

## **University of New Mexico**



# On Neutrosophic Semi-Supra Open Set and Neutrosophic Semi-Supra **Continuous Functions**

R. Dhavaseelan<sup>1</sup>, M. Parimala<sup>2</sup>, S. Jafari<sup>3</sup>, F. Smarandache<sup>4</sup>

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce and investigate a new class of sets and functions between topological space called neutrosophic

semi-supra open set and neutrosophic semi-supra open continuous functions respectively.

Keywords: Supra topological spaces; neutrosophic supra-topological spaces; neutrosophic semi-supra open set.

#### 1 **Introduction and Preliminaries**

Intuitionistic fuzzy set is defined by Atanassov [2] as a generalization of the concept of fuzzy set given by Zadesh [14]. Using the notation of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Coker [3] introduced the notion of an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. The supra topological spaces and studied s-continuous functions and  $s^*$ continuous functions were introduced by A. S. Mashhour [6] in 1993. In 1987, M. E. Abd El-Monsef et al. [1] introduced the fuzzy supra topological spaces and studied fuzzy supra continuous functions and obtained some properties and characterizations. In 1996, Keun Min [13] introduced fuzzy s-continuous, fuzzy s-open and fuzzy s-closed maps and established a number of characterizations. In 2008, R. Devi et al. [4] introduced the concept of supra  $\alpha$ -open set, and in 1983, A. S. Mashhour et al. introduced the notion of supra-semi open set, supra semicontinuous functions and studied some of the basic properties for this class of functions. In 1999, Necla Turan [11] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy supra topological space. The concept of intuitionistic fuzzy semi-supra open set was introduced by Parimala and Indirani [7]. After the introduction of the concepts of neutrosophy and a neutrosophic se by F. Smarandache [[9], [10]], A. A. Salama and S. A. Alblowi[8] introduced the concepts of neutrosophic crisp set and neutrosophic topological spaces.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and investigate a new class of sets and functions between topological space called neutrosophic semi-supra open set and neutrosophic semi-supra open continuous functions, respectively.

**Definition 1.1.** Let 
$$T, I, F$$
 be real standard or non standard subsets of  $]0^-, 1^+[$ , with  $sup_T = t_{sup}, inf_T = t_{inf}$   $sup_I = i_{sup}, inf_I = i_{inf}$   $sup_F = f_{sup}, inf_F = f_{inf}$ 

$$n-sup=t_{sup}+i_{sup}+f_{sup}$$
 
$$n-inf=t_{inf}+i_{inf}+f_{inf} \ . \ T, I, F \ \text{are neutrosophic components}$$

**Definition 1.2.** Let X be a nonempty fixed set. A neutrosophic set [briefly NS] A is an object having the form A = $\{\langle x, \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x), \sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x), \gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x)\rangle \ : \ x \ \in \ X\}, \ \text{where} \ \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x), \sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x)$ and  $\gamma_A(x)$  represent the degree of membership function (namely  $\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x)$ ), the degree of indeterminacy (namely  $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x)$ ) and the degree of nonmembership (namely  $\gamma_A(x)$ ) respectively of each element  $x \in X$  to the set A.

**Remark 1.1.** (1) A neutrosophic  $\{\langle x, \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x), \sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x), \gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$  can be identified to an ordered triple  $\langle \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle A}, \sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle A}, \gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle A} \rangle$  in  $]0^-, 1^+[$  on

(2) For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol  $A = \langle \mu_A, \sigma_A, \gamma_A \rangle$  for the neutrosophic set A = $\{\langle x, \mu_{\Lambda}(x), \sigma_{\Lambda}(x), \gamma_{\Lambda}(x) \rangle : x \in X\}.$ 

**Definition 1.3.** Let X be a nonempty set and the neutrosophic sets A and B in the form

$$A=\{\langle x,\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x),\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x),\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x)\rangle:x\in X\},\ B=\{\langle x,\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(x),\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(x),\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(x)\rangle:x\in X\}.$$
 Then

