

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Integrating Decomposers, Methane-Cycling Microbes and Ecosystem Carbon Fluxes Along a Peatland Successional Gradient in a Land Uplift Region

Juottonen, Heli

2022-09

Juottonen , H , Kieman , M , Fritze , H , Hamberg , L , Laine , A M , Merila , P , Peltoniemi , K , Putkinen , A & Tuittila , E-S 2022 , ' Integrating Decomposers, Methane-Cycling Microbes and Ecosystem Carbon Fluxes Along a Peatland Successional Gradient in a Land Uplift Region ' , *Ecosystems* , vol. 25 , pp. 1249-1264 . <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-021-00713-w>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/349995>

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-021-00713-w>

cc_by

publishedVersion








Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

Integrating Decomposers, Methane-Cycling Microbes and Ecosystem Carbon Fluxes Along a Peatland Successional Gradient in a Land Uplift Region

Heli Juottonen,^{1,2*}  Mirkka Kieman,³ Hannu Fritze,⁴ Leena Hamberg,⁴ 
Anna M. Laine,^{3,5,6}  Päivi Merilä,⁷  Krista Peltoniemi,⁴ 
Anuliina Putkinen,^{2,8,9}  and Eeva-Stiina Tuittila^{3,6} 

¹Department of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35, 40014 Jyväskylä, Finland; ²Department of Biosciences, General Microbiology, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 56, 00014 Helsinki, Finland; ³Peatland Ecology Group, Department of Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 27, 00014 Helsinki, Finland; ⁴Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), P.O. Box 2, 00791 Helsinki, Finland; ⁵Present Address: Geological Survey of Finland, Neulaniementie 5, P.O. Box 1237, 70211 Kuopio, Finland; ⁶Present Address: School of Forest Sciences, University of Eastern Finland, P.O. Box 111, 80101 Joensuu, Finland; ⁷Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), Paavo Havaksen tie 3, 90570 Oulu, Finland; ⁸Environmental Soil Science, Department of Agriculture, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 56, 00014 Helsinki, Finland; ⁹Institute of Atmospheric and Earth System Research (INAR)/Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 56, 00014 Helsinki, Finland

ABSTRACT

Peatlands are carbon dioxide (CO₂) sinks that, in parallel, release methane (CH₄). The peatland carbon (C) balance depends on the interplay of decomposer and CH₄-cycling microbes, vegetation, and environmental conditions. These interactions are susceptible to the changes that occur along a successional gradient from vascular plant-dominated systems to *Sphagnum* moss-dominated systems. Changes similar to this succession are predicted to occur from climate change. Here, we

investigated how microbial and plant communities are interlinked with each other and with ecosystem C cycling along a successional gradient on a boreal land uplift coast. The gradient ranged from shoreline to meadows and fens, and further to bogs. Potential microbial activity (aerobic CO₂ production; CH₄ production and oxidation) and biomass were greatest in the early successional meadows, although their communities of aerobic decomposers (fungi, actinobacteria), methanogens, and methanotrophs did not differ from the older fens. Instead, the functional microbial communities shifted at the fen–bog transition concurrent with a sudden decrease in C fluxes. The successional patterns of decomposer versus CH₄-cycling communities diverged at the bog stage, indicating strong but distinct microbial responses to *Sphagnum* dominance and acidity. We highlight young meadows as dynamic sites with the greatest microbial potential for C release. These hot spots of C turnover with dense sedge cover may represent a

Received 5 May 2021; accepted 16 September 2021;
published online 19 October 2021

Supplementary Information: The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-021-00713-w>.

EST and HF conceived the study; EST, PM and AML performed field sampling and flux measurements; MK, HJ, KP and AP performed research in the lab; LH, MK, AML and HJ analyzed data; HJ, MK, HF, AML, LH and EST wrote the paper and all authors commented on it.

*Corresponding author; e-mail: heli.juottonen@alumni.helsinki.fi

sensitive bottleneck in succession, which is necessary for eventual long-term peat accumulation. The distinctive microbes in bogs could serve as indicators of the C sink function in restoration measures that aim to stabilize the C in the peat.

Key words: ecosystem respiration; methane emission; fungi; actinobacteria; methanogens; methanotrophs; microbial biomass; microbial community; primary paludification; peatland development.

HIGHLIGHTS

- Early successional meadows were hot spots of microbial activity and carbon turnover.
- Microbial community shifted at the fen–bog transition with decreasing carbon flux.
- Microbes in bogs could be indicators for the carbon sink function of a peatland.

INTRODUCTION

About 30% of the global soil carbon (C) is stored in peatlands (Gorham 1991). Consequently, peatland ecosystems play a key role in controlling atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) concentrations (Yu 2012). They are unique habitats of largely water-logged organic soils, where changes in environmental conditions affect the interplay of primary producers and decomposers, which can turn the system into a C sink or source (for example, Laiho 2006). This interplay includes plants, fungi, bacteria, and CH₄-producing archaea (methanogens) and CH₄-oxidizing bacteria (MOB) especially, which are present under specific environmental conditions that are determined by moisture content and fertility level (Sottocornola and others 2009; Andersen and others 2011, 2013).

Climate change is likely to disrupt the complex interplay that determines the peatland C balance. In peatlands, the impacts of warming are expected to be coupled with drying due to increased evaporation (Roulet and others 1992; Monier and others 2013; Helbig and others 2020). Warming and drying are advantageous for the activity of most decomposers, thereby increasing the rates of mineralization (for example, Dieleman and others 2016). In addition, the community composition responds to environmental changes: vascular plants have an advantage over *Sphagnum* mosses in war-

mer and drier conditions (Weltzin and others 2000; Breeuwer and others 2009; Dieleman and others 2015). The community response of fungi, actinobacteria, methanogens, and MOB to warming and drying appears to depend on peatland fertility (Jaatinen and others 2005; Peltoniemi and others 2009, 2015, 2016; Urbanová and Bárta 2016). Such community changes have been linked with alterations in several ecosystem functions, such as decreased C accumulation (Riutta and others 2007; Bragazza and others 2016; Laine and others 2019b) and increased decomposition of organic matter (Straková and others 2012). In general, drying appears to have a stronger impact on peatland communities and functions than warming (Peltoniemi and others 2016; Mäkiranta and others 2018; Laine and others 2019a, 2019b). Although the responses of individual communities (plants or microbes) to environmental changes have been studied to some extent, very few studies have linked the concurrent responses of multiple communities to ecosystem functions, such as CO₂ and CH₄ exchange (however, see Jassey and others 2013, 2018; Robroek and others 2015).

Northern peatlands are dynamic systems that typically have undergone succession from vascular-dominated to *Sphagnum* moss-dominated systems during their development (for example, Bauer and others 2003). Similar rapid directional change has been reported as a response to altered hydrology as part of climate change (Gunnarsson and others 2002; Tahvanainen 2011). Concurrent with the plant community, the microbial communities also undergo successional change (Merilä and others 2006; Putkinen and others 2014). Peatland primary succession leads to changes in ecosystem functions, such as CO₂ and CH₄ exchange (Leppälä and others 2008, 2011a, 2011b). Primary succession gradients make it possible to assess how tightly the different communities are linked and to predict changes in microbial composition based on the change in plant community structure. Peatland chronosequences at land uplift coasts (Glaser and others 2004; Tuittila and others 2013; Harris and others 2020; Laine and others 2021) offer excellent settings to study how the community changes are interlinked with ecosystem functions, as communities and functions can be studied under similar climatic and weather conditions.

