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#### Abstract

We consider a plane isotropic homogeneous elastic body with thin elastic inhomogeneities in the form of small neighborhoods of simple smooth curves. We derive a rigorous asymptotic expansion of the boundary displacement field as the thickness of the neighborhoods goes to zero.
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1. Introduction. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a bounded smooth domain representing the region occupied by an elastic material.

Let $\sigma_{0} \subset \Omega$ be a simple smooth curve and define, for a positive small $\epsilon$, the set

$$
\omega_{\epsilon}=\left\{x \in \Omega: d\left(x, \sigma_{0}\right)<\epsilon\right\}
$$

which represents an inclusion of small size made of a different elastic material.
Let $\mathbb{C}_{0}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{1}$ be the elastic tensor fields in $\Omega \backslash \bar{\omega}_{\epsilon}$ and $\omega_{\epsilon}$, respectively.
Given a traction field $g$ on $\partial \Omega$, the displacement field $u_{\epsilon}$, generated by this traction in the body containing the inclusion $\omega_{\epsilon}$, solves the following system of linearized elasticity:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlll}
\operatorname{div}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\epsilon} \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}\right) & = & 0 & \text { in } \quad \Omega  \tag{1}\\
\left(\mathbb{C}_{\epsilon} \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}\right) \cdot \nu & = & g & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mathbb{C}_{\epsilon}=\mathbb{C}_{0} \chi_{\Omega \backslash \omega_{\epsilon}}+\mathbb{C}_{1} \chi_{\omega_{\epsilon}}, \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}+\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right)^{T}\right)$ is the symmetric deformation tensor and $\nu$ denotes the outward unit normal to $\partial \Omega$.

Let us also introduce the background displacement $u_{0}$, namely the solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
\operatorname{div}\left(\mathbb{C}_{0} \widehat{\nabla} u_{0}\right) & =0 & \text { in } \quad \Omega  \tag{2}\\
\left(\mathbb{C}_{0} \widehat{\nabla} u_{0}\right) \cdot \nu & =g \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

The goal of this paper is to find an asymptotic expansion for $\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)_{\left.\right|_{\partial \Omega}}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. An analogous expansion has been derived in [BFV] for the case of thin conductivity inclusions. These expansions represent a powerful tool to solve the inverse problem of identifying the inclusions, given boundary measurements (see, [ABF] and [ABF2] for the case of thin conductivity inclusions and $[\mathrm{AK}]$ for further references).

[^0]In [AKNT] the authors derive an asymptotic expansion for the boundary displacement field $\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)_{\left.\right|_{\Omega \Omega}}$ in the case of diametrically small elastic inclusions, namely inclusions of the form $z+\epsilon B$, where $z$ is a point in $\Omega$ and $B$ is a bounded domain containing the origin. The approach they use, based on the method of layer potentials (see $[\mathrm{AK}]$ ), allows them to find a very accurate expansion. Unfortunately, this method does not seem to work in the case of thin elastic inclusions. Hence, in order to derive the expansion in our context, we apply similar arguments as in [BFV] for conductivity inclusions; more precisely, we use a variational approach and fine regularity estimates for solutions of elliptic systems with discontinuous coefficients obtained by Li and Nirenberg in [LN]. The main difficulty arising in the framework of linear elasticity, compared to the conductivity case, consists of finding an explicit representation formula for the tensor appearing in the first order term of the asymptotic expansion.

The plan of the paper is as follows: in section 2 we introduce some notation and state the main result. Section 3 is devoted to the derivation of some estimates and properties of the displacement field, and in section 4 we prove our main result.
2. The main result. Let us introduce some notation and assumptions that will be useful in what follows.
(a) We will assume that $\sigma_{0}$ is of class $C^{3}$ and that there exists some $K>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(\sigma_{0}, \partial \Omega\right) & \geq K^{-1} \\
\left\|\sigma_{0}\right\|_{C^{3}} & \leq K  \tag{3}\\
K^{-1} \leq \operatorname{length}\left(\sigma_{0}\right) & \leq K
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, we assume that for every $x \in \sigma_{0}$ there are two discs, $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$, of radius $K^{-1}$ such that

$$
\bar{B}_{1} \cap \bar{B}_{2}=\bar{B}_{1} \cap \sigma_{0}=\bar{B}_{2} \cap \sigma_{0}=\{x\}
$$