- (a)  $A\subseteq B$  iff  $\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x)\le \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(x),\, \sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x)\le \sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(x)$  and  $\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x)\ge \gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(x)$  for all  $x\in X$ ;
- (b) A = B iff  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A$ ;
- (c)  $\bar{A}=\{\langle x,\gamma_{{}_A}(x),\sigma_{{}_A}(x),\mu_{{}_A}(x)\rangle\,:\,x\in X\};$  [Complement
- (d)  $A\cap B=\{\langle x,\mu_{{}_A}(x)\wedge\mu_{{}_B}(x),\sigma_{{}_A}(x)\wedge\sigma_{{}_B}(x),\gamma_{{}_A}(x)\vee\gamma_{{}_B}(x)\rangle:x\in X\};$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Sona College of Technology, Salem-636005, Tamil Nadu, India. E-mail dhavaseelan.r@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Bannari Amman Institute of Technology Sathyamangalam-638401, Tamil Nadu, India . E-mail: rishwanthpari@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Vestsjaelland South, Herrestraede 11, 4200 Slagelse, Denmark. E-mail: jafaripersia@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Mathematics & Science Department, University of New Maxico, 705 Gurley Ave, Gallup, NM 87301, USA. E-mail: fsmarandache@gmail.com

(e)  $A \cup B = \{(x, \mu_A(x) \lor \mu_B(x), \sigma_A(x) \lor \sigma_B(x), \gamma_A(x) \land \gamma_B(x)\} : x \in X\};$ 

(f) 
$$[]A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), 1 - \mu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\};$$

$$(\mathbf{g}) \ \langle \rangle A = \{ \langle x, 1 - \gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x), \sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x), \gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x) \rangle : x \in X \}.$$

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $\{A_i : i \in J\}$  be an arbitrary family of neutrosophic sets in X. Then

(a) 
$$\bigcap A_i = \{ \langle x, \wedge \mu_{A_i}(x), \wedge \sigma_{A_i}(x), \vee \gamma_{A_i}(x) \rangle : x \in X \};$$

(b) 
$$\bigcup A_i = \{ \langle x, \vee \mu_{A_i}(x), \vee \sigma_{A_i}(x), \wedge \gamma_{A_i}(x) \rangle : x \in X \}.$$

Since our main purpose is to construct the tools for developing neutrosophic topological spaces, we must introduce the neutrosophic sets  $\mathbf{0}_N$  and  $\mathbf{1}_N$  in X as follows:

**Definition 1.5.** 
$$0_N=\{\langle x,0,0,1\rangle:x\in X\}$$
 and  $1_N=\{\langle x,1,1,0\rangle:x\in X\}.$ 

**Definition 1.6.** [5] A neutrosophic topology (NT) on a nonempty set X is a family T of neutrosophic sets in X satisfying the following axioms:

- (i)  $0_N, 1_N \in T$ ,
- (ii)  $G_1 \cap G_2 \in T$  for any  $G_1, G_2 \in T$ ,
- (iii)  $\cup G_i \in T$  for arbitrary family  $\{G_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} \subseteq T$ .

In this case the ordered pair (X,T) or simply X is called a neutrosophic topological space (NTS) and each neutrosophic set in T is called a neutrosophic open set (NOS). The complement  $\overline{A}$  of a NOS A in X is called a neutrosophic closed set (NCS) in X.

**Definition 1.7.** [5] Let A be a neutrosophic set in a neutrosophic topological space X. Then

 $Nint(A) = \bigcup \{G \mid G \text{ is a neutrosophic open set in X and } G \subseteq A\}$  is called the neutrosophic interior of A;

 $Ncl(A) = \bigcap \{G \mid G \text{ is a neutrosophic closed set in X and } G \supseteq A\}$  is called the neutrosophic closure of A.

