# Pure

### Scotland's Rural College

#### Genetic resistance to yellow rust infection of the wheat ear is controlled by genes controlling foliar resistance and flowering time

Bouvet, Laura; PercivalAlwyn, Lawrence; Berry, Simon; Fenwick, Paul; Holdgate, Sarah; Mackay, Ian James; Cockram, James

Published in: **Crop Science** 

DOI: 10.1002/csc2.20768

Print publication: 01/09/2022

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication

Citation for pulished version (APA):

Bouvet, L., PercivalAlwyn, L., Berry, S., Fenwick, P., Holdgate, S., Mackay, I. J., & Cockram, J. (2022). Genetic resistance to yellow rust infection of the wheat ear is controlled by genes controlling foliar resistance and flowering time. *Crop Science*, *62*(5), 1758-1770. https://doi.org/10.1002/csc2.20768

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
  You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Accepted: 15 April 2022

DOI: 10.1002/csc2.20768

#### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Crop Breeding & Genetics

### Genetic resistance to yellow rust infection of the wheat ear is controlled by genes controlling foliar resistance and flowering time

Laura Bouvet <sup>1,2</sup>		Lawrence Percival-Alwyn <sup>1</sup>   Simon Berry <sup>3</sup>   Paul Fenwick <sup>3</sup>	
Sarah Holdgate <sup>1</sup>		Ian James Mackay <sup>1,4</sup> James Cockram <sup>1</sup> 💿	

<sup>1</sup>NIAB, 93 Lawrence Weaver Rd., Cambridge CB3 0LE, UK

<sup>2</sup>Dep. of Plant Sciences, Univ. of Cambridge, Downing St., Cambridge CB2 3EA, UK

<sup>3</sup>Limagrain UK Ltd, Market Rasen LN7 6DT, UK

<sup>4</sup>Current address: Scotland's Rural College, The King's Buildings, West Mains Rd, Edinburgh EH9 3JG, UK

#### Correspondence

James Cockram, NIAB, 93 Lawrence Weaver Rd., Cambridge, CB3 0LE, UK. Email: james.cockram@niab.com

Assigned to Associate Editor Eric Olson.

#### **Funding information**

Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, Grant/Award Number: BB/P010741/1, Doctoral Training Partnership PhD award to the Roger; Harrison Trust

#### Abstract

Yellow rust (YR), or stripe rust, is a fungal infection of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) caused by the pathogen Puccinia striiformis Westend f. sp. tritici (Pst). Although much research has focused on YR leaf infection, we are not aware of studies investigating the genetic control of YR resistance in the wheat ear. Using an eight-founder multiparent advanced generation intercross (MAGIC) population, we identified five quantitative trait loci (QTL) controlling glume YR resistance in wheat ears. Of these, three (QYrg.niab-2D.2, QYrg.niab-4D.1, and QYrg.niab-5A.1) collocated with leaf YR resistance QTL previously identified in the same population. We find evidence that QYrg.niab-5A.1 likely corresponds to the adult plant resistance locus Yr34 introgressed from einkorn wheat (T. monococcum L. subsp. monococcum), and that resistance at QYrg.niab-2D.2 also likely originated from a chromosomal introgression from a wheat relative. The two remaining glume YR resistance QTL were linked to genetic loci controlling flowering time, with early flowering alleles conferring reduced glume YR resistance. The association between earlier flowering and increased glume infection is likely due to the emergence of the ear during environmental conditions more favorable for Pst infection. Collectively, these first insights into the genetic control of YR resistance in glumes provides specific genetic targets for the control of YR resistance in both the leaves and the glumes and will be especially relevant to wheat grown in Pst-prone agricultural environments where earlier flowering is favored.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. Crop Science published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of Crop Science Society of America.

**Abbreviations:** AIC, Akaike information coefficient; GIF, glume infection; MAGIC, multiparent advanced generation intercross; *Pst, Puccinia striiformis* Westend f. sp. *tritici*; PVE, phenotypic variance explained; QTL, quantitative trait loci; RIL, recombinant inbred line; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; YR, yellow rust.

#### **1** | INTRODUCTION

The fungal pathogen Puccinia striiformis Westend f. sp. tritici (Pst) causes yellow rust (YR), also known as stripe rust, in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) (reviewed by Bouvet et al., 2021a). The visible symptoms of YR infection, such as sporulation, are typically observed on wheat leaves. However, under the right conditions *Pst* urediniospores (thin-walled spores produced during the asexual stage of the Pst lifecycle) will also colonize leaf sheaths as well as structures of the wheat ear including the outermost structures that surround each floret (the glumes) (Figure 1a,b). Although the main concern for wheat growers is foliar infection, glume infection can become a significant problem during moderate to severe epidemics (Bouvet et al., 2021a). The *Pst* urediniospores infect floret tissues from heading date (Zadoks Growth Stage 55, GS55; Zadoks et al., 1974) until flowering (GS61), with symptoms appearing 10–20 d later (Wellings, 2003). Inside each floret, *Pst* germination and sporulation will occur on glumes, lemma, and palea. At a first glance, infected wheat heads appear discolored or bleached, and symptoms can be mistaken for that of other diseases such as Fusarium head blight. Upon closer inspection, pustules inside the spikes are more evident and opening the floret exposes urediniospores, thereby confirming Pst infection. These symptoms are short-lived compared with foliar infection, mainly because cycles or reinfection are more difficult when spores are encased within the florets and because they occur towards the end of the plant lifecycle, including fertilization and grain filling. An additional symptom includes shriveled grains, although this is largely dependent on the timing on infection. Generally, the earlier the infection at the onset of flowering, the higher the extent of shriveled grain. In severe cases, glume infection can lead to grain quality downgrading as exemplified by reports of a 77% reduction in grain weight relative to uninfected plants (Cromey, 1989a) as well as a 20% yield reduction in a resistant cultivar (Purdy & Allan, 1965). The Pst glume infection is mostly observed in cultivars that are moderately to highly susceptible to YR infection of the leaf (Wellings, 2003). Thus, a cultivar with foliar resistance will generally also show resistance in the ear, whereas a susceptible cultivar is much more likely to exhibit symptoms of glume infection. However, there have been reports of moderately resistant cultivars exhibiting unusually high levels of glume infection (Cromey, 1989b; Purdy & Allan, 1965; Wellings, 2003, 2009). Although little is known about the effects of environmental conditions, ear morphology, and the timing of flowering on YR glume infection, reports suggest that moisture and cool temperatures at flowering are key factors in the onset of significant outbreaks and that florets are least susceptible after anthesis (Cromey, 1989a).

*Pst* infection of the leaves prevents leaf tissue from photosynthesizing. Extensive infection of the ears may have

#### **Core Ideas**

- *Puccinia striiformis* Westend f. sp. *tritici* (*Pst*) causes yellow rust (YR) in wheat leaves and ears.
- We present the first reports for the genetic control of YR on the wheat ear.
- Ear YR infection is controlled by subsets of QTL controlling leaf resistance and flowering time.
- The findings are relevant to wheat breeding for *Pst*-prone environments.

a similar detrimental effect on photosynthesis particularly when leaf photosynthetic area is already significantly reduced because of extensive sporulation, necrosis, and chlorosis. Ear photosynthesis significantly contributes to grain filling by providing the developing grain with an important source of photoassimilates (10-76%) and has been shown to exhibit similar levels of  $CO_2$  fixation to the flag leaf (Maydup et al., 2010; Tambussi et al., 2007). These characteristics are particularly relevant under drought conditions when ears exhibit higher water use efficiency than flag leaves (Tambussi et al., 2007). The photosynthetic capacity of ears has therefore been recognized as an area relevant to wheat breeding and is the target of further optimization as part of international research efforts looking to increase wheat yield potential such as the Wheat Yield Consortium (Parry et al., 2011). In addition, if we consider the ways in which Pst urediniospores colonize plant tissue, glume infection could potentially pose a threat to hybrid wheat breeding, as wheat is a predominantly inbreeding crop, developing hybrids requires male sterility in female parents, which receive pollen from male parents. This critical cross-pollination step relies on open florets during anthesis, providing an easy entry point for Pst urediniospores. In the context of a moderate to severe YR outbreak, glume infection could result in increased levels of shriveled grain, posing a serious problem for the large-scale seed multiplication efforts required by commercial hybrid breeding.

