



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Transversus abdominis plane block in rats

Citation for published version:

Burrows, CS, Duncan, JC & Martinez-Taboada, F 2022, 'Transversus abdominis plane block in rats: Preliminary cadaveric studies', *Laboratory Animals*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00236772221122133>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1177/00236772221122133](https://doi.org/10.1177/00236772221122133)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Laboratory Animals

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1 **Transversus Abdominis Plane blocks in rats:**
2 **Preliminary cadaveric studies.**

3

4 Chris S Burrows^{1,2}, Juliet C Duncan¹, Fernando Martinez-Taboada³

5 ¹ Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, University of Edinburgh, Roslin, Scotland

6 ² Laboratory Animal Services, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2050, Australia

7 ³ Sydney School of Veterinary Science, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2050,
8 Australia

9 **Short title:** TAPap blocks in rat cadavers

10 **Keywords:** Rat, TAP block, spread, one point block, two point block

11 **Corresponding author:** Christopher S Burrows, MSc, RVN

12

13

14

15

16

17 **Abstract**

18

19 The transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block is an ultrasound guided regional
20 anaesthetic technique used to provide analgesia to the abdominal wall. Research in
21 humans and cats has demonstrated that TAP blocks reduce pain and post-operative
22 opioid requirements after abdominal surgery. To date TAP blocks have not been
23 described in rats. The optimal technique to employ when performing TAP blocks is
24 controversial with single point injection techniques failing to reliably provide adequate

25 coverage of the cranial abdominal wall. It has been suggested that performing a two
26 point injection may provide more reliable coverage of the cranial abdominal wall.

27 The objective of this study was to determine the feasibility of performing ultrasound
28 guided TAP blocks in rat cadavers and to evaluate whether performing a two point
29 technique provides greater spread of injectate than a one point technique when
30 administering the same total volume of methylene blue solution.

31 Twenty three, four month old, female Sprague Dawley rat cadavers weighing 506 ± 78
32 grams were used. Transversus abdominis plane blocks were performed using a total
33 of 1mL/kg of methylene blue solution.

34 Overall success rates for injections were 21.7% (13.6% - 32.8%). Single point
35 injection area of spread was 87.8 ± 32 mm² compared to 102.4 ± 17 mm² for the two
36 point injection technique.

37 Due to the low success rate the use of TAP blocks using the current technique
38 cannot be recommended. Two point injection technique appears to provide greater
39 spread, however additional data is required to draw meaningful conclusions

40

41 **Introduction**

42 Acute pain has been shown to have a multitude of negative effects which may impair
43 research outcomes as well as animal wellbeing. These negative effects may include
44 impairment of sleep, decreased ability to perform physical tasks, and an overall
45 decrease in quality of life ¹. Pain also produces a stress response which may lead to
46 multiple physiologic changes including tachycardia, increased oxygen consumption,
47 tachypnoea, increased susceptibility to infection and hyperglycaemia as well as
48 causing anxiety and depression ². Importantly, multiple studies have shown that
49 increasing severity of pain increases the risk for development of chronic pain
50 conditions ^{3, 4}.

51 The question of which analgesics are most appropriate to employ for specific
52 procedures and types of pain, as well as the optimal dose rate, and frequency of
53 drug administration in rats, remains open. A study assessing the use of analgesics in

54 research rodents reported worrying rates of analgesic use with 46% of rats
55 undergoing painful surgical procedures not reported as receiving analgesic agents,
56 while only 21% of rats were recorded as receiving analgesics that were not
57 administered for sedative or anaesthetic purposes⁵. In addition to these findings, this
58 paper reported that out of 172 papers describing painful surgical interventions, none
59 reported the use of multimodal analgesic techniques, and no articles described the
60 use of targeted regional analgesic techniques⁵. Both these techniques are
61 considered standard practice in current clinical veterinary medicine⁶.

62 **Materials and Methods**

63 Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Edinburgh Veterinary Ethics
64 Committee (VERC 59.20) following development of the protocol. Cadaveric studies
65 using other species were used to determine sample sizes⁷⁻⁹. Twenty three freshly
66 frozen 4 month old, entire female rat cadavers weighing approximately 500 grams
67 were obtained after being euthanized as part of a different research protocol,
68 AEC:1852. Rat cadavers were thawed at room temperature for a minimum of twenty
69 four hours prior to use. None of the selected animals had undergone interventions
70 affecting the abdomen prior to enrolment in this study. All imaging and injections
71 were performed by a single investigator trained and experienced in ultrasound
72 guided local anaesthetic techniques. Animals were weighed and marked for
73 identification prior to any interventions. Animals were then placed in dorsal
74 recumbency and the abdomen shaved from the level of the last rib to the pubis. A
75 random number generator (www.random.org, Dublin, Ireland) was used to determine
76 the side of the abdomen to be injected first. The first injection performed was always
77 a single point block, this was followed by the two point block on the contralateral
78 side.