**Definition 1.8.** Let X be a nonempty set. If r,t,s be real standard or non standard subsets of  $]0^-,1^+[$ , then the neutrosophic set  $x_{r,t,s}$  is called a neutrosophic point(in short NP )in X given by

$$x_{r,t,s}(x_p) = \begin{cases} (r,t,s), & \text{if } x = x_p \\ (0,0,1), & \text{if } x \neq x_p \end{cases}$$

for  $x_p \in X$  is called the support of  $x_{r,t,s}$ , where r denotes the degree of membership value t denotes the degree of indeterminacy and t is the degree of non-membership value of t in t in

Now we shall define the image and preimage of neutrosophic sets. Let X and Y be two nonempty sets and  $f:X\to Y$  be a function.

#### **Definition 1.9.** [5]

- (a) If  $B=\{\langle y,\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(y),\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(y),\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(y)\rangle:y\in Y\}$  is a neutrosophic set in Y, then the preimage of B under f, denoted by  $f^{-1}(B)$ , is the neutrosophic set in X defined by  $f^{-1}(B)=\{\langle x,f^{-1}(\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle B})(x),f^{-1}(\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle B})(x),f^{-1}(\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle B})(x)\rangle:x\in X\}.$
- (b) If  $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$  is a neutrosophic set in X, then the image of A under f, denoted by f(A), is the neutrosophic set in Y defined by  $f(A) = \{\langle y, f(\mu_A)(y), f(\sigma_A)(y), (1-f(1-\gamma_A))(y) \rangle : y \in Y \}$ . where

$$\begin{split} f(\mu_A)(y) &= \begin{cases} \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \mu_A(x), & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ f(\sigma_A)(y) &= \begin{cases} \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \sigma_A(x), & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ (1 - f(1 - \gamma_A))(y) &= \begin{cases} \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \gamma_A(x), & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

For the sake of simplicity, let us use the symbol  $f_{-}(\gamma_{A})$  for  $1 - f(1 - \gamma_{A})$ .

**Corollary 1.1.** [5] Let A,  $A_i(i \in J)$  be neutrosophic sets in X, B,  $B_i(i \in K)$  be neutrosophic sets in Y and  $f: X \to Y$  a function. Then

- (a)  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \Rightarrow f(A_1) \subseteq f(A_2)$ ,
- (b)  $B_1 \subseteq B_2 \Rightarrow f^{-1}(B_1) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_2),$
- (c)  $A \subseteq f^{-1}(f(A))$  { If f is injective, then  $A = f^{-1}(f(A))$  },
- (d)  $f(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq B$  { If f is surjective, then  $f(f^{-1}(B)) = B$  },
- (e)  $f^{-1}(\bigcup B_i) = \bigcup f^{-1}(B_i),$
- (f)  $f^{-1}(\bigcap B_i) = \bigcap f^{-1}(B_i)$ ,
- (g)  $f(\bigcup A_i) = \bigcup f(A_i)$ ,
- (h)  $f(\bigcap A_i) \subseteq \bigcap f(A_i)$  { If f is injective,then  $f(\bigcap A_i) = \bigcap f(A_i)$ },
- (i)  $f^{-1}(1_N) = 1_N$ ,
- (j)  $f^{-1}(0_N) = 0_N$ ,
- (k)  $f(1_N) = 1_N$ , if f is surjective
- (1)  $f(0_N) = 0_N$ ,
- (m)  $\overline{f(A)} \subseteq f(\overline{A})$ , if f is surjective,
- (n)  $f^{-1}(\overline{B}) = \overline{f^{-1}(B)}$ .

## 2 Main Results

**Definition 2.1.** A neutrosophic set A in a neutrosophic topological space (X,T) is called

- 1) a neutrosophic semiopen set (NSOS) if A = Ncl(Nint(A)).
- 2) a neutrosophic  $\alpha$  open set  $(N\alpha OS)$  if A Nint(Ncl(Nint(A))).
- 3) a neutrosophic preopen set (NPOS) if  $A \subseteq Nint(Ncl(A))$ .
- 4) a neutrosophic regular open set (NROS) if A = Nint(Ncl(A)).
- 5) a neutrosophic semipre open or  $\beta$  open set  $(N\beta OS)$  if  $A \subseteq Ncl(Nint(Ncl(A)))$ .