More than 300 genetic loci controlling resistance to foliar YR infection have been identified, of which ~80 represent permanently named YR resistance (*Yr*) genes (summarized by Jamil et al., 2020). However, the genetic components underlying glume resistance have not been explored, with current knowledge essentially limited to its phenotypic symptoms. Recently, several multifounder experimental populations (Cockram & Mackay, 2018) have been developed in wheat (reviewed by Scott et al., 2020). Here we use an eight-parent wheat multifounder advanced generation intercross (MAGIC) population genotyped using a 90,000 feature single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array that has previously been used for genetic mapping of YR infection



**FIGURE 1** Multiparent advanced generation intercross (MAGIC) founder yellow rust (YR) infection of the ear. Examples of founder Soissons at (a) the plot level and (b) a close-up of a single ear. Arrows indicate example areas of notable infection of the whole ear (white arrows) and of the glumes (black arrows). (c) Distribution of glume YR infection of the MAGIC founders and the MAGIC recombinant inbred lines (RILs) at the trials NIAB16 and OSG16. Mean glume infection is represented by filled black dots for each fonder with standard deviation plotted as error bars. The central boxplot represents the distribution of the MAGIC RILs (single counts for unreplicated lines and total counts for replicated lines). Black unfilled circles represent outliers. MAGIC founders are listed as follows: Al, 'Alchemy'; Br, 'Brompton'; Cl, 'Claire'; He, 'Hereward'; Mgc, MAGIC RILs; Ri, 'Rialto'; Ro, 'Robigus'; So, 'Soissons'; Xi, 'Xi19'

of the leaf (Bouvet et al., 2021b) to (a) characterize, for the first time, the genetic basis of glume YR resistance, and (b) compare glume resistance QTL to the genetic basis of YR resistance in leaves.

#### 2 | METHODS

#### 2.1 | Wheat germplasm and field trials

The eight-founder NIAB Elite MAGIC wheat population is previously reported (Mackay et al., 2014). Briefly, it consists of eight founders (the cultivars Alchemy, Brompton, Claire, Hereward, Rialto, Robigus, Soissons, and Xi19) intercrossed over three generations, after which >1,000 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) were developed by inbreeding. A subset of the population (n = 552) was sown in two untreated, partially replicated trials sown in the autumn of 2015 and grown to maturity to the following summer of 2016. The trial sites were in the United Kingdom at NIAB in Cambridgeshire (NIAB16, 52.235010 lat, 0.097871 long) and Osgodby in Lincolnshire (OSG16, 53.410161 lat, -0.386770 long). Each trial consisted of 1,200 plots arranged in 20 columns and 60 rows. Trial design consisted of two main blocks, with three blocks and two subblocks per block, containing 444 MAGIC RILs in two replicates and 234 unreplicated RILs. Trial designs were generated using DEW experimental design software (www. expdesigns.co.uk). Each RIL was sown in two 1-m rows with six rows sown adjacent to each other at a time, thus forming 1- by 1-m areas consisting of three RILs and founders. At

NIAB16, the central two rows were sown with YR susceptible cultivar Vuka, which served to help spread YR infection. In contrast, at OSG16, 1- by 1-m plots of Vuka were sown every three traverses. Trial NIAB16 was artificially inoculated with a mixture of Pst races: 'Solstice' (isolate 08/21 virulent on Yr 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 17, 25, 32) and 'Warrior' (isolate 11/08 virulent on Yr 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 17, 25, 32, Sp). For trial OSG16, no inoculation was undertaken. Both trials were subject to very high levels of natural Pst inoculum early in the season and before artificial inoculation had taken place, and it is therefore highly likely that both sites were predominantly exposed to the effects of natural Pst infection. Based on U.K. Cereal Pathogen Virulence Survey data of Pst isolates in 2016, Cambridgeshire and Lincolnshire (including samples from the Osgodby site) were dominated by the 'Warrior' group of races (Hubbard et al., 2017) (Supplemental Table S1).

## **2.2** | Glume yellow rust assessment and phenotypic data analysis

Glume infection was assessed at the late milk development stage (GS77). For each plot, 10–12 ears were selected at random and the number of spikelets per ear exhibiting glume infection counted. This was converted into a percentage to obtain an estimate of the proportion of ear infection, which was assigned a number on a five-point scale from 0 to 4, where 0 represents no glume infection, 1 represents 25% of glumes infected, 2 represents 50% of glumes infected, 3 represents 75% of glumes infected, and 4 represents all glumes per head infected (examples shown in Supplemental Figure S1). A score was allocated when >50% of the ears within a plot corresponded to that score. Linear mixed models based on restricted maximum likelihood were used to adjust the infection data and take in account any spatial variation. Best linear unbiased estimators were computed following a stepwise model selection approach. Baseline models considered were as follows: Model 1, blocking, where genetic effects are estimated based only on the inter- and intra-block variation recovered from the model; Model 2, spatial, which only considers global and local field trends; Model 3, spatial + blocking, a combination of the above models. Models were fitted using Genstat 18<sup>th</sup> edition (VSN International, 2015). Akaike information coefficient (AIC) was used as a measure for model selection (Akaike, 1974): each model was optimized using AIC as a measure of model fit, and the model with the lowest AIC value selected. Inspection of the glume infection distribution and residual plots revealed a dataset skewed toward resistance. Therefore, the natural log was applied to improve the normality of the data. Phenotypic data for YR infection of the leaf in the NIAB16 and OSG16 trials has previously been reported as part of a wider series of five trials investigating the genetics of foliar YR infection (Bouvet et al., 2021b). To determine the consistency of the phenotype across test environments, and the relationship between YR glume infection with YR leaf infection previously reported in the same trials (Bouvet et al., 2021b), Pearson correlation coefficients for glume infection were computed using the 'cor.test()' function in the statistical software R v3.6.1 (R Core Team, 2019).

#### 2.3 | Genetic analyses and bioinformatics

The MAGIC population was previously genotyped using a 90,000 feature Illumina SNP array, as described by Mackay et al. (2014), and a MAGIC genetic map subsequently generated (Gardner et al., 2016). The QTL analysis was undertaken following four different statistical methods. Method 1 used single-marker analysis, a regression analysis on allelic state of 7,369 mapped SNP markers from the MAGIC genetic map. The remaining analyses were based on founder haplotype probabilities calculated with the 'mpprob' function in R/mpMap (Huang & George, 2011) with a threshold of 0.5. Method 2 was identity by descent, a regression analysis on founder haplotype probabilities using R/qtl. Method 3 was interval mapping, conducted in R/mpMap using the haplotype probability estimates. Method 4 was composite interval mapping, which was as interval mapping but with 10 marker covariates. For interval mapping and composite interval mapping, the empirical p = 0.05 significance threshold was computed in R/mpMap using the 'sim.sig.thr' function, producing a cutoff at  $p = 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$  ( $-\log_{10}(p) = 4.8$ ) for NIAB16 and  $p = 3.7 \times 10^{-5}$  ( $-\log_{10}(p) = 4.2$ ) for OSG16. For single-marker analysis and identity by descent, the package R/qvalue was used to correct for multiple testing, with a q value threshold of 0.05 (R Core Team, 2019). QTL intervals were defined as a genomic region that, at a given genetic position, had a q value  $\leq 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$  (NIAB16) and  $q \leq 3.7 \times 10^{-5}$  (OSG16). The genetic versus physical map location of each QTL was determined for each relevant chromosome by plotting the location of SNPs on the MAGIC genetic map (Gardner et al., 2016) against their position on the physical map of the wheat reference genome assembly (RefSeq v1.0; IWGSC et al., 2018) and the location of the most significant SNP for a given QTL highlighted. Data were plotted using R/ggplot2 (Wickham, 2009).