The latter assumption guarantees that different parts of $\sigma_{0}$ do not get too close, so that $\omega_{\epsilon}$ does not self-intersect for small $\epsilon$.
(b) $\Omega$ and $\omega_{\epsilon}$ are both homogeneous and isotropic, i.e., the elastic tensor fields $\mathbb{C}_{0}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{1}$ are of the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{C}_{m}\right)_{i j l k}=\lambda_{m} \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}+\mu_{m}\left(\delta_{k i} \delta_{l j}+\delta_{k j} \delta_{l i}\right), \text { for } i, j, k, l=1,2, \quad m=0,1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\lambda_{0}, \mu_{0}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)$ are the Lamè coefficients corresponding to $\Omega \backslash \bar{\omega}_{\epsilon}$ and $\omega_{\epsilon}$, respectively, and $\left(\lambda_{0}-\lambda_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\mu_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2} \neq 0$.
(c) There are two positive constants $\alpha_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left(\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}\right) \geq \alpha_{0}, \quad \min \left(2 \lambda_{0}+2 \mu_{0}, 2 \lambda_{1}+2 \mu_{1}\right) \geq \beta_{0} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the last conditions ensure that $\mathbb{C}_{\epsilon}$ is strongly convex in $\Omega$, i.e., if we set $\xi_{0}=\min \left(2 \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right)$, then

$$
\mathbb{C}_{\epsilon} A \cdot A \geq \xi_{0}|A|^{2}
$$

for any symmetric $2 \times 2$ matrix $A$, where $A \cdot B=\sum_{i j} a_{i j} b_{i j}$ and $|A|^{2}=A \cdot A$.
(d) We shall prescribe a traction field $g \in H^{-1 / 2}\left(\partial \Omega, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ satisfying the compatibility condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \Omega} g \cdot R=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every infinitesimal rigid displacement $R$, that is $R(x)=c+W x$, where $c$ is any constant vector in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $W$ any constant $2 \times 2$ skew matrix.

Under our assumptions there exist weak solutions $u_{\epsilon}$ and $u_{0} \in H^{1}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ to the problems (1) and (2), respectively (see, for example, [V] or [F]). Concerning uniqueness we recall that solutions of the above problems are uniquely determined up to infinitesimal rigid displacements. Hence, in order to uniquely identify such solutions, we assume that $u_{\epsilon}$ and $u_{0}$ satisfy the normalization conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \Omega} u=0, \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla u-(\nabla u)^{T}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that if $u_{\epsilon}$ is solution of (1), then it solves the Lamé system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
\mu_{0} \Delta u_{\epsilon}+\left(\lambda_{0}+\mu_{0}\right) \nabla\left(\operatorname{div} u_{\epsilon}\right) & =0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \backslash \bar{\omega}_{\epsilon},  \tag{8}\\
\mu_{1} \Delta u_{\epsilon}+\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1}\right) \nabla\left(\operatorname{div} u_{\epsilon}\right) & =0 \quad \text { in } \omega_{\epsilon}, \\
u_{\epsilon}^{i} & =\quad u_{\epsilon}^{e} \text { on } \partial \omega_{\epsilon}, \\
\left(\mathbb{C}_{0} \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}^{i}\right) \nu & =\left(\mathbb{C}_{1} \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}^{e}\right) \nu \text { on } \partial \omega_{\epsilon},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\nu$ is the outward unit normal to $\partial \omega_{\epsilon}$, and, for $x \in \partial \omega_{\epsilon}$,

$$
u_{\epsilon}^{e}(x)=\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ y \in \Omega \backslash \overline{\omega_{\epsilon}}}} u_{\epsilon}(y), \quad u_{\epsilon}^{i}(x)=\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ y \in \omega_{\epsilon}}} u_{\epsilon}(y),
$$

and

$$
\widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}^{e}(x)=\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ y \in \Omega \backslash \bar{\omega}_{\epsilon}}} \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}(y), \quad \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}^{i}(x)=\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ y \in \omega_{\epsilon}}} \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}(y)
$$

For $y \in \Omega$, we will denote by $N(\cdot, y)$ the Neumann function related to $\Omega$, i.e., the weak solution to the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\operatorname{div}\left(\mathbb{C}_{0} \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y)\right) & =-\delta_{y} \mathrm{I}_{d} \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{9}\\
\left(\mathbb{C}_{0} \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y)\right) \cdot \nu & =-\frac{1}{|\partial \Omega|} \mathrm{I}_{d} \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

with the normalization conditions (7) and where $\mathrm{I}_{d}$ is the identity matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
Note that $N(x, y)$ is regular for $x \neq y$ and, at $x=y$, has the same singularities of $\Gamma(x-y)$, where $\Gamma=\left(\Gamma_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{2}$ is the fundamental solution in the free space of the system

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(\mathbb{C}_{0} \widehat{\nabla} \cdot\right)=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

and is given by

$$
\Gamma_{i j}(x)=\frac{A}{2 \pi} \delta_{i j} \ln |x|-\frac{B}{2 \pi} \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{|x|^{2}}
$$

where $A=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{0}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}+2 \mu_{0}}\right)$ and $B=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{0}}-\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}+2 \mu_{0}}\right)$.
Let us fix an orthonormal system $(n, \tau)$ on $\sigma_{0}$ such that $n$ is a unit normal vector field to the curve and $\tau$ is a unit tangent vector field. If $\sigma_{0}$ is a closed curve, then we will take $n$ to point in the outward direction of the domain it encloses. Let $\kappa$ denote the curvature of $\sigma_{0}$ and, for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, let $a \otimes b$ denote the tensor product $a \otimes b=a_{i} b_{j}$.

