

Repositório ISCTE-IUL

Deposited in *Repositório ISCTE-IUL*:

2022-05-26

Deposited version:

Accepted Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Pereira, T., Magalhães, E., Silva, C. S., Antunes, C. & Prioste, A. (2020). Interparental violence: professionals' perspectives in the child protection system. *Children and Youth Services Review*. 118

Further information on publisher's website:

[10.1016/j.chilyouth.2020.105433](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chilyouth.2020.105433)

Publisher's copyright statement:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Pereira, T., Magalhães, E., Silva, C. S., Antunes, C. & Prioste, A. (2020). Interparental violence: professionals' perspectives in the child protection system. *Children and Youth Services Review*. 118, which has been published in final form at <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chilyouth.2020.105433>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with the Publisher's Terms and Conditions for self-archiving.

Use policy

Creative Commons CC BY 4.0

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a link is made to the metadata record in the Repository
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

1 **Interparental violence: professionals' perspectives in the child protection system**

3 **Abstract**

4 Study purpose: Based on the cognitive-contextual model developed by Grych and
5 Fincham (1990), this study explored the role of the Exposure to Interparental Violence (EIV)
6 on adolescents' coping and functioning from the perspectives of Portuguese professionals in
7 the Child Protection System (CPS). Participants: Nineteen professionals from three Child
8 Protection Agencies participated in this study (100% females). The target subjects of
9 professionals perspectives were adolescents aged between 10 and 16 years old ($M = 13.58$;
10 $SD = 1.98$) who have a CPS record managed by these professionals. Data was collected
11 through a semi-structured interview guide based on the theoretical model and analysed with a
12 thematic analysis strategy. Results: Findings revealed 7 main themes, of which two emerged
13 exclusively from the data (i.e., Risk Factors for interparental conflict, Impact of the conflict
14 in individual and family functioning) and the remaining five were anchored in the cognitive-
15 contextual theoretical framework (i.e., Interparental conflict; Distal and proximal context;
16 Primary and secondary processing; Affect; Coping behaviour and consequences).
17 Conclusions: This research enabled a description of the underlying aspects of the
18 multidimensionality of EIV, and the results will be discussed considering its implications for
19 the evaluation and intervention in this context.

21 **Keywords:** exposure to interparental violence; cognitive-contextual framework; child
22 protection system; thematic analysis

26 Domestic family violence (DFV) – i.e., violence that occurs within the family context – may
27 involve different forms, such as intimate partner violence (IPV). IPV refers to any physical,
28 psychological or sexual harm committed by a current or a former partner or spouse
29 (McTavish et al., 2016). Furthermore, IPV could be related with gender-based violence, when
30 it is directed towards an individual based on his/her gender (Collins, 2014). When children
31 and youth are, directly or indirectly, exposed to IPV between their caregivers, we are talking
32 about Exposure to Interparental Violence (EIV) (Holden, 2003; Holt et al., 2008; Izaguirre &
33 Calvete, 2015; Peisch et al., 2016). Focusing on IPV may lead to a less structured prevention
34 of EIV, despite its high social and economic impact (Carlson et al., 2019). Therefore, this
35 study is focused merely on EIV, and not on a broader perspective of family violence (DFV),
36 nor on interpersonal violence within intimate relationships (IPV or GBV). We are looking at
37 the young people's cognitive appraisals about EIV and its impact on their functioning, as
38 perceived by professionals in the Child Protection System (CPS). This study is theoretically
39 guided by the cognitive-contextual perspective (Fosco et al, 2007; Grych & Fincham, 1990)
40 through the lens of CPS professionals, given that they are responsible for a careful evaluation
41 about risk and protective factors and subsequent intervention to protect these children's and
42 adolescents' rights.

43 EIV is described as an emotional maltreatment subtype because it can threaten
44 children's emotional security, which is vital to a healthy development (Davies & Cummings,
45 1994). EIV is also classified as an interpersonal trauma (Dugal et al., 2016), given its
46 multiple effects on the child and family functioning (Armour & Sleath, 2014; Carlson et al.,
47 2019; Harold & Sellers, 2018; Kiesel et al., 2016; McTavish et al., 2016; Sturge-Apple et al.,
48 2012) over time (Vu et al., 2016; Sani & Caprichoso, 2013). This traumatic dimension stems
49 from the child's dependence on the perpetrators of violence as well as from the fact that this
50 violence occurs in a proximal context of development (i.e., family), which should be a secure

51 and protective environment (Dugal et al., 2016; Telman et al., 2015). Despite that, evidence
52 also indicates that there are also child victims who exhibit adaptive or resilient
53 developmental outcomes (Davies et al., 2020; Carlson et al., 2019; Grych et al., 2015; Lee et
54 al., 2015).

55 **Cognitive-contextual theory: the framework for the current study**

56 The cognitive-contextual framework (Fosco et al, 2007; Grych & Fincham, 1990) is
57 one of the main process-oriented conceptual models aimed at understanding the effects of
58 EIV on children (DeBoard-Lucas et al., 2010, 2011; Eldik et al., 2020; Fosco & Feinberg,
59 2015; Grych et al., 2000). Anchored in social-cognitive theories of interparental relations,
60 this framework focuses on describing how children's cognitive appraisals about interparental
61 conflict may contribute to their subsequent adjustment (Fosco & Feinberg, 2015). This
62 emphasis on cognitive dimensions situates children as active agents trying to draw
63 interpersonal meaning from how interparental conflict is managed (Cummings & Davies,
64 2010). Notwithstanding this particular focus, the cognitive-contextual framework also
65 describes other relevant components, including the properties and context of interparental
66 conflict that shape children's cognitive processing of that experience, the corresponding
67 coping behaviour, and the emotions that are triggered by, and that further influence, those
68 cognitive and behavioural processes (Fosco et al., 2007; Grych & Fincham, 1990). As such,
69 exploring these processes from the CPS professionals' perspective is critical, given that, in
70 addition to other aspects, their evaluation and decision-making should also be anchored on
71 the active voice of children together with their contextual variables.

72 Regarding the properties of interparental conflict, the model emphasizes that every
73 interparental relationship has some level of conflict (Lee et al., 2015) that varies in frequency,
74 intensity, content and resolution (Grych & Fincham, 1990). Intense and frequent conflict,
75 which involves physical aggression is associated with higher levels of psychological

76 problems (Eldik et al., 2020; Holt et al., 2008; Peisch, 2016; Soares & Sani, 2015). In
77 addition, conflict whose content is related to the child is more relevant for the child's self
78 (Grych et al., 2000) and poor interparental conflict resolution strategies (e.g., disengaged and
79 hostile interparental conflict; Bonache et al., 2016; Eldik et al., 2020) are associated with
80 greater distress (Davies & Cummings, 1994; Soares & Sani, 2015).

81 Grych and Fincham (1990) highlighted both the distal and proximal contexts that
82 affect the child's information processing. The distal context involves stable and slow
83 changing characteristics, namely, a) children's past experience with the conflict (i.e.,
84 children who are repeatedly exposed to interparental conflict express more negative outcomes
85 at a mental health or behavioural dysregulation level; Coe et al., 2016; Davies et al., 2020;
86 Jouriles & McDonald, 2015; probably due to the cumulative effect of the experience; Telman
87 et al., 2015), b) the emotional climate (e.g., warmth and supportive family environment as a
88 protective factor with particularly relevance in a responsive parental figure; DeBoard-Lucas
89 et al., 2010; Holt et al., 2008; Howell, 2011) c) the child's temperament (e.g., children more
90 reactive to stress might exhibit more sensibility and more intensive affective reactions
91 towards conflict which produces a more intensive behavioural response; Davies et al., 2020;
92 Harold & Sellers, 2018; Sturge-Apple et al., 2012). Although the child's gender (d) also
93 constitutes a characteristic of the distal context, the existing evidence does not provide a
94 conclusive pattern of the differences amid female and male adolescents. However, literature
95 suggests that, while both boys and girls experience identical levels of distress (Harold &
96 Sellers, 2018), they might cope with EIV in distinct ways (Eldik et al., 2020). The proximal
97 context refers to the variables of the immediate context, perceived by the child, and which
98 might influence the cognitive processing of the event – children's mood and expectations
99 regarding the course of conflict. Thus, negative affect towards interparental conflict (felt at

100 the time of conflict or as a result of expectations towards it) might exacerbate children's
101 distress, while positive affect might reduce it (Carlson et al., 2019; Grych & Fincham, 1990).