A neutrosophic set A is called a neutrosophic semiclosed set, neutrosophic  $\alpha$  closed set, neutrosophic preclosed set, neutrosophic regular closed set and neutrosophic  $\beta$  closed set, respectively (NSCS, N $\alpha$ CS, NPCS, NRCS and N $\beta$ CS, resp), if the complement of A is a neutrosophic semiopen set, neutrosophic  $\alpha$ -open set, neutrosophic preopen set, neutrosophic regular open set, and neutrosophic  $\beta$ -open set, respectively.

**Definition 2.2.** Let (X,T) be a neutrosophic topological space. A neutrosophic set A is called a neutrosophic semi-supra open set (briefly NSSOS) if  $A \subseteq s\text{-}Ncl(s\text{-}Nint(A))$ . The complement of a neutrosophic semi-supra open set is called a neutrosophic semi-supra closed set.

**Proposition 2.1.** Every neutrosophic supra open set is neutrosophic semi-supra open set.

*Proof.* Let A be a neutrosophic supra open set in (X,T). Since  $A\subseteq s\text{-}Ncl(A)$ , we get  $A\subseteq s\text{-}Ncl(s\text{-}Nint(A))$ . Then  $s\text{-}Nint(A)\subseteq s\text{-}Ncl(s\text{-}Nint(A))$ . Hence  $A\subseteq s\text{-}Ncl(s\text{-}Nint(A))$ .

The converse of Proposition 2.1., need not be true as shown in Example 2.1.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$ . Define the neutrosophic sets A, B and C in X as follows:

B and C in X as follows: 
$$A = \langle x, (\frac{a}{0.2}, \frac{b}{0.4}), (\frac{a}{0.2}, \frac{b}{0.4}), (\frac{a}{0.5}, \frac{b}{0.6}) \rangle, \quad B = \langle x, (\frac{a}{0.6}, \frac{b}{0.2}), (\frac{a}{0.6}, \frac{b}{0.2}), (\frac{a}{0.3}, \frac{b}{0.4}) \rangle$$
 and 
$$C = \langle x, (\frac{a}{0.3}, \frac{b}{0.4}), (\frac{a}{0.3}, \frac{b}{0.4}), (\frac{a}{0.4}, \frac{b}{0.4}) \rangle. \text{ Then the families } T = \{0_N, 1_N, A, B, A \cup B\} \text{ is neutrosophic topology on } X.$$
 Thus,  $(X, T)$  is a neutrosophic topological space. Then  $C$  is called neutrosophic semi-supra open but not neutrosophic supra open set.

**Proposition 2.2.** Every neutrosophic  $\alpha$ -supra open is neutrosophic semi-supra open

*Proof.* Let A be a neutrosophic  $\alpha$ -supra open in (X,T), then  $A \subseteq s\text{-}Nint(s\text{-}Ncl(s\text{-}Nint(A)))$ . It is obvious that  $s\text{-}Nint(s\text{-}Ncl(s\text{-}Nint(A))) \subseteq s\text{-}Ncl(s\text{-}Nint(A))$ . Hence  $A \subseteq s\text{-}Ncl(s\text{-}Nint(A))$ .

