

This is a repository copy of *Combining Multiple Reservoirs on Multiple Timescales*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/192927/>

Version: Published Version

Conference or Workshop Item:

Wringe, Chester, Stepney, Susan orcid.org/0000-0003-3146-5401 and Trefzer, Martin Albrecht orcid.org/0000-0002-6196-6832 (2022) Combining Multiple Reservoirs on Multiple Timescales. In: UNCONVENTIONAL COMPUTING: MATERIALS, SCIENCE, INFORMATICS, HARDWARE, SOFTWARE, 20-26 Oct 2022.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.


Combining Multiple Reservoirs on Multiple Timescales

Chester Wringe, Susan Stepney, Martin Trefzer

Department of Computer Science, University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, UK

chester.wringe@york.ac.uk

1. Defining a Restricted ESN Model



When exploring combining ESNs with each other, many works in the literature[1, 2, 4] propose what we call here a restricted ESN model, where the inner state of the reservoir is split into several clustered regions, or substates. One advantage of this model is that these ESNs can be described by the standard ESN equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(t+1) &= f(\mathbf{W}_u \mathbf{u}(t) + \mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}(t)) \\ \mathbf{v}(t+1) &= \mathbf{W}_v \mathbf{x}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The difference in the model comes from the topology of the inner state, described by the state

vector \mathbf{x} and the weight matrix \mathbf{W} .


$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \dots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W}_1 & \mathbf{B}_{1,2} & \dots & \mathbf{B}_{1,n} \\ \mathbf{B}_{2,1} & \mathbf{W}_2 & \dots & \mathbf{B}_{2,n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \mathbf{B}_{n,1} & \mathbf{B}_{n,2} & \dots & \mathbf{W}_n \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

$x_1 \dots x_2$ are the state vectors of the substates, the matrix \mathbf{W}_n represents the edges within the substate n , and the matrix $\mathbf{B}_{n,m}$ represents the edges from substate n to substate m .

2. Using Leakage Rates for Multiple Timescales

Multiple timescales in a restricted ESN can be simulated using leakage rates[3]. Where an ESN is expected to have a constant leakage rate α , one can instead introduce a vector of leakage rates α , each of which corresponds to the leakage of one substate. If we assume that the ESN has a constant leakage over physical time, we can then simulate different amounts of physical time per iteration of the simulation.

3. Multi-Timescale Transfer Equations



(a) Elements of a restricted ESN on two timescales when substate \mathbf{x}_2 is awake.

(b) Elements of a restricted ESN on two timescales when the substate \mathbf{x}_2 is asleep.

We propose a way of modelling different timescales, which allows for a more granular approach than that of modifying leakage rates. This approach involves modifying the ESN's transfer equation (eq.1). In this model, we introduce a "sleep" mode for one or more of the substates of the reservoir. If a substate is asleep on a timestep t , it does not update during that timestep. We introduce a new transfer function, one that ensures substate \mathbf{x}_n does not update when $t = t_{sleep}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(t+1) : \\ t \bmod 2 = 1 &\mapsto \mathbf{W}_u^{t1} \mathbf{u}(t) + \mathbf{W}^{t1} \mathbf{x}(t) \\ t \bmod 2 = 0 &\mapsto \mathbf{W}_u^{t2} \mathbf{u}(t) + \mathbf{W}^{t2} \mathbf{x}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$


where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_u^{t1} &\mapsto \mathbf{W}_u \quad \& \quad \mathbf{W}^{t1} \mapsto \mathbf{W} \\ \mathbf{W}_u^{t2} &\mapsto \mathbf{0} \quad \& \quad \mathbf{W}^{t2} \mapsto \mathbf{I} \end{aligned}$$


t_{sleep} need not be a single value, but can also be a condition, such as $t \bmod 2 = 0$. We can also introduce as many extensions as there are separate timescales.

We additionally introduce different types of "sleep" modes based on different physical models.


4. Circuit Model



5. Swarm Model



6. "Beacons of Gondor" Model



7. References

- [1] Z. Deng and Y. Zhang. Collective behavior of a small-world recurrent neural system with scale-free distribution. *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw.*, 18(5):1364–1375, Sept. 2007.
- [2] S. Jarvis, S. Rotter, and U. Egert. Extending stability through hierarchical clusters in echo state networks. *Front. Neuroinform.*, 4, July 2010.
- [3] L. Manneschi, M. O. A. Ellis, G. Gigante, A. C. Lin, P. Del Giudice, and E. Vasilaki. Exploiting multiple timescales in hierarchical echo state networks. *Frontiers in Applied Mathematics and Statistics*, 6, 2021.
- [4] Y. Xue, L. Yang, and S. Haykin. Decoupled echo state networks with lateral inhibition. *Neural Netw.*, 20(3):365–376, Apr. 2007.