The aim of this study was (1) to quantify how successional patterns in different functional groups (plants, fungi, actinobacteria, methanogens, MOB) are interlinked, and (2) to link the change in communities with the change in ecosystem functions (CO₂ production potential, CH₄ production

and oxidation potential, ecosystem respiration, CH₄ emissions). We expected that as broad groups of aerobic litter decomposers, fungi and actinobacteria succession would closely follow that of vegetation because litter type appears to be a more important determinant of fungal and actinobacterial communities in boreal peatlands than hydrology (Peltoniemi and others 2009, 2012). Methanogens and MOB, on the other hand, were expected to follow the position of the water level (WL), which controls the aeration of the peat (Urbanová and others 2011; Yrjälä and others 2011), as well as the prevalence of sedges and *Sphagnum* mosses, which are substrate sources and habitats for CH₄-cycling microbes, respectively (Ström and others 2003; Putkinen and others 2014).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Sites

The study area is located on the Finnish coast of the Gulf of Bothnia (64° 45' N, 24° 42' E), where new land is exposed from the sea due to post-glacial isostatic rising. On a 10-km transect that extends from the shore inland, the sites comprise seven near natural peatlands (SJ0–SJ6), with successional stages that ranged from the onset of primary peat formation to a bog stage, which is considered as the final stage of succession (Table 1, Figure S1). The early stages (SJ0–SJ2) have a rather flat surface, while in the later stages (SJ3–SJ6) the peatland surface is patterned by microforms (dry raised hummocks, intermediate lawns, wet flarks with peat surface at or below water level (WL)). Typical microforms were lawns (*Sphagnum* covered) and

flarks in SJ3 and SJ4, hummocks, lawns and flarks in SJ5, and shrubby hummocks, hummocks, and lawns in SJ6.

Field Measurements

From June to September 2007, CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes (ecosystem respiration, RE) were measured at weekly to biweekly intervals from 54 permanent sample plots (0.56 m × 0.56 m) established to cover the site-specific variation in vegetation (Tables 1 and S1). Gas fluxes were measured with the static chamber method (see supplementary material for details). Water level and peat temperature at 5, 10, and 20 cm depths were measured close to each plot during the flux measurements. Plant species cover was inventoried from the same plots at the end of July 2007 (Table S1). Percentage cover of vascular and moss species was estimated visually using the scale 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 3–100%.

Peat Sampling and Physicochemical Analyses

In August 2007, we collected two parallel sets of soil cores (one for microbiological and one for physicochemical analysis) with a box sampler (8 × 8 × 100 cm) or with a cylinder sampler (4.5 cm diameter, 50 cm length) from each site along the transect. The cores were taken within 2 m of each gas flux sample plot from areas with similar vegetation to avoid disturbance on the plots. In addition to the sites with gas flux measurements (SJ0–SJ6), we took four cores from the sandy submerged littoral zone (SJm1) near SJ0 to represent the soil before the land was exposed.

Table 1. Characteristics of Successional Stages Along the Peatland Gradient

Site	Successional stage	Terrestrial age (y) ^a	Peat thickness (cm) ^a	Typical vegetation	Number of sample plots
SJm1	Under the sea level	0	0	Phragmites	4
SJ0	Exposed shore	70	0	Grasses	6
SJ1	Epilobium meadow	100–180	≤ 10	Grasses, sedges, brown mosses	6
SJ2	Equisetum meadow	150–200	≤ 10	Grasses, sedges, brown mosses	6
SJ3	Mesotrophic fen	500–700	50	Sedges, <i>Sphagnum</i> sp.	10
SJ4	Oligotrophic fen	1070 ± 70	75	Sedges, shrubs, <i>Sphagnum</i> sp.	9
SJ5	Fen–bog transition	2520 ± 50	180	Sedges, shrubs, <i>Sphagnum</i> sp., <i>S. fuscum</i>	8
SJ6	Bog	~ 3000	170–230	Shrubs, <i>S. fuscum</i>	9

^aThe values for terrestrial age and peat thickness are summarized from Merilä and others (2006) and Leppälä and others (2008).

The sampling procedure covered the variation in moisture and vegetation typical of each site. The cores ($n = 58$), which reached depths of 30, 45, or 60 cm, were cut at even intervals (10, 15, or 20 cm) resulting in three peat layers: uppermost, middle, and deepest layer (174 samples in total). The length of the interval depended on the peat depth and WL: longer sections were used for sites with deeper peat and WL. Varying the interval (that is, thickness of the three peat layers) allowed us to cover the peat above, around and below WL at each site despite their widely different peat depths (Table 1). Portions of the samples were used for measuring pH (soil:water 1:5 v/v) and to determine the potential rates of CO₂ and CH₄ production and CH₄ oxidation. The remainder of the samples were frozen ($-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) for molecular and phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) analyses. Parallel volumetric soil cores were used to determine bulk density, organic matter (OM; loss in weight on ignition, $500\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, 4 h), and total C and nitrogen (N; LECO CHN-2000 analyzer). Results were calculated by volume based on the bulk density of the volumetric sample slices (g dm^{-3}).

Potential CO₂ Production, CH₄ Production, and CH₄ Oxidation

Potential activity measurements for the three peat layers (uppermost, middle, deepest) were carried out in 120-ml flasks with 15 ml of peat (see supplementary material for further details). The flasks for CH₄ production contained 30 ml of water and were flushed with nitrogen. The flasks for CH₄ oxidation received 100 μl of CH₄ as substrate. The flasks were incubated at $15\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ in the dark for 4 days (CH₄ production) or 1–2 days (CO₂ production and CH₄ oxidation). Gas concentrations were followed by gas chromatography as described in Perkiömäki and Fritze (2002; aerobic CO₂ production), Jaatinen and others (2005; CH₄ oxidation), and Merilä and others (2006; CH₄ production). Production or oxidation rates were calculated from the slope of the linear regression of gas concentration change over time. The rates are given per sample volume (mg or $\mu\text{g dm}^{-3}\text{ h}^{-1}$).

Phospholipid Fatty Acid (PLFA) Analysis

Fungal and bacterial biomass was analyzed by quantifying PLFAs from 1.5 to 4 g wet weight of peat or 4–6 g wet weight of mineral soil (Frostegård and others 1993; Jaatinen and others 2007). Relative fungal abundance (F-PLFA) was quantified

from the amount of PLFA 18:2 ω 6 (Frostegård and Bååth 1996; Kaiser and others 2010). The sum of twelve PLFAs (i15:0, a15:0, 15:0, i16:0, 16:1 ω 9, 16:1 ω 7t, i17:0, a17:0, 17:0, cy17:0, 18:1 ω 7 and cy19:0) was considered to represent bacterial abundance (B-PLFA) (Frostegård and Bååth 1996). PLFAs 10Me17 and 10Me18 were considered to represent actinobacteria (Act-PLFA) (Kroppenstedt 1985). The quantity of the PLFAs was determined in relation to the sample volume ($\mu\text{mol dm}^{-3}$) by calculating the results per dry weight ($\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$) and multiplying with the sample-specific bulk density (g dm^{-3}).