102 Regarding children's processing of interparental conflict, the child's cognitive
103 appraisal of conflict involves two sequential steps: primary and secondary processing. During
104 primary processing, the child perceives the event and extracts information about its
105 negativity, potential threat (e.g., towards the victim-caregiver; DeBoard-Lucas & Grych,
106 2011) and self-relevance (Grych & Fincham, 1990). Secondary processing, in turn, refers to
107 children's causal attributions (e.g., internal or external locus of control), responsibility (i.e.,
108 attributions related to the responsibility and guilt of the agent (e.g., imputation to the
109 aggressor; DeBoard-Lucas & Grych, 2011) and expectations about the efficacy of their
110 coping response (Grych & Fincham, 1990). Then, these expectations may influence the
111 child's emotional and behavioural response. Threat perception is particularly associated with
112 adolescents' self-efficacy, given that high levels of threat perception might undermine their
113 perception of self-efficacy towards coping with interparental conflict (Fosco & Feinberg,
114 2015). On the other hand, higher expectations about the efficacy of the coping response are
115 associated with a greater involvement in interventional coping behaviours, while lower
116 expectations are associated with frustration and less interventional coping behaviours (Grych &
117 Fincham, 1990). Secondary processing both influences and is influenced by children's
118 experienced affect. The emotional responses towards violence are complex and diversified
119 (DeBoard-Lucas & Grych, 2011; Georgsson et al., 2011) - distress and anger are the most
120 frequent (Grych & Fincham, 1990). In face of long-term EIV, sadness might emerge due to
121 the child's resignation (DeBoard-Lucas & Grych, 2011). Finally, this framework posits that
122 EIV triggers both problem-focused (i.e., strategies that aim to alter the stressor – e.g., direct
123 intervention in the conflict) and emotion-focused (i.e., strategies that aim to modulate the
124 emotion without changing the situation – e.g., avoidance) coping in children, through their

125 cognitive appraisals and emotional responses towards that experience (DeBoard-Lucas &
126 Grych, 2011; Soares & Sani, 2015). Both coping strategies aim to reduce the emotional
127 triggering generated by the event (Grych & Fincham, 1990) and therefore may be
128 conceptualized as an attempt to preserve and reassure the child's emotional security (Davies
129 & Cummings, 1994; Eldik, 2020; Tu et al., 2016). Intervention and withdrawal are the
130 primary responses of children to interparental conflict (DeBoard-Lucas & Grych, 2011), but
131 children might adopt more intervening strategies when interparental violence escalates
132 (Grych et al., 2000) and when its content is related to the child (Soares & Sani, 2015). The
133 impact of interparental conflict varies according to the child's age (Holt et al., 2008).
134 Cognitive development implies that, as they get older, children become increasingly able to
135 solve problems and resort to more sophisticated coping strategies, such as cognitive
136 reframing strategies (e.g., focus on the positive aspects of the event or retrospective control)
137 (Grych & Fincham, 1990) and support seeking. Moreover, adolescents might provide
138 emotional or instrumental support to the victim-caregiver (Holt et al., 2008; Taylor et al.,
139 2015), which may also include parentification (Nuttall & Valentino, 2017), or adopt more
140 intervening coping strategies (Goldblatt, 2003; Holt et al., 2008) which might further expose
141 them to conflict (Tu et al., 2016).

142 In the context of child protection services, professionals' appropriate consideration of
143 the child's perspective regarding the impact that EIV has on him/her, as well as their
144 awareness of these child-level processes, are paramount to an adequate evaluation of the
145 child's risk and/or danger situation and to an optimal decision-making process regarding the
146 intervention strategies to be implemented in order to effectively protect the child (Gregory et
147 al., 2020; MacDonald, 2017; Pranzo, 2013). Professionals in the CPS are responsible for
148 making crucial decisions that have a substantial impact on children and their families
149 (Benbenishty et al., 2015). The success of these decisions depends, among other factors, on

150 the ability of professionals working in the CPS to accurately assess the child's situation
151 (Calheiros et al., 2019). Bearing in mind the relevance of how children and adolescents
152 process and cope with their experiences with EIV for their adjustment outcomes (Eldik et al.,
153 2020), understanding professionals' perspectives about these processes is important to derive
154 useful inputs for improving professionals training in adequately considering and
155 incorporating children's views in assessment and decision-making processes in cases with
156 EIV.

157 **Research problems and objectives**

158 Despite the relevance of the body of research previously described for understanding
159 the role of interparental violence on children's behaviours, a set of research problems could
160 be identified. First, the overrepresentation of female caregivers as informants (Holt et al.,
161 2008; Izaguirre & Calvete, 2015; Sani & Caprichoso, 2013) is associated with
162 methodological weaknesses, namely, the overvaluation or undervaluation of EIV (Georgsson
163 et al., 2011; Holt et al., 2008; Izaguirre & Calvete, 2015). Furthermore, the perspective of the
164 victim-caregiver is influenced by their own experience, which is also a parallel experience of
165 victimization that might modulate attention, cognitive processing and the subsequent
166 recalling of the events (Grych et al., 2000). In addition, studies that concomitantly resort to
167 other key informants are scarce, particularly informants from extra familiar contexts (e.g.,
168 professionals) (Holden, 2003), with the exception of teachers (mostly in the 80's and 90's)
169 (Grych & Fincham, 1990). Therefore, considering the vital role of professionals in the CPS,
170 particularly on the evaluation and intervention to protect referred children at risk (Holt et al.,
171 2008), research in this field should include them in the foremost agenda. Second, the
172 overrepresentation of samples of victims from shelters (Holt et al., 2008) is an additional
173 research problem given that this is a specific context with greater severity of victimization
174 experiences. Therefore, less restrictive contexts (Holt et al., 2008; Izaguirre & Calvete, 2015)

175 should also be considered in this line of research, namely by including young people at risk
176 and referred to the CPS.

177 In an attempt to address these gaps in the literature, this study aims to: a) understand
178 the role of EIV on the coping behaviours of adolescents with a CPS referral and b) explore
179 the cognitive-contextual processes of EIV from the perspective of the professionals working
180 in the CPS. We will focus on adolescents, as the “target child” for professionals’
181 perspectives, since they are more able to provide meaningful information during the
182 evaluation processes, being more likely to reflect upon the meaning and the explanatory
183 factors for the occurrence of interparental violence, and to understand the negative
184 consequences of these interactions (Eldik et al., 2020; Holt et al., 2008; Howell, 2011). As
185 such, the current study, developed in the Portuguese CPS context, may significantly
186 contribute to the understanding of EIV processes from the professionals’ perspective. Its
187 results may thus inform the international literature in this field, as well as the professionals’
188 practices in national and international CPS.

189 **Method**

190 **Participants**

191 Participants were nineteen professionals (100% females), aged between 25 and 64
192 years old ($M = 44.79$; $SD = 12.06$), who worked in three Child Protection Agencies and
193 whose time in function varied from 6 months to 10 years ($M = 3.29$; $SD = 2.54$). The target
194 subjects were adolescents aged between 10 and 16 years old ($M = 13.58$; $SD = 1.98$), who had
195 a CPS case file managed by these professionals (52.63% male; $N=10$). The CPS case files
196 analysed in this study were open, on average, for 9 months ($M = 9.11$; $SD = 5.36$) and
197 68.42% ($N = 13$) of them were at the intervention stage.

198 **Instruments**

199 *Socio-demographic questionnaire*

200 The socio-demographic questionnaire was used to collect information regarding refers
201 to professionals' characteristics (e.g., age and academic degree), data describing the target
202 adolescents (e.g., age and gender) and information about their Child Protection Case (i.e.,
203 referral motive, follow-up time and intervention).

204 *Semi-structured interview*

205 The semi-structured interview script was based on the cognitive-contextual model
206 (Grych & Fincham, 1990) and on this field's literature (e.g., Deboard-Lucas & Grych, 2011).
207 The script consists of three thematic blocks that aim to evaluate the multiple constructs of the
208 cognitive-contextual theory: 1) the multidimensional description of interparental violence
209 (e.g., resolution [i.e., "Typically how do they cease the conflict?"]); 2) its contextual factors,
210 including the distal context (e.g., emotional climate [e.g., "In general how would you
211 describe the environment in this family?"]) and the proximal context (e.g., expectations of the
212 course of conflict [i.e., "I would like you to think of the episode that you told me about and
213 try to considers the moments before the conflict occurred. In that moment before the conflict
214 what do you think the adolescent thought it would happen?"]); and 3) information about
215 professionals' perceptions regarding the target adolescents' processing of their EIV
216 experiences in the in the cognitive (e.g., attribution of responsibility and guilt [i.e., "Do you
217 think that he/she attributed responsibility of what happened to someone?"]), affective (i.e.,
218 "What do you think he/she felt while they were arguing?") and behavioural [e.g., coping
219 behaviours in the course of conflict [i.e., "What did he/she did while the couple as
220 arguing?"]) domains.

221 The script also included questions that were not theoretical integrated in the cognitive-
222 contextual model, but that aimed to obtain information about the impact of interparental
223 conflict (e.g., Impact of the EIV in the adolescents functioning [e.g., "Do you think that this
224 EIV had an impact on the adolescent?"]) and information about the experience of other forms

225 of maltreatment (e.g., Co-occurrence of other forms of abuse [e.g., “Did it ever happen
226 another situation independently of the conflict between the parents when one of the
227 caregivers might have hurt the adolescent (psychically or psychological”]).