79 A 15-8 MHz linear ultrasound (US) transducer attached to an ultrasound machine
80 (Sonosite, Fujifilm, WA, USA) was placed in a transverse orientation approximately
81 mid-way between the last rib and the level of the wing of the ileum (Figure 1). The
82 ultrasound image was optimised by using the zoom in function, and the three layers
83 of the abdominal wall were visualised and identified from outer to inner layers as the
84 external abdominal oblique, internal abdominal oblique and the transversus
85 abdominis muscle (Figure 2). A 22G, 2.5 inch spinal needle (BD Medical, Australia)

86 was inserted using an in-plane technique until the needle tip could be visualised at
87 the level of the fascial plane between the internal abdominal oblique and the
88 transversus abdominis muscle. A small test dose of approximately 0.1ml methylene
89 blue solution was then injected to confirm placement. If the injection was found to be
90 in the incorrect area the needle was readjusted prior to administering another small
91 test dose. The remainder of the volume was then administered for a total of 1
92 mL/kg once the needle was visualised as being in the correct place (Figure 3). The
93 ultrasound probe was then placed on the opposite side of the abdomen just below
94 the level of the last rib, and then just cranial to the hip and the procedure was
95 repeated for the two point block, injecting 0.5 mL/kg total per injection site (1 mL/kg
96 total volume). **[insert figure 1]**

97

98 Figure 1. Rat cadaver placed in dorsal recumbency. The line on the left of
99 the image shows the injection site for the one point TAP block. The two
100 lines on the right show the cranial and caudal injection sites for two point
101 TAP blocks.

102 **[insert figure 2]**

103

104 Figure 2. Ultrasound image of a rat cadaver abdomen with the left of the
105 image located towards the midline of the abdomen and the right of the
106 image the lateral aspect of the abdomen. The needle is inserted from the
107 lateral aspect and positioned at the level of the TAP. The top of the image
108 represents the skin surface.

109 **[insert figure 3]**

110

111 Figure 3. Ultrasound image of successful TAP block. Note the Ventral displacement
112 of the External Oblique & Internal Oblique and dorsal displacement of the
113 Transversus Abdominis Muscle caused by the injectate which appears as a
114 hypoechoic area not present on the previous image

115

116 Dissection was performed between five and fifteen minutes following all injections. A
117 midline incision was made using a number 11 scalpel blade and the three muscle

118 layers were inspected to determine the location of injection (Figure 4). The abdomen
119 was then explored for evidence of intra-abdominal injection. Photos of the spread of
120 injectate were taken against a 30 cm ruler to provide scale for further evaluation
121 using imaging software to determine the size of the area of spread. Images of all
122 injection sites were analysed using ImageJ® software (National Institutes of Health,
123 Wisconsin, USA) to measure the visible area of spread for each block and the area
124 of injectate spread was calculated in mm².

125 **[insert figure 4]**

126

127 Figure 4. Photo of dissected rat cadaver abdominal wall following two point TAP
128 block.

129 Data was entered into Microsoft Excel® and tidied. Descriptive statistics including
130 mean and standard deviation were calculated for age and weight. Mean, standard
131 deviation and 95% confidence intervals were calculated for spread of injectate.
132 Success rates with 95% confidence intervals were calculated for each injection
133 technique. The location of injectate was recorded and calculated as an overall
134 percentage of all injections to determine the number of successful injections as well
135 as the number of injections made intramuscularly or intraperitoneally. Success rates
136 were then calculated for the first 15 injections and compared to the subsequent
137 injections to identify if a learning curve affected overall success rates. Based on
138 these results a power calculation was performed to determine the additional number
139 of successful injections that would be required to provide statistically significant
140 results.

