# University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Roman L. Hruska U.S. Meat Animal Research Center

U.S. Department of Agriculture: Agricultural Research Service, Lincoln, Nebraska

# Microbial community structure from southern High Plains beef cattle feedyard manure and relationship with nitrous oxide emissions

Heidi Waldrip

David Parker

Sierra Miller

Lisa M. Durso

Byeng R. Min

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/hruskareports

Part of the Beef Science Commons, Meat Science Commons, and the Sheep and Goat Science Commons

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Department of Agriculture: Agricultural Research Service, Lincoln, Nebraska at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Roman L. Hruska U.S. Meat Animal Research Center by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

# Authors

Heidi Waldrip, David Parker, Sierra Miller, Lisa M. Durso, Byeng R. Min, Daniel N. Miller, Kenneth Casey, Bryan Woodbury, and Mindy J. Spiehs

DOI: 10.1002/agg2.20292

Agrosystems

# Microbial community structure from southern High Plains beef cattle feedyard manure and relationship with nitrous oxide emissions

Heidi Waldrip <sup>1</sup>	David Parker <sup>2</sup>	Sierra Miller <sup>3</sup>	Lisa M. Durso <sup>4</sup>	Byeng R. Min <sup>5</sup>
Daniel N. Miller <sup>4</sup>	Kenneth Casey <sup>6</sup>	Bryan Woodbu	ry <sup>7</sup>   Mindy J. S	piehs <sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>USDA-ARS, Conservation and Production Research Laboratory, Bushland, TX 79012, USA

<sup>2</sup>West Texas A&M Univ., Canyon, TX 79016, USA

<sup>3</sup>Univ. of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX 77550, USA

<sup>4</sup>USDA-ARS, Agroecosystem Research Unit, Lincoln, NE 68583, USA

<sup>5</sup>Tuskegee Univ., Tuskegee, AL 36088, USA

<sup>6</sup>Texas A&M AgriLife Research and Extension, Amarillo, TX 79106, USA <sup>7</sup>USDA-ARS, Meat Animal Research

Center, Clay Center, NE 68933, USA

Correspondence

Heidi M. Waldrip, USDA-ARS Conservation and Production Research Laboratory, PO Drawer 10, Bushland, TX 79012, USA. Email: heidi.waldrip@usda.gov

Assigned to Associate Editor Kristin Trippe.

#### Abstract

Modern molecular techniques enable characterization of the microbial biome in livestock manure, from which there is particular concern over emission of greenhouse gases. This study evaluated how sampling depth, time, temperature, and artificial rainfall affected microbial community structure in feedyard manure, and relationships between the manure biome and known parameters related to nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emissions. In three large incubation chambers, maintained at different temperatures that received two applications of artificial rainfall, we evaluated manure microbiome composition and abundance of N<sub>2</sub>O-producing enzymes (nirK and nirS) using quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). These data were used with previously published data from the same study on N2O emissions and assessment of manure physicochemical properties, denitrification enzyme activity (DEA), and nitrification activity (NA). Microbiome composition was Firmicutes (50%), followed by 32% Actinobacteria, 11% Proteobacteria, 5% Bacteroidetes, 1% Chloroflexi, and small populations (<0.5%) of Planctomycetes, Deinococcus-Thermus, Gemmatimonadetes, Verrucomicrobia, Tenericutes, and other organisms. Average bacterial populations varied largely as a function of sampling depth and time. Firmicutes increases tended to coincide with high N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Overall, the largest change observed was increased Proteobacteria at 5-10 cm, where relative abundance increased from 10% (17.2 °C) to 24% (46.2 °C) over time and with increased temperature. Firmicutes and Actinobacteria predominated the microbial community of manure, but favorable conditions may lead to increases in Bacteroidetes, *Proteobacteria*, and *Chloroflexi*, which could influence N cycling and  $N_2O$  emissions from feedyards. Copy numbers of *nirS* at the beginning of the experiment

Abbreviations: AOA, ammonium oxidizing archaea; AOB, ammonium oxidizing bacteria; DEA, denitrifier enzyme activity; DNRA, dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium; NA, nitrification activity; OM, organic matter; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. Agrosystems, Geosciences & Environment published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of Crop Science Society of America and American Society of Agronomy. This article has been contributed to by U.S. Government employees and their work is in the public domain in the USA.

were higher than *nirK*. Differences in concentrations of *nirK* and *nirS* indicated that denitrifying enzymes in feedyard manure, particularly *nirS*, were sensitive to environmental changes.

### **1** | INTRODUCTION

The manure in beef cattle feedyards is a significant source of nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) (Parker, Casey, et al., 2017; Redding et al., 2015; Waldrip et al., 2017, 2020; Woodbury et al., 2018), a potent greenhouse gas (GHG) implicated in climate change due to a global warming potential (GWP) of 265-298 carbon dioxide equivalents (CO2e). An estimated 25% of total GHG ( $N_2O$  and methane [ $CH_4$ ]) from beef production originates from animal pens and housing (Costa et al., 2014). Studies have investigated the effects of temperature, rainfall, and activities of enzymes involved in feedyard N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Ayadi et al., 2015; Waldrip et al., 2020; Woodbury et al., 2001, 2018), but little work has characterized the manure microbiome or presence of specific genes responsible for N2O production. In 2011, livestock manure contributed an estimated 4.3-5.8 Tg N<sub>2</sub>O-N yr<sup>-1</sup> globally (Syakila & Kroeze, 2011), which has been gradually and steadily increasing. Denitrification and nitrification are the two primary modes of N<sub>2</sub>O production in soil, manure, sediments, and other substrates (Ayadi et al., 2015; Redding et al., 2015; Waldrip et al., 2017, 2020). In a previous work, we proposed that the majority of feedvard  $N_2O$  was derived from the top 5 cm of a feedyard manure pack and that it was likely derived from denitrification after rainfall (Waldrip et al., 2020). In the current study, we take this a step further and examine changes in the manure microbial community structure and abundances of genes involved in denitrification and nitrification. This data presented in this paper is one of the first phyla-level microbiome analyses of beef cattle manure in the Texas High Plains, where open-lot feedyards containing 100,000 or more cattle are common. In addition, the dairy industry is thriving in the region, with many open-lot dairies containing 3,000 or more milking cows.

In previous studies on manure  $N_2O$  emissions, we identified that substrate availability for microbial metabolism was highly related to feedyard manure  $N_2O$  emissions, denitrifier enzyme activity (DEA) and nitrification activity (NA) (Waldrip et al., 2017, 2020). Waldrip et al. (2017) conducted 15 nonflow-through–nonsteady-state measurement campaigns on two commercial Texas feedyards and developed predictive empirical models, where temperature and manure nitrate/nitrite ( $NO_x$ ) and  $H_2O$  contents were positively related to measured  $N_2O$  emissions. In contrast, negative relationships were identified between  $N_2O$  and manure organic matter (OM), ammonia/ammonium ( $NH_x$ ), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), and dissolved nitrogen (N) contents, as well as ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) parameters related to OM complexity/availability. Differences in C and N availability for microbial growth and energy could impact microbial community structure, gene abundances, and activities of enzymes involved in denitrification and nitrification in feedyards. This study aimed to provide some answers to these questions.