To investigate the genomic regions that span the QTL found to confer YR resistance in both the glumes and leaf (Bouvet et al., 2021b), we undertook three analyses:

(1) Sequence alignment depth analysis: previously generated Illumina paired-end sequence reads from wheat cultivars Cadenza, Claire, Chinese Spring, Paragon, Robigus, and Weebill1 (Clavijo et al., 2017; Walkowiak et al., 2020) were trimmed using Trim Galore! (Krueger, 2019) to remove adapter sequences and quality filter (-q 25), retaining only reads with a length  $\geq 100$  bp before aligning using BWA-MEM (Li, 2013) against the 'Chinese Spring' wheat reference genome assembly (RefSeq v1.0, IWGSC, 2018). Following BWA-MEM alignment, SAMtools fixmate was first used to fix and fill in mate information before filtering to retain proper read pairs and remove nonprimary alignments using SAMtools view (Li et al., 2009). The alignments were then sorted with SAMtools before removing sequencing duplicates using Picard MarkDuplicates (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard). The BAM files for each cultivar were then merged and indexed (-c) using SAMtools. A bespoke python script 'bam depth.py', which uses pysam (https://github.com/pysam-developers/pysam), was used to extract the mean average sequencing depth and coverage for 5-kb regions every 2 kb along the three target chromosomes (2D, 4D, and 5A). Another bespoke python script 'normailse.py' was used to calculate median average depth from 5-kb regions with 100% sequence coverage for each cultivar before normalizing the depth of all 5-kb regions to this average. Finally, a sliding (every 0.05 Mbp) window (0.5 Mbp in length) was used to extract the median average from all the 5-kb regions within the window, and results were plotted using matplotlib (Hunter, 2007).

(2) Sequence divergence analysis: to investigate the cause of large regions of very low BWA-MEM alignment depth identified in Step 1, we used the same MiniMap2 (Li, 2018) alignment parameters that Liftoff (Shumate & Salzberg, 2020) uses for annotating closely related species. Gene-size regions (3 kb, subsequently termed 'genes') every 20 kb along the Chinese Spring wheat reference genome assembly (RefSeq v1.0; IWGSC, 2018) were extracted using samtools faidx (Li et al., 2009) and mapped to the assemblies of cultivars Cadenza, Chinese Spring, Claire, Robigus, Paragon, and Weebill1 (Clavijo et al., 2017; Walkowiak et al., 2020) using the parameters -a –end-bonus 5 –eqx -N 50 -p 0.5. The mean average gap-compressed per-base sequence divergence for primary alignments were calculated for sliding (every 2 Mbp) windows 50 Mbp in length using the original chromosome level assembly for the sequential positions of the gene-size regions.

(3) Depth analysis of unmapped reads: to rule out deletions causing regions of extremely low mapping depth in Step 1 and the associated sequence divergence in Step 2 being caused by mapping to homoeologous genes or regions, unmapped reads from Step 1 were investigated. Unmapped reads were extracted from the Chinese Spring sequence alignment files using samtools fastq. Single reads and read pairs were mapped back to their own assemblies. Using the alignment files generated in the sequence divergence analysis, unique scaffolds were identified within a 1-Mbp region of Chinese Spring, and the self-alignment depths of these scaffolds were averaged and plotted every 0.5 Mbp.

#### 3 | RESULTS

#### 3.1 | *Pst* glume infection

Genotyped MAGIC lines were assessed for YR disease severity in the ears (Figure 1a,b) at two test environments in 2016: trials NIAB16 and OSG16. Amongst the eight MAGIC founders, Soissons showed high glume YR infection in both trials (mean > 2.5; Figure 1c). The remaining seven founders were found to be relatively resistant, with mean glume infection (GIF) scores <1, with Xi19 showing the second highest founder glume infection in both trials. The MAGIC RILs showed phenotypic variation over the full range of the assessment scale (Figure 1c); nearly 70% of RILs showed little or no infection (GIF = 0), whereas  $\sim 10\%$  exhibited Pst infection in the majority of the ear (GIF = 3-4) (Supplemental Figure S2). Pearson's correlation coefficient analysis of the MAGIC glume YR infection data alongside previously reported leaf YR infection data from the same trials (Bouvet et al., 2021b) found a positive correlation at both environments, with OSG16 (R = 0.62,  $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ) showing a stronger correlation than was observed at NIAB16 (R = 0.49,  $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ) (Figure 2). The MAGIC RILs susceptible in the glumes generally also exhibited high leaf susceptibility (leaf infection > 60%). However, a few notable exceptions were observed, particularly at NIAB16 where six RILs (accessions 5954, 6216, 5770, 5883, 6110, 6374) were found to be moderately resistant on leaves (infection score  $\leq 25\%$ ) but susceptible in the glumes (GIF > 3.5). One of these, RIL 5954, displayed the same phenotypic combination at OSG16. All glume YR phenotypic data is listed in Supplemental Table S2.

Statistical analysis of the glume infection phenotypic data using restricted maximum likelihood showed that the Wald statistic for genotype effect was highly significant (Chi Pr < 0.001) at both environments (Supplemental Table S3). High genotypic performance is further supported by high broadsense heritability values at NIAB16 ( $h^2 = .80$ ) and OSG16 ( $h^2 = .82$ ), mirroring values observed for leaf infection at the same trials ( $h^2 = .79-0.94$ ) (Bouvet et al., 2021b). This evidence points toward stable genotypic performance for glume infection in the MAGIC population at each site. However, the weaker correlation of GIF scores between OSG16 and NIAB16 (R = 0.47,  $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ) highlights possible genetic × environmental interaction (Supplemental Figure S3) or could be due to difference in the *Pst* pathotypes present at each site.

#### 3.2 | QTL mapping of glume yellow rust resistance and comparison with leaf resistance QTL

Five glume YR resistance QTL were identified located on chromosomes 2D (QYrg.niab-2D.1 and QYrg.niab-2D.2), 4A (QYrg.niab-4A.1), 4D (QYrg.niab-4D.1), and 5A (QYrg.niab-5A.1), as listed in Table 1, with MAGIC founder effects at each QTL summarized in Table 2. The percentage of the phenotypic variance explained (PVE) for each QTL was  $\sim 5\%$ , ranging from 3.4 to 6.8%, and jointly accounting for  $\sim 23\%$ PVE. For each OTL, placing the peak SNP on plots of genetic vs. physical map positions for all other markers on the corresponding chromosomes allowed QTL locations to be viewed in genomic context (Figure 3). Four of the five OTL were located on the long arms of their respective chromosomes in regions of high genetic recombination  $\leq$ 43 Mbp from the telomere. Indeed, QYrg.niab-4D.1 (4.8% PVE), QYrg.niab-2D.2 (3.9% PVE), and QYrg.niab-5A.1 (6.8% PVE) were located very close to the ends of their chromosomes just 7, 13, and 19 Mbp from the chromosome 4D, 2D, and 5A telomeres, respectively. QYrg.niab-4A.1 (3.4% PVE) was ~39 Mbp from the chromosome 4A long arm telomere and was located within a region of low agreement between SNP genetic vs. physical map order and spanning a stretch of chromosome 4A from 170 to 210 cM previously identified as a putative introgression from the related emmer wheat [Triticum turgidum L. subsp. dicoccum (Schrank) Thell.] present in the MAGIC founder Robigus (Gardner et al., 2016). However, our results indicate the glume YR resistance allele at QYrg.niab-4A.1 is conferred by Xi19 and so does not originate from the chromosome 4A alien introgression present in Robigus. QYrg.niab-2D.1 (6.4% PVE) was located halfway