141 Continuous data is presented as mean \pm SD, proportions are presented in
142 percentage (95% confidence interval).

143 **Results**

144 Mean and standard deviation weight for the rat cadavers used in the study was
145 506 \pm 78 grams.

146 The three layers of the abdominal wall were able to be visualized and the
 147 transversus abdominis plane identified in all 23 cadavers. Overall, 5 out of 23 single
 148 point injections, 5 out of 23 cranial two point injections and 5 out of 23 caudal two
 149 point injections were successfully injected into the correct fascial plane accounting
 150 for a 21.7% (13.6% - 32.8%) success rate for all injection attempts. The success rate
 151 for right sided vs left sided injections were similar with 8/69 [11.6% (5.9% - 21.2%)]
 152 successful injections on the right side, and 7/69 [10.1% (5.0% - 19.5%)] injections on
 153 the left side of the abdomen. Injection success rate for the first 15 injections was
 154 20.3% (12.5% - 31.2%) while the following 15 injections recorded a success rate of
 155 13.0% (7.0% - 23.0%).

156 Location of injectate was recorded for each injection with 21.7% (13.6% - 32.8%) of
 157 injections being deposited purely into the TAP, 21.7% (13.6% - 32.8%) being
 158 observed in both the TAP and muscle, 27.5% (18.4% - 39.0%) of injections being
 159 deposited into the abdominal cavity, 2.9% (0.8% - 9.9%) being deposited into muscle
 160 and the abdominal cavity and 26.1% (17.2% - 37.5%) injected into muscle. Injectate
 161 could not be located in 1.4% (0.3% - 7.8%) of injections.

162 The spread of injectate for the successful one point blocks was $87.8 \pm 32.7 \text{ mm}^2$ while
 163 the spread for the successful two point blocks was $102.4 \pm 17.6 \text{ mm}^2$. Based on these
 164 results a power calculation was performed which revealed a further 14 rats with all
 165 injections performed being successful would be required to generate statistically
 166 significant results.

167

	One point block	Two point block
Success rate (per injection)	21.7%	21.7%
Success rate of technique	21.7%	13%
Area of spread	$87.8 \pm 32.7 \text{ mm}^2$	$102.4 \pm 17.6 \text{ mm}^2$

168 **Table 1. Comparison of results for one point and two point techniques. Per**
169 **injection refers to a single needle insertion.**

170 **Discussion**

171

172 The aim of this study was to investigate the viability of performing TAP blocks in rat
173 cadavers, while also evaluating the hypothesis that performing two point injection
174 techniques would provide a greater area of injectate spread than one point injection
175 techniques. In the present study only 21.7% of injections were successful. Two point
176 injection techniques demonstrated a greater area of spread than one point
177 techniques, $102.4 \pm 17.6 \text{ mm}^2$ and $87.8 \pm 32.7 \text{ mm}^2$ respectively, with more successful
178 injections required to gain statistically significant results.

179 Cadaveric studies in veterinary species have shown particularly promising results
180 with TAP injections generally being successful in close to 100% of attempts ⁷⁻⁹. One
181 paper however reported significantly lower success rates with 73% of injections
182 deposited purely into the TAP, 23% combined intramuscular and TAP injections in
183 and intraperitoneal injections in 4% of cases ¹⁰. These results may be explained by
184 the relative inexperience of the investigator performing the technique who was a
185 resident in training.

186 In contrast to this, results from our study have demonstrated significantly greater
187 failure rates with only 21.7% of injections successfully injecting dye into to the TAP,
188 23% injected into both the muscle and the TAP, and 31% of injections being
189 deposited intraperitoneally.

190 Multiple factors may have combined in our study to reduce the success rate in these
191 rats.

192 In this study all injections were performed by one investigator. While it is possible
193 that the low success rate may be attributable to the person performing the injections,
194 it is unlikely that this is the case. The investigator chosen to perform the technique
195 for this study has received formal training in ultrasound guided regional anaesthetic
196 techniques and has been successfully performing this technique in clinical small
197 animal cases for several years. Despite this, performing injections in significantly

198 smaller animals undoubtedly involves a learning curve as the smaller anatomy is
199 adjusted to and any necessary adjustments in technique are developed.

200 Learning curves for ultrasound guided blocks have been reported as being
201 particularly steep, with the curve for residents learning to perform brachial plexus
202 nerve blocks plateauing after 10 to 15 attempts ¹¹. Therefore, it may be assumed that
203 the presence of a learning curve in this study may have negatively affected the
204 results. The effect of this learning curve however appears to be insignificant in this
205 case, as the recorded success rate was similar between the first and second group
206 of 15 injections.