Molecular Analyses of Microbial Groups

Total DNA was extracted from the soil with a Power Soil DNA extraction kit (MoBio Laboratories, Inc., Carlsbad, CA, USA). Fungi were amplified with primers ITS1F and ITS2 for the internal transcribed spacer region (Gardes and Bruns 1993). Actinobacterial primers were S-C-Act-0235-a-S-20 and S-C-Act-0878-a-A-19 for actinobacterial 16S ribosomal RNA gene (Stach and others 2003). Type II methanotrophs (tII-MOB) were detected with primers A189f and A621r (Holmes and others 1995; Tuomivirta and others 2009) for methanotroph-specific *pmoA* gene for particulate methane monooxygenase. Methanogens were detected with the primers of Luton and others (2002) that amplify methanogen-specific *mcrA* gene for methyl-coenzyme M reductase. Fungal, actinobacterial, and type II MOB communities were analyzed by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) and sequencing of DGGE bands. Methanogens were analyzed by terminal fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) and sequencing of clones. The details of PCR, DGGE, and T-RFLP are described in the supplementary material. The DGGE banding patterns of fungi, actinobacteria, and tII-MOB were compiled into presence-absence matrices. DGGE bands with divergent mobility were considered as operational taxonomic units (OTUs). For methanogens, T-RFs of different lengths were considered as OTUs and relative peak areas were used as relative abundances. The OTUs that appeared at least twice in a dataset were included in the community composition data. To determine taxonomic affiliations, we constructed phylogenetic trees of DGGE band sequences (fungi, actinobacteria, tII-MOB) and clone sequences (methanogens; see supplementary material for details). DNA sequences were submitted to the European Nucleotide Archive under accession numbers LN681001–LN681094 (fungi), LN681095–LN681133 (actinobacteria),

LN681148-LN681172 (tII-MOB), and LR999478-LR999518 and HG993108-HG993123 (methanogens).

Statistical Analyses

The effects of soil properties on potential microbial activities and biomass were investigated using generalized additive mixed models (GAMMs) in package *mgcv* with function *gamm* (Wood 2006) in R (v. 3.1.1, R Core Team 2014). Normal distribution was assumed but response variables were log-transformed when needed to achieve normality. All sample plots in SJ0–SJ6 were included in the analyses ($n = 54$). Models were estimated separately for each soil layer. Because many of the variables that describe soil properties were strongly correlated (Table S2), only the most important (that is, WL, OM, and pH) were included in the models. Water level, as the mean of the measurements up to the sampling date, was included in the model as a categorical variable with values 0 (the vertical middle point of a sample below the mean WL) and 1 (the vertical middle point of a sample above the mean WL). We considered this an acceptable estimation of the differences in the moisture conditions at a rather small spatial scale (Figure S2). Organic matter and pH were smoothed when the models were estimated. In addition to these fixed effect variables, site was included as a random factor in the models. Response curves were drawn based on GAMMs using mean values for the other explanatory variables rather than those of interest in the models.

Global non-metric multidimensional scaling (GNMDS) was performed for the vegetation and each microbial group to investigate changes in these communities along the successional gradient, using the *vegan* package (v. 2.3-0, Oksanen and others 2015) in R. The Bray–Curtis dissimilarity measure was used for vegetation (cover data), Raup–Crick for fungi, actinobacteria and tII-MOB (binary data), and Gower for methanogens (numeric data). Separate MetaMDS runs were performed 50 times to ensure the best possible solution (that is, to avoid local optima). The solution with the lowest stress value was chosen. Environmental variables were fitted using permutation tests. Species that occurred in at least in five samples were drawn to species ordination figures. The effect of successional stage and peat layer on microbial communities was tested with permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) (Anderson 2001) with the function *adonis2* in the *vegan* package. Procrustes analysis with the functions *procrustes*

and *protest* in the *vegan* package based on the first four NMDS dimensions was used to compare the successional patterns of different functional groups (Peres-Neto and Jackson 2001; Lisboa and others 2014). The Procrustes analysis between vegetation and microbial groups only included the uppermost and middle layers to focus on the layers influenced by the surface vegetation. The analyses between microbial groups included all layers. The distance measures in PERMANOVA and Procrustes analysis were the same as in GNMDS. Finally, we used the Procrustes residuals to compare the strength of correlation among microbial groups along the peatland succession (Lisboa and others 2014). Differences between successional stages were determined with analysis of variance and Tukey's post hoc tests.

RESULTS

Vegetation, Soil Chemical Variables, Gas Fluxes and Microbial Biomass Along the Peatland Succession

Along the successional gradient, total vegetation cover increased from < 20% in recently exposed shore SJ0 to nearly 150% in bog SJ6 (Figure 1a, Table S3). From the fen SJ3 onward, the increasing vegetation cover was due to the increase in shrubs (Figure 1b) and *Sphagnum* mosses (Figure 1c). Sedge cover was highest in the fen SJ3. Organic matter density tripled from meadow SJ2 to fen SJ3, indicating the start of peat accumulation (Figure 1f). Although OM, C, and N densities were greatest in the fen sites SJ3 and SJ4, C:N increased throughout the gradient (SJ0–SJ6) (Figure 1g). Acidity increased along the gradient from pH 6.1 in SJ0 to 4.2. in SJ6 (Figure 1e). Water level was lowest in the bog SJ6 (Figure 1e).

Ecosystem respiration peaked in fen SJ3 (Figure 1d). CH₄ emissions showed rather similar levels in sites SJ1–SJ5 and were lowest at the end points of the gradient (Figure 1d). The greatest microbial activity potential, as indicated by the rates of aerobic CO₂ and anaerobic CH₄ production, was measured at the meadow sites, especially SJ2 (Figure 1h). In contrast, potential CH₄ oxidation increased from SJ0 to SJ2 and then remained at this elevated level along the whole gradient. Fungal, bacterial, and actinobacterial biomass peaked in the meadow sites and were greatest in SJ2. The ratio of fungi to bacteria (F:B) was greatest in the oldest sites SJ5 and SJ6 (Figure 1i).




Figure 1. Variables describing peatland succession (SJ0–SJ6) with land uplift from the sea (SJm1): **a–c** plant functional type cover, **d** ecosystem respiration (RE) and methane (CH_4) emissions, **e–g** water level (WL) and soil properties, **h** potential rates of carbon dioxide (CO_2) (aerobic) and CH_4 production and CH_4 oxidation, and **i** microbial biomass: B-PLFA, bacterial phospholipid fatty acids (PLFAs); F-PLFA, fungal PLFAs; Act-PLFA, actinobacterial PLFAs; F/B is the ratio of fungal to bacterial PLFAs. Values are site means including three peat layers (SJm1 $n = 12$; SJ0–SJ2 $n = 18$; SJ3 $n = 30$; SJ4 $n = 27$; SJ5 $n = 24$; SJ6 $n = 27$). To fit the scale, F/B and CH_4 emissions are presented 100 times larger, organic matter (OM) and nitrogen (N) 10 times larger, and CO_2 production 10 times smaller than the initial values.

Within-Site Variation in Relation to Microform and Peat Layer

To capture the pronounced vertical variation and horizontal patterning typical of boreal peatlands, our sampling strategy covered three peat layers and the different microforms in the stages where microforms were present. The young meadow sites SJ0–SJ2 showed no horizontal patterns of vegetation cover, C fluxes, soil properties, or microbial variables. These sites had a thin organic surface layer that covered the mineral soil (Table 1). Vertically, this layer showed the greatest CO_2 and CH_4 production and CH_4 oxidation rates and microbial

biomass at these sites (Table S4). In the older sites SJ3–SJ6 with thicker peat layer and microforms, the cover of *Sphagnum* mosses and shrubs was greater in drier microforms (lawns in SJ3 and SJ4, hummocks in SJ5 and SJ6) (Table S5). Microform-related variation in RE was low, whereas CH_4 emissions were generally greater in the moister microforms within the sites SJ3–SJ6. Potential CO_2 production in the older sites did not vary with depth or microform. Potential CH_4 production in SJ3–SJ6 was generally greater below WL and in the moister microforms (Tables S4–S6). CH_4 oxidation rates were generally greater below the uppermost layer, especially in the drier microforms. Fungal

biomass decreased with depth in SJ3–SJ6, whereas bacterial and actinobacterial biomass were mainly greatest in the middle layer (Table S6).