**FIGURE 2** Correlation between multiparent advanced generation intercross (MAGIC) recombinant inbred line (RIL) yellow rust infection of the glume and the leaf at trials NIAB16 (left) and OSG16 (right). Back-transformed adjusted means were used for both scatter plots. The black line is the linear regression line and the grey area the 95% confidence level interval. Pearson correlation coefficients as follows: NIAB16, R = .49,  $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ; OSG16, R = .62,  $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ . Yellow rust leaf phenotypic data was sourced from Bouvet et al. (2021b)

		Mapping method					Lowest <i>P</i> value (trial,		
QTL	Chr.	N16	O16	Peak marker	Physical position	Genetic position	QTL mapping method)	Max. PVE	QTL interval
					Mbp	cM		%	cM
QYrg.niab-2D.1	2D	3, 4	4	Ppd-D1	34.0	60.67	$4.61 \times 10^{-7}$ (N16, 3)	4.2	11.88
QYrg.niab-2D.2	2D	4	3, 4	Ra_c21099_1781	638.4	197.36	$6.70 \times 10^{-6} (O16, 4)$	3.9	10.85
QYrg.niab-4A.1	4A	3, 4	-	BS00067903_51	705.6	180.38	-	3.5	5.53
QYrg.niab-4D.1	4D	1–4	2–4	D_GDRF1KQ02 <sup>a</sup>	499.1	125.78	$6.32 \times 10^{-8}$ (N16, 4)	4.8	-
QYrg.niab-5A.1	5A	1–4	2–4	BS00065714_51	691.0	309.03	$9.12 \times 10^{-9}$ (N16, 4)	6.8	16.97

TABLE 1 Glume yellow rust (YR) resistance quantitative trait loci (QTL) identified in trials NIAB16 (N16) and OSG16 (O16)

*Note.* Glume QTL collocating with previously identified leaf QTL (Bouvet et al., 2021b) are underlined. The QTL mapping methods are numbered as follows: 1, singlemarker analysis; 2, identity by descent; 3, interval mapping; and 4, composite interval mapping with 10 covariates. The lowest *p* values identified for each QTL, sourced either from trial N16 or O16, are indicated. In a similar way, values for the maximum percentage of the phenotypic variance explained (% PVE) by each QTL are indicated. Physical and genetic map information is from IWGSC (2018) and Gardner et al. (2016), respectively. The QTL detected by Method 4 only across both environments were not included here.

<sup>a</sup>Full marker name is D\_GDRF1KQ02H66WD\_341.

**TABLE 2** Predicted founder effects at the five quantitative trait loci (QTL) conferring glume yellow rust resistance based on natural-log adjusted best linear unbiased estimators

	Predicted founder effects									
QTL	Chromosome	Al	Br	Cl	He	Ri	Ro	So	Xi	Trial, QTL method
QYrg.niab-2D.1	2D	.09	.01	05	04	.0	.0	.3	.0	NIAB16, 3
QYrg.niab-2D.2	2D	24	.09	05	.43	32	26	.32	.0	NIAB16, 4
QYrg.niab-4A.1	4A	27	18	2	34	33	32	41	.0	NIAB16, 3
QYrg.niab-4D.1	4D	.23	08	NA	.32	.55	.52	.4	.0	OSG16, 3
QYrg.niab-5A.1	5A	18	12	15	31	.07	1	.13	.0	OSG16, 4

*Note.* For each QTL, founder effects presented are for the consensus marker listed in Table 1 with the most significant *p* value identified with QTL mapping Method 3 (interval mapping) and Method 4 (composite interval mapping with 10 covariates). MAGIC founders: Al, 'Alchemy'; Br, 'Brompton'; Cl, 'Claire'; He, 'Hereward'; Ri, 'Rialto'; Ro, 'Robigus'; So, 'Soissons'; Xi, 'Xi19'. Founder effects are all relative to those of Xi19.



**FIGURE 3** Genomic locations of the five quantitative trait loci (QTL) conferring glume yellow rust resistance in the multiparent advanced generation intercross (MAGIC) population. For each chromosome, plotted are the physical (Mbp; IWGSC, 2018) versus genetic (cM; Gardner et al., 2016) map positions of the genetic markers with the peak marker locations for each QTL shown in red

down the chromosome 2D short arm in a region of high genetic recombination.

Our previous study reported leaf YR resistance QTL at five sites using the MAGIC population, which included the NIAB16 and OSG16 trials investigated here for glume YR resistance (Bouvet et al., 2021b). Cross-comparison between the glume and YR resistance QTL showed three of our glume QTL to collocate with leaf QTL: (a) glume QTL QYrg.niab-2D.2 and leaf QTL QYr.niab-2D.1 (~18% PVE; Bouvet et al., 2021b) both identified by the same peak marker  $(Ra_c21099_1781)$ ; (b) glume QTL QYrg.niab-4D.1 and leaf QTL QYr.niab-4D.1 (4% PVE; Bouvet et al., 2021b) both defined by the same peak marker (D\_GDRF1KQ02H66WD\_341); and (c) glume QTL QYrg.niab-5A.1 and leaf QTL QYr.niab-5A.1 (3.6% PVE; Bouvet et al., 2021b) for which the peak markers were 8 cM apart. For the remaining two glume resistance QTL, the peak marker for QYrg.niab-2D.1 was a diagnostic marker within the Photoperiod-D1 (Ppd-D1) gene, able to distinguish the early flowering photoperiod insensitive Ppd-D1a allele carried by the MAGIC founder Soissons from the photoperiod sensitive *Ppd-D1b* alleles carried by the remaining seven founders (Bentley et al., 2013). The predicted allelic effects for QTL *QYrg.niab-2D.1* showed increased glume YR susceptibility was conferred by the Soissons allele at this locus. Finally, *QYrg.niab-4A.1*, identified in NIAB16 only and explaining 3.4% PVE, was not found to collocate with any QTL for YR resistance in leaves. Glume QTL *QYrg.niab-4A.1* did not collocate with any of the MAGIC leaf YR resistance QTL identified by Bouvet et al. (2021b).

Finally, we cross-referenced our three glume–leaf resistance QTL with previously named Yr resistance loci. QYrg.niab-2D.2–QYr.niab-2D.1 overlapped with named adult plant resistance gene Yr54 (Banset et al., 2014), which is located downstream of the genetic marker gwm301 (at 642.3 Mbp on chromosome 2D in the wheat reference genome). For QYrg.niab-4D.1–QYr.niab-4D.1 (peak QTL position at 499.1 Mbp in the reference wheat genome), three named Yr genes are reported on chromosome 2D: Yr22 (Chen et al., 1995), Yr46 (Moore et al., 2015), and the provisionally designated YrS2 (Sun et al., 2019). Yr22 has not been mapped intrachromosomally, whereas the adult plant resistance gene



**FIGURE 4** Signatures of alien chromosomal introgression in the genomes of six wheat cultivars: the Multiparent advanced generation intercross (MAGIC) founders, 'Claire' and 'Robigus', as well as 'Cadenza', 'Paragon', 'Weebill1', and 'Chinese Spring' (CS). Data for chromosomes (a) 2D, (b) 4D, and (c) 5A are shown. In each of these three panels, two data tracks are displayed per cultivar. The top track (dark blue) shows DNA sequence read coverage depth for each specific cultivar, as aligned to the CS reference genome using BWA-MEM, an alignment tool commonly used to map wheat sequences to the correct chromosome. The lower (dark green) track shows DNA sequence diversity of genes (more accurately, gene-sized regions of 3 kb) derived from the CS reference genome and aligned against each specific cultivar using thresholds suitable to map sequences from closely related cereal species. The yellow rust resistance quantitative trait loci (QTL) intervals are indicated by the red dashed box. Putative regions of alien chromosomal introgression within these QTL intervals are indicated with a red arrow