228 **Procedures of data collection**

229 This study is part of a broader research project which uses a mixed methods approach
230 (i.e., qualitative and quantitative) of data collection with multiple informants (i.e., caregivers,
231 adolescents and professionals of the CPS). In this manuscript, merely qualitative data
232 collected from professionals will be described. This project was approved by the Ethics
233 Committee of ISCTE-IUL (Ref. 20/2019). After this approval, formal requests for the data
234 collection in the Child Protection Agencies were made. Child Protection Agencies were
235 selected based on a convenience criterion, and professionals were invited to participate if
236 their cases fulfilled the inclusion criteria.

237 Inclusion criteria were defined as: a) professionals should select one adolescent (10 to
238 19 years old), according to the WHO's definition of adolescence (WHO, 2019) who was
239 referred to the CPS agency due to exposure to interparental violence; b) the evaluation phase
240 should be concluded, with substantiated evidence of interparental violence. If the
241 professionals had more than one case that fulfilled the criteria, they should chose only one to
242 focus on during the interview, to avoid biased information stemming from focusing on
243 different processes. Participants were informed about the research objectives and the inherent
244 ethical and deontological aspects, specifically, the voluntary nature of their participation, the
245 confidentiality and anonymity of the data, and the possibility of withdrawing. Then,
246 participants' consent was requested, including for audio recording the interviews. After
247 obtaining the informed consent, the interview was conducted in the same sequence for all the
248 participants. All interviews were conducted the Child Protection Agencies facilities only with
249 the presence of the researcher and the participant. Duration of these interviews ranged

250 between 27 and 104 minutes. Following the data collection, participants were debriefed about
251 the research.

252 **Procedures of data analysis**

253 The interviews were transcribed *verbatim* and analysed with Nvivo12 Software
254 through a thematic analysis strategy, which allowed the identification, analysis and
255 description of patterns of data (Braun & Clarke, 2006). In this study, a deductive-inductive
256 analysis was adopted, that is, the data was analysed according to the cognitive-contextual
257 theory (Grych & Fincham, 1990) but also considering the emergent themes from the data.

258 The analysis was performed according to the steps proposed by Braun and Clarke
259 (2006), thus beginning with the familiarization with the data (1) through the transcription of
260 the verbal information followed by its exploration. At this stage, the objective was to
261 generate initial codes (2) and identify relevant patterns in the data. After the codification of
262 the information excerpts in categories, a search for themes was performed – a wider sphere
263 that allowed the organization of the codes previously identified (i.e., emergent categories
264 from the data and those anchored in the cognitive-contextual framework theoretical ground)
265 (3). At this stage, a thematic map was created, allowing the understanding of the relation
266 between codes and themes. Afterwards, themes were reviewed (4) in order to ascertain if the
267 codifications and their respective information excerpts presented internal coherence and
268 external heterogeneity. At last, the themes and categories in the conceptual map were named
269 and defined (5). The information units that were considered particularly illustrative of the
270 theme were selected. Each unit was labelled with the sociodemographic information that
271 described the target adolescent (gender and age). Description of the results includes the
272 number of participants who reported the sub-theme (N) and the number of units of analysis
273 included in each sub-theme (n). Furthermore, the distribution of themes by young people's
274 gender will be presented, by specifying the gender for which the subtheme was more

275 reported. For parsimony reasons, only the most frequent and salient gender differences will
276 be considered in the discussion section. Trustworthiness was guaranteed by strictly following
277 the procedures defined by Braun and Clarke (2006), by providing meaningful examples of
278 participants' descriptions, and by a systematic discussion of the themes among the
279 researchers (cf. other authors; Ogden & Roy-Stanley, 2020; Tuominen et al., 2020).

280 **Results**

281 Results revealed 7 main themes, of which two emerged exclusively from the data (i.e.,
282 *Risk Factors for the Interparental Conflict, Impact of the Conflict in Individual and family*
283 *Functioning*) and the remaining five are anchored in the cognitive-contextual theoretical
284 framework (i.e., *Interparental Conflict; Distal and Proximal Context; Primary and*
285 *Secondary Processing; Affect; Coping Behaviour and consequences*).

286 **Risk factors for the interparental conflict**

287 This theme refers to factors that might increase the risk of interparental
288 violence, and includes two sub-themes: *Problematic consumption of alcohol* ($N=11$;
289 $N_{female}=6$; $n=20$), and *Socioeconomic problems and family social isolation* ($N=11$;
290 $N_{female}=6$; $n=19$). *Problematic consumption of alcohol* refers to additive behaviours by
291 one of the caregivers which precipitates the emergence of interparental conflict (“And
292 when the man began to not feel good he started to have some consumptions and when
293 that happened he would totally lose control.”; P9, F, 15Y). The latter theme -
294 *Socioeconomic problems and family social isolation* - includes economic struggles or
295 social integration difficulties and/or the restriction of contact of the household with
296 social and community network, including extended family (e.g., “They never allowed
297 this girl to coexist with her aunt. Therefore, the aunt never realized what happened
298 inside the house, because she wasn't with her ever since she was little”; P3, F, 16Y).

299 **Interparental Conflict**

300 The theme Interparental Conflict involved two contents – *Conflict focused on the*
301 *relationships* ($N=14$; $N_{male}=8$; $n=54$) and *Conflict focused on the family management* ($N=8$;
302 $N_{female}=6$; $n=18$). Specifically, *Conflict focused on the relationships* aggregates partners'
303 infidelity and control behaviours (e.g., “Therefore I think that the discussions are all about
304 possession and control.”; P14, M, 11Y) and parental behaviours (e.g., “(...) Regarding the
305 children, I can say that any decision that the mother made, the father did not agree with
306 because she thought it was abusive (...). So, they didn't make any joint decisions regarding
307 their children.” (P5, M, 15Y). The subtheme *Conflict focused on the family management*
308 refers to financial management and housework aspects (e.g., “They mostly argue about
309 economic issues, money management (...)” (P7, F, 16Y).

310 Furthermore, different patterns of aggressive and coercive behaviour exemplify
311 interparental conflict - *Physical and Psychological Violence* ($N = 11$; $N_{female}=6$; $n=43$),
312 *Psychological Violence* ($N=7$; $N_{male}=4$; $n=21$) and *Psychological and Sexual Violence* ($N=2$;
313 $N_{male}=2$; $n=7$). Most participants described interparental conflict as involving *Psychological*
314 *and Physical Violence*, namely, injury behaviours, threats and aggressive behaviours (e.g.,
315 “Twist her fingers, squeezes her neck (...) And then there are conversations like “I won't
316 leave the house, I'll only leave for the cemetery or for prison”. Then he makes death threats
317 to the mother like “you are going to leave this place, but it is to go to the cemetery.”; P1, F,
318 11Y). *Psychological Violence* includes injury behaviours and threats to the victim's integrity
319 and/or controlling behaviours (“There are many episodes of verbal violence, many injuries,
320 recordings of conversations, threats, it is more ... it is a case of verbal violence, even.”; P12,
321 F, 13Y). As for *Psychological and sexual violence*, it includes not only injury and threats but
322 also forcing unwanted sexual behaviours/sexual coercion (e.g., “The mother told me that
323 when (...) when he is fixed on her, when the target is her, he won't let go. Intimately. It is
324 suffering... even more so.”; P13, M, 15Y). Interparental conflict may be *High Frequency*

325 ($N=15$; $N_{female}=8$; $n=18$) when it tends to occur daily or weekly (“The environment
326 was tense because the arguments were daily.”; P5, M, 15Y) or *Low/Unknown*
327 *Frequency* ($N=4$; $N_{male}=3$; $n=6$) when it occurs monthly or when the participants
328 cannot specify its frequency (“Maybe it was monthly arguments if so much.”; P8, M,
329 10Y).

330 Finally, the cessation of the interparental conflict includes three subthemes -
331 *Resolution based on external intervention* ($N=11$; $N_{female}=7$; $n=13$), *Withdrawal of an*
332 *element* ($N=8$; $N_{female}=4$; $n=15$), *Victim's Resignation* ($N=4$; $N_{male}=3$; $n=7$). The first
333 sub-theme involves the end of the conflict through the intervention of elements
334 external to the couple (i.e., police or children) (“I think they can’t stop by themselves.
335 They end up stopping because the children eventually interfere.”; P7, F, 16Y).
336 *Withdrawal of an element* refers to conflict that ceases because one element of the
337 couple leaves the physical context where the conflict occurs (“What they say is that
338 there are insults. There are insults and what the father says is that he leaves the house
339 and goes to the cafe”; P7, F, 16Y). Finally, the *Victim's Resignation* sub-theme
340 identifies the conflict that ends due to the resignation and learned despair of the victim
341 (“After so many years of living like this, I think that this woman is not very involved
342 in the provocation when there was an argument. She tried to stop [the argument], to
343 appease, to not provoke.”; P14, M, 11Y).