We are now ready to state our main result.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a bounded smooth domain and let $\sigma_{0} \subset \subset \Omega$ be a simple curve satisfying (3). Assume (4), (5), and (6) and let $u_{\epsilon}$ and $u_{0}$ be the solutions to (1) and (2), respectively, satisfying (7). For every $x \in \sigma_{0}$, there exists a fourth order symmetric tensor field $\mathcal{M}(x)$ such that, for $y \in \partial \Omega$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)(y)=2 \epsilon \int_{\sigma_{0}} \mathcal{M}(x) \widehat{\nabla} u_{0}(x) \cdot \widehat{\nabla} N(x, y) d \sigma_{0}(x)+o(\epsilon) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $o(\epsilon)$ is bounded by $C \epsilon^{1+\theta}\|g\|_{H^{-1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)}$, with $0<\theta<1$ and $C$ depending only on $\theta, \Omega, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}$, and $K$.

Furthermore, on $\sigma_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M} \widehat{\nabla} u_{0}= & a \operatorname{div} u_{0} I_{d}+b \widehat{\nabla} u_{0} \\
& +c\left(\frac{\partial\left(u_{0} \cdot \tau\right)}{\partial \tau}+\kappa\left(u_{0} \cdot n\right)\right) \tau \otimes \tau+d \frac{\partial\left(u_{0} \cdot n\right)}{\partial n} n \otimes n
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right) \frac{\lambda_{0}+2 \mu_{0}}{\lambda_{1}+2 \mu_{1}}, \quad b=2\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{0}\right) \frac{\mu_{0}}{\mu_{1}}  \tag{11}\\
& c=2\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{0}\right)\left[\left(\frac{2 \lambda_{1}+2 \mu_{1}-\lambda_{0}}{\lambda_{1}+2 \mu_{1}}-\frac{\mu_{0}}{\mu_{1}}\right)\right] \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=2\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{0}\right) \frac{\mu_{1} \lambda_{0}-\mu_{0} \lambda_{1}}{\mu_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}+2 \mu_{1}\right)} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of the theorem is contained in section 4.
3. Energy and a priori estimates. In this section we will show that, for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}=O\left(\epsilon^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to establish it we will need the following version of the Korn inequality.
Lemma 3.1. Let $\Omega$ be a Lipschitz connected open set in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Let $u \in H^{1}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and let $W_{0}=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla u-(\nabla u)^{T}\right)$.

Then, there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla u-W_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C\|\widehat{\nabla} u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the proof, see [T, section 3].
Proposition 3.2. Let $u_{\epsilon}$ and $u_{0}$ be solutions to (1) and (2), respectively. There exists a constant $C$ depending on $\Omega, K, \alpha_{0}$, and $\beta_{0}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C \epsilon^{1 / 2}\|g\|_{H^{-1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\int_{\partial \Omega}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)=0$, by the Poincaré inequality there exists a constant $C$, depending on $\Omega$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right|^{2} \leq C \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)\right|^{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It thus suffices to estimate $\left\|\nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$.
By the strong convexity of $\mathbb{C}_{\epsilon}$ and the Korn inequality, in the form of Lemma 3.1, applied to $u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}$, and recalling that $u_{\epsilon}$ and $u_{0}$ satisfy (7), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{\epsilon} \widehat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right) \cdot \hat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right) & \geq \xi_{0} \int_{\Omega}\left|\widehat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \geq C \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)\right|^{2} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ depends on $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}$, and $\Omega$.
Now, observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{\epsilon} \widehat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right) \cdot \widehat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)=\int_{\omega_{\epsilon}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{0}-\mathbb{C}_{1}\right) \widehat{\nabla} u_{0} \cdot \widehat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right), \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows by integration by parts and uses the fact that $\left(\mathbb{C}_{\epsilon} \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}\right) \cdot \nu=\left(\mathbb{C}_{0} \widehat{\nabla} u_{0}\right) \cdot \nu$ on $\partial \Omega$. Indeed