Feedyard N<sub>2</sub>O emissions are highly variable over both space and time (Aguilar et al., 2014; Parker, Casey, et al., 2017; Redding et al., 2015; Waldrip et al., 2016). This variability has been linked to temperature (Parker, Casey, et al., 2017, 2018; Waldrip et al., 2016, 2017, 2020), H<sub>2</sub>O content (Aguilar et al., 2014; Parker, Casey, et al., 2017; Parker, Waldrip, et al., 2017, 2018), and manure characteristics (Ayadi et al., 2015; Liao et al., 2018; Waldrip et al., 2017, 2020; Woodbury et al., 2001). Both air temperature and precipitation range widely in the Texas Panhandle portion of the southern High Plains, where this study was conducted (-102.1, 35.2). This region is classified as semi-arid, with monthly average temperatures ranging from -7 °C in winter to 33 °C in summer. In addition to large seasonal changes, the region experiences extremes in daily temperatures, where it is not abnormal for summer temperature to change from 10 °C in the mornings, to above 39 °C by mid-afternoon. Precipitation in the region is sparse (average of  $54 \text{ cm yr}^{-1}$ ) and occurs primarily as short, but often intense, rainfall episodes in the spring. Thus, the accumulated manure in open-lot cattle pens is subjected to both wet/dry cycling and temperature extremes.

Nitrous oxide is produced by numerous mechanisms, including nitrification, denitrification, coupled nitrificationdenitrification, dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA), anaerobic ammonia oxidation (Anammox), and various forms of chemodenitrification (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013; Giles et al., 2012). However, attempts to discern the processes involved in feedyard N<sub>2</sub>O production have been largely inconclusive. The complexity and heterogeneity of feedyard pen manure and changing weather in a system exposed to ambient conditions, makes it unlikely that one process would be responsible for all feedyard N<sub>2</sub>O. Multiple processes could be working simultaneously or in tandem. This complicates development of effective mitigation strategies, which generally require process-level knowledge of N<sub>2</sub>O production mechanisms. In commercial feedyards the OM-rich manure is a complex ecosystem containing microbes, extracellular enzymes, both older and newly excreted feces and urine, and varying H<sub>2</sub>O content. Furthermore, as feedyard manure accumulates during the cattle finishing period, a layer structure forms with varied properties (e.g., density, porosity, OM complexity,  $NH_x$  and  $NO_x$  availability, microbial community composition, moisture content, and temperature) at different depths (Cole et al., 2009; Rice et al., 2007). It is unclear if  $N_2O$  production mechanisms differ with manure depth.

The fate of manure N depends on the consortia of microbial species present, activities of enzymes involved in OM degradation and N mineralization, manure chemistry, aeration (i.e.,  $O_2$  content),  $H_2O$  content, and substrate availability for microbial energy and respiration. Similar to soil observations, specific N<sub>2</sub>O production pathways in manure vary with conditions and may occur consecutively or simultaneously in sequestered micro-sites within the manure pack (Azam et al., 2002; Lai and Denton, 2018; Wu et al., 2017). Some of the known N<sub>2</sub>O production processes and genes involved are presented in Figure 1.

In soils, denitrification and nitrification are the predominant pathways of N<sub>2</sub>O production. Nitrification occurs under aerobic conditions and is the stepwise oxidation of  $NH_4^+$  or organic N to  $NO_3^-$  (Figure 1) by autotrophic bacteria and archaea, and heterotrophic fungi and bacteria. Nitrification requires enzymes for oxidation of  $NH_3$  and hydroxylamine, which are encoded by *amoABC* and *hao* genes. Denitrification is a facultative respiratory pathway that occurs under anaerobic/anoxic conditions (or in anaerobic microsites) by a wide microbiome (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013; Jones et al., 2008; Shoun & Tanimoto, 1991; Zumft, 1997). In the absence of

#### **Core Ideas**

- Relationships were established among the beef manure microbiome, nitrous oxide losses, and environmental conditions.
- The predominant manure microbiome was *Firmi*cutes > Actinobacteria > Proteobacteria > Bacteroidetes > Chloroflexi > others.
- Average microbial populations varied with sampling depth and time. Increases in *Firmicutes* coincided with nitrous oxide emissions.
- Copy numbers of *nirK* and *nirS* differed with date and among chambers, indicating sensitivity of denitrifying enzymes to environmental changes.

 $O_2$ , oxidized N species (i.e.,  $NO_x$ , NO, and  $N_2O$ ) are reduced and coupled to electron transport phosphorylation. Nitrous oxide is a frequent end-product if conditions are not optimal for nitrous oxide reductase (*nos*), which is the final enzymatic step of  $N_2O$  reduction to  $N_2$  (Firestone et al., 1980). Complete denitrification generally requires a consortium of microbes. Denitrification steps and the genes responsible for enzyme synthesis have been well defined (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013; Ye et al., 1994). These are nitrate reductase (*nar*;  $NO_3^-$ →  $NO_2^-$ ), nitrite reductase (*nir*;  $NO_2^-$  → NO), nitric oxide



FIGURE 1 Diagram of major processes, compounds and genes implicated in manure nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emissions

reductase (*nor*; NO  $\rightarrow$  N<sub>2</sub>O), and the previously mentioned nitrous oxide reductase (*nos*; N<sub>2</sub>O  $\rightarrow$  N<sub>2</sub>) (Figure 1).

As  $N_2O$  production is largely biochemical, complex interactions exist among variables that affect microbial community structure and activity in manure. To date, no study has surveyed the microbiome of manure from open-lot beef cattle facilities and assessed the interaction among  $N_2O$  emissions, key variables, microbial populations, and enzyme activities. The objective of this study was to improve understanding of the composition of the microbial structure of beef manure and feedyard N cycling. We hypothesized that environmental conditions interact with manure properties dynamically, creating diverse microsites with differing  $N_2O$ -producing capacity. Once these interactions are better understood, targeted mitigation methods can be developed and evaluated to reduce feedyard  $N_2O$ -N losses and improve manure fertilizer N value.

#### 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 | Large chamber incubation study

This work is a continuation of Parker et al. (2018) and Waldrip et al. (2020), who investigated N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, manure physicochemical properties, and nitrification (NA) and denitrifier enzyme activities (DEA) in feedyard manure under different temperatures and following simulated rainfall. This report takes these works a step further to investigate how the manure microbiome responded and how specific microbial phyla and gene abundances are related to previously measured variables. For the basic chamber setup, manure that had accumulated during a typical 150-d finishing period was scraped from a pen at a commercial feedyard in the Texas Panhandle. The manure was a composite of unconsolidated, loose surface manure and deeper packed manure from cattle fed a steam-flaked corn (Zea mays L.)-based diet and housed at  $\sim 15 \text{ m}^2$  per animal. Selected physicochemical parameters of the manure were: 55% OM; 341 mg NH<sub>x</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup>; 5.7 mg NO<sub>x</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup>; 2.6% Total N; 27.7% Total C; and C/N of 10.8. Feedyard manure in this region is typically dry and hard packed. The manure was 91% dry matter (DM; as collected) and was roughly ground (<0.64 mm) and stored indoors to avoid further exposure to rainfall, temperature changes, and loss of gaseous N. Manure (109-mm depth) was added to each of three 1 m<sup>2</sup> (surface area) chambers. Compacted native caliche (calcium carbonate soil; 89 mm) underlaid the manure in each chamber to simulate the relatively impermeable soilmanure interface under open-lot feedyard pens (Mielke et al., 1974; Miller et al., 2008). The manure was compacted to an approximate dry bulk density of 0.61 g cm<sup>-3</sup> with a handheld tamper. The experimental period was 59 d in length and occurred in winter and spring of 2017. Chamber temperatures were controlled with 1.3 by 1.3 by 1.3 m "hotbox"

material warmers (Model HB64-1440, Powerblanket) with digital temperature controllers and 12 V exhaust fans for venting. In addition, silicone heating pads with digital temperature controllers (ProTherm Industries, Inc.) were attached under each chamber. A single chamber (Chamber 1) did not have thermal regulation and was exposed to ambient temperatures, which varied diurnally and seasonally in an indoor facility without temperature control.