344 **Distal and Proximal Context**

345 This theme accounts for the contextual elements of interparental conflict such
346 as the relatively stable or slowly changing factors that can influence the young
347 person's response to the conflict – Distal Context – and the cognitions and emotions
348 experienced by young people immediately before the processing of the conflict
349 episode – Proximal Context (Grych & Fincham, 1990). Distal factors are reflected in

350 the sub-themes - *Past Experience with Conflict, Quiet Temperament, Anxious/Impulsive*
351 *Temperament, Supportive Family Climate* and *Hostility and Affective Distance* - while
352 proximal factors are expressed in the sub-themes - *Disturbed Affective State, Emotional*
353 *Stability, Expectations of the Conflict's Endurance and Expectations of the Conflict's End.*

354 *Past Experience with Conflict* ($N=19$; $N_{male}=10$; $n=36$) involves the existence of
355 previous contact with EIV before the referral to CPS ("I think he watches this type of
356 arguments and violence ever since he was little."; P6, M, 12Y). Sub-themes related to young
357 people's temperament are: *Quiet Temperament* ($N=12$; $N_{female}=6$; $n=25$), which includes a
358 behavioural tendency/disposition for greater emotional stability ("I think... the feeling I have
359 is that she is a very calm and peaceful young woman, who even takes on a lot of
360 responsibilities and somehow corresponds (...)"(P17, F, 12Y) and *Anxious/Impulsive*
361 *Temperament* ($N=7$; $N_{male}=4$; $n=15$), which involves a pattern of irritable and impulsive
362 behaviour ("For example, the mother told him to bend the clothes that were spread on the
363 floor of the room and he said 'I won't do it, you do it' and the mother insisted and he said
364 'you are a bitch', precisely."; P5, M, 15Y).

365 This theme also includes dimensions related to the climate and family relationships:
366 *Supportive Family Climate* ($N=18$; $N_{female}=9$; $n=123$) and *Hostility and Affective Distance*
367 ($N= 16$; $N_{female}=8$; $n=61$). *Supportive Family Climate* refers to family environments that
368 enhance closeness relationships in one or more family subsystems ("I think the P17 is
369 fascinated by her older sister who is already in her early twenties (...) this sister was able to
370 have a different path in life and is currently at the Military Academy, so she has a very
371 interesting path and I think P17 sees in this sister a figure she admires a lot. Therefore, the
372 relationship is very positive."; P17, F, 12Y). In contrast, *Hostility and affective distance*
373 *family climate* involves environments perceived by professionals as enhancing the conflict in
374 one or more family subsystems and/or the absence of a close relationship (positive or

375 negative) with both caregivers (“She feels out of place, feels bad in the family where
376 she was born.” (P3, F, 16Y). The affective state of young people prior to exposure to
377 the conflict involves two dimensions: *Emotional Stability* ($N=4$; $N_{female}=3$; $n=8$),
378 which refers to a previous experience of positive affection, serenity and stability (“I
379 know that many times what P1 tells is that they are calm at home, quiet playing in the
380 bedroom or playing games or being with their mother or watching television, they are
381 calm”; P1, F, 11Y), and *Disturbed Affective State* ($N=4$; $N_{female}=2$; $n=5$), characterized
382 by a markedly negative, tense and disturbed affective state (“P18 also lived in this
383 tension of not knowing what would happen every day... What will be the argument
384 about today? Will he argue? Won't he argue? What will he start to imply?”; P18, M,
385 16Y).

386 Adolescents' assessment of the situational clues of the conflict episode, along
387 with their past experience with the conflict (Grych & Fincham, 1990) allows them to
388 develop *Expectations of the Conflict's Endurance* ($N=7$; $N_{female}=5$; $n=12$), considering
389 that interparental conflict can continue and/or worsen (“I think so. Even from the
390 interview that I made, she says that she already knows by the way the father enters the
391 house and the way he closes the door, she can already tell if he is upset or not and by
392 then she already identifies.”; P1, F, 11Y). In contrast, the sub-theme *Expectations of*
393 *the Conflict's End* ($N=4$; $N_{female}=2$; $n=19$) also emerged (“I think he didn't. Maybe he
394 thought that it was more like a discussion like the others or similar to the others that
395 have been happening. I think no one was waiting, not even P18, or anyone at home
396 was waiting for their father to initiate physical aggression.”; P18, M, 16Y).

397 **Primary and Secondary Processing**

398 This theme includes the following sub-themes: *Desensitization*, *Threat*
399 *Perception*, the attribution of responsibility for the interparental conflict -

400 *Responsibility of the Aggressor, Responsibility of the Aggressor and the Victim,*
401 *Responsibility of the Victim-* and the expectations of self-efficacy - *High expectations*
402 *of self-efficacy and Low expectations of self-efficacy. Threat Perception* ($N=7$; $N_{female}=4$;
403 $n=16$) involves the victim's perceived danger to his/her caregiver and to himself/herself
404 (“(...) P1 was seeing the mother already drowned, in the expression she used, drowning
405 without being able to breathe [the aggressor had his arm around the victim's neck]. And she
406 really thought that the father could kill her mother.”; P1, F, 11Y). *Desensitization* ($N=7$;
407 $N_{male}=4$; $n=18$) refers to a reduction of the tendency to respond to stimuli that became
408 familiar (Gleitman et al., 2011), in this case the conflict between caregivers (“I think she was
409 thinking about like "again, this is happening again." I think that sometimes it ends up to
410 desensitizing to these situations, right? (...). Therefore, I think it ends up integrating her
411 routine and this is normal, isn't it?"; P12, F, 13Y).

412 The *Responsibility of the Aggressor* ($N=8$; $N_{female}=5$; $n=22$) refers to adolescents'
413 imputation of responsibility for the conflict occurrence only to the aggressor (“She sees (...)
414 the father here as the aggressor that triggers all of these situations.”; P1, F, 11Y). However,
415 there are also sub-themes describing the *Responsibility of the aggressor and the victim* both
416 ($N=7$; $N_{female}=4$; $n=22$), involving the youth's perception that the perpetrator and victim are
417 co-responsible (“But he thinks that both are a little guilty because the mother ends up not
418 taking a position because she is weak and the father because he is a person who easily loses
419 control when consuming.”; P9; F; 15Y), and the *Victim's Responsibility* only ($N=2$; $N_{male}=2$;
420 $n=2$) (“He attributes it to the mother. Initially, as I told you, he made an alliance with the
421 mother because he thought it was wrong for the father to have found someone else. At this
422 moment, he is completely manipulated by the father and blames his mother for everything
423 that happens.”; P5, M, 15Y).

424 Finally, youth's beliefs regarding their own ability to cope with the conflict are
425 organized into two sub-themes: *High Expectations of Self-Efficacy* of the coping response
426 ($N=4$; $N_{male}=3$; $n=7$) (“And that is why I think that she is able to manage it, because
427 otherwise how would a twelve-year-old girl think that she (...) would stop [the violent
428 episode], right?”; P17, F, 12Y) and *Low Expectations of Self-Efficacy* of the coping
429 response ($N=4$; $N_{female}=3$; $n=5$) (“I think this is really it: “I can't deal with this
430 situation. I can't. I can't see my parents like that. My parents like that. I feel sad for
431 seeing them like this. I can't, I'm tired, I'm really tired and I can't handle this. (...)”;
432 P12, F, 13Y).

433 **Affect**

434 This theme refers to the emotional experience resulting from exposure to the
435 conflict, with four sub-themes identified, three of which reflect universally recognized
436 emotions: *Fear* ($N=10$; $N_{female}=7$; $n=24$) (“I think she was very afraid. She was really
437 afraid and afraid that he would do something more serious, that they might have an
438 accident (...)”; P15, F, 15Y), *Sadness* ($N=5$; $N_{female}=3$; $n=7$) (“I think above all it is “I
439 am very sad with what I am seeing.”; P12, F, 13Y), and *Anger* ($N=5$; $N_{female}=4$; $n=11$)
440 (“In relation to conflicts, in general, she feels a great anger at the father and it is
441 basically, it is a great anger at the father to have ... the father to do this to her
442 mother.”; P13, M, 15Y). *Affective Dullness*, as an emotional experience of detachment
443 from the self in face of conflict, was identified in a smaller number of targeted young
444 people ($N=3$; $N_{male}=2$; $n=7$) (“I would think that what would be normative would be
445 that she was sad, and maybe, even with some fear (...) I think that would be what
446 would make some sense here. Although I feel that she is, once again, resigned (...)”;
447 P17, F, 12Y).