The converse of Proposition 2.2., need not be true as shown in Example 2.2.  $\ \Box$ 

**Example 2.2.** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$ . Define the neutrosophic sets A, B and C in X as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} A&=&\langle x,(\frac{a}{0.2},\frac{b}{0.3}),(\frac{a}{0.2},\frac{b}{0.3}),(\frac{a}{0.5},\frac{b}{0.3})\rangle, &B&=\\ \langle x,(\frac{a}{0.1},\frac{b}{0.2}),(\frac{a}{0.1},\frac{b}{0.2}),(\frac{a}{0.6},\frac{b}{0.5})\rangle &\text{and } C&=\langle x,(\frac{a}{0.2},\frac{b}{0.3}),(\frac{a}{0.2},\frac{b}{0.3}),(\frac{a}{0.2},\frac{b}{0.3})\rangle. &\text{Then the families } T&=&\{0_N,1_N,A,B,A\cup B\} &\text{is neutrosophic topology on } X. &\text{Thus, } (X,T) &\text{is a neutrosophic topological space.} &\text{Then } C &\text{is called neutrosophic semi-supra open but not neutrosophic } \alpha-\text{supra open set.} &\end{array}$$

**Proposition 2.3.** Every neutrosophic regular supra open set is neutrosophic semi-supra open set

*Proof.* Let A be a neutrosophic regular supra open set in (X,T). Then  $A \subseteq (s\text{-}Ncl(A))$ . Hence  $A \subseteq s\text{-}Ncl(s\text{-}Nint(A))$ .

The converse of Proposition 2.3., need not be true as shown in Example 2.3.  $\Box$ 

**Example 2.3.** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$ . Define the neutrosophic sets A, B and C in X as follows:

$$A = \langle x, (\frac{a}{0.2}, \frac{b}{0.3}), (\frac{a}{0.2}, \frac{b}{0.3}), (\frac{a}{0.5}, \frac{b}{0.3}) \rangle, \quad B = \langle x, (\frac{a}{0.1}, \frac{b}{0.2}), (\frac{a}{0.1}, \frac{b}{0.2}), (\frac{a}{0.6}, \frac{b}{0.5}) \rangle$$
 and  $C = \langle x, (\frac{a}{0.2}, \frac{b}{0.3}), (\frac{a}{0.2}, \frac{b}{0.3}), (\frac{a}{0.2}, \frac{b}{0.3}) \rangle$ . Then the families  $T = \{0_N, 1_N, A, B, A \cup B\}$  is neutrosophic topology on X. Thus,  $(X, T)$  is a neutrosophic topological space. Then  $C$  is neutrosophic semi-supra open but not neutrosophic regular-supra open set.

**Definition 2.3.** The neutrosophic semi-supra closure of a set A is denoted by  $semi-s-Ncl(A) = \bigcup \{ G : G \text{ is aneutrosophic semi-supra open set in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A \}$  and the neutrosophic semi-supra interior of a set A is denoted by  $semi-s-Nint(A) = \bigcap \{ G : G \text{ is a neutrosophic semi-supra closed set in } X \text{ and } G \supseteq A \}.$ 

**Remark 2.1.** It is clear that semi-s-Nint(A) is a neutrosophic semi-supra open set and semi-s-Ncl(A) is a neutrosophic semi-supra closed set.

**Proposition 2.4.** i)  $\overline{semi - s - Nint(A)} = \text{semi s-Ncl } (\overline{A})$ 

- ii)  $\overline{semi s Ncl(A)} = \text{semi s-int } (\overline{A})$
- iii) if  $A\subseteq B$  then  $semi\text{-}s\text{-}Ncl(A)\subseteq semi\text{-}s\text{-}Ncl(B)$  and  $semi\text{-}s\text{-}Nint(A)\subseteq semi\text{-}s\text{-}Nint(B)$

*Proof.* It is obvious.

**Proposition 2.5.** (i) The intersection of a neutrosophic supra open set and a neutrosophic semi-supra open set is a neutrosophic semi- supra open set.

(ii) The intersection of a neutrosophic semi-supra open set and aneutrosophic pre-supra open set is a neutrosophic pre-supra open set.

*Proof.* It is obvious.