Yr46 is located ~80 Mbp away. However, based on flanking marker cfd84 (4D: 498.7 Mbp), YrS2 is within our glume-leaf rust resistance OTL interval. Finally, the end of the long arm of bread wheat chromosome 5A (between  $\sim$ 694 and 709 Mbp in the reference wheat genome) is recently reported to contain an introgression of chromosome 5A<sup>m</sup> from the diploid einkorn wheat (Chen et al., 2021). This region of chromosome 5A<sup>m</sup> carries the adult plant resistance locus Yr34, and its physical map location in the reference wheat genome places it within the physical map interval of our glume-leaf QTL QYrg.niab-5A.1-QYr.niab-5A.1. To begin to investigate the possible relevance of alien introgression to our glume-rust YR QTL, we undertook three bioinformatic analyses using publicly available data. First, we aligned Illumina paired-end genomic sequence data for six wheat cultivars (which included the MAGIC founders Claire and Robigus) to the Chinese Spring reference genome. This identified regions of chromosomes 2D and 5A for which sequence reads from the six investigated wheat cultivars did not align to the wheat reference genome when using the standard BWA-MEM alignment thresholds, whereas for chromosome 4D, no such large-scale regions of nonalignment were identified (Figure 4). Mid-to-large scale regions of nonalignment on chromosomes 2D (~635.5-641.0 Mbp based on the positions in the reference genome) and 5A (~703.0-708.0 Mbp) were found to coincide with the QTL intervals of our glume-rust resistance loci QYrg.niab-2D.2-QYr.niab-2D.1 and QYrg.niab-5A.1-QYr.niab-5A.1, respectively. To investigate whether these regions of non-alignment represented chromosomal deletions or putative alien chromosomal introgressions, we used the same alignment thresholds used for the LiftOver annotation of related species to map

uniformly distributed (every 20 kb) gene size (3 kb) regions of the Chinese Spring reference to the assemblies of the six wheat cultivars. We then calculated the average sequence diversity of 'genes' from the six wheat cultivars relative to their equivalent genes in the reference assembly (Figure 4). This showed that the 'gene' space within the regions of nonalignment identified in Step 1 that overlapped with the locations of our YR resistance QTL on chromosomes 2D and 5A to both contain higher than expected levels of sequence variation relative to the reference genome, thus indicating possible alien introgression at both loci. No signatures of alien chromosomal introgression were identified for our chromosome 4D glume-leaf YR resistance locus. Finally, to provide further evidence the 'gene' diversity identified in our QTL regions on 2D and 5A in Step 2 were not due to alignment of homoeologous-paralogous sequences, we took all the unmapped reads from Step 1 and mapped these back to their own assemblies. Self-alignment depths were averaged and anchored back to the Chinese Spring reference using the alignment files from our diversity analysis. The resulting increased read depth in the QTL regions on chromosomes 2D and 5A indicated that lack of coverage in Step 1 was due to the presence of sequences with lower sequence homology to the reference genome (characteristic of alien introgression) rather than chromosomal deletions (Supplemental Figure S4).

#### 4 | DISCUSSION

Wheat ear photosynthetic capacity plays a significant role in grain filling (Wang et al., 2016) particularly under stress conditions (Tambussi et al., 2007). Although ear YR infection may not currently be as much of a recurrent problem as leaf infection, at least in the European context, it can become detrimental to yields provided suitable growing and environmental conditions. Because of climate change, temperatures are predicted to rise in many of the wheat growing areas of the world (IPCC, 2019). Such changes, including during the important wheat developmental stages of ear emergence, flowering, and early grain fill, may result in wheat pests and diseases posing a greater, or changing, threat to optimum wheat grain vields. Indeed, simulations of U.K. wheat development under greenhouse gas emission scenarios finds acceleration of days to anthesis to accelerate by 13–19 d (Harkness et al., 2020). Despite such current and future risks, no previous reports of the genetic basis of wheat ear YR resistance have been published. Here, we begin to address this knowledge gap, finding that glume YR infection is controlled by a subset of genetic loci that confer resistance to foliar YR infection combined with pleiotropic effects of loci controlling the timing of ear emergence and subsequent development. Below we explore in more detail some of the issues related to these findings.

### **4.1** | Glume yellow rust infection and phenotype

Variation for ear infection in the MAGIC population was skewed toward resistance, with the majority of MAGIC RILs showing little-to-no YR infection. This trend is different to that observed for foliar infection reported in the same trials, which exhibited a less skewed range of phenotypic variation (Bouvet et al., 2021b). Assessing the extent of YR infection in ears is harder than for foliar infection, as disease symptoms are more easily and rapidly identified on leaves. Here, the degree of difficulty in phenotypic assessment had to be balanced with the practicalities of scoring large numbers of plots in the field. Therefore, a simple 0-to-4 scale was developed, which would have inevitably grouped together finer levels of response. Notably, infection may have been missed completely if it was confined to the inner layers of the floret, which are not visible without manual intervention. This may partly explain the relatively low correlation between leaf and glume infection (NIAB16 R = 0.49; OSG16 R = 0.62) and on the power and precision to identify QTL. Leaf and ear infection not only differed in phenotypic distribution but also in broad-sense heritability: ear infection exhibiting >10%lower heritability in both environments, indicating additional nongenetic factors have a larger impact on the phenotypic response in ears than in leaves and that the limitations of the 0-to-4 glume infection scale combined with the inherent difficulty in accurately scoring glume infection impacted phenotyping accuracy. This difference in heritability agrees with YR resistance studies in triticale (a hybrid between wheat and rye) that also report lower heritability in ears than in leaves

(Losert et al., 2017). Furthermore, Losert et al. (2017) argue that the severe ear infection they observed in triticale may have been a feature of the prevalent Pst 'Warrior' race and its potential ability to develop at warmer temperatures. Although temperature adaptation of the 'Warrior' race variants has not been experimentally confirmed, as they have for the aggressive race PstS2 for example (Milus et al., 2009), it is plausible that warmer temperatures not previously conducive of germination and subsequent colonization enable the pathogen to prolong its growth into photosynthetic tissue later in the season such as the glumes (Mboup et al., 2012). The U.K. regions in which the trials were conducted were dominated by the 'Warrior' group of *Pst* races (Hubbard et al., 2017) (Supplemental Table S1). Indeed, 2016 was a year that saw a high number of YR-infected leaf samples received by the U.K. Cereal Pathogen Virulence Survey, with some samples received as early as January (Hubbard et al., 2017). In addition to inoculum levels, other factors may explain between site differences in glume YR infection. For example, climatic differences may have played a role, with the OSG16 trial located in a cooler and wetter region than the NIAB16 trial. This likely led to a longer window of infection for Pst urediniospores, making disease symptoms more pronounced and easier to score later in the season, thus resulting in a stronger correlation between leaf and ear infection. Overall, our observations were in line with reports that ear YR infection is prominent when significant levels of inoculum are present and are coupled with suitable growing conditions and timely flowering (Cromey, 1989a; Wellings, 2003).