448 **Coping behaviour and consequences**

449 Three sub-themes of coping behaviours were identified: *Avoidant coping* ($N=15$;
450 $N_{female}=8$; $n=47$), *Seeking Support* ($N=11$; $N_{female}=8$; $n=20$) and *Intervention coping* ($N=6$;
451 $N_{female}=3$; $n=22$). *Avoidant coping* includes strategies aimed at distancing the self (i.e.,
452 physical or emotional) from the context of interparental conflict (“And she often says that she
453 left home to avoid listening to them”; P3, F, 16Y). *Seeking support* aims to obtain formal or
454 informal support (“She resorts to a neighbour a lot, who is also a close aunt, who she says is
455 like her second mother (...) And then she resorts to this aunt a lot and it is with this aunt that
456 she talks about this situation, it ends up being with whom she vents and talks about this
457 situation.”; P1, F, 11Y). Finally, strategies involving *Intervention coping* refer to direct
458 interference of the adolescent’s behaviour in interparental conflict (“When situations of
459 domestic violence occur, she tries to (...) separate the situation”; P1, F, 11Y).

460 The perceived effect of the coping strategy adopted by the adolescent to cope with the
461 conflict included two sub-themes. *Conflict Maintenance as a consequence* ($N=5$; $N_{female}=4$;
462 $n=6$), includes the perception that coping behaviour did not alter the course of the conflict (“I
463 don't think so. I don't think the father... I think the father cannot understand the severity of
464 these conflicts (...) and I also think that hearing someone telling him something was not
465 going to have any impact.”; P1, F, 11Y), and, in contrast, the *End of Conflict as a*
466 *consequence* ($N=3$; $N_{male}=3$; $n=6$), involves the perception that the coping strategy allowed
467 the conflict to cease (“And he thought his mother could die there [because the father was]
468 hitting her head. So, he started screaming and asking him to stop and he stood in front of his
469 mother and that's when he stopped. He [the father] slapped him, the kid fell, his glasses fell
470 and then he [the father] left.”; P13, M, 15Y).

471 **Impact of the conflict in individual and family functioning**

472 EIV was perceived by the CPS professionals as having effects in multiple areas of
473 young people’s psychosocial functioning - *Psychopathology, Academic Difficulties, Social*

474 *Integration Problems* and *Resilient Trajectories* as well as impact on the quality of
475 parent-child relationships and, specifically, involving the *Absence of Secure/
476 Protective Care Relationships* and *Parentification* processes.

477 The *Psychopathology* sub-theme was the most frequently identified effect in
478 this sample ($N=11$; $N_{female}=9$; $n=55$) and involves anxious and depressive symptoms
479 and/or the display of aggressive and oppositional behaviours by young people (“There
480 was already an episode in which she faced her father and the police was called but it
481 is at a verbal level...calls names, slams the door (...)”; P12, F, 13Y). *Academic
482 Difficulties* ($N=10$; $N_{male}=6$; $n=19$) refers to the negative impact of the conflict on
483 adolescents' school and academic adjustment (“She was a good student, she was a girl
484 who had goals and still has, very clear, to continue studying and with all this family
485 situation, she started to have worse grades, not being able to concentrate.” (P7, F,
486 16Y). *Social Integration Problems* ($N=7$; $N_{female}=4$; $n=15$) involves the absence of
487 significant social relationships resulting from social avoidance and social exclusion
488 processes of these young people (“He doesn't have friends, he doesn't like the
489 teachers, he doesn't like the assistants, he doesn't like the school. He doesn't like
490 anyone.”; P2, M, 11Y). In addition to these sub-themes, which reflect the presence of
491 difficulties in psychological and social functioning, a sub-theme centred on the
492 possibility of *Resilient Trajectories* ($N=8$; $N_{male}=5$; $n=26$) was also identified,
493 involving a positive adaptation of young people, despite the adversity experienced
494 (“Regardless of everything that has been going on (...) On a social and school level,
495 she doesn't give any indication that anything could happen at home (...). She has very
496 good grades, she's a very sociable girl, she has lots of extracurricular activities (...)”;
497 P12, F, 13Y). Likewise, the subtheme *Absence of Secure / Protective Care
498 Relationships* ($N=13$; $N_{female}=7$; $n=35$) refers to caregivers' difficulties in establishing

499 rules and the inability to establish positive and adequate relationships (“I think this mother
500 has a lot of difficulties to internalize her daughter's well-being at the expense of her own
501 needs or what is convenient for her.”; P17, F, 12Y). Finally, *Parentification* ($N=10$;
502 $N_{female}=6$; $n=24$) involves a role reversal that enables the child or adolescent to assume a
503 caregiving function in one or more subsystems within the family (Nuttall & Valentino, 2017)
504 (“She really does not want to be separated from her mother because she knows that she’s the
505 one that has to take care of her”; P7, F, 16Y).

506 Discussion

507 The present study aimed to understand the role of EIV on coping behaviours of
508 adolescents referred in the CPS and to explore the cognitive-contextual processes in EIV
509 from the professionals' perspective. Our results suggest that EIV and the cognitive-contextual
510 variables might impact the quality of the parent-child relationship as well as young people's
511 individual functioning.

512 According to these professionals, socioeconomic factors as well as individual and
513 family dimensions (problematic alcohol consumption and family social isolation) were
514 particularly relevant risk factors for the occurrence of interparental violence. We know that
515 the literature suggests that the interaction between different risk factors may be associated
516 with interparental violence (Holt et al., 2008). However, results of this study also suggest the
517 professionals' view was mostly centred on classic risk factors, such as alcohol consumption
518 (McTavish et al., 2016) or socioeconomic factors (Ponnet et al., 2016), and less on dynamic
519 factors related with parents' development history or community factors.

520 Our findings reveal several patterns of aggressive and coercive behaviours (e.g.,
521 psychological, sexual or physical violence), the most recurrent being centred on the
522 coexistence of physical and psychological violence, which is consistent with previous
523 evidence (Armour & Sleath, 2014). Interparental conflict based on a pattern of physical

524 violence is conceptualized as potentially more disturbing for young people (Eldik et al., 2020;
525 Holt et al., 2008), generating more negative affect and more severe symptoms (Davies &
526 Cummings, 1994 ; Soares & Sani, 2015) compared to less intense types of violence, such as
527 verbal violence (Howell, 2011; Peisch et al, 2016). The most reported pattern of interparental
528 conflict resolution was resolution based on external intervention (e.g., by the police or by
529 children). This finding may suggest structural difficulties of these families regarding conflict
530 resolution, thus reflecting the inability to prevent the intensification of the conflict/violence,
531 or, on the other hand, that professionals' perspective is mostly focused on the families'
532 difficulties and less on their internal strengths.

533 Regarding the distal context, in the present study, all targeted youths had experienced
534 long-term EIV, which points to the chronicity of this type of violence (Telman et al., 2015).
535 Chronic EIV is critical for young people, since it may enhance a primacy for more negative
536 and intense emotional responses in subsequent conflicts (Davies & Cummings, 1994; Grych
537 & Fincham, 1990), as well as greater behavioural dysregulation and negative mental health
538 outcomes (Davies et al., 2020; Holden, 2003; Lee et al., 2015). Repetitive and longer
539 exposure to traumatic events is associated with worse developmental outcomes (Carlson et
540 al., 2019). Furthermore, temperament can be an important variable in the analysis of the
541 emotional and behavioural response of young people to environmental events (Sturge-Apple
542 et al., 2012), since it can exacerbate or lessen the negativity of the EIV experience in the
543 developmental trajectories of adolescents (Davies & Cummings, 1994; Grych & Fincham,
544 1990). In the current study, most young people were perceived as having a calm
545 temperament. Thus, in face of the dynamics of interparental violence and high stress, young
546 people with less reactivity to the environment (i.e., quiet temperament) have a greater chance
547 for better psychological adjustment, compared to young people with a more reactive
548 temperament (Carlson et al., 2019; Sturge-Apple et al., 2012). Anxious temperament

549 constitutes a greater propensity for a behavioural pattern marked by irritability and
550 impulsivity. Therefore, in face of stress-inducing environmental events (i.e., interparental
551 violence) these young people may be more likely to be emotionally reactive (Davies et al.,
552 2020; Grych & Fincham, 1990; Sturge-Apple et al., 2012). Finally, our results suggest
553 different configurations of family emotional climate with supportive family climates being
554 the most frequent. Living in a positive family environment is conceptualized as a protective
555 factor (Grych & Fincham, 1990; Howell, 2011), particularly in the relationship with siblings
556 or parental figures. In the context of interparental conflict, positive fraternal relationships can
557 play a compensatory effect by being a source of support and promote a sense of security
558 (Cameranesi & Piotrowski, 2018). Likewise, the existence of a responsive and sensitive
559 parental figure (Howell, 2011) has been recognized as a protective factor to mitigate a
560 traumatic experience (Holt et al., 2008). Supportive parenting enhances the validation of the
561 child's emotional experience and decreases self-blame processes (DeBoard-Lucas et al.,
562 2010).