Relationship of Microbial Activity Potentials to Soil Variables Based on Generalized Additive Mixed Models (GAMMs)

Potential CO_2 production increased with increasing OM density in all layers (Figure 2a–c). In the middle layer, CO_2 production leveled at an OM density of about 70 g dm^{-3} and greater (Figure 2b). The deepest layer showed greater CO_2 production when the layer was above the WL

(Figure 2c). Similarly, potential CH_4 production was affected by the position of the WL (Figure 2d–f). In the surface layer, CH_4 production increased with higher pH (> 5) but only when the layer was below the WL (Figure 2d). In the middle layer, none of the selected soil properties explained the CH_4 production rate, with the possible exception of WL ($p = 0.059$). In the deepest layer, only a weak link was observed between CH_4 production and increasing OM density, but only if this layer was above the WL (Figure 2f). The response of potential CH_4 oxidation depended on the peat layer. In the surface layer, CH_4 oxidation increased with increasing OM density, especially under the WL and at pH 5 (Figure 2g). In the middle layer, CH_4




Figure 2. Effects of water level (WL), organic matter (OM) density, and pH on the microbial activity potentials (aerobic CO_2 production, CH_4 production, and oxidation) for three peat layers. Sites SJ0–SJ6 were included in the model for each layer ($n = 54$). Response curves were drawn based on generalized additive mixed models (GAMMs) so that explanatory variables other than the presented variable were retained as their mean value. The effects of OM and pH are presented only when $p < 0.05$. All effects of the WL categories (above, below) are shown (p values in bold when $p < 0.05$). Empty subfigure e had no significant soil properties. In figures d–i: black denotes OM density; gray denotes pH. Note the different axis scales. R^2_{adj} = adjusted R^2 value of the model.

oxidation varied strongly with OM density and peaked at an OM density of about 50 g dm^{-3} (Figure 2h). In the deepest layer, CH_4 oxidation was accentuated, especially above the WL, by increasing acidity and OM (Figure 2i).

Plant and Microbial Communities Along the Peatland Gradient

Vegetation formed a clear gradient from SJ0 to SJ6 (Figure 3); from grass- to sedge-dominated communities, and finally to *Sphagnum*-dominated vegetation (including dwarf shrubs). Bulk density, pH, C:N, and the cover of *Sphagnum* and shrubs showed the greatest correlation ($r \geq 0.74$) with plant community change (Table S7). Overall microbial community structure based on PLFAs showed a similar, though less differentiated, successional gradient and separated the young meadows (SJ1, SJ2), mid-successional fens (SJ3, SJ4), and the oldest bog sites (SJ5, SJ6) from each other (Figure 4). At the level of microbial functional groups, successional stage explained a larger proportion of community variation than peat layer for all the groups (Table 2). The community structure of fungi, actinobacteria, and methanogens showed a common pattern where the late stages (SJ5, SJ6) were separated from the other stages, and the early (SJ0–SJ2) and mid-successional (SJ3, SJ4) stages were grouped together (Figure 5a–i, Figure S3). Methanotrophs were not detected in the youngest sites (SJ0, SJ1). The tII-MOB community in the oldest sites (SJ5,

SJ6) was separated from the younger sites, but tII-MOB also differed more clearly with peat layer than the other groups (Figure 5j–l). Changes in the fungal, actinobacterial, and tII-MOB communities correlated best with C:N, pH and the cover of *Sphagnum* and shrubs, and the methanogen communities with C, N, and OM density and *Sphagnum* cover (Table S8). We used Procrustes analysis, which superimposes two ordinations, to compare the successional patterns of vegetation and microbial functional groups. Fungal community showed the greatest correlation with vegetation composition, and actinobacteria the lowest (Table 2). When comparing the successional patterns of the different functional groups, the strongest correlations were seen between actinobacteria and fungi, and between actinobacteria and methanogens, and lowest between methanogens and tII-MOB (Table 2). Procrustes residuals showed a fairly uniform correlation of actinobacteria vs. fungi and methanogens vs. tII-MOB along the gradient (Figure 6). Procrustes residuals of actinobacteria and fungi with CH_4 -cycling microbes increased toward the bog sites, particularly the oldest site SJ6, indicating decreasing correlation of community patterns.

Phylogenetic Affiliation of the Microbial Groups

The majority of fungal sequences clustered with Ascomycota and Pezizomycotina, including genera *Penicillium* (SJ0), *Articulospora* (SJ0, SJ1, SJ4),




Figure 3. Global non-metric multidimensional scaling (GNMDS) ordination of **a** vegetation in sites SJ0–SJ6, and **b** plant species scores. Ovals represent 95% confidence intervals. The vectors in **a** represent environmental variables with correlation ≥ 0.5 .




Figure 4. Global non-metric multidimensional scaling (GNMDS) ordination of phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) results showing **a** sites SJ0–SJ6, **b** three peat layers with lines connecting the layers of each site, and **c** correlations ≥ 0.5 of environmental factors and PLFA summary variables with the ordination.

Table 2. Effect of Successional Stage and Peat Layer on Vegetation and Microbial Groups and Correlations of Community Compositions

Group	PERMANOVA ^b R^2		Procrustes R			
	Stage	Layer	Actinobacteria	Fungi	tII-MOB	Methanogens
Vegetation	0.53	–	0.33	0.64	0.52	0.54
Actinobacteria	0.45	0.17	–	–	–	–
Fungi	0.37	0.25	0.60	–	–	–
tII-MOB ^a	0.38	0.13	0.45	0.44	–	–
Methanogens	0.55	0.12	0.58	0.54	0.40	–

$p = 0.001$ for all.

^aType II methanotrophs.

^bPermutational multivariate analysis of variance.

Phialocephala (SJ2), *Venturia* (SJ2, SJ4), *Rhizocyphus* (SJ5, SJ6), and *Archaerhizomyces* (SJ6) (Figure S4). Approximately 25% of the sequences clustered with Basidiomycota and the order Agaricales, but the genera varied with successional stage. The majority of actinobacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences clustered with Mycobacteriaceae (SJm1–SJ6), Thermomonosporaceae (SJ0–SJ6), or Acidimicrobiaceae (SJm1–SJ6) (Figure S5). All the tII-MOB *pmoA* sequences clustered with the alphaproteobacterial genus *Methylocystis* and showed strong similarity to sequences from peatlands (Figure S6). The most common methanogens along the gradient, based on *mcrA* clone sequences from sites SJm1, SJ2, SJ3, and SJ5, were Methanobacteriaceae (SJ2, SJ5), Methanoflorentaceae (SJ3), Methanoregulaceae (SJm1, SJ2, SJ5), Methanosarcinaceae (SJm1, SJ5) Methanothrichaceae (SJm1, SJ2, SJ3), Methanomassiliococcales (SJ3, SJ5), and Methanomicrobiaceae (SJm1) (Figure S7).

DISCUSSION

Comparison of the Successional Patterns of Functional Groups

In this study, we were interested to determine how the community changes of litter decomposers and CH₄ cycling microbes are coupled along a peatland successional gradient, and how the changes relate to ecosystem processes in C cycling. This is the first study that integrates all these components along a peatland succession, which ranges from recently exposed shoreline to meadows, young fens, and finally bogs. The succession was evidenced as decreasing pH, increasing OM accumulation and C:N, as seen in primary succession gradients (Penanen and others 2001; Tschierko and others 2003), and as the increasing *Sphagnum* cover and peat thickness, characteristic of peatland succession (Korhola 1992; Hughes and Dumayne-Peaty 2002). Vegetation and the overall microbial community (based on PLFAs) changed gradually along the gradient. At the level of functional microbial




Figure 5. Global non-metric multidimensional scaling (GNMDS) ordination of communities and individual operational taxonomic units (OTUs) for fungi (**a–c**), actinobacteria (**d–f**), methanogens (**g–i**), and tII-MOB (**j–l**) in sites SJ0–SJ6. The vectors represent environmental variables with correlation ≥ 0.5 , except for ecosystem respiration (RE), methane (CH_4) emissions, and distance to WL ≥ 0.4 . Correlations of vectors are presented in Table S8 and layer-wise ordinations in Figure S3.




