

Article



Valorization of Spent Coffee Grounds as a Natural Source of Bioactive Compounds for Several Industrial Applications—A Volatilomic Approach

Carolina Andrade ¹, Rosa Perestrelo ¹ and José S. Câmara ^{1,2,*}

- ¹ CQM—Centro de Química da Madeira, Universidade da Madeira, Campus da Penteada, 9020-105 Funchal, Portugal; carolinafatimaandrade@hotmail.com (C.A.); rmp@staff.uma.pt (R.P.)
- ² Departamento de Química, Faculdade de Ciências Exatas e Engenharia, Universidade da Madeira, Campus da Penteada, 9020-105 Funchal, Portugal
- * Correspondence: jsc@staff.uma.pt; Tel.: +351-291705112

Abstract: Coffee is one of the most popular beverages worldwide, whose production and consumption result in large amounts of waste, namely spent coffee grounds, constituting an important source of compounds for several industrial applications. This work focused on the establishment of the volatile fingerprint of five spent coffee grounds from different geographical origins using headspace solid-phase microextraction coupled with gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (HS-SPME/GC-MS), as a strategy to identify volatile organic metabolites (VOMs) with potential application in the food industry as antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and antiproliferative agents. One hundred eleven VOMs belonging to different chemical families were identified, of which 60 were found in all spent coffee grounds analyzed. Furanic compounds (34%), nitrogen compounds (30%), and esters (19%) contributed significant to the total volatile fingerprint. The data obtained suggest that spent coffee grounds have great potential to be used as raw material for different approaches in the food industry towards the development of new food ingredients or products for human consumption, in addition to pharmaceutical and cosmetic applications, namely as antioxidant (e.g., limonene, carvacrol), antimicrobial (e.g., pyrrole-2-carboxaldehyde, β -myrcene) and anti-inflammatory (e.g., furfural, 2-furanmethanol) agents, promoting their integral valorization within the circular bioeconomy concept.

Keywords: spent coffee grounds; volatile fingerprint; circular economy; sustainability; industrial applications

1. Introduction

Coffee (Coffee L.), Rubiaceae family, is one of the most popular beverages in the world with over 400 billion cups being consumed each year. A diversity of constituents including chlorogenic acids, pyrazines, furans, alkaloids, melanoidins (Maillard reaction product), among others, are responsible for their organoleptic characteristics. In addition, nutritional and health-promoting constituents including polyphenols (flavonoids, non-flavonoids), carotenoids, triterpenes, and high amounts of stimulant methylxanthine alkaloid, known as caffeine [1], and other molecules with functional properties, are part of coffee composition. As one of the most traded food products in the world after crude oil [2], coffee acts as a major source of income for many countries. In 2020, the International Coffee Organization estimated that coffee production surpassed 169 million 60 kg bags [3], with over 90% taking place in developing nations, namely in South America, while the consumption occurs primarily in industrialized economies. Despite the different flavors and varieties, there are only two types of coffee: (i) Coffea arabica var. Arabica, the most common and flavorful type, accounting for about 70% of world production; and (ii) Coffea canefora var. Robusta, is cheaper with a more aggressive flavor and contains higher amounts of antioxidants, caffeine, and soluble solids. Whereas green coffee is characterized by carbohydrates



Citation: Andrade, C.; Perestrelo, R.; Câmara, J.S. Valorization of Spent Coffee Grounds as a Natural Source of Bioactive Compounds for Several Industrial Applications—A Volatilomic Approach. *Foods* **2022**, *11*, 1731. https://doi.org/10.3390/ foods11121731

Academic Editors: Manuela M. Moreira, Francisca Rodrigues and Cristina Delerue-Matos

Received: 17 May 2022 Accepted: 9 June 2022 Published: 13 June 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). (60% dry weight), oligosaccharides, disaccharides (sucrose), and monosaccharides, the roasting leads to significant changes in the chemical composition due to the occurrence of the Maillard reaction, carbohydrate caramelization, and pyrolysis of organic compounds (Figure 1). In addition, a plethora of volatile organic metabolites (VOMs), important determinants of odor namely furanic compounds, volatile phenols, pyrazines, and aliphatic aldehydes, are produced and lack sustainable management.



Figure 1. Main composition of spent coffee grounds (composition expressed in g/100 g of dry material).

Whilst coffee is an important economical asset, several millions of tons of waste are generated by the coffee chain, from the field to the cup. According to Brand et al. [4], only 6% by weight of the fresh coffee cherry harvested in the coffee field ends up in the cups. Hence, this leaves up to 94% as waste, which includes by-products from the process as well as water from the drying of the seeds [4], and spent coffee grounds, which comply to the largest contribution for coffee bio-waste, with approximately six million tons being produced yearly worldwide [5]. This type of waste is underutilized with large amounts ending in landfills which in turn can ultimately create serious environmental concerns [5], and constitutes a direct cost to producers, since it can lead to CO₂ and other greenhouse gas emissions, and the release of chemicals such as caffeine, polyphenols, and tannins into the environment [2,6]. Additionally, similarly to other organic wastes, spent coffee grounds' degradation involves great oxygen consumption due to its high carbon content [7], and there is an eminent risk of spontaneous combustion [5], heightening the need for its further valorization.