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{\epsilon} \widehat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right) \cdot \hat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)-\int_{\omega_{\epsilon}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{0}-\mathbb{C}_{1}\right) \widehat{\nabla} u_{0} \cdot \hat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right) \\
=\int_{\Omega \backslash \omega_{\epsilon}} \mathbb{C}_{0} \hat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right) \cdot \hat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)+\int_{\omega_{\epsilon}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{1} \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}-\mathbb{C}_{0} \widehat{\nabla} u_{0}\right) \cdot \hat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right) \\
=\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{\epsilon} \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)-\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{0} \widehat{\nabla} u_{0} \cdot \hat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right) \\
=\int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\left(\mathbb{C}_{\epsilon} \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}\right) \cdot \nu-\left(\mathbb{C}_{0} \widehat{\nabla} u_{0}\right) \cdot \nu\right) \cdot\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right) d \sigma=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

hence (19) holds.
On the other hand, by the Hölder inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\omega_{\epsilon}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{0}-\mathbb{C}_{1}\right) \hat{\nabla} u_{0} \cdot \hat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right) d x \\
\leq & \max \left\{2\left|\mu_{0}-\mu_{1}\right|,\left|\lambda_{0}-\lambda_{1}\right|\right\}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\omega_{\epsilon}\right)}\left|\omega_{\epsilon}\right|^{1 / 2}\left\|\nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to bound $\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\omega_{\epsilon}\right)}$ note that for small $\epsilon$, say $\epsilon<K / 2$, the distance between $\omega_{\epsilon}$ and $\partial \Omega$ is bounded from below by $K / 2$. Hence, by standard interior regularity estimates for elliptic systems (see [C]),

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\omega_{\epsilon}\right)} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}
$$

where $C$ depends on $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}$, and $K$.
By the divergence theorem, the trace theorem (see [LM]), and the Poincaré inequality,

$$
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C\|g\|_{H^{-1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $\Omega$. Finally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\omega_{\epsilon}\right)} \leq C\|g\|_{H^{-1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends on $\Omega, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}$, and $K$.

So, by (18), (19), and (20) we obtain

$$
\left\|\nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C\left|\omega_{\epsilon}\right|^{1 / 2}\|g\|_{H^{-1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)}
$$

where $C=C\left(\Omega, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, K\right)$. By assumption (3), we can estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\omega_{\epsilon}\right| \leq C \epsilon \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $K$. By putting together (21), (22), and the Poincarè inequality (17), we get (16).

Besides the energy estimates (16), a key ingredient to establish the asymptotic expansion of Theorem 2.1 is a gradient estimate for elliptic systems modeling composite materials that has been established by Li and Nirenberg in [LN]. Here we state and use a simplified version of Proposition 5.1 in [LN].

Let $D$ be the unit square $D=[-1,1] \times[-1,1]$, and let $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{l+1} \in C^{2}([-1,1])$ such that

$$
-1=f_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)<f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)<\cdots<f_{l+1}\left(x_{1}\right)=1 \quad \text { for } \quad x_{1} \in[-1,1]
$$

Let

$$
D_{m}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in D: f_{m-1}\left(x_{1}\right)<x_{2}<f_{m}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\} \quad \text { for } \quad 1 \leq m \leq l+1
$$

We suppose that the origin does not belong to the graphs of the functions $f_{j}$, and we denote by $m_{0}$ the index for which

$$
f_{m_{0}}(0)<0<f_{m_{0}+1}(0)
$$

Let us also set $\frac{1}{2} D=\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right] \times\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$.
Let $\mathbb{C}$ be a bounded symmetric Lamé tensor defined in $D$ and such that $\mathbb{C}$ is constant in each $D_{m}$ with corresponding Lamé coefficients $\lambda_{m}$ and $\mu_{m}$. Then the following estimate holds.

Proposition 3.3. Let $u \in H^{1}\left(D, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ be a weak solution to

$$
\operatorname{div}(\mathbb{C} \widehat{\nabla} u)=0 \quad \text { in } \quad D
$$

Then, for any $x \in \bar{D}_{m_{0}} \cap \frac{1}{2} D$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u(x)-\nabla u(0)| \leq C\|u\|_{L^{2}(D)}|x|^{\alpha} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in(0,1 / 4)$ and $C$ depends on $\alpha, l, \lambda_{m}, \mu_{m}$, and $\left\|f_{m}\right\|_{C^{2}([-1,1])}$, for $m=$ $1, \ldots, l+1$.

For the proof of this result, see [LN, section 5].
4. Proof of Theorem 2.1. We divide the proof into several steps: in the first step we write $\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)_{\left.\right|_{\partial \Omega}}$ in terms of an integral over $\omega_{\epsilon}$ of the product of $\widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}^{i}$ and $\widehat{\nabla} N$.

In the second step, by using the estimate of Proposition 3.3, we reduce this integral to an integral over some part of $\partial \omega_{\epsilon}$. In the third part, we use the transmission conditions and introduce the tensor $\mathcal{M}$. The fourth part contains the conclusion of the proof.