Figure 6. Strength of correlation of microbial functional groups determined as residuals of Procrustes analysis. tII-MOB denotes type II methanotrophs. A small residual value indicates stronger correlation. Different letters indicate significant differences between the successional stages at $p < 0.05$. Ends of whiskers represent minimum and maximum values excluding outliers, which are shown as separate points.

groups, a common feature in the successional patterns of aerobic decomposers (fungi, actinobacteria), methanogens and, to some extent, methanotrophs was that the bog sites (SJ5, SJ6) showed distinct communities, rather than a gradual change. The oldest bog SJ6 also showed a decoupling of aerobic decomposer versus CH_4 -cycling communities, whereas the coupling between fungi versus actinobacteria, and tII-MOB versus methanogens was similar to the rest of the gradient. Bogs represent the climax stage of peatland succession where the low pH and abundant cover of *Sphagnum* with its chemical composition have an adverse effect on other organisms (van Breemen 1995) and constitute environmental filtering that is expected to structure the microbial communities (Medvedeff and others 2015; Ivanova and others 2020; Juottonen 2020; St. James and others 2021).

Against our expectation that actinobacterial and fungal communities (as plant litter degraders) would show greater correlation with vegetation composition than the CH_4 -cycling groups, only fungi followed this prediction. However, actinobacteria and fungi correlated strongly with each other, suggesting similar drivers along the gradient. The more pronounced drivers for both actinobacteria and fungi were acidity and *Sphagnum* moss cover, which were strongly interlinked (Laine and others 2021), and the cover of shrubs. Fungi form mycorrhizae with shrubs (Smith and Read 2008), and actinobacteria inhabit the shrub rhizosphere

(Aanderud and others 2008). Such associations may explain the particularly strong correlation observed here between these microbes and shrubs. Accordingly, ectomycorrhizal *Lactarius* and *Russula* (Basidiomycetes) were detected in bog SJ6 with the greatest shrub cover. Shrub cover and acidity may also explain the presence of Archaeorhizomycetes in bog SJ6, because these fungi are considered root-associated fungi that favor high C content and acidity (Rosling and others 2013; Carrino-Kyker and others 2016). In another potentially acidity-driven pattern, actinobacterial Acidimicrobiaceae from the fen and bog sites were grouped with the acidophilic and potentially iron-cycling genera *Acidimicrobium* and *Aciditerrimonas* (Stackebrandt 2014), whereas those from the young sites with higher pH formed a separate cluster, suggesting different niche preferences related to peatland succession within Acidimicrobiaceae. Such preferences may explain why actinobacteria had similar drivers as fungi but did not follow the gradual vegetation change as consistently as fungi.

Methane-cycling microbes maintained a similar level of coupling along the gradient, but overall, the tII-MOB and methanogen communities did not correlate strongly and, indeed, were driven by different factors, none of which was WL. In previous studies, tII-MOB have followed methanogen communities, presumably because methanogens produce the substrate for MOB (Yrjälä and others 2011; Juottonen and others 2012). The lack of

connection with methanogens in this peatland gradient with a wide range of habitats can be explained by the ability of many *Methylocystis* spp. to grow facultatively (without CH₄) on acetate, ethanol, or hydrogen (Belova and others 2010; Im and others 2011; Hakobyan and others 2020). As expected, we found that *Sphagnum* cover was one of the best explanatory factors for tII-MOB community variation, because MOB inhabit the living *Sphagnum* mosses in addition to the decomposing peat (Kip and others 2010). The occurrence of MOB associated with living *Sphagnum* differed between the younger and older successional stages in this peatland succession gradient (Putkinen and others 2014). Type II MOB, which our approach targeted and which are the prevalent type of MOB in acidic northern peatlands (Chen and others 2008; Dedysh 2011; Zhou and others 2017), were detected in living *Sphagnum* throughout the gradient, whereas several type I MOB groups were prominent in the younger sites (Putkinen and others 2014). Such dominance of type I MOB could explain why we did not detect tII-MOB in the earliest seashore and meadow stages: SJm1, SJ0, and SJ1.

Methanogens showed the strongest response to the peatland successional gradient overall, consistent with the known separation of methanogen communities with peatland type and hydrology (Juottonen and others 2005; Cadillo-Quiroz and others 2006; Merilä and others 2006; Godin and others 2012). The strongest detected drivers of methanogen communities (that is, OM, C, and N content and *Sphagnum* cover) were tightly linked to the gradient. These findings suggest that methanogens are sensitive microbial indicators of peatland succession.

Linking Microbial Community Changes with Ecosystem Functions Along the Peatland Gradient

The greatest potential microbial activity (aerobic CO₂ production and CH₄ production and oxidation) and RE rates appeared in the early successional meadows and the youngest fen, although the community structures of the functional microbial groups in the meadows were not distinct from the other young peatland stages. This could be expected for actinobacteria and fungi as the widely distributed process of CO₂ production cannot be attributed to specific microbial groups. Instead, the meadow stages exhibited the largest bacterial and fungal biomass, which points to a strong potential for microbial C turnover. In driving the strong

microbial activity, the younger stages show greater levels of photosynthesis than the older stages (Leppälä and others 2008). The meadows had a greater cover of sedges, which suggests the availability of root exudates to fuel microbial processes, including methanogenesis (Ström and others 2003). Meadow SJ2 with strong CH₄ production potential contained groups of methanogens associated with sedges or with elevated CH₄ production activity levels: acetate-using Methanotrichaceae and hydrogenotrophic Methanobacteriaceae (Bräuer and others 2020).

It is surprising that all the microbial activity potentials peaked in the young meadow sites, given that our modeling results suggest that CO₂ production, CH₄ production, and CH₄ oxidation potentials were driven by OM, acidity, and WL in very distinct ways. However, when the microbial biomass and pH (around 5) at these sites are considered, this was favorable for both CH₄ production and oxidation. The meadows and the youngest fen represent dynamic environments that operate with considerable variations in resources and conditions, and do not possess as many limiting factors as the older peatlands with pH < 5. We propose that these early successional sites at the start of OM accumulation represent the 'Goldilocks' zone of peatland succession for C cycling: A sufficiently high and stable WL, but not too wet or too stable that allows both aerobic and anaerobic processes and the replenishment of redox-sensitive substrates; not too acidic; and adequate availability of C but not so much that processes would become N limited. This stage may act as a bottleneck for the development of a peatland with a thick peat layer and conditions that limit decomposition. Fen vegetation has been suggested as important for supporting multiple C cycling functions in peatlands (Robroek and others 2017) as the abundance of resources and processes makes these peatlands larger sources of CO₂ and CH₄ than the more stable older sites, and their dynamism makes these emissions sensitive to environmental changes.