## **4.2** | The role of foliar yellow rust resistance loci in glume resistance

The collocation of three of our five glume YR OTL with foliar resistance QTL previously identified in the same trials (Bouvet et al., 2021b) indicates the presence genetic mechanisms in common. Based on the percentage of the phenotypic variance explained, the leaf YR QTL identified in the MAGIC population by Bouvet et al. (2021b) were classified as either 'major' (>5% PVE, eight QTL) or 'minor' (<5% PVE, six minor QTL). Interestingly, only one of the three glume YR QTL corresponded to a 'major' foliar YR locus: QYrg.niab-2D.1 (glume 3.9% PVE) vs. QYr.niab-2D.1 (leaf 18% PVE). The finding that the remaining two glume resistance loci corresponded to 'minor' foliar leaf QTL and that none of the remaining seven 'major' foliar resistance loci (each controlling 6-17% foliar PVE) were relevant in the glumes was somewhat unexpected. Together, this indicates that although genetic mechanisms are shared between glume and leaf YR resistance, the importance of specific genetic loci in controlling these resistances is different between the two tissues. This finding has implications for breeding targets for current agricultural environments conductive to late *Pst* pressure, for environments likely to become higher risk because of changes in climate, or for the use of earlier flowering cultivars.

Literature searches found all three of our glume-leaf resistance loci to have named Yr resistance genes on relevant chromosome arm, and alien chromosome introgression was implicated as underlying the source of resistance in two of the three loci. The physical map location of our glume-leaf QTL on the long arm of chromosome 5A overlapped with named adult plant resistance locus Yr34, which has recently been shown to have originated from einkorn wheat (Chen et al., 2021). Predicted allelic effects at our chromosome 5A glumeleaf locus showed alleles from founders Alchemy, Brompton, Claire, and Hereward conferred resistance. Our bioinformatic analysis of six published wheat genome assemblies included the cultivar Claire, which showed it to carry a putative alien introgression (at ~703.0-708.5 Mbp) within the QTL interval. The introgression is particularly common in European wheat, and cultivars Alchemy, Brompton, and Claire were all recently reported to carry the introgression from position 703.0 Mbp to the telomere (Chen et al., 2021; although it was not stated whether Hereward had been screened). Collectively, these data indicate Yr34 may underlie our collocating glume and foliar YR resistance locus QYrg.niab-5A.1-QYr.niab-5A.1, and that Yr34 still acts as an effective quantitative source of YR resistance in modern wheat despite its introgression into wheat more than 200 yr ago (Chen et al., 2021). For our glume-leaf QTL QYrg.niab-2D.2-QYr.niab-2D.1, adult plant resistance gene Yr54 (at which resistance is conferred by alleles from the Mexican wheat cultivar Quaiu 3; Banset et al., 2014) was predicted to lie within our QTL interval. Additionally, our 2D locus overlapped with the adult plant YR resistance QTL QPst.jic-2D.1 (based on marker gwm301), for which resistance is conferred by the German cultivar Alcedo (Jagger et al., 2011). The YR glume and leaf resistance in our MAGIC population was conferred at the chromosome 2D locus by alleles originating from Alchemy and Claire. Indeed, pedigree analysis shows Alchemy is a progeny line developed from a cross using Claire. No pedigree link has been reported between Quaiu 3 and Alcedo (Jagger et al., 2011), and although Alcedo is in the pedigree of some U.K. cultivars (Fradgley et al., 2019), it has no clear link to Alchemy or Claire. Interestingly, our analysis of genomic sequences of six wheat cultivars found evidence in the MAGIC founder Claire (and Weebill1) of an alien introgression on chromosome 2D (from  $\sim 635.5-641.0$  Mbp in the reference genome) that spans our 2D QTL peak (at 638.4 Mbp). As (a) alleles from Claire and Alchemy conferred glume-leaf resistance at the QYrg.niab-2D.2-QYr.niab-2D.1 locus, and (b) Claire is a parent of Alchemy, and (c) Claire and Alchemy carry an identical haplotype across our 2D locus based on 90k SNP array

data (Bouvet et al., 2021b), this indicates that resistance at this locus may be conferred by alleles carried by an alien chromosomal introgression. Collectively, these results support the hypothesis that the sources of resistance for our chromosome 5A YR QTL is due to *Yr34*, whereas that from our 2D QTL is from an unknown alien chromosomal introgression.

## **4.3** | A role for phenology in glume yellow rust resistance

Ear infection by Pst commonly affects susceptible wheat cultivars. However, YR ear infection of relatively resistant wheat cultivars has been reported in New Zealand (Cromey, 1989b). This phenomenon was observed in the MAGIC founder Soissons, which was very susceptible to Pst glume infection (Figure 1c) but had very high leaf resistance (Bouvet et al., 2021b). Although this difference could be due to several factors, Soissons is notable amongst the MAGIC founders for its early flowering phenotype, largely conferred by the photoperiod insensitive early-flowering Ppd-D1a allele. The most significant SNP for the glume YR QTL QYrg.niab-2D.1 was within the Ppd-D1 gene and represents a diagnostic marker that discriminates between the early flowering Soissons allele and the late-flowering alleles carried by the remaining seven MAGIC founders at this gene. As the early Ppd-D1a allele was associated with increased glume YR susceptibility, early flowering likely effects glume susceptibility pleiotropically. Further evidence of a link between YR ear infection and flowering time was provided by the collocation of QYrg.niab-4A.1 with the multi-year, multi-location flowering time QTL QFt.niab-4A.03, previously identified in the same MAGIC population (Ian Mackay, personal communication, 2022). An influence of flowering time on glume YR infection was somewhat expected, as flowering time often influences other fungal diseases of the wheat ear. Although earlier flowering was associated with increased glume YR infection, early cultivars often come with other benefits. These include avoidance of fungal diseases of the ear such as fusarium head blight (Gervais et al., 2003; Goddard et al., 2021), Septoria nodorum glume blotch (Aguilar et al., 2005; Downie et al., 2021; Lin et al., 2020, 2021; Scott et al., 1982), as well as drought avoidance. Early flowering can also be beneficial in light of agronomic considerations such as the timing and logistics of harvest. Therefore, despite evidence that U.K. wheat cultivars released over the last 10 yr have an increasingly narrower range of flowering time with a much smaller proportion of early cultivars currently available (Sheenan & Bentley, 2020), there is still demand for early flowering cultivars, which accounted for 14% of certified U.K. seed weight in 2019 (NIAB-TAG, 2020).

#### 5 | CONCLUSIONS

Although we analyzed just two trials for the identification of genetic loci controlling glume YR infection, this study nevertheless provides a starting point toward understanding the genetic components underlying YR resistance in wheat glumes, how it relates to foliar resistance, and demonstrates that subsets of genetic loci controlling flowering time likely also have a pleiotropic effect. The knowledge of which QTL are relevant to both leaf and glume resistance will help marker-assisted breeding approaches develop wheat cultivars best suited for cultivation in agricultural environments in which glume *Pst* infection is prevalent.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

LB was funded via a Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) Doctoral Training Partnership PhD award to the University of Cambridge and undertaken at NIAB. LB also received funding from the Roger Harrison Trust toward travel expenses. JC's time was supported in part by Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) grant BB/P010741/1. We thank Prof. Julian Hibberd (University of Cambridge) for supervisory inputs within the Doctoral Training Program, Dr Keith Gardner (NIAB) for genetics training, and the NIAB Trials Team for the sowing, growth, and agronomic care of the NIAB field trail.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Laura Bouvet: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Supervision; Visualization; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing. Lawrence Percival-Alwyn: Formal analysis; Methodology; Visualization; Writing – review & editing. Simon Berry: Resources. Paul Fenwick: Resources. Sarah Holdgate: Resources; Supervision; Writing – review & editing. Ian James Mackay: Conceptualization; Funding acquisition; Project administration; Resources; Supervision. James Cockram: Conceptualization; Project administration; Resources; Supervision; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### ORCID