563 Concerning the primary and secondary processing, participants identified the
564 perception of threat in face of conflict, mostly focused on fear for the victim's safety
565 (Georgsson et al., 2011; Holden, 2003) and, with less emphasis, on fear for the self. The
566 perception of threat can model the behavioural response (DeBoard-Lucas & Grych, 2011).
567 This becomes potentially dangerous given that the reduced salience of threat to the self (and
568 increase perceived threat to the caregiver) can enhance the involvement in the conflict and,
569 therefore, increase the risk for the child (Tu et al., 2016). Participants also identified young
570 people who deal with the threat of EIV as a desensitization experience. That result is
571 incongruent with the sensibilization hypotheses to EIV identified in literature, which implies
572 that repetitive EIV gradually intensifies children's reactivity and enhances psychological
573 problems (Davies et al., 2020; Jouriles & McDonald, 2015). This emotional numbing might

574 serve an adaptive function towards disruptive environments, but, over time, it can increase
575 maladaptive developmental outcomes (Mrug et al., 2016). Secondary processing was
576 described by these professionals as involving mostly the responsibility of the aggressor which
577 is consistent with the literature (DeBoard-Lucas & Grych, 2011). Nevertheless, the
578 identification of responsibility of both the aggressor and the victim was also considerable in
579 the present study. As suggested by Holt et al. (2008), young people who blame the aggressor
580 for the conflict can also attribute responsibility to the victim for maintaining the conflictual
581 relationship. These young people can experience ambivalent feelings when empathizing with
582 the victim's experience and, at the same time, resenting their options (Goldblatt, 2003).

583 According to the professionals in this study, EIV elicited an emotional experience
584 marked by negative affect (Georgsson et al., 2011) and particularly by fear, sadness and
585 anger (Eldik et al., 2020). The emotional response resulting from EIV is complex and
586 therefore can be characterized by the simultaneous experience of these different emotions
587 (DeBoard-Lucas & Grych, 2011). The experience of fear is focused mainly on the safety of
588 the victim-caregiver and sadness as a consequence of youth's resignation to a long-term
589 experience with violence (Deboard-Lucas & Grych, 2011). The chronicity of this type of
590 interpersonal trauma (Dugal et al., 2016), as opposed to single traumatic events, makes it
591 harder to evaluate peritraumatic responses (Telman et al., 2015). Lancaster and Larsen (2016)
592 showed that victims of interpersonal trauma (e.g., child abuse) are more likely to report
593 peritraumatic responses (e.g., fear, helplessness; sadness), comparing to other types of trauma
594 (e.g., natural disasters). This might be due to the individuals' negative appraisals about
595 themselves and the others, elicited by interpersonal traumatic events (Gorman et al., 2016). In
596 this study, the peritraumatic response of fear was more reported by our participants regarding
597 the female adolescents. Several explanations could be proposed for this finding. First,
598 biological differences have been reported in the literature about the experience of fear

599 (Eldik's et al., 2020), but also different socialization processes may explain greater
600 predisposition for females to address and share emotional experiences (Bailen et al., 2019;
601 Chaplin, 2015). Second, this result might also reveal our participants' bias focused on gender
602 emotional experiences. Given that peritraumatic responses related to EIV are relevant
603 precursors of later psychopathology (Vance et al., 2018), further evidence is needed about
604 these responses, considering potential gender differences as well.

605 The most frequent coping behaviour identified by our participants were Avoidance
606 and Support seeking. Young people's avoidant behaviours, one of the most common
607 strategies in EIV (DeBoard-Lucas & Grych, 2011; Izaguirre & Calvete, 2015), in the present
608 study, included behaviours of withdrawal from the context of conflict, the use of social (e.g.,
609 spending time with peers) and playful (i.e., playing PlayStation) activities as forms of
610 distraction (Georgsson et al., 2011), and of avoiding interaction with the parent-aggressor.
611 Support seeking coping behaviour was also identified, which may be viewed as a buffer of
612 the effects of distress caused by EIV (Harold & Sellers, 2018; Holt et al., 2008; Sani &
613 Caprichoso, 2013). This coping behaviour was also more reported by professionals in cases
614 of female adolescents, which might be explained through societal gender roles. Not only
615 greater disposition to address and share emotional experiences seems to be more socially
616 fostered in females, but it is also expected that girls may be more other-oriented (Bailen et al.,
617 2019; Chaplin, 2015; Eldik et al., 2020).

618 Similar to previous research, a set of negative effects of EIV was identified (e.g.,
619 Izaguirre & Calvete, 2015; Jouriles & McDonald, 2015; Peisch et al., 2016), namely
620 psychopathology (e.g., Davies et al., 2020; Georgsson et al., 2011), which might be due to
621 youth's emotional security being undermined by long-term exposure to conflict (i.e.,
622 persistent concerns, adolescents' perceived threat and low self-efficacy expectations; Fosco &
623 Feinberg, 2015). Concurrently, difficulties in social integration (e.g., Carlson et al., 2019;

624 Eldik et al., 2020) and academic functioning (e.g., Howell et al., 2016; Kiesel et al., 2016)
625 were also identified. Relationship difficulties with peers resulting from EIV are conceptually
626 described as associated with reproducing relational models experienced in the family context
627 (Eldik et al., 2020; Holt et al., 2008) and maladaptive beliefs about violence (Howell et al.,
628 2016). Young people with long-term EIV may have learned that violence is an adequate and
629 acceptable way of resolving interpersonal conflicts (Armour & Sleath, 2014; Carlson et al.,
630 2019), which may be associated with externalizing problems, such as breakouts, alcohol
631 consumption (Goldblatt, 2003) or antisocial behaviours (Howell et al., 2016).

632 However, it is important to note that there are young people who adapt positively to
633 EIV and that trajectories of resilience might emerge (Carlson et al., 2019; Grych et al., 2015;
634 Izaguirre & Calvete, 2015; Soares & Sani, 2015). Professionals conceptualized resilience as
635 the maintenance of young people's adaptation in one or more development areas. For
636 example, there were young people who had difficulties in academic or social skills but were
637 still perceived as resilient. These results suggest the existence of multiple profiles of
638 adolescents (Davies et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2015), which can be explained by the presence of
639 protective factors, such as the development of a secure bonding relationship with a non-
640 violent caregiver (Holt et al., 2008; Howell, 2011). In this sense, in future research, it is
641 essential to further explore the protective factors leading to resilient trajectories in children
642 and adolescent facing EIV. In addition, Vu et al. (2016) suggest that resilience needs to be
643 explored through a developmental perspective because sleeper effects may occur (i.e., an
644 increase of internalizing problems seems to emerge after years of EIV).

645 Furthermore, we found that professionals identified the absence of safety/protective
646 relations and parentification processes. Parent-child relationships, following interparental
647 violence, were characterized by the informants as marked by parental difficulty in
648 establishing rules and by a greater focus on conflict dynamics and, consequently, in a lesser

649 focus on young people's needs. These results highlighted that these parents may not be
650 emotionally available and responsive (Carlson et al., 2019; Holt et al., 2008; Sani &
651 Caprichoso, 2013; Soares & Sani, 2015). Difficulties in parental involvement and
652 responsiveness could threaten emotional security perceptions and the capacity for emotional
653 regulation (Davies & Cummings, 1994), thereby undermining adolescents' healthy
654 development (Holt et al., 2008; Sani & Caprichoso, 2013). Moreover, parentification was
655 also identified by these professionals, particularly in relation to the victim-caregiver and
656 siblings relationships (Tailor et al., 2015), which is described as a critical event for
657 adolescents' development, namely their self construction (Howell et al., 2016). All these
658 findings may be framed on the Family Systems Theory (FST; Minuchin, 1974), according to
659 which a family is a system composed of several interdependent subsystems (i.e., conjugal,
660 parental and fraternal), meaning that the different subsystems might influence each other. As
661 such, the quality of parenting seems to be negatively influenced by conjugal violence (Eldik
662 et al., 2020; Peisch et al., 2016; Stroud et al., 2015; Zemp et al., 2018), given that there are
663 dysfunctional limits between the conjugal and the parental subsystem (Nuttall & Valentino,
664 2017). In sum, this study highlights the complex and multifaceted effects of interparental
665 violence on the individual functioning of young people and on their own relationship with
666 their parents.

667 **Implications, limitations and future directions**

668 The present study emphasises the variability involved in interparental violence (e.g.,
669 psychological and physical violence; psychological violence; psychological and sexual
670 violence), along with the diversity of consequences for adolescents and families. Despite the
671 relevance of our results, there is a set of limitations that must be recognized. First,
672 conclusions must be carefully interpreted, considering the sample size and gender of
673 professionals (all female). Even so, our sample is not very different from the composition of

674 the three CPS agencies that participated in our study. During the data collection period, 42
675 professionals were working in these CPS agencies and merely three of them were male. For
676 that reason, merely women have participated because, during the data collection, those male
677 professionals did not have cases fulfilling the inclusion criteria. Second, the data analysed
678 was collected using only the perspective of professionals in the CPS, which were allowed to
679 select their own case for discussion, from a qualitative perspective and a cross-sectional
680 approach. Indeed, asking 'pragmatic experts' (i.e., the professionals working in the CPS)
681 about their interpretation and understanding of a 'showcase' example may suggest the
682 assumption that families' experiences are truly, or at least sufficiently, captured by the CPS
683 case workers. However, even considering this perspective as valuable and with important
684 implications for practice, future research must include multi-informant data (Howell, 2011),
685 for the same case, as well as longitudinal evidence (Vu et al., 2016) to address these
686 weaknesses and potential biases (Sturge-Apple et al., 2012). Third, the interview script was
687 built mostly based on the theory; however, future research could also include less structured
688 and more open scripts to capture additional dimensions that allow an in-depth understanding
689 of these processes. Finally, some interviews lasted approximately 30 minutes, which may be
690 due to personal characteristics of these professionals (e.g., less disposition for narrative
691 elaboration) and/or to the process's evaluation (e.g., less information available).