207 The rats' small size in this study may have served to make identification of important
208 landmarks and the TAP itself more difficult. However, using this ultrasound probe
209 and the zoom-in function, the three muscle layers and the TAP were adequately
210 visualized in all cases. While the operator rated all images as adequate for injection
211 it is possible that the use of a higher frequency probe, and the higher resolution
212 picture provided by this probe, may have aided in the identification and guidance of
213 the needle, which may have improved the overall success rate.

214 It is likely that the small size of the TAP in these rats, approximately 0.5 mm, and the
215 needles used to perform the injections have contributed to the low success rate. In
216 this study the instance of combined TAP and intramuscular injection, that is injectate
217 observed both in the TAP and intramuscularly after a single injection was 27.5%.
218 This is likely due to the relatively large bevel of commonly used needles when
219 compared to the size of the TAP space in rats. Considering this, it appears likely that
220 even successful injections may deposit some of the injectate intramuscularly, making
221 it harder to predict the total amount of injectate delivered into the TAP space. This
222 may cause more variability in spread of injectate in this model as the actual amount
223 injected into the TAP is likely to vary between animals. The availability of specifically
224 designed needles with smaller bevel lengths may be required to approach success
225 rates reported in other species.

226 The use of Tuohy needles has been previously described in successful TAP blocks
227 in two chinchillas ¹². In this report, with the animals in lateral recumbency, and an 18
228 MHz linear ultrasound probe was used to visualize the three abdominal muscle

Commented [CB1]: Please see explanation in response letter regarding this point.

229 layers, a 22G Tuohy needle was then used to perform the injections. Tuohy needles
230 were originally designed in 1945 for use in epidural anaesthesia. Like Quinke
231 needles, these needles have a relatively long bevel compared to the TAP width in
232 rats. However, unlike Quinke needles they have a curved, blunt tip that ends with the
233 needle orifice (Figure 5). Originally designed to minimize the risk of dural puncture,
234 these needles provide more tactile feedback when passing through tissue planes ¹³.
235 In addition to this, the shaft is marked in centimeter gradients which, combined with
236 ultrasound depth markings may help users to confirm they are at the desired depth
237 prior to performing injections. The blunt curved tip combined with the centimeter
238 markings may make it a suitable option for this technique as the curved tip may be
239 more likely to remain entirely within the TAP in rats. Additionally, the extra level of
240 tactile feedback provided by the needle may help the user identify when they are
241 passing through the different muscle planes as has been described in the original
242 landmark based injection studies performed in humans ¹⁴.

243 As mentioned previously, veterinary cadaveric studies have reported success rates
244 which mostly approach 100%. While this study demonstrated significantly lower
245 success rates, more worrying is the number of injections that resulted in injectate
246 entering the abdominal cavity. In this study 31% of injections had injectate noted in
247 the abdominal cavity on inspection compared with other veterinary cadaveric studies
248 in which the presence of injectate in the abdomen following injection is generally a
249 rare occurrence.

250 To date complications from TAP blocks have not been reported in the veterinary
251 literature. In the human literature, trauma of the liver with associated minor
252 hemorrhage has been reported when only using anatomical landmarks ¹⁵, while liver
253 laceration and subsequent septic peritonitis requiring blood transfusion has been
254 reported when performing ultrasound guided TAP blocks ¹⁶. Considering the small
255 size of rats and the ease at which they may be moved while performing injections,
256 coupled with multiple injection locations required for the two point TAP block it is
257 feasible that trauma to a range of abdominal organs may be possible with this
258 technique. Associated complications, as in the human literature, may have minor to
259 major consequences for the animal. Considering the high rate of intraabdominal, and

260 intramuscular injection the risk of complications using this technique in rats is likely
261 to be significantly higher than has been reported in the human literature.

262 These finding may be particularly relevant to other small animals, such as chinchillas
263 that have been reported as receiving TAP blocks as part of their clinical treatment.
264 While successful injection was noted on ultrasound evaluation in these cases,
265 thorough intraabdominal evaluation was not performed. The possibility that at least
266 partial peritoneal puncture may have occurred, as in our study, should be
267 considered. Considering the large percentage of animals in this study that received
268 intramuscular or intraperitoneal injections, it would be highly recommendable to
269 perform cadaveric studies on these animals to assess for potential complications.