## First step.

We are going to show that, for $y \in \partial \Omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)(y)=\int_{\omega_{\epsilon}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to get $(24)$ we recall that, for $y \in \Omega$, the function $N(\cdot, y)$ satisfies

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{0} \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y) \cdot \widehat{\nabla} v=-v(y)+\frac{1}{|\partial \Omega|} \int_{\partial \Omega} v \quad \forall v \in H^{1}(\Omega)
$$

By choosing $v=u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}$ and using the normalization (7) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{0} \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y) \cdot \widehat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)=-\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)(y) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe now that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{0} \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y) \cdot \hat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)=\int_{\omega_{\epsilon}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{0}-\mathbb{C}_{1}\right) \hat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y) \cdot \hat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon} \\
\quad+\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{\epsilon} \hat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y) \cdot \hat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}-\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{0} \hat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y) \cdot \widehat{\nabla} u_{0} \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $u_{\epsilon}$ and $u_{0}$ are solutions to (1) and (2), respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{0} \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y) \cdot \widehat{\nabla} u_{0} & =\int_{\partial \Omega} g \cdot N(\cdot, y) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{\epsilon} \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence (26) becomes

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{0} \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y) \cdot \hat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)=\int_{\omega_{\epsilon}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{0}-\mathbb{C}_{1}\right) \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y) \cdot \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}
$$

and, by inserting this last relation into (25,) we get (24) for $y \in \Omega$.
Finally, since $u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}$ is continuous up to $\partial \Omega$, we get (24) for any $y \in \partial \Omega$.
Second step.
Let $\beta$ be a constant $0<\beta<1$, and set

$$
\omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime}=\left\{x+\mu n(x): x \in \sigma_{0}, d\left(x, \partial \sigma_{0}\right)>\epsilon^{\beta}, \mu \in(-\epsilon, \epsilon)\right\}
$$

Notice that if $\sigma_{0}$ is a closed simple curve, then $\omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime}=\omega_{\epsilon}$.
Let us write

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\omega_{\epsilon}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \hat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y) & =\int_{\omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \hat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y) \\
& +\int_{\omega_{\epsilon} \backslash \omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Concerning the last term in (27)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{\omega_{\epsilon} \backslash \omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \hat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y)\right| & \leq\left|\int_{\omega_{\epsilon} \backslash \omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \widehat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right) \cdot \hat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y)\right| \\
& +\left|\int_{\omega_{\epsilon} \backslash \omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \widehat{\nabla} u_{0} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y)\right| \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to bound the first term on the right-hand side (RHS) of (28) we use the energy estimate (16) and the fact that, for $y \in \partial \Omega,\|\nabla N(\cdot, y)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\omega_{\epsilon}\right)}$ is bounded uniformly in $\epsilon$. Moreover, since

$$
\left|\omega_{\epsilon} \backslash \omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right| \leq C \epsilon^{1+\beta}
$$

we get

$$
\left|\int_{\omega_{\epsilon} \backslash \omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \widehat{\nabla}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right) \cdot \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y)\right| \leq C \epsilon^{1+\beta / 2}\|g\|_{H^{-1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)}
$$

where $C=C\left(\Omega, K, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right)$.
In the last term on the RHS of (28) we use the regularity estimates for $u_{0}$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\omega_{\epsilon} \backslash \omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \widehat{\nabla} u_{0} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y)\right| & \leq C\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\omega_{\epsilon}\right)}\|\nabla N(\cdot, y)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\omega_{\epsilon}\right)} \cdot\left|\omega_{\epsilon} \backslash \omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right| \\
& \leq C \epsilon^{1+\beta}\|g\|_{H^{-1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C=C\left(K, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right)$ and so (27) becomes, for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\omega_{\epsilon}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \hat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y)=\int_{\omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y)+O\left(\epsilon^{1+\beta / 2}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us denote by $\sigma_{\mu}^{\prime}$, for $\mu \in[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$, the curve

$$
\sigma_{\mu}^{\prime}=\left\{x+\mu n(x): x \in \sigma_{0}, d\left(x, \partial \sigma_{0}\right)>\epsilon^{\beta}\right\}
$$

For every point $x+\mu n(x) \in \omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ (for $\mu \in(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ ), let us consider the point $x+$ $\epsilon n(x) \in \sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ and let us compare $\nabla u_{\epsilon}(x+\mu n(x))$ with $\nabla u_{\epsilon}^{i}(x+\epsilon n(x))$.