To simulate the periodic wet/dry cycling that occurs in the region, we applied two episodes of simulated rainfall by evenly applying distilled H<sub>2</sub>O, equivalent to a 25-mm rainfall event, to the surface of the manure with a handheld watering can on 13 February (Day 1) and 17 March (Day 22) of 2017. Manures dried naturally between H<sub>2</sub>O applications. From Day 1 to 21 the three chambers were maintained at: (a)  $5.0^{\circ}$ (ambient), (b) 11.2°, and (c) 17.2 °C to simulate winter and mornings when temperatures are low. At Day 22, the second 25 mm of simulated rainfall was applied, and the temperatures of the chambers were increased and maintained at: (a)  $15.0^{\circ}$ (ambient, increased due to seasonal variation), (b)  $38.1^{\circ}$ , and (c) 46.2 °C until Day 59. This change was intended to simulate normal and extreme regional temperatures.

#### 2.2 | Manure collection and analyses

Manure samples were collected in triplicate from chambers 2 h after  $H_2O$  application on Days 1 and 22, and then at 10:00 a.m. CST on Days 18 and 29. Manures were collected with a small trowel at depths of 0–5 cm and 5–10 cm by vertically inserting a 30 cm (height) by 7.6 cm (diameter) tin cylinder. Care was taken during sampling to avoid disturbance of the manure both inside (i.e., depth mixing) and near the cylinder (i.e., integrity of emitting surface). For each sample, manure from the top 5 cm was removed manually, placed in polyethylene bags, and then stored on dry ice. The 5-to-10-cm depth fraction was collected and stored in the same manner.

Basic manure properties were reported in detail in Waldrip et al. (2020). In brief, oxidation–reduction potential (Eh) was measured according to Brown et al. (2000) using a Pocket Pro ORP Tester (Hach Company). Manure pH was measured [1:10 (wt/wt) with deionized H<sub>2</sub>O (pH 8.01)] with an Accumet XL250 pH meter and AccuCap combination pH electrode (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Manure DM was determined gravimetrically after drying overnight at 105 °C. Concentrations of OM were determined by Loss on Ignition at 500 °C. Total N and TC contents were measured with a varioMAX CN analyzer (Elementar Analysensyteme GmbH). The NH<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> were extracted from manure samples with 2.0 M potassium chloride (KCl) for 30 min and quantified colorimetrically with a SEAL Analytical AQ2 Discrete Analyzer (SEAL Analytical Inc.) and USEPA's Method 350.1 (NH<sub>x</sub>)



**FIGURE 2** Nitrous oxide-nitrogen ( $N_2O$ -N) fluxes from feedyard manure following simulated rainfall application to chambers held at differing temperatures. Simulated rainfall episodes occurred on Days 0 and 22. Arrows represent manure sampling points. Modified from Parker et al. (2018)

and Method 353.1 (NO<sub>x</sub>). Analyses of DEA and NA were conducted and reported in Waldrip et al. (2020) based on the methods of Woodbury et al. (2001) and Ayadi et al. (2015).

#### 2.3 | Quantification of N cycling genes

Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) was used to assess relationships between experimental parameters, targeted bacteria, and targeted bacterial functional genes. Standard qPCR was used to quantify *amoA* gene copy numbers for ammonium oxidizing archaea (AOA), ammonium oxidizing bacteria (AOB), and *nir*K and *nir*S (i.e., copper [Cu] and cytochrome cd1-type nitrite reductases, respectively). The qPCR assays were performed in triplicate, as described (Blaud et al., 2021).

## 3 | RIBOSOMAL RNA SEQUENCING FOR MICROBIAL COMMUNITY ANALYSIS

For 16S rRNA sequencing, triplicate sampled manures from each chamber/depth/date were composited into one sequencing run for each chamber/depth/date. These days largely coincided with measured peaks or lags in N<sub>2</sub>O activity (Figure 2). The DNA was extracted from 200 mg bulk manure (n = 25) with a Quick-DNA Fecal/Soil Microbe Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Bead beating was done with a Precellys 24 (Bertin Instruments) for three 15 s cycles at 6,000 rpm. The DNA was eluted with 50 µl of DNA Elution Buffer and stored at -20 °C. Sequencing was conducted by MR DNA (Molecular Research LP). Bacterial 16S ribosomal RNA gene variable regions 1– 3 were amplified using previously described primers (Dowd et al., 2008). An Ion Torrent PGM system (ThermoFisher

Scientific) was used for sequencing according to manufacturer guidelines. Low quality reads were filtered from the dataset. Data were initially screened using Phred quality scores, which measure the identification quality of the nucleobases generated during sequencing. The Q25 sequences (indicating >99% base call accuracy) were retained for further processing using a proprietary analysis pipeline (www.mrdnalab.com). Following Q25 screening, data were further processed by removing barcodes, primer sequences, and sequences with fewer than 150 base pairs. Also removed were sequences with ambiguous base calls, homopolymer runs greater than 6 bp, chimeras (single DNA sequences originating from multiple transcripts or parent sequences), and singleton sequences. Operational taxonomic unit (OTU) clustering was performed at the 97% sequence similarity level (Capone et al., 2011; Eren et al., 2011; Swanson et al., 2011). Taxonomic assignments for each OTU were assigned using a BLASTn search against a curated database assembled from GreenGenes, RDPII, and NCBI (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov; DeSantis et al., 2006, http:// rdp.cme.msu.edu).

#### 3.1 | Nitrous oxide emission measurements

Headspace N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations were measured from each chamber at 30-min intervals on the days of H<sub>2</sub>O addition, and then daily for the remainder of the study. Details on the chamber system are available in Parker, Casey, et al. (2017); Parker, Waldrip, et al. (2017); and Parker et al. (2018). To measure emissions, chambers were fitted with a vented, portable lid that was moved among the chambers. Headspace air was recirculated from the sealed chamber with polyethylene tubing to a real-time N<sub>2</sub>O analyzer (Model N2O/CO-30-EP Enhanced Performance, Los Gatos Research, Inc.). Concentrations of N<sub>2</sub>O were recorded at 1-s intervals during 60-s measurement periods, with flux rates calculated from the slopes of N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations vs. time using linear regression for 30s periods. Emissions data were reported by Parker et al. (2018) and are presented in Figure 2. Chamber temperatures  $(\pm 0.1 \degree C)$  were monitored with thermistors (model no. ACC-SEN-SDIP, Acclima, Inc.) placed mid-depth in the manure of each chamber.

#### 3.2 | Statistical analyses

As the collected data were not sufficient for full statistical analyses, we present survey information from two manure depths (0–5 cm, 5–10 cm) in three chambers that were subjected to six temperatures (5.9, 11.2, 15.0, 17.2, 38.1, and 46.2 °C) and two H<sub>2</sub>O applications (Days 0 and 22). There were four manure sampling days (Days 0, 18, 22, 29). Data for gene copy numbers were log-transformed prior to

TABLE 1 Effect of sampling depth on bacterial phyla in feedyard manure. Population data were averaged across sampling times and chambers

	Proportion of total bacterial community							
Phyla	0–5 cm	5–10 cm	Average	SEM	P value			
Firmicutes	50.7	48.8	49.8	0.18	.18			
Actinobacteria	32.3	31.9	32.1	0.49	.57			
Proteobacteria	10.0	11.4	10.7	1.00	.32			
Chloroflexi	1.10	1.20	1.10	0.07	.77			
Bacteriodetes	4.60	5.30	4.90	0.24	.06			
Planctomycetes	0.34	0.44	0.40	0.08	.38			
Deinococcus thermus	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.02	.84			
Gemmatimonadetes	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.02	.63			
Verrucomicrobia	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.007	.17			
Tenericutes	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.002	.92			
Other	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.007	.05*			
Firmicutes/Bacteriodetes ratio	11.0	9.20	-	-	-			

Note. SEM, standard error of the mean.