Role of Peat Layers and Microforms in Successional Patterns

Strong depth-related variation and spatial variation complicate the comparison of peat profiles from widely differing peatlands, such as those in the gradient of this study. Successional changes in peat thickness, WL, the depth distribution of peat chemical composition, and the presence of microforms along the gradient must be considered in sampling design. First, our sampling scheme aimed

to obtain good spatial coverage of the different microforms, which are known to affect microbial communities (Galand and others 2003; Deng and others 2013; Kotiaho and others 2013; Asemaginejad and others 2019). However, microform-related variation was not evident at the community scale along the gradient (Figure S3). Second, we aimed to sample the peat above, around, and below the WL in each stage. Basing sampling depths solely on WL would have led to comparisons of microbial communities among very different peat substrates. It is possible that this sampling scheme, where the thickness of the sample layer increased with peat layer thickness, may have reduced the resolution for methanogens and tII-MOB, which are known to vary closer to the WL. Nonetheless, our sampling approach represents a carefully considered compromise designed to allow comparison of all stages along such an extensive peatland gradient.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study provides a comprehensive view on the interplay of peatland vegetation, decomposer communities, and CH₄-cycling microbes in the regulation of CO₂ and CH₄ production, by addressing all these components in the same peatland successional gradient. The results highlight the role of young meadows and fens as dynamic sites sensitive to environmental changes (Leppälä and others 2011b), with the greatest microbial potential for C release along the gradient. This major C turnover phase may represent a bottleneck in peatland succession that is necessary for peat accumulation. The dynamics of these young sites were not captured successfully by a peatland successional model (Tuittila and others 2013), which further underlines the need to understand the controls of C cycling in young peatlands with a thin peat layer. The concept of young peatland meadows as the 'Goldilocks' zone of carbon cycling could be applicable to other regions where sedge- or grass-dominated areas start accumulating peat, especially when thicker peat is accompanied by increasing acidity. The considerable potential for C turnover in the meadows was not apparent from microbial community composition alone, stressing the importance of measuring microbial activity and biomass. Because the microbial communities remained relatively similar throughout the meadow and older fen stages, climate or land use changes that increase vascular plant and sedge cover especially, could potentially turn such sites into similar hot spots of microbial C turnover as the young fens. On the other hand, microbial community compo-

sition across the different functional groups was an excellent indicator of the strong restriction of C cycling activity in bogs. The uncoupling of decomposer and CH₄-cycling communities further indicates the strong but distinct microbial response to *Sphagnum* dominance and increasing acidity and C:N ratio. The specific microbial communities observed in bogs could be used as indicators of a peatland C sink and as a potential baseline of restoration measures that aim to stabilize the C in the peat. As the response stems from the unique characteristics of *Sphagnum* peat, such indicators could be useful over a wide geographical range of *Sphagnum*-dominated peatlands.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Hanna Aulanko, Juha Puranen, Sirpa Tiikkainen, Julie Rodriguez, and Gilberto Duran-Torres for assistance in the laboratory, Sanna Ehonen, Meri Ruppel, Lauri Hirvisaari, and Markku Nikola for assistance with fieldwork, and Mirva Leppälä for assistance in sample processing. The work was funded by the Academy of Finland (Projects 131409, 218101, 315415, 287039, and 330840), funding from Kone Foundation to AML, and funding from the Finnish Society of Forest Science to MK.

FUNDING

Open access funding provided by University of Jyväskylä (JYU).

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

OPEN ACCESS

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will

need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

REFERENCES

- Aanderud ZT, Shuldman MI, Drenovsky RE, Richards JH. 2008. Shrub-interspace dynamics alter relationships between microbial community composition and belowground ecosystem characteristics. *Soil Biol Biochem* 40:2206–2216.
- Andersen R, Poulin M, Borcard D, Laiho R, Laine J, Vasander H, Tuittila ES. 2011. Environmental control and spatial structures in peatland vegetation. *J Veg Sci* 22:878–890.
- Andersen R, Chapman SJ, Artz RRE. 2013. Microbial communities in natural and disturbed peatlands: a review. *Soil Biol Biochem* 57:979–994.
- Anderson MJ. 2001. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. *Austral Ecol* 26:32–46.
- Asemaninejad A, Thorn RG, Branfireun BA, Lindo Z. 2019. Vertical stratification of peatland microbial communities follows a gradient of functional types across hummock–hollow microtopographies. *Écoscience* 26:249–258.
- Bauer IE, Gignac LD, Vitt DH. 2003. Development of a peatland complex in boreal western Canada: lateral site expansion and local variability in vegetation succession and long-term peat accumulation. *Can J Bot* 81:833–847.
- Belova SE, Baani M, Suzina NE, Bodelier PLE, Liesack W, Dedysh SN. 2010. Acetate utilization as a survival strategy of peat-inhabiting *Methylocystis* spp. *Environ Microbiol Rep* 3:36–46.
- Bragazza L, Buttler A, Robroek BJ, Albrecht R, Zaccone C, Jassey VE, Signarbieux C. 2016. Persistent high temperature and low precipitation reduce peat carbon accumulation. *Global Change Biol* 22:4114–4123.
- Bräuer S, Basiliko N, Siljanen HMP, Zinder S. 2020. Methanogenic archaea in peatlands. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 367:fnaa172.
- Breeuwer A, Robroek BJ, Limpens J, Heijmans MM, Schouten MG, Berendse F. 2009. Decreased summer water table depth affects peatland vegetation. *Basic Appl Ecol* 10:330–339.
- Cadillo-Quiroz H, Bräuer S, Yashiro E, Sun C, Yavitt J, Zinder S. 2006. Vertical profiles of methanogenesis and methanogens in two contrasting acidic peatlands in central New York State, USA. *Environ Microbiol* 8:1428–1440.
- Carrino-Kyker SR, Kluber LA, Petersen SM, Coyle KP, Hewins CR, DeForest JL, Smemo KA, Burke DJ. 2016. Mycorrhizal fungal communities respond to experimental elevation of soil pH and P availability in temperate hardwood forests. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 92:fiw024.
- Chen Y, Dumont MG, Neufeld JD, Bodrossy L, Stralis-Pavese N, McNamara NP, Ostle N, Briones MJI, Murrell JC. 2008. Revealing the uncultivated majority: combining DNA stable-isotope probing, multiple displacement amplification and metagenomic analyses of uncultivated *Methylocystis* in acidic peatlands. *Environ Microbiol* 10:2609–2622.
- Dedysh SN. 2011. Cultivating uncultured bacteria from northern wetlands: knowledge gained and remaining gaps. *Front Microbiol* 2:184.
- Deng Y, Cui X, Lüke C, Dumont MG. 2013. Methanotrophs in Riganqiao peat. *Environ Microbiol Rep* 5:566–574.
- Dieleman CM, Branfireun BA, McLaughlin JW, Lindo Z. 2015. Climate change drives a shift in peatland ecosystem plant community: implications for ecosystem function and stability. *Global Change Biol* 21:388–395.
- Dieleman CM, Lindo Z, McLaughlin JW, Craig AE, Branfireun BA. 2016. Climate change effects on peatland decomposition and porewater dissolved organic carbon biogeochemistry. *Biogeochemistry* 128:385–396.
- Frostegård Å, Bååth E. 1996. The use of phospholipid fatty acid analysis to estimate bacterial and fungal biomass in soil. *Biol Fertil Soils* 22:59–65.
- Frostegård Å, Bååth E, Tunlid A. 1993. Shifts in the structure of soil microbial communities in limed forests as revealed by phospholipid fatty acid analysis. *Soil Biol Biochem* 25:723–730.
- Galand PE, Fritze H, Yrjälä K. 2003. Microsite-dependent changes in methanogenic populations in a boreal oligotrophic fen. *Environ Microbiol* 5:1133–1143.
- Gardes M, Bruns TD. 1993. ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes—application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. *Mol Ecol* 2:113–118.
- Glaser PH, Hansen BC, Siegel DI, Reeve AS, Morin PJ. 2004. Rates, pathways and drivers for peatland development in the Hudson Bay Lowlands, northern Ontario, Canada. *J Ecol* 92:1036–1053.
- Godin A, McLaughlin JW, Webster KL, Packalen M, Basiliko N. 2012. Methane and methanogen community dynamics across a boreal peatland nutrient gradient. *Soil Biol Biochem* 48:96–105.
- Gorham E. 1991. Northern peatlands, role in the carbon cycle and probable responses to climatic warming. *Ecol Appl* 1:182–195.
- Gunnarsson U, Malmer N, Rydin H. 2002. Dynamics or constancy in *Sphagnum* dominated mire ecosystems? A 40-year study. *Ecography* 25:685–704.
- Hakobyan A, Zhu J, Glatter T, Paczia N, Liesack W. 2020. Hydrogen utilization by *Methylocystis* sp. strain SC2 expands the known metabolic versatility of type IIa methanotrophs. *Metab Eng* 61:181–196.
- Harris LL, Roulet NT, Moore TM. 2020. Mechanisms for the development of microform patterns in peatlands of the Hudson Bay lowland. *Ecosystems* 23:741–767.
- Helbig M, Waddington JM, Alekseychik P, Amiro BD, Aurela M, Barr AG, Chi J. 2020. Increasing contribution of peatlands to boreal evapotranspiration in a warming climate. *Nat Clim Change* 10:555–560.
- Holmes AJ, Costello A, Lidstrom ME, Murrell JC. 1995. Evidence that particulate methane monooxygenase and ammonia monooxygenase may be evolutionarily related. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 132:203–208.
- Hughes PDM, Dumayne-Peaty L. 2002. Testing theories of mire development using multiple successions at Crymlyn Bog, West Glamorgan, South Wales, UK. *J Ecol* 90:456–471.
- Im J, Lee SW, Yoon S, DiSpirito AA, Semrau JD. 2011. Characterization of a novel facultative *Methylocystis* species capable of growth on methane, acetate and ethanol. *Environ Microbiol Rep* 3:174–181.
- Ivanova AA, Beletsky AV, Rakitin AL, Kadnikov VV, Philippov DA, Mardanov AV, Ravin NV, Dedysh SN. 2020. Closely located but totally distinct: highly contrasting prokaryotic diversity patterns in raised bogs and eutrophic fens. *Microorganisms* 8:484.