More precisely, we will establish that, for $\alpha \in(0,1 / 4)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}(x+\mu n(x))-\nabla u_{\epsilon}^{i}(x+\epsilon n(x))\right| \leq C \epsilon^{-\beta(2+\alpha)} \epsilon^{\alpha}\|g\|_{H^{-1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C\left(K, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \alpha\right)$.
Let $\epsilon$ be small enough to have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \epsilon<\frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{2 \sqrt{2}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the distance between $x+\mu n(x)$ and $x+\epsilon n(x)$ is smaller than $2 \epsilon$ and that, in a neighborhood of $x+\mu n(x)$ of radius $\epsilon^{\beta}$, the boundary $\partial \omega_{\epsilon}$ is represented by graphs of smooth functions. In particular, if we set the origin to $x+\mu n(x)$, up to a rotation of the coordinate system $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$, we have that there exist two functions $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ such that, for $-\frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{\sqrt{2}}<z_{1}<\frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{\sqrt{2}}$,

$$
\mathbb{C}_{\epsilon}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbb{C}_{0} & \text { if } & -\frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{\sqrt{2}}<z_{2}<g_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \\
\mathbb{C}_{1} & \text { if } & g_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)<z_{2}<g_{2}\left(z_{1}\right), \\
\mathbb{C}_{0} & \text { if } & g_{2}\left(z_{1}\right)<z_{2}<\frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

By the a priori assumptions on $\sigma_{0}$ we know that

$$
\left\|g_{1}\right\|_{C^{2}}, \quad\left\|g_{2}\right\|_{C^{2}} \leq K
$$

Consider now the function

$$
v(y)=u_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{\sqrt{2}} y\right)
$$

which is defined in $[-1,1] \times[-1,1]$. Notice that $v$ solves

$$
\operatorname{div}(\tilde{\mathbb{C}} \widehat{\nabla} v)=0 \quad \text { in } \quad(-1,1) \times(-1,1)
$$

where

$$
\tilde{\mathbb{C}}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbb{C}_{0} & \text { if } & -1<y_{2}<f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)=\sqrt{2} \epsilon^{-\beta} g_{1}\left(\frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{\sqrt{2}} y_{1}\right) \\
\mathbb{C}_{1} & \text { if } & f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)<y_{2}<f_{2}\left(y_{1}\right)=\sqrt{2} \epsilon^{-\beta} g_{2}\left(\frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{\sqrt{2}} y_{1}\right) \\
\mathbb{C}_{0} & \text { if } & f_{2}\left(y_{1}\right)<y_{2}<1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let us check that we can apply Proposition 3.3 , with $l=2$ and $m_{0}=1$. Since $\left|g_{i}\left(y_{1}\right)\right|<\frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{\sqrt{2}}$ and the derivative of $g_{i}$ is bounded by $K$ for $i=1,2$, then

$$
\left\|g_{i}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq(K+1) \frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad i=1,2
$$

From this last estimate, and from the $C^{2}$ bounds on $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$, we get

$$
\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{C^{2}([-1,1])} \leq 2 K+1 \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2
$$

Since we set the origin at the point $x+\mu n(x)$, we have that

$$
|x+\epsilon n(x)|=|x+\mu n(x)+(\epsilon-\mu) n(x)|=|(\epsilon-\mu) n(x)| \leq 2 \epsilon
$$

Hence, if we set $\bar{y}=\sqrt{2} \epsilon^{-\beta}(x+\epsilon n(x))$ we have, by (31),

$$
|\bar{y}|=\sqrt{2} \epsilon^{-\beta}|x+\epsilon n(x)| \leq \sqrt{2} \epsilon^{-\beta} \cdot 2 \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

and, $\bar{y} \in \bar{D}_{m_{0}} \cap \frac{1}{2} D$. By Proposition 3.3,

$$
|\nabla v(\bar{y})-\nabla v(0)| \leq C\|v\|_{L^{2}(D)}|\bar{y}|^{\alpha}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $K, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}$, and $\alpha \in(0,1 / 4)$. If we read this estimate for the function $u_{\epsilon}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}(x+\mu n(x))-\nabla u_{\epsilon}^{i}(x+\epsilon n(x))\right| & \leq C\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \epsilon^{-\beta(2+\alpha)}|(\mu-\epsilon) n(x)|^{\alpha} \\
& \leq C\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \epsilon^{-\beta(2+\alpha)} \epsilon^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C\|g\|_{H^{-1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)}
$$

we finally have (30).
Due to (30), we can approximate the values of $\nabla u_{\epsilon}$ in $\omega_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime}$ with the values on $\sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$.
Let us denote by $\sigma_{0}{ }^{\prime}=\left\{x \in \sigma_{0}: d\left(x, \partial \sigma_{0}\right)>\epsilon^{\beta}\right\}$. Due to the regularity assumption on $\sigma_{0}$,