However, these natural low-cost by-products contain high amounts of bioactive components with high antiviral, antidiabetic, antioxidant, and neuroprotective potential [8–10]. This evidence, stimulate its exploitation as a renewable and sustainable bio-resource of added-value products with potential several applications, including in the food and pharmaceutical industry, regarding its valorization supported by the circular economy concept, as a powerful platform for shaping the future roadmap in the field of coffee chain sustainability. Moreover, these natural compounds are suitable candidates to replace the synthetic food additives associated with harmful effects on consumers including allergies, cardioand cerebrovascular diseases and even cancer.

Recent studies indicate that spent coffee grounds' applications include its use to produce construction materials [8], as soil organic amendment, to improve soil fertility as it increases N, P, and K content [11,12], as a source of polysaccharides for the preparation of an adsorbent for the removal of uranium in water [13]. Spent coffee grounds could be also used as bug repellent, and for biodiesel and bioethanol production (Figure 2). However, scarce research has been done on the volatile fingerprint of spent coffee grounds. Therefore, there is an increasing interest in the characterization of specific volatile compounds in spent coffee grounds that might be used in the food industry as antioxidant, antimicrobial, and anti-inflammatory active agents for the formulation of novel functional foods with health benefits, meet the demand from consumers for healthy foods. In this context, this work focused on the establishment of the volatile fingerprint of different spent coffee grounds samples var. Arabica, using headspace solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME) followed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS), for the identification of valuable VOMs that could be used in the food industry for the development of new food ingredients or products for human consumption, pharmaceutical and cosmetic formulations, namely as antioxidant, antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory agents, promoting their integral valorization within the circular bioeconomy concept. Statistical tools were used to verify the differences between the volatile fingerprints of the spent coffee grounds.



Figure 2. Overview of potential usages of spent coffee grounds.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Reagents and Standards

4-Methyl-2-pentanol ($C_6H_{14}O$, 99.0%) used as internal standard (IS) was acquired from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium) and sodium chloride (NaCl, 99.5%) was supplied by Panreac (Barcelona, Spain). The SPME fiber holder for manual sampling together with 50/30 µm divinylbenzene/carboxen/polydimethylsiloxane (DVB/CAR/PDMS) fiber, with 1 cm length, was purchased from Supelco (Bellefonte, PA, USA). Fiber conditioning was performed daily according to the manufacturer's recommendations in order to avoid carryover between sets of analyses. Helium (GC carrier gas) of purity 5.0 was supplied by Air Liquide (Lisbon, Portugal).

2.2. Sample Preparation

All samples were purchased from an Awaked company (Caldas da Rainha, Portugal). The roasted coffee samples were grounded until a thin powder was obtained. After that, 6 g of each sample were used to make 20 mL of expresso, in a coffee machine, and the spent coffee grounds were collected and stored in a flask for posterior analysis.

2.3. Headspace Solid-Phase Microextraction (HS-SPME) Procedure

The VOMs were extracted from the samples via HS-SPME. A total of 3 g of spent ground coffee sample, 1 g of NaCl, 3 mL of distilled water and 5 μ L of 4-methyl-2-pentanol (IS), and a stirring bar were added to the extraction vial. The vial was then hermetically closed and submitted to the extraction temperature (50 °C) for 50 min, at a 450 rpm stirring rate, following the insertion of the DVB/CAR/PDMS fiber. All analysis was carried out in triplicate.

2.4. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) Conditions

After the extraction was completed, the fiber holder was removed from the vial and inserted into the injector port of an Agilent Technologies 6890N Network gas chromatograph system (Palo Alto, California, USA), where the thermal desorption of the analytes was conducted at 250 °C during 6 min. The gas chromatographer was equipped with a $60 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$ I.D. $\times 0.25 \text{ µm}$ film thickness HP-5 (SGE, Dortmund, Germany) fused silica capillary column and interfaced with an Agilent 5975 quadrupole inert mass selective detector. The oven temperature program was set as follows: initially set at 40 °C, increased until 220 °C at a rate of 2.5 °C/min, and maintained for 20 min at 220 °C. The total run time was 83 min. The column flow was constant at 1.0 mL/min using helium of purity 5.0. The injection port was operated in the splitless mode and held at 250 °C. For the 5975 MS system, the temperature of the quadrupole detector was 220 °C. Data acquisition was performed in the scan mode (30–300 m/z) with electron ionization at an energy of 70 eV. VOM identification was accomplished through manual interpretation, comparing the spectra with the data system library (NIST, 2005 software, Mass Spectral Search Program v.2.0d; NIST 2005, Washington, DC, USA) with a similarity threshold higher than 80%. The semi-quantification of VOMs was performed using 4-methyl-2-pentanol (IS) through the following equation: VOMs concentration = (VOM GC peak area/IS GC peak area) \times IS concentration.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using MetaboAnalyst 5.0, which comprises the data pre-processing to eliminate VOMs with missing values (MV) and normalization (data transformation using data scaling by mean-center and cubic root). The normalized data was processed using the one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for post-hoc multiple comparisons of means and multivariate statistical analysis, including principal component analysis (PCA), an unsupervised method that was used to visualize group tendencies of the data set, using the information contained in the VOMs fingerprint as several variables to obtain insights into the separations among sample sets according to geographical origin, and partial least squares-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA), a supervised method performed using the relative peak area of the VOMs, that allows the investigation of the differences in the VOMs levels of spent coffee grounds and the identification of the VOMs that can be used to differentiate the spent coffee grounds samples. Finally, Pearson's correlation was done to build the heat map of the spent coffee grounds using the VOMs identified with the purpose of recognizing clustering patterns.