\*P value is statistically significant at P < .05.

analyses due to non-normality of the data. All data were analyzed using PROC GLM (SAS Institute). Pearson's correlation coefficients were employed to determine positive and negative relationships among variables with Proc CORR (SAS Institute). Qualitative inferences were made among measured variables and changes in prevalent microbial phyla.

### 4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 | Microbial community composition

This study evaluated effects of sampling depth, time, temperature, and H<sub>2</sub>O content on microbial community structure in feedyard manure and relationships with N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Bacteria were grouped into 10 main phyla (including unknowns) (Table 1, Figure 3). Average bacterial populations varied largely as a function of sampling depth and time (Table 2). There were no chamber × time interactions for the majority of the manure bacterial community, with the exception of Bacte*riodetes* (P < .01): this was more pronounced at 5–10 cm than at 0-5 cm. Firmicutes tended to increase at Day 22 at both 0-5 and 5–10 cm in all chambers, which coincided with high N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes following the second H<sub>2</sub>O addition and increased temperatures (Figure 2). At the end of the sampling period (Day 29), there were increased proportions of Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes at 5-10 cm in all chambers, from  $\sim$ 7 to 20% (*Proteobacteria*) and  $\sim$ 5 to 8% (*Bacteroidetes*), with a decrease in Firmicutes from 52 to 39%. In addition, there were increased proportions of Chloroflexi and decreases in Actinobacteria. There were also small increases in the proportion of "All Other" microbes over time at both depths. Overall, the largest change observed was increased

*Proteobacteria* at 5–10 cm: the relative abundance of *Proteobacteria* increased from 10.4% (17.2 °C) to 24.1% (46.2 °C) over time and with increased temperature in Chamber 3. These data suggested that *Firmicutes* and *Actinobacteria* predominate the microbial community of manure; however, favorable conditions may lead to growth and expansion of *Bacteroidetes*, *Proteobacteria*, and *Chloroflexi*, which could influence N cycling and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from feedyards.

The dominance of Firmicutes and Bacteriodetes in our collected samples was similar to that found in other ruminant manures (Bernhard & Field, 2000; Min, Solaiman, et al., 2014; Min, Wright, et al., 2014; Shanks et al., 2011). Both Firmicutes and Bacteriodetes have members that produce beneficial short-chain fatty acids (acetate, propionate, and butyrate) from relatively indigestible carbohydrates in the ruminant colon. Bacteroidetes isolated from agricultural soils, wastewater sludge, and feedyard manure express nosZ (Figure 1), although it is genetically divergent from that expressed by Proteobacteria (Jung et al., 2013). Bacteriodetes are of particular interest because the production of fatty acids in manure could provide a C source for nitrifiers and denitrifiers, leading to N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Proteobacteria, the third most common phyla observed in the manure at both depths, include pathogens as well as genera involved in N<sub>2</sub> fixation and transformation (e.g., Nitrosomonas, Bradyrhizobium, Nitrospira, Nitrosolobus, and Nitrobacter). Actinomycetes possess mycelia that grow in a web-like pattern similar to fungal hyphae, which increases direct contact with metabolic substrates. They are common in soil, sediments, and wastewater sludge and have denitrifiying capacity (Chèneby et al., 2000; Shoun & Tanimoto, 1991) and decompose complex OM, although they tend to exhibit slow growth and activity.



**FIGURE 3** Relative abundance of major bacterial phyla in feedyard manure (0–5 and 5–10 cm depths) incubated for 29 d. Artificial rainfall events were applied of Days 0 and 22. In addition, temperature increases occurred in all chambers on Day 22

# 4.2 | Nitrous oxide gene quantitative polymerase chain reaction results

Biological denitrification involves a stepwise reduction of N oxides associated with electron transport phosphorylation and production of NO, N<sub>2</sub>O, and N<sub>2</sub> in most situations. The reduction of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> to NO by nitrite reductase (*nirK* and *nirS*) is the first step that distinguishes denitrifiers from nitrate-respiring bacteria, which do not reduce NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> to a gaseous form. Results from the current study indicate that *nirK* and *nirS* genes might influence competition with aerobic microorganisms and emission rates of N<sub>2</sub>O. It has been reported that different denitrifying organisms (Abou-Seada & Ottow, 1988; Tiedje, 1988) and denitrifying communities in soils (Coyne et al., 1989) show differences in parameters that may

influence competition with aerobic heterotrophs and  $N_2O$  emission rates (e.g., oxygen threshold, C requirement, and enzyme kinetics). Hence, knowledge of the underlying composition and diversity of denitrifier communities may help us better understand and manage N cycling in manure.

In this study, log-transformed average copy numbers of 16S rRNA, *amoA* from AOA (AOA-*amoA*) and AOB (AOB-*amoA*), and nitrite reductase genes (*nirK* and *nirS*) from Chambers 1, 2, and 3 at several key periods of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions are presented in Table 3. At Day 0, there were 10.26 copies of 16S rDNA, with no significant change with incubation time (P = .40), chamber (P = .62), or time × chamber (P = .34). The log-transformed copy numbers of AOA-*amoA* ranged from 7.69 (Day 0) to 8.08 (Chamber 3, Day 18) and did not change significantly during the

	Proportion of microbial community										
	Depth										
	0–5 cm				5–10 cm						
	Day										
Phyla	Initial	0	18	22	29	Initial	0	18	22	29	SEM
Firmicutes	49.5	54.8	47.3	55.2	46.7	49.5	52.6	50.8	51.2	40.4	2.05
Actinobacteria	32.9	32.2	33.9	32.7	29.9	32.9	33.3	32.4	32.9	27.8	1.04
Proteobacteria	9.7	6.8	10.2	7.4	15.6	9.7	7.6	9.6	9.6	20.4	2.13
Chloroflexi	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.6	0.16
Bacteriodetes	5.2	4.0	6.0	2.7	5.2	5.2	4.0	5.0	4.2	8.0	0.53
Planctomycetes	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.8	0.16
Deinococcus thermus	0.1	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.2	0.06
Gemmatimonadetes	0.1	0.04	0.07	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.2	0.05
Verrucomicrobia	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.001
Tenericutes	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.005
Other	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.08	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01

**TABLE 2** Effect of sampling depth and incubation time on bacterial phyla in feedyard manure. Population data were averaged across chambers. Days 0 and 22 were sampled 2 h after artificial rainfall

incubation. Copy numbers of AOB-*amoA* were lower at 5.55 (Day 0), but similarly showed no significant change during incubation. However, average log-transformed AOB-*amoA* concentrations tended to increase significantly over time across all chambers (P = .07) and time periods (P = .05).