$$
d \sigma_{x}^{\mu}=(1+O(\epsilon)) d \sigma_{x}^{0}
$$

where $d \sigma_{x}^{\mu}$ and $d \sigma_{x}^{0}$ denote the infinitesimal arclengths on $\sigma_{\mu}^{\prime}$ and $\sigma_{0}^{\prime}$, respectively.
Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \hat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}(x) \cdot \hat{\nabla} N(x, y) d x \\
= & \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \int_{\sigma_{\mu}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}(x) \cdot \widehat{\nabla} N(x, y) d \sigma_{x}^{\mu} d \mu \\
= & \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \int_{\sigma_{0}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}(x+\mu n(x)) \cdot \widehat{\nabla} N(x+\mu n(x), y) d \sigma_{x}^{0} d \mu+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \\
= & \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \int_{\sigma_{0}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}(x+\epsilon n(x)) \cdot \widehat{\nabla} N(x+\epsilon n(x), y) d \sigma_{x}^{0} d \mu \\
& +O\left(\epsilon^{(1-\beta)(1+\alpha)}\right) \\
(32)= & 2 \epsilon \int_{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y)+O\left(\epsilon^{1+\alpha-\beta(2+\alpha)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\beta<\alpha(2+\alpha)^{-1}$.
Third step.
Let us now extend the fields $n$ and $\tau$ from $\sigma_{0}$ to $\omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$. For $x \in \sigma_{0}^{\prime}$ we set $n$ and $\tau$ equal to $n(x)$ and $\tau(x)$ all along the line segment $x+\mu n(x)$, for $\mu \in[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$.

We will show, by using the transmission condition (8), that on $\sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}^{i}=\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon} \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}^{e} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon} \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}^{e}= & a \operatorname{div} u_{\epsilon}^{e} \mathrm{I}_{d}+b \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}^{e}+c\left(\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{e} \cdot \tau\right)}{\partial \tau}+\kappa_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}^{e} \cdot n\right)\right) \tau \otimes \tau \\
& +d \frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{e} \cdot n\right)}{\partial n} n \otimes n
\end{aligned}
$$

with $a, b, c$, and $d$ given by (11), (12), and (13), and $\kappa_{\epsilon}$ being the curvature of $\sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$.
Let us express the transmission conditions (8) and $\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}^{i}$ in the $n, \tau$ coordinate system, namely

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot \tau\right)}{\partial \tau}+\kappa_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot n\right) & =\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{e} \cdot \tau\right)}{\partial \tau}+\kappa_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}^{e} \cdot n\right) \\
\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot n\right)}{\partial \tau}-\kappa_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot \tau\right) & =\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{e} \cdot n\right)}{\partial \tau}-\kappa_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}^{e} \cdot \tau\right)  \tag{34}\\
\lambda_{1}\left(\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot \tau\right)}{\partial \tau}+\kappa_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot n\right)+\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot n\right)}{\partial n}\right) & +2 \mu_{1} \frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot n\right)}{\partial n} \\
=\lambda_{0}\left(\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{e} \cdot \tau\right)}{\partial \tau}+\kappa_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}^{e} \cdot n\right)\right. & \left.+\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{e} \cdot n\right)}{\partial n}\right)+2 \mu_{0} \frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{e} \cdot n\right)}{\partial n} \\
\mu_{1}\left(\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot \tau\right)}{\partial n}-\kappa_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot \tau\right)+\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot n\right)}{\partial \tau}\right) & =\mu_{0}\left(\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{e} \cdot \tau\right)}{\partial n}-\kappa_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}^{e} \cdot \tau\right)+\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{e} \cdot n\right)}{\partial \tau}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}^{i} & =\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left[\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot \tau\right)}{\partial \tau}+\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot n\right)}{\partial n}+\kappa_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot n\right)\right](n \otimes n+\tau \otimes \tau) \\
& +2\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{0}\right)\left[\left(\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot \tau\right)}{\partial \tau}+\kappa_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot n\right)\right) \tau \otimes \tau+\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot n\right)}{\partial n} n \otimes n\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot \tau\right)}{\partial n}+\frac{\partial\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot n\right)}{\partial \tau}-\kappa_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot \tau\right)\right)(\tau \otimes n+n \otimes \tau)\right] . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

By solving the system (34) with respect to the derivatives of the components of $u_{\epsilon}^{i}$, and inserting the result into (35), we derive (33).

Now, by inserting (33) into (32), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}-\mathbb{C}_{0}\right) \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}^{i} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y)=2 \epsilon \int_{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime}} \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon} \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}^{e} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y)+O\left(\epsilon^{1+\alpha-\beta(2+\alpha)}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Fourth step.

We will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon}^{e}-\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right)} \leq C \epsilon^{\gamma}\|g\|_{H^{-1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive $\gamma$.
In order to prove the above inequality, we need the following theorem.
TheOrem 4.1 (mean value property). Let $\Psi$ be a biharmonic scalar, vector, or tensor field in a open bounded domain $D$. Then, for any ball $B_{\rho}(y) \subset \subset D$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[\frac{4}{\rho^{2}} \int_{B_{\rho}(y)} \Psi(x) d x-\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\partial B_{\rho}(y)} \Psi(x) d \sigma_{x}\right] \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the proof of Theorem 4.1, see $[\mathrm{N}]$.
Since $\nabla u_{\epsilon}-\nabla u_{0}$ is biharmonic in $\Omega \backslash \bar{\omega}_{\epsilon}$ we might use the mean value property (38) for points in the set $\Omega_{K} \backslash \bar{\omega}_{d}$, where $\Omega_{K}=\left\{x \in \Omega: d(x, \partial \Omega)>\frac{1}{2 K}\right\}$ and $d$ is such that $2 \epsilon<d$.