270 Unfortunately, in this study there were not enough successful injections to allow
271 investigators to draw any conclusions as to whether two point injections provide
272 greater spread of injectate than one point injections when delivering a total volume of
273 1ml/kg. Our results showed that the two successful two point injections appeared to
274 provide a greater area of spread than the successful one point injections which is in
275 agreeance with other preliminary studies ^{7, 17}. However, a power calculation following
276 these results showed that another 14 rats with completely successful injections
277 would be required to corroborate statistically this difference. When considering
278 whether a one or two point injection is required for abdominal surgery it is important
279 to consider the area undergoing surgical manipulation. For animals undergoing
280 laparotomy for ovariectomy a single point injection technique may be
281 adequate as the area being surgically manipulated is relatively small. This
282 hypothesis is supported by the study performed by Skouropoulou, Lacitygnola ¹⁸
283 which demonstrated the efficacy of single point TAP blocks as part of a multimodal
284 analgesic protocol for post-operative pain control in cats undergoing
285 ovariectomy.

286 This study has multiple limitations. The use of defrosted cadavers may not
287 accurately recreate interactions with live tissues, which may alter the spread of the
288 methylene blue solution used ⁹. Additionally, the use of methylene blue solution has
289 been shown to provide greater spread when injected in cadaveric TAP block models
290 than mixtures containing methylene blue and bupivacaine ¹⁹. This may lead to a
291 larger area of spread than may be expected clinically using common local

292 anaesthetic drugs. The use of defrosted cadavers may also affect image quality,
293 which due to the small size of the animals being used, may be particularly significant
294 in this study ²⁰.

295 In the current study, five to fifteen minutes was allowed between injection of
296 methylene blue and dissection of cadavers for evaluation of spread of injectate. This
297 may have led to differences in spread between subjects as some cadavers may
298 have had significantly more time for the injectate to spread compared to others. In
299 addition to this, work with human cadavers has demonstrated that methylene blue
300 injectate does not reach the peak of spread for 40 minutes or longer post injection
301 when performing TAP blocks, further supporting the possibility that spread may be
302 artificially decreased In this study ²¹. Finally, while the success rate in this study was
303 very low, it is possible that having an investigator who is very experienced in this
304 technique in cats and dogs may have artificially inflated the ~~expected~~ success rate. It
305 is reasonable to expect that success rates may be lower when this technique is
306 being performed by non-experts, when compared to veterinary staff who may be less
307 familiar with this technique.

308 **Conclusion**

309 The use of TAP blocks in rats using this technique cannot currently be
310 recommended due to an unacceptably low success rate and the potential risk of
311 complications associated with the high number of intraabdominal injections. Due to
312 this low success rate, conclusions cannot be drawn regarding the hypothesis that
313 two point injection techniques will provide superior spread of injectate when
314 compared to one point injections techniques when using the same total volume of
315 injectate. Further research should be conducted with the aim of increasing success
316 rates.