Copy numbers of nirS at the beginning of the experiment were higher (9.21) than *nirK* (7.89), indicating that the rate-limiting NO2<sup>-</sup> reduction step was dominated by bacteria, which produce both *nirK* and *nirS*, vs. fungi which only possess nirK. Soares et al. (2016) found no relationship between N<sub>2</sub>O losses from a tropical soil and abundance of denitrification genes (nirK, nirS, nosZ); however, there was a positive correlation with amoA that suggested that nitrification by AOB was the main contributors to N<sub>2</sub>O production. Differences in concentrations of *nirK* and *nirS* were slightly to highly significant ( $P \leq .08-.01$ ) with time and chamber, indicating that denitrifying enzymes in feedyard manure, particularly *nirS*, were sensitive to environmental changes. Results indicated that *nirK* and *nirS* copy numbers might influence competition with aerobic microorganisms and N<sub>2</sub>O emission rates. In soils, it has been reported that different denitrifiers and denitrifying communities have dissimilarities in oxygen threshold, C requirements, and kinetic parameters (Coyne et al., 1989; Tiedje, 1988). These preferential differences may influence competition with aerobic heterotrophs and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Understanding the underlying composition and diversity of denitrifier communities may improve understanding and management of feedyard N cycling.

In a review on the soil N cycle, Hanke and Strous (2010) identified relevant bacterial phyla and processes involved in N cycling. The denitrifiers identified included *Alpha-*, *Beta-*,

Gamma-, Delta-, and Epsilon-Proteobacteria, and Nitrospira. In addition, Bacillus can contain nosZ and have been classified as denitrifiers (Jones et al., 2008). Jones et al. (2008) examined pH effects on denitrification kinetics in soil Bacillus isolates and found that N<sub>2</sub>O production tended to begin after 10 h of incubation with some, but not all, Bacillus strains if pH was 6.0, but N<sub>2</sub> was the predominant end-product at pH 7.0. These researchers speculated that an additional nosZ gene copy, expressed under different conditions, provided a competitive advantage and/or both genes were constitutively expressed under similar dentitrifying conditions.

The growth of NH<sub>x</sub> oxidizers and denitrifiers are inhibited by temperatures >40 °C, particularly in bacterial and archaeal communities (Xu et al., 2017). In contrast, fungal communities, including nirK-producers, are more resistant to high temperatures and may proliferate at temperatures ranging from 10 to 40 °C in manure-amended soils. Thus, the N<sub>2</sub>O-producing microbial community changes depending on environmental temperature. Lin et al. (2018) found that fertilization with swine manure slightly reduced AOA-amoA copy numbers compared with unfertilized control, but increased AOB. In addition, they found no relationship between AOA abundance and NA in swine manure-amended agricultural soils. In contrast, AOB, particularly Nitrospira Cluster 8a, had a positive relationship and was important in nitrification following fertilization with both organic and inorganic sources. Lin et al. (2018) also noted that AOB (primarily Proteobacteria including Betaproteobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria) abundance were strongly related to NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentration and soil pH. Under aerobic conditions, biological NH<sub>x</sub> oxidation is well documented in both natural and engineered **TABLE 3** Effect of sampling time and temperature on 16S rDNA and copy numbers of amoA from ammonia oxidizing archaea (AOA), ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB), and nitrite reductase enzymes (*nirK* and *nirS*) in feedyard manure. Model effects (time, chamber, and time × chamber) were significant at \*P < .05 and \*\*P < .01)

	Chamber no.								
Time	(temperature, °C)	16S rDNA	AOA-amoA	AOB-amoA	nirK	nirS			
			Log copy no. <sup>a</sup>						
0	Initial	10.48	7.69	5.55	7.89	9.21			
0 (2 h) <sup>b</sup>	1 (5.9)	10.10	7.83	5.39	7.95	9.21			
	2 (11.2)	10.32	7.80	5.25	8.06	9.22			
	3 (17.2)	10.27	7.84	5.47	7.79	9.11			
18	1 (5.9)	10.25	7.75	5.20	7.71	8.99			
	2 (11.2)	10.49	7.98	5.72	7.71	9.46			
	3 (17.2)	10.45	8.08	5.19	8.14	9.52			
22 (2 h)	1 ( <b>15.0</b> ) <sup>c</sup>	10.40	7.97	5.37	8.19	8.97			
	2 (38.1)	10.29	7.96	5.79	7.74	9.34			
	3 ( <b>46.2</b> )	10.25	7.97	5.73	7.92	9.31			
29	1 ( <b>15.0</b> )	10.29	7.94	5.38	8.20	9.57			
	2 (38.1)	10.30	7.98	5.90	8.30	9.76			
	3 (46.2)	10.33	7.82	5.87	8.23	9.65			
	SEM	0.018	0.023	0.191	0.122	0.294			
ANOVA	Time	0.40	0.39	0.16	0.08	0.01**			
	Chamber	0.62	0.67	0.13	0.07	0.05*			
	Time $\times$ chamber	0.52	0.50	0.53	0.45	0.47			
Averages									
Time	0	10.26	7.82	5.37	7.94	9.17			
	18	10.39	7.93	5.36	8.01	9.32			
	22	10.31	7.97	5.63	7.94	9.20			
	29	10.30	7.91	5.72	8.24	9.66			
	SEM	0.054	0.061	0.125	0.085	0.082			
	P value	.10	.11	.07	.02*	.001**			
Chamber	1	10.28	7.87	5.33	7.90	9.18			
	2	10.34	7.93	5.67	8.17	9.44			
	3	10.32	7.93	5.56	8.03	9.40			
	SEM	0.047	0.053	0.109	0.074	0.071			
	P value	.34	.45	.05*	.02*	.02*			

Note. AOA, ammonia oxidizing archaea; AOB, ammonia oxidizing bacteria; nirK, copper nitrite reductase; nirS, cadmium nitrite reductase; 16S, 16S rRNA amplicon pyrosequencing; SEM, standard error of the mean.

<sup>a</sup>All values were log transformed prior to analysis. <sup>b</sup>Days 0 and 22 were sampled 2 h after artificial rainfall. <sup>c</sup>Changes in temperature are denoted in bold.

systems. Both AOA and AOB have been found to be involved in composting cattle manure (Yamamoto et al., 2010, 2012; Zeng et al., 2011); however, some studies (Posmanik et al., 2014; Yamada et al., 2013) identified that NH<sub>x</sub> oxidation and nitrification in manure composts was related to AOB (*Nitrospira* and *Nitrosomonas*), but not AOA. Yamada et al. (2013) attributed the difference from other studies to high NH<sub>x</sub> concentrations (>500 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup>), as well as high NaCl and H<sub>2</sub>O contents at the beginning of composting. This points to the importance of archaea in feedyard N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, as AOA were identified as important NH<sub>x</sub> oxidizers during the thermophilic phase (>50 °C) of composting (Jarvis et al., 2009; Yamamoto et al., 2012; Zeng et al., 2011) and in the digestion of poultry manure (Posmanik et al., 2014).

Although beyond the scope of the current study, another anaerobic N<sub>2</sub>O-producing pathway is DNRA, which is carried out by obligate and facultative anaerobes, including *Clostridium*, *Desulfovibrio*, and *Enterobacteridae*, aerobes (*Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Arthrobacter*, *Nitrobacter*), and *Ascomycota* fungi (Rütting et al., 2011; Tiedje, 1988; Zhou et al., 2002). In soils, DNRA is regulated by NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and organic N concentrations and occurs under the same conditions as respiratory denitrification (Rütting et al., 2011). Typically, N<sub>2</sub>O from DNRA is distinguished from denitrification-derived N<sub>2</sub>O by isotope tracer studies. Although DNRA has not been found to be a primary process for N<sub>2</sub>O in soils, it is an important pathway in situations where the ratio of available C to electron acceptors (i.e., NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) is high, such as sediments, sludge digesters, and the bovine rumen (Kaspar & Tiedje, 1981; Shu et al., 2016). Thus, DNRA could be involved in N<sub>2</sub>O production from anoxic zones deeper in the manure pack, where older OM has undergone more decomposition/fermentation to form available C and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. Further study is required to explore the role of DNRA in feedyard emissions.

