

University of Windsor

Scholarship at UWindsor

Research Result Summaries

Fall 9-6-2022

Program Development in the Faculty of Science: Examining Procedures, Challenges, and Opportunities

Laura Chittle

laura.chittle@uwindsor.ca

Erika Kustra

University of Windsor, kustraed@uwindsor.ca

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/research-result-summaries>

Consistent with the TCPS 2 (4.7) this is a research summary provided to participants and should not be considered a formal publication of results.

Recommended Citation

Chittle, L., & Kustra, E. (2022). Program Development in the Faculty of Science: Examining Procedures, Challenges, and Opportunities. Retrieved from <https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/research-result-summaries/143>

This Completed Summary is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarship at UWindsor. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Result Summaries by an authorized administrator of Scholarship at UWindsor. For more information, please contact scholarship@uwindsor.ca.

The purpose of this project was to examine curriculum development within a Faculty of Science at a mid-sized university in Ontario, Canada. In particular, we sought to understand departmental procedures and policies for curriculum development and revision as well as curricular collaboration across the Faculty of Science. Ten faculty members were interviewed, and data transcripts were subjected to a content analysis. Key findings suggest there is consistency in terms of the procedures and policies departments follow with respect to their curriculum development efforts. These align with institutional workflow requirements. Participants reported that their departments were engaging in various aspects of curriculum development from creating new courses, certificates, degree programs, college transfer pathways as well as engaging in curriculum mapping and learning outcome development. Strengths of departmental curriculum development efforts included the use of a faculty-level curriculum development committee, consultations with students, and strong leadership among those who chair curriculum-related committees. Key challenges included the political and confrontational nature of curriculum development, limited time and resources devoted to curriculum development, and the perceptions of curriculum development as bureaucratic work.