Observe that, by (38), for every $y \in \Omega_{K} \backslash \bar{\omega}_{d}$ and for $0<\lambda \leq \frac{d}{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)(y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[\frac{4}{\lambda^{2}} \int_{B_{\lambda}(y)} \nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\partial B_{\lambda}} \nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)\right] \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the divergence theorem we can rewrite (39) as follows:

$$
\nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)(y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[\frac{4}{\lambda^{2}} \int_{\partial B_{\lambda}(y)}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right) \otimes \tilde{\nu} d \sigma-\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\partial B_{\lambda}} \nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)\right]
$$

where $\tilde{\nu}$ is the outward normal vector to $\partial B_{\lambda}$. If we multiply the last relation by $\lambda^{3}$ and integrate from 0 to $\rho=\frac{d}{2}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)(y)=\frac{12}{\pi}\left[\frac{4}{d^{4}} \int_{B_{\frac{d}{2}}(y)}\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right) \otimes \underline{r} d x-\frac{1}{d^{4}} \int_{B_{\frac{d}{2}}(y)} r^{2} \nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right) d x\right] \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\underline{r}(x)=x-y, r=|\underline{r}|$.
From (40) and (16) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{K} \backslash \omega_{d}\right)} \leq C d^{-2} \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C\left(\Omega, K, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right)$. Let $x=z+\epsilon n(z)$ be any point on $\sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ and let $x_{d}$ be the point $x_{d}=z+d n(z)$. Since the entire line segment from $x$ to $x_{d}$ lies in $\Omega \backslash \bar{\omega}_{\epsilon}$ and has distance greater than $\frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{2}$ from $\partial \sigma_{0}$, by Proposition 3.3 and arguing similarly as we did to prove (30), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}^{e}(x)-\nabla u_{\epsilon}\left(x_{d}\right)\right| \leq C \epsilon^{-(2+\alpha) \beta} d^{\alpha}\|g\|_{H^{-1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C\left(K, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right)$.
By combining (41) and (42) we have that for any $x \in \sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}^{e}(x)-\nabla u_{0}(x)\right| & \leq\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}^{e}(x)-\nabla u_{\epsilon}\left(x_{d}\right)\right| \\
& +\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\left(x_{d}\right)-\nabla u_{0}\left(x_{d}\right)\right|+\left|\nabla u_{0}\left(x_{d}\right)-\nabla u_{0}(x)\right| \\
& \leq C\left(d^{\alpha} \epsilon^{-(2+\alpha) \beta}+d^{-2} \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}+d\right)\|g\|_{H^{-1 / 2(\partial \Omega)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By choosing

$$
d=\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2(\alpha+2)}}+\beta
$$

we have (37) with $\gamma=\frac{\alpha}{2(\alpha+2)}-2 \beta$. Notice that $\gamma>0$ if we choose $\beta<\frac{\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)}$.
By using (37), we have

$$
2 \epsilon \int_{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime}} \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon} \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}^{e} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y)=2 \epsilon \int_{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime}} \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon} \widehat{\nabla} u_{0} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y)+O\left(\epsilon^{1+\gamma}\right)
$$

Now, we recall that $d \sigma_{x}^{\epsilon}=(1+O(\epsilon)) d \sigma_{0}$ and observe that, by assumption (3), $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}=(1+O(\epsilon)) \mathcal{M}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \epsilon \int_{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime}} \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon} \widehat{\nabla} u_{\epsilon}^{e} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y)=2 \epsilon \int_{\sigma_{0}} \mathcal{M} \widehat{\nabla} u_{0} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y)+O\left(\epsilon^{1+\gamma}\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, if we compare the remainders in the expansion in formulas (29), (32), (36), and (43), we have that (10) holds, if we choose $\alpha \in(0,1 / 4)$ and $\beta \in(0,1)$ such that $\beta<\frac{\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)}$.

Remark 4.2. The asymptotic expansion also holds in the case where $\sigma_{0}=\cup_{i=1}^{M} \sigma_{i}$ and $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{M}$ are disjoint and far from each other. In that case

$$
\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{0}\right)(y)=2 \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^{M} \int_{\sigma_{i}} \mathcal{M}_{i} \widehat{\nabla} u_{0} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} N(\cdot, y) d \sigma_{i}+o(\epsilon)
$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{i}$ is the restriction to $\sigma_{i}$ of the tensor $\mathcal{M}$.
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