James Cockram D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1014-6463

#### REFERENCES

Aguilar, V., Stamp, P., Winzeler, M., Winzeler, H., Schachermayr, G., Keller, B., Zanetti, S., & Messmer, M. M. (2005). Inheritance of field resistance to *Stagonospora nodorum* leaf and glume blotch and correlations with other morphological traits in hexaploid wheat (*Triticum*) aestivum L.). Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 11, 325–336. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00122-005-2025-5

- Akaike, H. (1974). A new look at the statistical model identification. In E. Parzen, K. Tanabe, & G. Kitagawa (Eds.), *Selected papers of Hirotugu Akaike. Springer series in statistics* (pp. 215–222). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-1694-0 16
- Banset, B. R., Singh, R. P., Irahim, A. M. H., Herrera-Foessel, S. A., Huerta-Espino, J., Lan, C., & Rudd, J. C. (2014). Characterization of *Yr54* and other genes associated with adult plant resistance to yellow rust and leaf rust in common wheat Quaiu 3. *Molecular Breeding*, 33, 385–399. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-013-9957-2
- Bentley, A. R., Jensen, E. F., Mackay, I. J., Hönicka, H., Fladung, M., Hori, K., Yano, M., Mullet, J. E., Armstead, I. P., Hayes, C., Thorogood, D., Lovatt, A., Morris, R., Pullen, N., Mutasa-Göttgens, E., & Cockram, J. (2013). Flowering time. In C. Kole (Ed.), *Genomics* and breeding for climate-resilient crops. (pp. 1–66) Springer. https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-37048-9\_1
- Bouvet, L., Holdgate, S., James, L., Thomas, J., Mackay, I. J., & Cockram, J. (2021a). The evolving battle between yellow rust and wheat: Implications for global food security. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 135, 741–753. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-021-03983-z
- Bouvet, L., Percival-Alwyn, L., Berry, S., Fenwick, P., Mantello, C. C., Sharma, R., Holdgate, I. J., & Cockram, J. (2021b). Wheat genetic loci conferring resistance to stripe rust in the face of genetically diverse races of the fungus *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici. Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, *135*, 301–319. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-021-03967-z
- Chen, S., Hegarty, J., Shen, T., Hua, L., Li, H., Luo, J., Li, H., Bai, S., Zhang, C., & Dubcovsky, J. (2021). Stripe rust resistance gene *Yr34* (synonym *Yr48*) is located within a distal translocation of *Triticum monococcum* chromosome 5A<sup>m</sup>L into common wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, *134*, 2197–2211. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00122-021-03816-z
- Chen, X. M., Jones, S. S., & Line, R. F. (1995). Chromosomal location of genes for stripe rust resistance in spring wheat cultivars Compair, Fielder, Lee and Lemhi and interactions of aneuploid wheats with races of *Puccinia striiformis*. *Phytopathology*, 85, 375–381.
- Clavijo, B. J., Venturini, L., Schudoma, C., Accinelli, G. G., Kaithakottil, G., Wright, J., Borrill, P., Kettleborough, G., Heavens, D., Chapman, H., Lipscombe, J., Barker, T., Lu, F. H., McKenzie, N., Raats, D., Ramirez-Gonzalez, R. H., Coince, A., Peel, N., Percival-Alwyn, ... Clark, M. D. (2017). An improved assembly and annotation of the allohexaploid wheat genome identifies complete families of agronomic genes and provides genomic evidence for chromosomal translocations. *Genome Research*, 27, 885–896. https://doi.org/10. 1101/gr.217117.116
- Cockram, J., & Mackay, I. (2018). Genetic mapping populations for conducting high-resolution trait mapping in plants. In R. Varshney, M. Pandey, & A. Chitikineni (Eds.), Plant genetics and molecular biology. Advances in biochemical engineering/biotechnology (vol. 164, pp. 109–138). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/10\_2017\_48
- Cromey, M. G. (1989a). Occurrence and effects of stripe rust in wheat spikes in New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science, 17, 155–158. https://doi.org/10.1080/01140671.1989. 10428024
- Cromey, M. G. (1989b). Infection and control of stripe rust in wheat spikes. *New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science*, 17, 159–164. https://doi.org/10.1080/01140671.1989.10428025

- Downie, R. C., Lin, M., Borsi, B., Ficke, A., Lillemo, M., Oliver, R. P., Phan, H., Tan, K.-C., & Cockram, J. (2021). Spetoria nodorum blotch of wheat: Disease management and resistance breeding in the face of shifting disease dynamics and a changing environment. *Phytopathology*, *111*, 906–920. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-07-20-0280-RVW
- Fradgley, N., Gardner, K. A., Cockram, J., Elderfield, J., Hickey, J. A., Howell, P., Jackson, R., & Mackay, I. J. (2019). A large-scale pedigree resource of wheat reveals evidence for adaptation and selection by breeders. *PLoS Biology*, *17*, e3000071. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pbio.3000071
- Gardner, K. A., Wittern, L. M., & Mackay, I. J. (2016). A highly recombined, high-density, eight founder wheat MAGIC map reveals extensive segregation distortion and genomic locations of introgression segments. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, 14, 1406–1417. https:// doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12504
- Gervais, L., Dedryver, F., Morlais, J.-Y., Bodusseau, V., Negre, S., Bilous, M., Groos, C., & Trottet, M. (2003). Mapping of quantitative trait loci for field resistance to Fusarium head blight in an European winter wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, *106*, 961–970. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-002-1160-5
- Goddard, R., Steed, A., Scheeren, P. L., Maciel, J. L. N., Caierão, E., Torres, G. A. M., Consoli, L., Santana, F. M., Fernandes, J. M. C., Simmonds, J., Uauy, C., Cockram, J., & Nicholson, P. (2021). Identification of Fusarium head blight resistance loci in two Brazilian wheat mapping populations. *PLoS One*, *16*, e0248184. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0248184
- Harkness, C., Semenov, M. A., Areal, F., Senapati, N., Trnka, M., Balek, J., & Bishop, J. (2020). Adverse weather conditions for UK wheat production under climate change. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 282–283, 107862. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019 .107862
- Huang, E., & George, A. W. (2011). R/mpMap: A computational platform for the genetic analysis of multiparent recombinant inbred lines. *Bioinformatics*, 27, 727–729. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/ btq719
- Hubbard, A., Wilderspin, S., & Holdgate, S. (2017). United Kingdom cereal pathogen virulence survey 2017 annual report. NIAB.
- Hunter, J. D. (2007). Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. *Computing in Science & Engineering*, 9, 90–95. https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE. 2007.55
- The International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium (IWGSC), Appels, R., Eversole, K., Stein, N., Feuillet, C., Keller, B., Rogers, J., Pozniak, C., Choulet, F., Distelfield, A., Polland, J., Ronen, G., Sharpe, A. G., Barad, O., Baruch, K., Keeble-Gagnère, G., Mascher, M., Ben-Zvi, G., Josselin, A.-A., Himmelbach, A., ... Wang, L. (2018). Shifting the limits in wheat research and breeding using a fully annotated reference genome. *Science*, *361*, eaar7191. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.aar7191
- IPCC. (2019). Climate change and land: An IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems. P. R. Shukla, J. Skea, E. Calvo Buendia, V. Masson-Delmotte, H.-O. Pörtner, D. C. Roberts, P. Zhai, R. Slade, S. Connors, R. van Diemen, M. Ferrat, E. Haughey, S. Luz, S. Neogi, M. Pathak, J. Petzold, J. Portugal Pereira, P. Vyas, E. Huntley, K. Kissick, M. Belkacemi, & Malley (Eds.). https://www.ipcc.ch/srccl/
- Jagger, L. J., Newell, C., Berry, S. T., MacCormack, R., & Boyd, L. A. (2011). The genetic characterisation of stripe rust resistance in the

German wheat cultivar Alcedo. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 122, 723–733. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-010-1481-8