692 Notwithstanding these recognized limitations, the present study contributes
693 significantly to the literature by providing the professionals' perspectives about the cognitive-
694 contextual processes of EIV and their impact on referred adolescents. These perspectives are
695 useful and relevant to elucidate specific needs for assessment and intervention in the CPS.
696 First, our findings suggest that proximal context information was less frequently described by
697 these professionals. Proximal processes are an intrinsic and transitory experience in face of
698 the episode and, probably, little explored by young people during the risk assessment

699 processes in the CPS. Therefore, the careful evaluation of these processes by professionals in
700 the CPS, hearing the voice of these adolescents, is relevant to better understand how EIV may
701 or may not impact their coping behaviour and mental health.

702 The complexity of this maltreatment typology can potentially explain the difficulties
703 regarding its identification and evaluation, that are mentioned in the literature (McTavish et
704 al., 2016). EIV is conceptualized as a disturbance in the normative functioning of the marital
705 subsystem that impacts not only youth's psychosocial functioning but also the other family
706 subsystems and, ultimately, the family as a whole (Eldik et al., 2020; Stroud et al., 2015;
707 Sturge-Apple et al., 2012; Zemp et al., 2018). Therefore, evaluation and intervention should
708 primarily address the child's needs, but also those of the family, considering each family's
709 unique risk and protective factors (Holt et al., 2008). Systemic and ecological interventions
710 are desirable since they focus on different family subsystems, namely, the parent-child
711 relationship (DeBoard-Lucas et al., 2010; Eldik et al., 2020) and parenting skills (Carlson et
712 al., 2019; Telman et al., 2015; Zemp et al., 2018). Results of this study also highlight the
713 possibility of resilient trajectories in the context of EIV. As discussed before, resilience was
714 described by the professionals as a multidimensional construct, illustrated by several
715 resilience profiles. Therefore, evaluation processes should also consider this diversity of
716 youths' functioning profiles (Izaguire & Calvete, 2015; Kiesel et al., 2016).

717 **References**

- 718 Armour, C., & Sleath, E. (2014). Assessing the co-occurrence of intimate partner violence
719 domains across the life-course: Relating typologies to mental health. *European*
720 *Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 5(1), 24620. <https://doi.org/10.3402/ejpt.v5.24620>
- 721 Bailen, N. H., Green, L. M., & Thompson, R. J. (2019). Understanding emotion in
722 adolescents: A review of emotional frequency, intensity, instability, and
723 clarity. *Emotion Review*, 11(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073918768878>

- 724 Benbenishty, R., Davidson-Arad, B., López, M., Devaney, J., Spratt, T., Koopmans, C.,
725 Knorth, E. J., Witteman, C. L. M., Del Valle, J. F., & Hayes, D. (2015). Decision
726 making in child protection: An international comparative study on maltreatment
727 substantiation, risk assessment and interventions recommendations, and the role of
728 professionals' child welfare attitudes. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, *49*, 63–
729 75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2015.03.015>
- 730 Bonache, H., Gonzalez-Mendez, R., & Krahé, B. (2016). Adult attachment styles, destructive
731 conflict resolution, and the experience of intimate partner violence. *Journal of*
732 *Interpersonal Violence*, *34*(2), 287-309. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260516640776>
- 733 Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research*
734 *in Psychology*, *3*(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- 735 Calheiros, M. M., Silva, C. S., & Magalhães, E. (2019). Child Maltreatment Severity
736 Questionnaire (MSQ) for professionals: Development, validity, and reliability
737 evidence. *Assessment*. Advance online publication
738 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191119890030>
- 739 Cameranesi, M., & Piotrowski, C. C. (2018). Self-esteem in children exposed to intimate
740 partner violence: A critical review of the role of sibling relationships and agenda for
741 future research. *Journal of Child & Adolescent Trauma*, *11*, 339–351.
742 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40653-017-0180-x>
- 743 Carlson, J., Voith, L., Brown, J. C., & Holmes, M. (2019). Viewing children's exposure to
744 intimate partner violence through a developmental, social-ecological, and survivor
745 lens: The current state of the field, challenges, and future directions. *Violence Against*
746 *Women*, *25*(1), 6–28. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077801218816187>
- 747 Chaplin, T. M. (2015). Gender and emotion expression: A developmental contextual
748 perspective. *Emotion Review*, *7*(1), 14–21. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073914544408>

- 749 Coe, J. L., Davies, P. T., & Sturge-Apple, M. L. (2017). The multivariate roles of family
750 instability and interparental conflict in predicting children's representations of
751 insecurity in the family system and early school adjustment problems. *Journal of*
752 *Abnormal Child Psychology*, 45, 211–224. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10802-016-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10802-016-0164-6)
753 [0164-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10802-016-0164-6)
- 754 Collins, A. (2014). Gender-Based Violence. In Teo T. (Ed.) *Encyclopedia of Critical*
755 *Psychology* (Pp. 767-771). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-5583-7_121
- 756 Cummings, E. M. & Davies, P. T. (2010). *Marital conflict and children: An emotional security*
757 *perspective*. Guilford.
- 758 Davies, P. T., & Cummings, E. M. (1994). Marital conflict and child adjustment: An
759 emotional security hypothesis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 116(3), 387-411.
760 <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.116.3.387>
- 761 Davies, P. T., Thompson, M. J., Hentges, R. F., Coe, J. L., & Sturge-Apple, M L. (2020).
762 Children's attentional biases to emotions as sources of variability in their vulnerability
763 to interparental conflict. *Developmental Psychology*, 56(7), 1343–1359.
764 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/dev0000994>
- 765 DeBoard-Lucas, R. L., & Grych, J. H. (2011). Children's perceptions of intimate partner
766 violence: Causes, consequences, and coping. *Journal of Family Violence*, 26, 343-
767 354. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10896-011-9368-2>
- 768 DeBoard-Lucas, R. L., Fosco, G. M., Raynor, S. R., & Grych, J. H. (2010). Interparental
769 conflict in context: Exploring relations between parenting processes and children's
770 conflict appraisals. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology*, 39(2), 163-
771 175. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15374410903532593>
- 772 Dugal, C., Bigras, N., Godbout, N., Bigras, N., Godbout, N., & Bélanger, C. (2016).
773 Childhood interpersonal trauma and its repercussions in childhood interpersonal

- 774 trauma and its repercussions adulthood: An analysis of psychological and
775 interpersonal sequelae. In G. El-Baalbaki & C. Fortin (Eds.) *A Multidimensional*
776 *Approach to Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder: from theory to practice* (pp.71-107).
777 InTech.
- 778 Eldik, W. M. V., de Haan, A. D., Parry, L. Q., Davies, P. T., Luijk, M., Arends, L. R., &
779 Prinzie, P. (2020). The interparental relationship: Meta-analytic associations with
780 children's maladjustment and responses to interparental conflict. *Psychological*
781 *bulletin*, *146*(7), 553–594. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000233>
- 782 Fosco, G. M., & Feinberg, M. E. (2015). Cascading effects of interparental conflict in
783 adolescence: Linking threat appraisals, self-efficacy, and adjustment. *Development*
784 *and Psychopathology*, *27*, 239–252. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0954579414000704>
- 785 Fosco, G. M., DeBoard, R. L., & Grych, J. H. (2007). Making sense of family violence:
786 Implications of children's appraisals of interparental aggression for their short- and
787 long-term functioning. *European Psychologist*, *12*(1), 6–
788 16. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040.12.1.6>
- 789 Georgsson, A., Almqvist, K., & Broberg, A. G. (2011). Naming the unmentionable: How
790 children exposed to intimate partner violence articulate their experiences. *Journal of*
791 *Family Violence*, *26*, 117-129. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10896-010-9349-x>
- 792 Gleitman, H. Fridlund, A. J., & Reisberg, D. (2011). *Psicologia [Psychology]* (9th ed).
793 Fundação Caloust Gulbenkian.
- 794 Goldblatt, H. (2003). Strategies of coping among adolescents experiencing interparental
795 violence. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, *18*(5), 532–552.
796 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260503251071>
- 797 Gorman, K. R., Engel-Rebitzer, E., Ledoux, A. M., Bovin, M. J., & Marx, B. P. (2016)
798 Peritraumatic experience and traumatic stress. In C. R. Martin, V. R. Preedy & V. B.