- Jaatinen K, Tuittila ES, Laine J, Yrjälä K, Fritze H. 2005. Methane-oxidizing bacteria in a Finnish raised mire complex: effects of site fertility and drainage. *Microb Ecol* 50:429–439.
- Jaatinen K, Fritze H, Laine J, Laiho R. 2007. Effects of short- and long-term water-level drawdown on the populations and activity of aerobic decomposers in a boreal peatland. *Global Change Biol* 13:491–510.
- Jassey VE, Chiapusio G, Binet P, Buttler A, Laggoun-Défarage F, Delarue F, Gilbert D. 2013. Above- and belowground linkages in *Sphagnum* peatland: climate warming affects plant-microbial interactions. *Global Change Biol* 19:811–823.
- Jassey VE, Reczuga MK, Zielińska M, Słowińska S, Robroek BJ, Mariotte P, Bragazza L. 2018. Tipping point in plant-fungal interactions under severe drought causes abrupt rise in peatland ecosystem respiration. *Global Change Biol* 24:972–986.
- Juottonen H. 2020. Disentangling the effects of methanogen community and environment on peatland greenhouse gas production by a reciprocal transplant experiment. *Funct Ecol* 34:1268–1279.
- Juottonen H, Galand PE, Tuittila ES, Laine J, Fritze H, Yrjälä K. 2005. Methanogen communities and bacteria along an ecohydrological gradient in a northern raised bog complex. *Environ Microbiol* 7:1547–1557.
- Juottonen H, Hynninen A, Nieminen M, Tuomivirta T, Tuittila E-S, Nousiainen H, Kell DK, Yrjälä K, Tervahauta A, Fritze H. 2012. Methane-cycling microbial communities and methane emission in natural and restored peatlands. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 78:6386–6389.
- Kaiser C, Frank A, Wild B, Koranda M, Richter A. 2010. Negligible contribution from roots to soil-borne phospholipid fatty acid fungal biomarkers 18: 2 ω 6, 9 and 18: 1 ω 9. *Soil Biol Biochem* 42:1650–1652.
- Kip N, van Winden J, Pan Y, Bodrossy L, Reichart GJ, Smolders AJP, Jetten MSM, Damsté JSS, Op den Camp HJM. 2010. Global prevalence of methane oxidation by symbiotic bacteria in peat-moss ecosystems. *Nat Geosci* 3:617–621.
- Korhola A. 1992. Mire induction, ecosystem dynamics and lateral extension on raised bogs in the southern coastal area of Finland. *Fenn-Int J Geogr* 170:25–94.
- Kotiaho M, Fritze H, Merilä P, Tuomivirta T, Välikanta M, Korhola A, Karofeld E, Tuittila ES. 2013. Actinobacteria community structure in the peat profile of boreal bogs follows a variation in the microtopographical gradient similar to vegetation. *Plant Soil* 369:103–114.
- Kroppenstedt RM. 1985. Fatty acids and menaquinone analysis of actinomycetes and related organisms. In: Goodfellow M, Minnikin DE, Eds. *Chemical methods in bacterial systematics*, London: Academic Press. pp 173–199.
- Laiho R. 2006. Decomposition in peatlands: reconciling seemingly contrasting results on the impacts of lowered water levels. *Soil Biol Biochem* 38:2011–2024.
- Laine AM, Mehtätalo L, Tolvanen A, Frohling S, Tuittila E-S. 2019a. Combined effect of drainage, restoration and warming on boreal mire greenhouse gas fluxes. *Sci Total Environ* 647:169–181.
- Laine AM, Mäkiranta P, Laiho R, Mehtätalo L, Penttilä T, Korrensalo A, Minkkinen K, Fritze H, Tuittila E-S. 2019b. Warming impacts on boreal fen CO₂ exchange under wet and dry conditions. *Global Change Biol* 25:1995–2008.
- Laine AM, Lindholm T, Nilsson M, Kutznetsov O, Jassey VEJ, Tuittila ES. 2021. Functional diversity and trait composition of vascular plant and *Sphagnum* moss communities during peatland succession across land uplift regions. *J Ecol* 109:1774–1789.
- Leppälä M, Kukko-Oja K, Laine J, Tuittila ES. 2008. Seasonal dynamics of CO₂ exchange during primary succession of boreal mires as controlled by phenology of plants. *Ecoscience* 15:460–471.
- Leppälä M, Laine AM, Seväkivi ML, Tuittila ES. 2011a. Differences in CO₂ dynamics between successional mire plant communities during wet and dry summers. *J Veg Sci* 22:357–366.
- Leppälä M, Oksanen J, Tuittila ES. 2011b. Methane flux dynamics during mire succession. *Oecologia* 165:489–499.
- Lisboa FJG, Peres-Neto PR, Chaer GM, Jesus EdC, Mitchell RJ, Chapman SJ, Berbera RLL. 2014. Much beyond Mantel: bringing Procrustes association metric to the plant and soil ecologist's toolbox. *PLoS ONE* 9:e101238.
- Luton PE, Wayne JM, Sharp RJ, Riley PW. 2002. The *mcrA* gene as an alternative to 16S rRNA in the phylogenetic analysis of methanogen populations in landfill. *Microbiology* 148:3521–3530.
- Mäkiranta P, Laiho R, Mehtätalo L, Strakova P, Sormunen J, Minkkinen K, Penttilä T, Fritze H, Tuittila E-S. 2018. Responses of phenology and biomass production of boreal fens to climate warming under different water-table level regimes. *Global Change Biol* 24:944–956.
- Medvedeff CA, Bridgman SD, Pfeifer-Meister L, Keller JK. 2015. Can *Sphagnum* leachate chemistry explain differences in anaerobic decomposition in peatlands? *Soil Biol Biochem* 86:34–41.
- Merilä P, Galand PE, Fritze H, Tuittila ES, Kukko-oja K, Laine J, Yrjälä K. 2006. Methanogen communities along a primary succession transect of mire ecosystems. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 55:221–229.
- Monier E, Sokolov A, Schlosser A, Scott J, Gao X. 2013. Probabilistic projections of 21st century climate change over Northern Eurasia. *Environ Res Lett* 8:045008.
- Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Henry M, Stevens H, Wagner. 2015. *vegan: Community Ecology Package R package version 2.3-0*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan>.
- Peltoniemi K, Fritze H, Laiho R. 2009. Response of fungal and actinobacterial communities to water-level drawdown in boreal peatland sites. *Soil Biol Biochem* 41:1902–1914.
- Peltoniemi K, Straková P, Fritze H, Alvira Iráizoz P, Pennanen T, Laiho R. 2012. How water-level drawdown modifies litter-decomposing fungal and actinobacterial communities in boreal peatlands. *Soil Biol Biochem* 51:20–34.
- Peltoniemi K, Laiho R, Juottonen H, Kiikkilä O, Mäkiranta P, Minkkinen K, Pennanen T, Penttilä T, Sarjala T, Tuittila ES, Tuomivirta TT, Fritze H. 2015. Microbial ecology in a future climate: effects of temperature and moisture on microbial communities of two boreal fens. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 91:fiv062.
- Peltoniemi K, Laiho R, Juottonen H, Bodrossy L, Kell DK, Minkkinen K, Mäkiranta P, Mehtätalo L, Siljanen HMP, Tuittila ES, Tuomivirta TT, Fritze H. 2016. Responses of methanogenic and methanotrophic communities to warming in varying moisture regimes of two boreal fens. *Soil Biol Biochem* 97:144–156.
- Pennanen T, Strömmer R, Markkola A, Fritze H. 2001. Microbial and plant community structure across a primary succession gradient. *Scand J for Res* 16:37–43.

