late Pleistocene of Flores, Indonesia. *Nature* 431(7012),1055-1061. [2] Jungers, W.L., Larson, S.G., Harcourt-Smith, W.E., Morwood, M.J., Sutikna, T., Awe, R.D., Djubiantono, T., 2009. Descriptions of the lower limb skeleton of *Homo floresiensis*. *Journal of Human Evolution* 57(5), 538-554. [3] Jungers, W.L., Harcourt-Smith, W.E., Wunderlich, R.E., Tocheri, M.W., Larson, S.G., Sutikna, T., Due, R.A., Morwood, M.J., 2009. The foot of *Homo floresiensis*. *Nature* 459(7243),81-84. [4] Patel, B.A., Jashashvili, T., Bui, S.H., Carlson, K.J., Griffin, N.L., Wallace, I.J., Orr, C.M., Susman, R.L., 2018. Inter-ray variation in metatarsal strength properties in humans and African apes: Implications for inferring bipedal biomechanics in the Olduvai Hominid 8 foot. *Journal of Human Evolution* 121, 147-165.