### 4.3 | Correlation coefficient (*R*) matrix

To further understand the effects of manure properties on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, microbiome changes, and nitrification and denitrification activities, measured parameter values were correlated against N<sub>2</sub>O production (data not shown). Manure depth, DEA activity, and AOA and AOB copy numbers were not associated with N<sub>2</sub>O production. However, manure temperature ( $R^2 = .63$ ; P < .001), NH<sub>x</sub> ( $R^2 = .66$ ; P < .001),  $NO_x$  ( $R^2 = .73$ ; P < .001), and nitrite reductase genes (*nirK* and *nirS*;  $R^2 = .57$ ; P < .01) were positively related, and Eh ( $R^2 = -.39$ ; P < .05), pH ( $R^2 = -0.34$ ; P < .05), DM  $(R^2 = -.58; P < .01)$ , and NA  $(R^2 = -.57; P < .01)$  were negatively related to N<sub>2</sub>O production. Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Chloroflexi, Planctomycetes, Deinococcus, and Gemmatimonadetes had strong correlations with *nirK* and *nirS* gene copy numbers (R = .50-.79; P < .01-.001). The AOA-amoA and bacterial phylum (Chloroflexi, Planctomycetes, Deinococcus; R = .40-.68; P < .05-.01) and AOB-*amoA* and bacterial phylum (R = .41-.90; P < .05-.001; Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Chloroflexi, Planctomycetes, Deinococcus, and Gemmatimonadetes) were highly correlated. In addition, ratios of dissolved C/N in manure were negatively associated with NA (R = -.51; P < .05), Firmicutes (R = .49; P < .01), Actinobacteria (R = .40; P < .05), Proteobacteria (R = .41; P < .01), Bacteroidetes (R = -.46; P < .05), AOB-amoA (R = .47; P < .01), and nitrite reductase gene copy numbers (*nirK* and *nirS*; R = .44-.49; P < .05-.01). These results are consistent with other data (Waldrip et al., 2017), where an empirical model was developed to predict N<sub>2</sub>O emissions based on temperature and manure  $NO_x$  and  $H_2O$  contents. In contrast, negative relationships were identified between N<sub>2</sub>O and manure OM, NH<sub>x</sub>, DOC, and dissolved N, as well as UV-vis parameters related to OM complexity/availability. Differences in C and N availability for microbial growth and energy could be involved in microbial community structure, gene abundances, and activities of enzymes related to N2O emissions from feedyards. It is still unclear if N<sub>2</sub>O production

mechanisms differ with manure depth, but manure depth in the present study was negatively correlated ( $R^2 = -.75-.97$ ; P < .001) with denitrification.

### 5 | CONCLUSIONS

This study evaluated how sampling depth, time, temperature, and H<sub>2</sub>O content affected microbial community structure in feedyard manure, and relationships between the manure biome and known parameters related to N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. The 16s ribosomal RNA gene sequencing was used to assess microbial community structure. The majority of the microbial community was comprised of Firmicutes (50%), followed by 32% Actinobacteria, 11% Proteobacteria, 5% Bacteroidetes, 1% Chloroflexi, and small populations (<0.5%) of Planctomycetes, Deinococcus-Thermus, Gemmatimonadetes, Verrucomicrobia, Tenericutes, and other organisms. Average bacterial populations varied largely as a function of sampling depth and time. There were no chamber  $\times$  time interactions for the majority of the manure bacterial community, with the exception of *Bacteriodetes* (P < .01): this was more pronounced at 5-10 cm than at 0-5 cm. Firmicutes tended to increase at Day 22 at both 0-5 and 5-10 cm in all chambers, which coincided with high N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes following the second H<sub>2</sub>O addition and increased temperatures. Towards the end of the experiment, there were increased proportions of Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes at 5-10 cm in all chambers, from  $\sim$ 7 to 20% (Proteobacteria) and  $\sim$ 5 to 8% (Bacteroidetes), with a decrease in Firmicutes from 52 to 39%. In addition, there were increased proportions of Chloroflexi and decreases in Actinobacteria. There were also small increases in the proportion of "All Other" microbes over time at both depths. Overall, the largest change observed was increased Proteobacteria at 5-10 cm: the relative abundance of Proteobacteria increased from 10.4% (17.2 °C) to 24.1% (46.2 °C) over time and with increased temperature in Chamber 3. These data suggested that Firmicutes and Actinobacteria predominate the manure biome; however, favorable conditions may lead to growth and expansion of Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, and Chloroflexi, which could influence N cycling and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from feedyards and other open-lot cattle systems.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Heather Robbe, Lana Castleberry, Kathleen Webb, and Will Willis for technical assistance for the current study. Mention of trade names or commercial products in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture. USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Heidi Waldrip: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Resources; Supervision; Visualization; Writing-original draft; Writing-review & editing. David Parker: Conceptualization; Investigation; Methodology; Project administration; Supervision; Writingreview & editing. Sierra Miller: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing-review & editing. Lisa M. Durso: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Validation; Writing-review & editing. Daniel N. Miller: Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Resources; Writing-review & editing. Byeng R Min: Formal analysis; Writing-original draft; Writing-review & editing. Kenneth Casey: Investigation; Methodology; Project administration; Validation; Writing-review & editing. Mindy J. Spiehs: Validation; Writing-review & editing. Bryan Woodbury: Conceptualization; Investigation; Methodology; Validation.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- Abou-Seada, M. N. I., & Ottow, J. C. G. (1988). Einfluß chemischer bodeneigenschaften auf ausmaß und zusammensetzung der denitrifikationsverluste drei verschiedener bakterien. (In German, with English abstract.) Zeitschriftfür Pflanzenernährung und Bodenkunde, 151, 109–115. https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.19881510208
- Aguilar, O. A., Maghirang, R., Rice, C. W., Trabue, S. L., & Erickson, L. E. (2014). Nitrous oxide fluxes from a commercial beef cattle feedlot in Kansas. *Air, Soil & Water Research*, 7, 35–45. https://doi.org/10. 4137/ASWR.S12841
- Ayadi, F. Y., Spiehs, M. J., Cortus, E. L., Miller, D. N., & Djira, G. D. (2015). Physical, chemical, and biological properties of simulated beef cattle bedded manure packs. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 58, 797–811. https://doi.org/10.13031/trans.58.10962
- Azam, F., Muller, C., Weiske, A., Benckiser, G., & Ottow, J. C. G. (2002). Nitrification and denitrification as sources of atmospheric nitrous oxide - Role of oxidizable carbon and applied nitrogen. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 35, 54–61. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-001-0441-5
- Bernhard, A. E., & Field, K. G. (2000). Identification of nonpoint sources of fecal pollution in coastal waters by using host-specific 16S ribosomal DNA genetic markers from fecal anaerobes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 66, 1587–1594. https://doi.org/10. 1128/aem.66.4.1587-1594.2000
- Blaud, A., Maider, A., & Clark, I. M. (2021). Quantitation of ammonia oxidizing bacterial abundances in environmental samples by quantitative-PCR. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 2232, 135–146. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-1040-4\_12
- Brown, H. A., Wagner-Riddle, C., & Thurtell, G. W. (2000). Nitrous oxide flux from solid dairy manure in storage as affected by water content and redox potential. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 29, 630–638. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2000.00472425002900020034x
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., Baggs, E. M., Dannenmann, M., Kiese, R., & Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S. (2013). Nitrous oxide emissions from

soils: How well do we understand the processes and their controls? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 368, 20130122. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0122