- Jamil, S., Shahzad, R., Ahmad, S., Fatima, R., Zahid, R., Anwar, M., Iqbal, M. Z., & Wang, X. (2020). Role of genetics, genomics and breeding approaches to combat stripe rust of wheat. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 7, 580715. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2020.580715
- Krueger, F. (2019). Trim Galore! http://www.bioinformatics.babraham. ac.uk/projects/trim\_galore/
- Li, H. (2013). Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. arXiv:1303.3997v2. https://doi.org/10. 48550/arXiv.1303.3997
- Li, H. (2018). Minimap2: Pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics*, 34, 3094–3100. https://doi.org/10. 1093/bioinformatics/bty191
- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., & Durbin, R., & 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. (2009). The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, 25, 2078–2079. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
- Lin, M., Corsi, B., Ficke, A., Tan, K.-C., Cockram, J., & Lillemo, M. (2020). Genetic mapping using a wheat multi-founder population reveals a locus on chromosome 2A controlling resistance to both leaf and glume blotch caused by the necrotrophic fungal pathogen *Parastagonospora nodorum. Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 133, 785–808. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-019-03507-w
- Lin, M., Stadlmeier, M., Mohler, V., Tan, K.-C., Ficke, A., Cockram, J., & Lillemo, M. (2021). Identification and cross-validation of genetic loci conferring resistance to Septoria nodorum blotch using a German multi-funder winter wheat population. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 134, 125–142. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-020-03686-x
- Losert, D., Maurer, H. P., Leiser, W. L., & Würschum, T. (2017). Defeating the warrior: Genetic architecture of triticale resistance against a novel aggressive yellow rust race. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 130, 685–696. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-016-2843-7
- Mackay, I. J., Bansept-Basler, P., Barber, T., Bentley, A. R., Cockram, J., Gosman, N., Greenland, A. J., Horsnell, R., Howells, R., O'Sullivan, D. M., Rose, G. M., & Howell, P. H. (2014). An eight-parent multiparent advanced generation inter-cross population for winter sown wheat: Creation, properties, and validation. *G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics*, 4, 1603–1610. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.114.012963
- Maydup, M., Antonietta, M., Guiamet, J., Graciano, C., López, J. R., & Tambussi, E. A. (2010). The contribution of ear photosynthesis to grain filling in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Field Crops Research*, 119, 48–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2010.06.014
- Mboup, M., Bahri, B., Leconte, M., de Vallavieille-Pope, C., Kaltz, O., & Enjalbert, J. (2012). Genetic structure and local adaptation of European wheat yellow rust populations: The role of temperature-specific adaptation. *Evolutionary Applications*, 5, 341–352. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1752-4571.2011.00228.x
- Milus, E. A., Kristensen, K., & Hovmøller, M. S. (2009). Evidence for increased aggressiveness in a recent widespread strain of *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici* causing stripe rust of wheat. *Phytopathology*, 99, 89–94. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-99-1-0089
- Moore, J. W., Herrera-Foessel, S. S., Lan, C., Schnippenkoetter, W., Ayliffe, M., Huerta-Espinto, J., Lillemo, M., Viccars, L., Milne, R., Periyannan, S., Kong, X., Spielmeyer, W., Talbot, M., Bariana, H., Patrick, J. W., Dodds, P., Singh, R., & Lagudah, E. (2015). A recently evolved hexose transporter variant confers resistance to

multiple pathogens in wheat. *Nature Genetics*, 47, 1494–1498. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3439

- NIAB-TAG. (2020). Seed production booklet. Certification statistics for England and Wales. Issue 103. January 2020. Available as part of NIAB-TAG Seeds Membership Package from niabtagnetwork@niab.com.
- Parry, M. A. J., Reynolds, M., Salvucci, M. E., Raines, C., Andralojc, P. J., Zhu, X.-G., Price, G. D., Condon, A. G., & Furbank, R. T. (2011). Raising yield potential of wheat. II. Increasing photosynthetic capacity and efficiency. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 62, 453–467. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erq304
- Purdy, L. H., & Allan, R. E. (1965). Stripe rust head infection in five Pacific Northwest wheats. *Plant Disease Reporter*, 49, 335–338.
- R Core Team. (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. http://www.Rproject.org/
- Scott, M., Ladejobi, O., Sammer, A., Bentely, A. R., Biernaskie, J., Bowden, S. A., Dell'Acqua, M., Dixon, L. E., Filippi, C. V., Fradgley, N., Gardner, K. A., Mackay, I. J., O'Sullivan, D., Percival-Alwyn, L., Roorkiwal, M., Singh, R. K., Thudi, M., Varshney, R. K., Venturini, L., ... Mott, R. (2020). Multi-parent populations in crops: A toolbox integrating genomics and genetic mapping with breeding. *Heredity*, 125, 396–416. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-020-0336-6
- Scott, P. R., Benedikz, P. W., & Cox, C. J. (1982). A genetic study of the relationship between height, time of ear emergence and resistance to *Septoria nodorum* in wheat. *Plant Pathology*, 31, 45–60. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.1982.tb02811.x
- Sheenan, H., & Bentley, A. (2020). Changing times: Opportunities for altering winter wheat phenology. *Plants People Planet*, 3, 113–123. https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp3.10163
- Shumate, A., & Salzberg, S. L. (2020). Liftoff: Accurate mapping of gene annotations. *Bioinformatics*, 37, 1639–1643. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/btaa1016
- Sun, C., Zhang, P., Fang, Z., Zhang, X., Yin, J., Ma, D., & Zhu, Y. (2019). Genetic analysis and molecular mapping of stripe rust resistance in an excellent wheat line Sanshumai1. *Journal of Plant Pathology*, 101, 235–241. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42161-018-0166-z
- Tambussi, E. A., Bort, J., Guiamet, J. J., Nogués, S., & Araus, J. L. (2007). The photosynthetic role of ears in C3 cereals: Metabolism, water use efficiency and contribution to grain yield. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 26, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 07352680601147901

- VSN International. (2015). *Genstat for Windows* (18th ed.). VSN International Ltd.
- Walkowiak, S., Gao, L., Monat, C., Haberer, G., Kassa, M. T., Brinton, J., Ramirez-Gonzalez, R. H., Kolodziej, M. C., Delorean, E., Thambugala, D., Klymiuk, V., Byrns, B., Gundlach, H., Bandi, V., Siri, J. N., Nilsen, K., Aquino, C., Himmelbach, A., Copetti, D., ... Poznial, C. J. (2020). Multiple wheat genomes reveal global variation in modern breeding. *Nature*, 588, 277–283. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41586-020-2961-x
- Wang, Y.-Q., Xi, W.-X., Wang, Z.-M., Wang, B., Xu, X.-X., Han, M.-K., Zhou, S.-L., & Zhang, Y.-H. (2016). Contribution of ear photosynthesis to grain yield under rainfed irrigation conditions for winter wheat cultivars released in the past 30 years in North China Plain. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 15, 2247–2256. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S2095-3119(16)61408-9
- Wellings, C. R. (2003). Stripe rust head infection. *Cereal Rust Report*, 1, 1–2.
- Wellings, C. R. (2009). Late season stripe rust damage: Head infection and unpredicted variety responses. *Cereal Rust Report*, 7, 1–4.
- Wickham, H. (2009). Ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis (2nd ed.). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-98141-3
- Zadoks, Z., Chang, T. T., & Konzak, C. F. (1974). A decimal code for the growth stages of cereals. *Weed Research*, 14, 415–42. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-3180.1974.tb01084.x

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Bouvet, L., Percival-Alwyn, L., Berry, S., Fenwick, P., Holdgate, S., Mackay, I. J., & Cockram, J. (2022). Genetic resistance to yellow rust infection of the wheat ear is controlled by genes controlling foliar resistance and flowering time. *Crop Science*, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1002/csc2.20768