**Definition 2.4.** Let (X,T) and (Y,S) be two neutrosophic semisupra open sets and R be a associated supra topology with T. A map  $f:(X,T)\to (Y,S)$  is called neutrosophic semisupra continuous map if the inverse image of each neutrosophic open set in Y is a neutrosophic semisupra open in X.

**Proposition 2.6.** Every neutrosophic supra continuous map is neutrosophic semi-supra continuous map.

**Remark 2.2.** Every neutrosophic semi-supra continuous map need not be neutrosophic supra continuous map.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let (X,T) and (Y,S) be two neutrosophic topological spaces and R be a associated neutrosophic supra topology with T. Let f be a map from X into Y. Then the following are equivalent.

- i) f is a neutrosophic semi-supra continuous map.
- ii) The inverse image of a neutrosophic closed sets in Y is a neutrosophic semi closed set in X.
- iii) Semi-s-Ncl $(f^{-1}(A)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Ncl(A))$  for every neutrosophic set A in Y.
- iv)  $f(semi\text{-}s\text{-}Ncl(A)) \subseteq Ncl(f(A))$  for every neutrosophic set A in X.
- v)  $f^{-1}(Nint(B)) \subseteq semi\text{-}s\text{-}Nint(f^{-1}(B))$  for every neutrosophic set B in Y.

*Proof.*  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ : Let A be a neutrosophic closed set in Y. Then  $\overline{A}$  is neutrosophic open in Y, Thus  $f^{-1}(\overline{A}) = \overline{f^{-1}(A)}$  is neutrosophic semi-open in X. It follows that  $f^{-1}(A)$  is a neutrosophic semi-s closed set of X.

- $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ : Let A be any subset of X. Since Ncl(A) is neutrosophic closed in Y then it follows that  $f^{-1}(Ncl(A))$  is neutrosophic semi-s closed in X. Therefore,  $f^{-1}(Ncl(A)) = semi-s-Ncl(f^{-1}(Ncl(A))) \supseteq semi-s-Ncl(f^{-1}(A))$
- $(iii)\Rightarrow (iv):$  Let A be any subset of X. By (iii) we obtain  $f^{-1}(Ncl(f((A)))\supseteq semi\text{-}s\text{-}Ncl(f^{-1}(f(A)))\supseteq semi\text{-}s\text{-}Ncl(A)$  and hence  $f(semi\text{-}s\text{-}Ncl(A))\subseteq Ncl(f(A)).$
- $(iv) \Rightarrow (v)$ : Let  $f(semi\text{-}s\text{-}Ncl(A)) \subseteq f(Ncl(A))$  for every neutrosophic set A in X. Then  $semi\text{-}s\text{-}Ncl(A)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Ncl(f(A)))$ .  $semi\text{-}s\text{-}Ncl(A) \supseteq f^{-1}(Ncl(f(A)))$

and  $semi\text{-}s\text{-}Nint(\overline{A})\supseteq f^{-1}(Nint(\overline{f(A)}))$ . Then  $semi\text{-}s\text{-}Nint(f^{-1}(B))\supseteq f^{-1}(Nint(B))$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}(Nint(B))\subseteq s\text{-}Nint(f^{-1}(B))$  for every B in Y.

 $(v)\Rightarrow (i):$  Let A be a neutrosophic open set in Y. Therefore  $f^{-1}(Nint(A))\subseteq semi\text{-}s\text{-}Nint(f^{-1}(A))$ , hence  $f^{-1}(A)\subseteq semi\text{-}s\text{-}Nint(f^{-1}(A))$ . But we know that  $semi\text{-}s\text{-}Nint(f^{-1}(A))\subseteq f^{-1}(A)$ , then  $f^{-1}(A)=semi\text{-}s\text{-}Nint(f^{-1}(A))$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}(A)$  is a neutrosophic semi-sopen set.

**Proposition 2.8.** If a map  $f:(X,T) \to (Y,S)$  is a neutrosophic semi-s-continuous and  $g:(Y,S) \to (Z,R)$  is neutrosophic continuous, Then  $g \circ f$  is neutrosophic semi-s-continuous.