- Capone, K. A., Dowd, S. E., Stamatas, G. N., & Nikolovski, J. (2011). Diversity of the human skin microbiome early in life. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, 131, 2026–2032. https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2011.168
- Chèneby, D., Philippot, L., Hartmann, A., Hénault, C., & Germon, J. (2000). 16S rDNA analysis for characterization of denitrifying bacteria isolated from three agricultural soils. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 34, 121–128. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941. 2000.tb00761.x
- Cole, N. A., Mason, A. M., Todd, R. W., Rhoades, M., & Parker, D. B. (2009). Chemical composition of pen surface layers of beef cattle feedyards. *The Professional Animal Scientist*, 25, 541–552. https:// doi.org/10.15232/s1080-7446(15)30756-7
- Costa, C. Jr., Li, C., Cerri, C. E. P., & Cerri, C. C. (2014). Measuring and modeling nitrous oxide and methane emissions from beef cattle feedlot manure management: First assessments under Brazilian condition. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part B*, 49, 696–711. https://doi.org/10.1080/03601234.2014.922856
- Coyne, M. S., Arunakumari, A., Averill, B. A., & Tiedje, J. M. (1989). Immunological identification and distribution of dissimilatory heme cd1 and nonheme copper nitrite reductases in denitrifying bacteria. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 55, 2924–2931. https:// doi.org/10.1128/aem.55.11.2924-2931.1989
- DeSantis, T. Z., Hugenholtz, P., Larsen, N., Rojas, M., Brodie, E. L., Keller, K., Huber, T., Dalevi, D., Hu, P., & Andersen, G. L. (2006). Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 72, 5069–5072. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.03006-05
- Dowd, S. E., Callaway, T. R., Wolcott, R. D., Sun, Y., McKeehan, T., Hagevoort, R. G., & Edrington, T. S. (2008). Evaluation of the bacterial diversity in the feces of cattle using 16S rDNA bacterial tag-encoded FLX amplicon pyrosequencing (bTEFAP). *BMC Microbiology*, 8, 125. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-8-125
- Eren, A. M., Zozaya, M., Taylor, C. M., Dowd, S. E., Martin, D. H., & Ferris, M. J. (2011). Exploring the diversity of Gardnerella vaginalis in the genitourinary tract microbiota of monogamous couples through subtle nucleotide variation. *PLOS ONE*, 6(8), e26732. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0026732
- Firestone, M. K., Firestone, R. B., & Tiedje, J. M. (1980). Nitrous-oxide from soil denitrification -Factors controlling its biological production. *Science*, 208, 749–751. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.208.4445.749
- Giles, M., Morley, N., Baggs, E. M., & Daniell, T. J. (2012). Soil nitrate reducing processes drivers, mechanisms for spatial variation, and significance for nitrous oxide production. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *3*, 407. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00407
- Hanke, A., & Strous, M. (2010). Climate, fertilization, and the nitrogen cycle. *Journal of Cosmology*, 8, 1838–1845.
- Iedje, J. M. (1988). Ecology of denitrification and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium. In A. J. B. Zehnder (Ed.), *Biology of* anaerobic microorganisms (pp. 179–244). John Wiley and Sons.
- Jarvis, A., Sundberg, C., Milenkovski, S., Pell, M., Smars, S., Lindgren, P. E., & Hallin, S. (2009). Activity and composition of ammonia oxidizing bacterial communities and emission dynamics of NH<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O in a compost reactor treating organic household waste. *Journal* of Applied Microbiology, 106, 1502–1511. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1365-2672.2008.04111.x

- Jones, C. M., Stres, B., Rosenquist, M., & Hallin, S. (2008). Phylogenetic analysis of nitrite, nitric oxide, and nitrous oxide respiratory enzymes reveal a complex evolutionary history for denitrification. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 25, 1955–1966. https://doi.org/10. 1093/molbev/msn146
- Jung, J., Choi, S., Jung, N., Scow, K. M., & Park, W. (2013). Primers for amplification of nitrous oxide reductase genes associated with Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes in organic-compound-rich soils. *Microbiology (Reading, England)*, 159, 307–315. https://doi.org/10.1099/ mic.0.060194-0
- Kaspar, H. F., & Tiedje, J. M. (1981). Dissimilatory reduction of nitrate and nitrite in the bovine rumen: Nitrous oxide production and effect of acetylene. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 41, 705–709. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.41.3.705-709.1981
- Lai, T. V., & Denton, M. D. (2018). N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> emissions from denitrification respond differently to temperature and nitrogen supply. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 18, 1548–1557. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s11368-017-1863-5
- Liao, W. H., Liu, C. J., & Gao, Z. L. (2018). Impacts of feedlot floor condition, deposition frequency, and inhibitors on N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from feedlot dung and urine patches. *Journal of the Air* & Waste Management Association, 68, 700–712. https://doi.org/10. 1080/10962247.2018.1453392
- Lin, Y. X., Ye, G. P., Luo, J. F., Di, H. J., Liu, D. Y., Fan, J. B., & Ding, W. X. (2018). Nitrosospira cluster 8a plays a predominant role in the nitrification process of a subtropical ultisol under long-term inorganic and organic fertilization. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 84, e01031–18. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.01031-18
- Mielke, L. N., Swanson, N. P., & McCalla, T. M. (1974). Soil profile conditions of cattle feedlots. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, *3*, 14– 17. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq1974.00472425000300010004x
- Miller, J. J., Curtis, T., Larney, F. J., McAllister, T. A., & Olson, B. M. (2008). Physical and chemical properties of feedlot pen surfaces located on moderately coarse- and moderately fine-textured soils in southern Alberta. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 37, 1589–1598. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2007.0422
- Min, B. R., Solaiman, S., Shange, R., & Eun, J.-S. (2014). Gastrointestinal bacterial and methanogenic archaea diversity dynamics associated with condensed tannin-containing pine bark diet in goats using 16S rDNA amplicon pyrosequencing. *International Journal of Microbiology*, 2014, 141909. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/141909
- Min, B. R., Wright, C. K., Ho, P., Eun, J.-S., Gurung, N., & Shange, R. (2014). The effect of phytochemical tannins-containing diet on rumen fermentation characteristics and microbial diversity dynamics in goats using 16S rDNA amplicon pyrosequencing. *Agriculture, Food and Analytical Bacteriology*, 4, 195–211.
- Parker, D. B., Casey, K. D., Todd, R. W., Waldrip, H. M., Marek, G. W., Auvermann, B. W., Marek, T. H., Webb, K., Willis, W. M., Pemberton, B., & Meyer, B. (2017). Improved chamber systems for rapid, real-time nitrous oxide emissions from manure and soil. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 60, 1235–1258. https://doi.org/10.13031/trans. 12151
- Parker, D. B., Waldrip, H. M., Casey, K. D., Todd, R. W., Willis, W. M., & Webb, K. (2017). Temporal nitrous oxide emissions from beef cattle feedlot manure after a simulated rainfall event. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 46, 733–740. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2017.02. 0042
- Parker, D. B., Waldrip, H. M., Casey, K. D., Woodbury, B. L., Spiehs, M. J., Webb, K., & Willis, W. M. (2018). How do temperature and rain-

fall affect nitrous oxide emissions from open-lot beef cattle feedyard pens? *Transactions of the ASABE*, *61*, 1049–1061. https://doi.org/10.13031/trans.12788