- Peres-Neto PR, Jackson DA. 2001. How well do multivariate data sets match? The advantages of a Procrustean superimposition approach over the Mantel test. *Oecologia* 129:169–178.
- Perkiomäki J, Fritze H. 2002. Short and long-term effects of wood ash on the boreal forest humus microbial community. *Soil Biol Biochem* 34:1343–1353.
- Putkinen A, Larmola T, Tuomivirta T, Siljanen HMP, Bodrossy L, Tuittila ES, Fritze H. 2014. Peatland succession induces a shift in the community composition of *Sphagnum*-associated active methanotrophs. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 88:596–611.
- R Core Team. 2014. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Riutta T, Laine J, Tuittila ES. 2007. Sensitivity of CO₂ exchange of fen ecosystem components to water level variation. *Ecosystems* 10:718–733.
- Robroek BJ, Jassey VE, Kox MA, Berendsen RL, Mills RT, Cécillon L, Puissant J, Meima-Franke M, Bakker PAHM, Bodelier PL. 2015. Peatland vascular plant functional types affect methane dynamics by altering microbial community structure. *J Ecol* 103:925–934.
- Robroek BJ, Jassey VE, Beltman B, Hefting MM. 2017. Diverse fen plant communities enhance carbon-related multifunctionality, but do not mitigate negative effects of drought. *R Soc Open Sci* 4:170449.
- Rosling A, Timling I, Taylor DL. 2013. Archaeorhizomycetes: patterns of distribution and abundance in soil. In: Horwitz B, Mukherjee P, Mukherjee M, Kubicek C, Eds. *Genomics of soil-and plant-associated fungi*. Berlin: Springer. pp 333–349.
- Roulet N, Moore T, Bubier J, Laffleur P. 1992. Northern fens: methane flux and climatic change. *Tellus B* 44:100–105.
- Smith SE, Read DJ. 2008. *Mycorrhizal symbiosis*, 3rd edn. Cambridge: Academic Press. p 800.
- Sottocornola M, Laine A, Kiely G, Byrne KA, Tuittila ES. 2009. Vegetation and environmental variation in an Atlantic blanket bog in south-western Ireland. *Plant Ecol* 203:69–81.
- St James AR, Yavitt JB, Zinder SH, Richardson RE. 2021. Linking microbial *Sphagnum* degradation and acetate mineralization in acidic peat bogs: from global insights to a genome-centric case study. *ISME J* 15:293–303.
- Stach JE, Maldonado LA, Ward AC, Goodfellow M, Bull AT. 2003. New primers for the class actinobacteria: application to marine and terrestrial environments. *Environ Microbiol* 5:828–841.
- Stackebrandt E. 2014. The family *Acidimicrobiaceae*. In: Rosenberg E, DeLong EF, Lory S, Stackebrandt E, Thompson F, Eds. *The prokaryotes*. Berlin: Springer. pp 5–12.
- Straková P, Penttilä T, Laine J, Laiho R. 2012. Disentangling direct and indirect effects of water table drawdown on above- and belowground plant litter decomposition: consequences for accumulation of organic matter in boreal peatlands. *Global Change Biol* 18:322–335.
- Ström L, Ekberg A, Mastepanov M, Christensen TR. 2003. The effect of vascular plants on carbon turnover and methane emissions from a tundra wetland. *Global Change Biol* 9:1185–1192.
- Tahvanainen T. 2011. Abrupt ombrotrophication of a boreal aapa mire triggered by hydrological disturbance in the catchment. *J Ecol* 99:404–415.
- Tscherko D, Rustemeier J, Richter A, Wanek W, Kandeler E. 2003. Functional diversity of the soil microflora in primary succession across two glacier forelands in the Central Alps. *Eur J Soil Sci* 54:685–696.
- Tuittila ES, Juutinen S, Frolking S, Väiliranta M, Laine AM, Miettinen A, Merilä P. 2013. Wetland chronosequence as a model of peatland development: Vegetation succession, peat and carbon accumulation. *Holocene* 23:25–35.
- Tuomivirta TT, Yrjälä K, Fritze H. 2009. Quantitative PCR of *pmoA* using a novel reverse primer correlates with potential methane oxidation in Finnish fen. *Res Microbiol* 160:751–756.
- Urbanová Z, Bárta J. 2016. Effects of long-term drainage on microbial community composition vary between peatland types. *Soil Biol Biochem* 92:16–26.
- Urbanová Z, Pícek T, Bárta J. 2011. Effect of peat re-wetting on carbon and nutrient fluxes, greenhouse gas production and diversity of methanogenic archaeal community. *Ecol Eng* 37:1017–1026.
- van Breemen N. 1995. How *Sphagnum* bogs down other plants. *Trends Ecol Evolut* 10:270–275.
- Weltzin JF, Pastor J, Harth C, Bridgman SD, Updegraff K, Chapin CT. 2000. Response of bog and fen plant communities to warming and water-table manipulations. *Ecology* 81:3464–3478.
- Wood SN. 2006. *Generalized additive models: an introduction with R*. Boca Raton: Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Yrjälä K, Tuomivirta T, Juottonen H, Putkinen A, Lappi K, Tuittila ES, Penttilä T, Laine J, Peltoniemi K, Fritze H. 2011. CH₄ production and oxidation processes in a boreal fen ecosystem after long-term water table drawdown. *Global Change Biol* 17:1311–1320.
- Yu ZC. 2012. Northern peatland carbon stocks and dynamics: a review. *Biogeosciences* 9:4071–4085.
- Zhou X, Zhang Z, Tian L, Xiujun Li, Tian C. 2017. Microbial communities in peatlands along a chronosequence on the Sanjiang Plain, China. *Sci Rep* 7:9567.