317

318 **Declarations**

319 The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest. No funding was received for
320 this study

321 Study data is available via the corresponding author if requested via email.

322 Chris.burrows@sydney.edu.au

323

324 References

- 325 1. Sinatra R. Causes and consequences of inadequate management of acute
326 pain. *Pain Med* 2010; 11: 1859-1871. 2010/11/03. DOI: 10.1111/j.1526-
327 4637.2010.00983.x.
- 328 2. Swift A. Understanding pain and the human body's response to it. *Nursing*
329 *Times* 2018; 114: 22-26.
- 330 3. Kairaluoma PM, Bachmann MS, Rosenberg PH, et al. Preincisional
331 paravertebral block reduces the prevalence of chronic pain after breast surgery.
332 *Anesth Analg* 2006; 103: 703-708. 2006/08/26. DOI:
333 10.1213/01.ane.0000230603.92574.4e.
- 334 4. Senturk M, Ozcan PE, Talu GK, et al. The effects of three different analgesia
335 techniques on long-term postthoracotomy pain. *Anesth Analg* 2002; 94: 11-15, table
336 of contents. 2002/01/05. DOI: 10.1213/00000539-200201000-00003.
- 337 5. Stokes EL, Flecknell PA and Richardson CA. Reported analgesic and
338 anaesthetic administration to rodents undergoing experimental surgical procedures.
339 *Lab Anim* 2009; 43: 149-154. 2009/01/01. DOI: 10.1258/la.2008.008020.
- 340 6. Gurney MA. Pharmacological options for intra-operative and early
341 postoperative analgesia: an update. *J Small Anim Pract* 2012; 53: 377-386.
342 2012/07/04. DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-5827.2012.01243.x.
- 343 7. Drozdzyńska M, Monticelli P, Neilson D, et al. Ultrasound-guided subcostal
344 oblique transversus abdominis plane block in canine cadavers. *Vet Anaesth Analg*
345 2017; 44: 183-186. 2016/05/28. DOI: 10.1111/vaa.12391.
- 346 8. Portela DA, Romano M and Briganti A. Retrospective clinical evaluation of
347 ultrasound guided transverse abdominis plane block in dogs undergoing
348 mastectomy. *Vet Anaesth Analg* 2014; 41: 319-324. 2014/04/24. DOI:
349 10.1111/vaa.12122.
- 350 9. Romano M, Portela DA, Thomson A, et al. Comparison between two
351 approaches for the transversus abdominis plane block in canine cadavers. *Vet*
352 *Anaesth Analg* 2021; 48: 101-106. 2020/11/28. DOI: 10.1016/j.vaa.2020.09.005.
- 353 10. Zoff A, Laborda-Vidal P, Mortier J, et al. Comparison of the spread of two
354 different volumes of contrast medium when performing ultrasound-guided
355 transversus abdominis plane injection in dog cadavers. *J Small Anim Pract* 2017; 58:
356 269-275. 2017/02/16. DOI: 10.1111/jsap.12639.
- 357 11. Luyet C, Schupfer G, Wipfli M, et al. Different Learning Curves for Axillary
358 Brachial Plexus Block: Ultrasound Guidance versus Nerve Stimulation. *Anesthesiol*
359 *Res Pract* 2010; 2010: 309462. 2011/02/15. DOI: 10.1155/2010/309462.
- 360 12. Saldanha SA, Martini R, Basseto J, et al. Use of transversus abdominis plane
361 block in chinchillas. *Journal of Exotic Pet Medicine* 2019; 31: 21-22.
- 362 13. Otero PE, Klaumann P, Romano M, et al. Regional Anesthesia General
363 Considerations. In: Otero PE and Portela DA (eds) *Small Animal Regional*
364 *Anesthesia*. 2 ed. Buenos Aires: Inter-Medica, 2019, pp.1-37.

- 365 14. Rafi AN. Abdominal field block: a new approach via the lumbar triangle.
366 *Anaesthesia* 2001; 56: 1024-1026. 2001/09/29. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-
367 2044.2001.02279-40.x.
- 368 15. O'Donnell BD and Mannion S. A case of liver trauma with a blunt regional
369 anesthesia needle while performing transversus abdominis plane block. *Reg Anesth*
370 *Pain Med* 2009; 34: 75-76; author reply 76. 2009/03/05. DOI:
371 10.1097/AAP.0b013e3181934050.
- 372 16. Lancaster P and Chadwick M. Liver trauma secondary to ultrasound-guided
373 transversus abdominis plane block. *Br J Anaesth* 2010; 104: 509-510. 2010/03/17.
374 DOI: 10.1093/bja/aeq046.
- 375 17. Johnston E, Baquier S, Carter J, et al. Evaluation of methylene blue spread
376 following two-point ultrasound-guided transversus abdominis plane injection in a
377 canine cadaver model. *Vet Anaesth Analg* 2017; 44: p1262.e1268-1262.e1269.
- 378 18. Skouropoulou D, Lacitignola L, Centonze D, et al. Perioperative analgesic
379 effects of an ultrasound-guided transversus abdominis plane block with a mixture of
380 bupivacaine and lidocaine in cats undergoing ovariectomy. *Vet Anaesth Analg* 2018;
381 45: 374-383. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaa.2018.01.005>.
- 382 19. de Miguel Garcia C, Whyte M, St James M, et al. Effect of contrast and local
383 anesthetic on dye spread following transversus abdominis plane injection in dog
384 cadavers. *Vet Anaesth Analg* 2020; 47: 391-395. 2020/03/21. DOI:
385 10.1016/j.vaa.2020.01.003.
- 386 20. Michielsen A, Rodrigo-Mocholi D, Cornillie P, et al. Description of different
387 ultrasound guided transversus abdominis plane block in pig cadavers e A pilot study.
388 *Vet Anaesth Analg* 2020. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaa.2020.10.012>.
- 389 21. Tran T, Ivanusic JJ, Hebbard P, et al. Determination of spread of injectate
390 after ultrasound-guided transversus abdominal plane block: a cadaveric study. *Brit J*
391 *Anaesth* 2009; 102: 123-127.