- Posmanik, R., Gross, A., & Nejidat, A. (2014). Effect of high ammonia loads emitted from poultry-manure digestion on nitrification activity and nitrifier-community structure in a compost biofilter. *Ecological Engineering*, 62, 140–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng. 2013.10.033
- Redding, M. R., Devereux, J., Phillips, F., Lewis, R., Naylor, T., Kearton, T., Hill, C. J., & Weidemann, S. (2015). Field measurement of beef pen manure methane and nitrous oxide reveals a surprise for inventory calculations. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 44, 720–728. https:// doi.org/10.2134/jeq2014.04.0159
- Rice, W. C., Mason, A. M., Cole, N. A., & Clark, R. N. (2007). The influence of feedlot pen surface layers on microbial community structure and diversity. ASABE.
- Rütting, T., Boeckx, P., Muller, C., & Klemedtsson, L. (2011). Assessment of the importance of dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium for the terrestrial nitrogen cycle. *Biogeosciences*, 8, 1779–1791. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-1779-2011
- Shanks, O. C., Kelty, C. A., Archibeque, S., Jenkins, M., Newton, R. J., McLellan, S. L., Huse, S. M., & Sogin, M. L. (2011). Community structures of fecal bacteria in cattle from different animal feeding operations. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 77, 2992–3001. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.02988-10
- Shoun, H., & Tanimoto, T. (1991). Denitrification by the fungus fusarium-oxysporum and involvement of cytochrome-p-450 in the respiratory nitrite reduction. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 266, 11078–11082. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)99130-1
- Shu, D., He, Y., Yue, H., & Wang, Q. (2016). Metagenomic and quantitative insights into microbial communities and functional genes of nitrogen and iron cycling in twelve wastewater treatment systems. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 290, 21–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.cej.2016.01.024
- Soares, J. R., Cassman, N. A., Kielak, A. M., Pijl, A., Carmo, J. B., Lourenco, K. S., Laanbroek, H. J., Cantarella, H., & Kuramae, E. E. (2016). Nitrous oxide emission related to ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and mitigation options from N fertilization in a tropical soil. *Scientific Reports*, 6(11), 30349. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep30349
- Swanson, K. S., Dowd, S. E., Suchodolski, J. S., Middelbos, I. S., Vester, B. M., Barry, K. A., Nelson, K. E., Torralba, M., Henrissat, B., Coutinho, P. M., Cann, I. K. O., White, B. A., & Fahey, G. C. (2011). Phylogenetic and gene-centric metagenomics of the canine intestinal microbiome reveals similarities with humans and mice. *ISME Journal*, *5*, 639–649. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2010.162
- Syakila, S., & Kroeze, C. (2011). The global nitrous oxide budget revisited. Greenhouse Gas Measurement and Management, 1, 17–26. https://doi.org/10.3763/ghgmm.2010.0007
- Tiedje, J. M. (1988). Ecology of denitrification and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium. In A. J. B. Zehnder (Ed.), *Biology of anaerobic microorganisms* (pp. 179–244). John Wiley and Sons.
- Waldrip, H. M., Casey, K. D., Todd, R. W., & Parker, D. B. (2017). Nitrous oxide emissions from southern high plains beef cattle feedyards: Measurement and modeling. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 60, 1209–1221. https://doi.org/10.13031/trans.12085
- Waldrip, H. M., Parker, D. B., Miller, S., Miller, D. N., Casey, K. D., Todd, R. W., Min, B. R. J. S. M., & Woodbury, B. (2020). Nitrous oxide from beef cattle manure: Effects of temperature, water

addition and manure properties on denitrification and nitrification. *Atmosphere*, *11*, 1056. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11101056

- Waldrip, H. M., Todd, R. W., Parker, D. B., Cole, N. A., Rotz, C. A., & Casey, K. D. (2016). Nitrous oxide emissions from open-lot cattle feedyards: A review. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 45, 1797– 1811. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2016.04.0140
- Woodbury, B. L., Gilley, J. E., Parker, D. B., & Stromer, B. S. (2018). Greenhouse gas emissions from beef feedlot surface materials as affected by diet, moisture, temperature, and time. *Transactions of the* ASABE, 61, 571–582. https://doi.org/10.13031/trans.12483
- Woodbury, B. L., Miller, D. N., Nienaber, J. A., & Eigenberg, R. A. (2001). Seasonal and spatial variations of denitrifying enzyme activity in feedlot soil. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 44, 1635–1642. https://doi. org/10.13031/2013.7050
- Wu, D., Cárdenas, L. M., Calvet, S., Brüggemann, N., Loick, N., Liu, S., & Bol, R. (2017). The effect of nitrification inhibitor on N<sub>2</sub>O, NO and N<sub>2</sub> emissions under different soil moisture levels in a permanent grassland soil. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, *113*, 153–160. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.06.007
- Xu, X., Liu, X., Li, Y., Ran, Y., Liu, Y., Zhang, Q., Li, Z., He, Y., Xu, J., & Di, H. (2017). High temperatures inhibited the growth of soil bacteria and archaea but not that of fungi and altered nitrous oxide production mechanisms from different nitrogen sources in an acidic soil. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 107, 168–179. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.soilbio.2017.01.003
- Yamada, T., Araki, S., Ikeda-Ohtsubo, W., Okamura, K., Hiraishi, A., Ueda, H., Ueda, Y., Miyauchi, K., & Endo, G. (2013). Community structure and population dynamics of ammonia oxidizers in composting processes of ammonia-rich livestock waste. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*, *36*, 359–367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm. 2013.02.001
- Yamamoto, N., Oishi, R., Suyama, Y., Tada, C., & Nakai, Y. (2012). Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria rather than ammonia-oxidizing archaea were widely distributed in animal manure composts from field-scale

facilities. Microbes and Environments, 27, 519–524. https://doi.org/ 10.1264/jsme2.ME12053

- Yamamoto, N., Otawa, K., & Nakai, Y. (2010). Diversity and abundance of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and ammonia-oxidizing archaea during cattle manure composting. *Microbial Ecology*, 60, 807–815. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-010-9714-6
- Ye, R. W., Averill, B. A., & Tiedje, J. M. (1994). Denitrification of nitrite and nitric oxide. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 60, 2560– 2564.
- Zeng, G. M., Zhang, J. C., Chen, Y. N., Yu, Z., Yu, M., Li, H., Liu, Z. F., Chen, M., Lu, L. H., & Hu, C. X. (2011). Relative contributions of archaea and bacteria to microbial ammonia oxidation differ under different conditions during agricultural waste composting. *Bioresource Technology*, 102, 9026–9032. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2011.07.076
- Zhou, Z. M., Takaya, N., Nakamura, A., Yamaguchi, M., Takeo, K., & Shoun, H. (2002). Ammonia fermentation, a novel anoxic metabolism of nitrate by fungi. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 277, 1892–1896. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109096200
- Zumft, W. G. (1997). Cell biology and molecular basis of denitrification. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 61, 533–616. https:// doi.org/10.1128/.61.4.533-616.1997

How to cite this article: Waldrip, H., Parker, D., Miller, S., Durso, L. M., Min, B. R., Miller, D. N., Casey, K., Woodbury, B., & Spiehs, M. J. (2022). Microbial community structure from southern High Plains beef cattle feedyard manure and relationship with nitrous oxide emissions. *Agrosystems, Geosciences & Environment, 5*, e20292. https://doi.org/10.1002/agg2.20292