*Proof.* Obvious.

**Proposition 2.9.** Let a map  $f:(X,T)\to (Y,S)$  be a neutrosophic semi-supra continuous map, then one of the following holds

- i)  $f^{-1}(semi-s-Nint(A)) \subseteq Nint(f^{-1}(A))$  for every neutrosophic set A in Y.
- ii)  $Ncl(f^{-1}(A)) \subseteq f^{-1}(semi\text{-}s\text{-}Ncl(A))$  for every neutrosophic set A in Y.
- iii)  $f(Ncl(B)) \subseteq semi\text{-}s\text{-}Ncl(f(B))$  for every neutrosophic set B in X.

*Proof.* Let A be any neutrosophic open set of Y, then condition (i) is satisfied, then  $f^{-1}(semi\text{-}s\text{-}Nint(A)) \subseteq Nint(f^{-1}(A))$ . We get,  $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq Nint(f^{-1}(A))$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}(A)$  is a neutrosophic supra open set. Every neutrosophic supra open set is a neutrosophic semi supra open set. Hence f is a neutrosophic semi-s-continuous function. If condition (ii) is satisfied, then we can easily prove that f is a neutrosophic semi -s continuous function if condition (iii) is satisfied, and A is any neutrosophic open set of Y, then  $f^{-1}(A)$  is a set in X and  $f(Ncl(f^{-1}(A))) \subseteq semi\text{-}s\text{-}Ncl(f(f^{-1}(A)))$ . This implies  $f(Ncl(f^{-1}(A))) \subseteq semi\text{-}s\text{-}Ncl(A)$ . This is nothing but condition (ii). Hence f is a neutrosophic semi-s-continuous function. □

### References

- [1] M.E. Abd El-monsef and A. E. Ramadan, On fuzzy supra topological spaces, *Indian J. Pure and Appl.Math.*no.4, 18(1987), 322–329
- [2] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 20(1986), 87-96.
- [3] D. Coker, An introduction to Intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 88 (1997) 81–89
- [4] R. Devi, S. Sampathkumar and M. Caldas, On supra  $\alpha$ -open sets and supra  $\alpha$ -continuous functions, *General Mathematics*, Vol.16, Nr.2(2008),77-84.

- [5] R.Dhavaseelan and S. Jafari, Generalized Neutrosophic closed sets (submitted).
- [6] A. S. Mashhour, A. A. Allam, F. H. Khedr, On supra topological spaces, *Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math.* no.4, 14 (1983), 502-510
- [7] M. Parimala and C. Indirani, On Intuitionistic Fuzzy semisupra open set and intuitionistic fuzzy semi-supra continuous functions, *Procedia Computer Science*, 47 ( 2015 ) 319-325.
- [8] A. A. Salama and S. A. Alblowi, Neutrosophic set and neutrosophic topological spaces, *IOSR Journal of Mathematics*, Volume 3, Issue 4 (Sep-Oct. 2012), 31-35
- [9] F. Smarandache, Neutrosophy and Neutrosophic Logic, First International Conference on Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Logic, Set, Probability, and Statistics University of New Mexico, Gallup, NM 87301, USA(2002), smarand@unm.edu

- [10] F. Smarandache. A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability. American Research Press, Rehoboth, NM, 1999.
- [11] N. Turanl, On intuitionistic fuzzy supra topological spaces, *International conference on modeling and simulation, spain*, vol II, (1999) 69-77.
- [12] N. Turanl, An overview of intuitionistic fuzzy supra topological spaces, *Hacettepe Journal of mathematics and statistics*, Volume 32 (2003), 17-26.
- [13] Won Keun Min,On fuzzy s-continuous functions, *Kangweon-Kyungki Math.J.* no.1, 4(1996),77–82.
- [14] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and control, 8 (1965), 338–353.

Received: May 11, 2017. Accepted: May 29, 2017.