- 799 Patel (Eds.), *Comprehensive Guide to Post-Traumatic Stress Disorders* (pp. 907-924).
800 Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-08359-9_73
- 801 Gregory, A., Arai, L., MacMillan, H. L., Howarth, E., & Shaw, A. (2020). Children's
802 experiences and needs in situations of domestic violence: A secondary analysis of
803 qualitative data from adult friends and family members of female survivors. *Health &*
804 *Social Care in the Community*, 28(2), 602-614. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.12893>
- 805 Grych, J. H., & Fincham, F. D. (1990). Marital conflict and children's adjustment: A
806 cognitive-contextual framework. *Psychological Bulletin*, 108(2), 267-290.
807 <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.108.2.267>
- 808 Grych, J. H., Fincham, F. D., Jouriles, E. N., & McDonald, R. (2000). Interparental conflict
809 and child adjustment: Testing the mediational role of appraisals in the cognitive-
810 contextual framework. *Child Development*, 71(6), 1648-1661.
811 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00255>
- 812 Grych, J., Banyard, V., & Hamby, S. (2015). The resilience portfolio model: Understanding
813 healthy adaptation in victims of violence. *Psychology of Violence*, 5(4), 343-354.
814 <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0039671>
- 815 Harold, G. T., & Sellers, R. (2018). Annual research review: Interparental conflict and youth
816 psychopathology: An evidence review and practice focused update. *The Journal of*
817 *Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 59(4), 374-402. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12893>
- 818 Holden, G. W. (2003). Children exposed to domestic violence and child abuse: Terminology
819 and taxonomy. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 6(3), 151-160.
820 <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024906315255>
- 821 Holt, S., Buckley, H., & Whelan, S. (2008). The impact of exposure to domestic violence on
822 children and young people: A review of the literature. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 32(8),
823 797-810. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2008.02.004>

- 824 Howell, K. H. (2011). Resilience and psychopathology in children exposed to family
825 violence. *Aggression and Violent Behavior, 16*(6), 562-569.
826 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2011.09.001>
- 827 Howell, K. H., Barnes, S. E., Miller, L. E., & Graham-Bermann, S. A. (2016).
828 Developmental variations in the impact of intimate partner violence exposure during
829 childhood. *Journal of injury & violence research, 8*(1), 43–57.
830 <https://doi.org/10.5249/jivr.v8i1.663>
- 831 Izaguirre, A., & Calvete, E. (2015). Children who are exposed to intimate partner violence:
832 Interviewing mothers to understand its impact on children. *Child Abuse & Neglect, 48*,
833 58-67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2015.05.002>
- 834 Jouriles, E. N., & McDonald, R. (2015). Intimate partner violence, coercive control, and child
835 adjustment problems. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 30*(3), 459–474.
836 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0886260514535099>
- 837 Kiesel, L. R., Piescher, K. N., & Edleson, J. L. (2016). The relationship between child
838 maltreatment, intimate partner violence exposure, and academic performance. *Journal*
839 *of Public Child Welfare, 10*(4), 1-23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15548732.2016.1209150>
- 840 Lancaster, S. L., & Larsen, S. E. (2016). Profiles of peritraumatic emotions. *Journal of*
841 *Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma, 25*(9), 1006-1018.
842 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10926771.2016.1219802>
- 843 Lee, J. Y., Wesbecher, K., Lee, M., & Lee, J. (2015). The mediation effects of dysfunctional
844 beliefs and emotional regulation on children's perceived parental conflict and
845 internalizing and externalizing problems. *School Psychology International, 36*(5),
846 447–466. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0143034315602525>
- 847 Macdonald, G. S. (2017). Hearing children's voices? Including children's perspectives on
848 their experiences of domestic violence in welfare reports prepared for the English

- 849 courts in private family law proceedings. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 65, 1-13.
850 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2016.12.013>
- 851 McTavish, J. R., MacGregor, J. C. D., Wathen, C. N., & MacMillan, H. L. (2016). Children's
852 exposure to intimate partner violence: An overview. *International Review of*
853 *Psychiatry*, 28(5), 504–518. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540261.2016.1205001>
- 854 Minuchin, S. (1974). *Families and family therapy*. Harvard University Press.
- 855 Mrug, S., Madan, A., & Windle, M. (2016). Emotional desensitization to violence contributes
856 to adolescents' violent behavior. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 44(1), 75-86.
857 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-015-9986-x>
- 858 Nuttall, A. K., & Valentino, K. (2017). An ecological-transactional model of generational
859 boundary dissolution across development. *Marriage & Family Review*, 53(2), 105–
860 150. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01494929.2016.1178203>
- 861 Ogden, J., & Roy-Stanley, C. (2020). How do children make food choices? Using a think-
862 aloud method to explore the role of internal and external factors on eating behaviour.
863 *Appetite*, 147, 104551. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.104551>
- 864 Peisch, V., Parent, J., Forehand, R., Golub, A., Reid, M., & Price, M. (2016). Intimate partner
865 violence in cohabiting families: Reports by multiple informants and associations with
866 adolescent outcomes. *Journal of Family Violence*, 31, 747–757.
867 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10896-016-9808-0>
- 868 Ponnet, K., Wouters, E., Goedemé, T., & Mortelmans, D. (2016). Family financial stress,
869 parenting and problem behavior in adolescents: An actor-partner interdependence
870 approach. *Journal of Family Issues*, 37(4), 574–597.
871 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0192513X13514409>

- 872 Pranzo, D. (2013). Children's rights and children's voices in contested custody and visitation
873 cases in Sweden and the US. *Childhood*, 20(2), 283–290.
874 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0907568212461038>
- 875 Sani, A., & Caprichoso, D. (2013). Crianças em situação de risco por exposição à violência
876 doméstica [Children at risk for exposure to domestic violence]. In M. M. Calheiros &
877 M. V. Garrido (Eds.), *Crianças em risco e perigo – contextos, investigação e*
878 *intervenção* (Vol.3, pp. 191-207). Edições Sílabo.
- 879 Soares, L., & Sani, A. I. (2015). O impacto da exposição à violência interparental nas
880 crenças: variáveis mediadoras [The impact of exposure to interparental violence in
881 beliefs: moderate variables]. *Revista de Psicologia da Criança e do Adolescente*, 6(2),
882 57-71.
- 883 Stroud, C. B., Meyers, K. M., Wilson, S., & Durbin, C. E. (2015). Marital quality spillover
884 and young children's adjustment: Evidence for dyadic and triadic parenting as
885 mechanisms. *Journal of clinical child and adolescent psychology*, 44(5), 800–813.
886 <https://doi.org/10.1080/15374416.2014.900720>
- 887 Sturge-Apple, M. L., Skibo, M. A., & Davies, P. T. (2012). Impact of parental conflict and
888 emotional abuse on children and families. *Partner abuse*, 3(3), 379-400.
889 <https://doi.org/10.1891/1946-6560.3.3.e8>
- 890 Tailor, K., Stewart-Tufescu, A., & Piotrowski, C. (2015). Children exposed to intimate
891 partner violence: Influences of parenting, family distress, and siblings. *Journal of*
892 *Family Psychology*, 29(1), 29-38. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0038584>
- 893 Telman, M. D., Overbeek, M. M., de Schipper, J. C., Lamers-Winkelmann, F., Finkenauer, C.,
894 & Schuengel, C. (2015). Family functioning and children's post-traumatic stress
895 symptoms in a referred sample exposed to interparental violence. *Journal of family*
896 *violence*, 31, 127–136. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10896-015-9769-8>

- 897 Tu, K. M., Erath, S. A., & El-Sheikh, M. (2016). Coping responses moderate prospective
898 associations between marital conflict and youth adjustment. *Journal of Family*
899 *Psychology, 30*(5), 523–532. <https://doi.org/10.1037/fam0000169>
- 900 Tuominen, L., Leino-Kilpi, H., & Meretoja, R. (2020). Expectations of patients with
901 colorectal cancer towards nursing care—a thematic analysis. *European Journal of*
902 *Oncology Nursing, 44*, 101699. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejon.2019.101699>
- 903 Vance, M.C., Kovachy, B., Dong, M., & Bui, E. (2018). Peritraumatic distress: A review and
904 synthesis of fifteen years of research. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 74*(9),
905 1457– 1484. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.22612>
- 906 Vu, N. L., Jouriles, E. N., McDonald, R., & Rosenfield, D. (2016). Children's exposure to
907 intimate partner violence: A meta-analysis of longitudinal associations with child
908 adjustment problems. *Clinical psychology review, 46*, 25-33.
909 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2016.04.003>
- 910 World Health Organization (2019, October). *Adolescent mental health*.
911 <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/adolescent-mental-health>
- 912 Zemp, M., Johnson, M. D., & Bodenmann, G. (2018). Within-family processes: Interparental
913 and coparenting conflict and child adjustment. *Journal of Family Psychology, 32*(3),
914 299–309. <https://doi.org/10.1037/fam0000368>