3. Results

3.1. Volatile Fingerprint of Spent Coffee Grounds

The volatile fingerprint of the spent coffee grounds Arabica samples, from Guatemala, Colombia, Brazil, Timor, and Ethiopia, was determined using HS-SPME/GC-MS methodol-

ogy, and the VOMs detected and identified are listed in Table 1, along with their relative concentration expressed in μ g/L \pm standard deviation for the different samples.

Table 1. Relative concentration (μ g/L) of volatile organic compounds in the spent coffee grounds from different geographical origins using HS-SPME/GC–MS.

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Peak RT		Relative Concentration (µg/L) \pm Standard Deviation						
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	n° (min)	n) Chemical Families	Guatemala	Colombia	Brazil	Timor	Ethiopia		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Furanic compo	pounds							
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 10.69	9 2-Methylfuran	32.35 ± 2.807	165.8 ± 18.27	157.6 ± 3.476	279.3 ± 35.10	81.75 ± 11.61		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8 13.679	79 2,5-Dimethylfuran	32.45 ± 3.151	67.83 ± 10.29	45.82 ± 3.605	59.84 ± 7.554	30.22 ± 2.647		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11 19.48	8 Vinylfuran	-	68.22 ± 10.09	62.12 ± 3.754	80.80 ± 14.44	25.15 ± 0.591		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	29 27.45	5 2-(2-Propenyl)furan	57.81 ± 9.025	174.4 ± 12.13	109.3 ± 1.837	128.0 ± 24.93	78.47 ± 14.94		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31 28.67	7 2-Penthylfuran	153.7 ± 27.17	123.1 ± 6.383	81.27 ± 3.392	72.71 ± 11.59	50.92 ± 6.991		
5942.77Furfural 1052 ± 185.5 3846 ± 303.1 1537 ± 195.4 4317 ± 344.7 1037 ± 9.5 6645.11Benzofuran 118.5 ± 19.35 7148.895-Methylfurfural 1876 ± 365.1 7150 ± 635.8 3071 ± 98.47 7625 ± 788.2 2235 ± 1 7550.262-Methylbenzofuran 139.0 ± 19.08 321.8 ± 6.142 167.6 ± 2 7750.472.2'-Bifuran 635.9 ± 6.937 406.7 ± 38.11 236.4 ± 18.03 321.8 ± 6.142 167.6 ± 2 7650.472.2'-Methylbenzofuran 139.0 ± 19.08 101.6 ± 6.604 61.61 ± 6 7750.472.2'-Methylbenzofuran2131 \pm 408.7 5270 ± 382.1 2096 ± 118.6 5916 ± 464.1 1280 ± 1 8453.982-Furfuryl-5-methylfuran 135.0 ± 29.48 688.7 ± 60.06 380.1 ± 65.47 447.8 ± 23.57 294.8 ± 1 10468.38Difurfuryl ether 116.2 ± 1.361 471.7 ± 63.78 330.5 ± 50.35 479.4 ± 49.76 251.1 ± 3.692 29.27Acetone 36.77 ± 6.790 47.40 ± 3.951 25.49 ± 3.514 88.42 ± 12.57 18.87 ± 1 4 11.65 2-Butanone 18.21 ± 1.393 48.25 ± 6.338 23.08 ± 2.668 53.50 ± 4.322 18.04 ± 1 5 12.12 2-Methylbutanal 37.91 ± 6.963 185.7 ± 29.20 98.24 ± 8.734 280.1 ± 25.59 101.5 ± 1 6 12.27 3-Methylbutanal	33 29.18	8 2-(Methoxymethyl)furan	40.75 ± 4.517	130.8 ± 4.067	64.74 ± 10.38	114.1 ± 11.85	55.75 ± 0.328		
6545.122-Acetylfuran 611.6 ± 80.38 1319 ± 79.80 517.9 ± 38.71 1262 ± 100.6 360.5 ± 4.50 6645.31Benzofuran1376 \pm 365.1 7150 ± 635.8 3071 ± 98.71 1262 ± 100.6 360.5 ± 4.50 7148.895-Methylfurfural 1876 ± 365.1 7150 ± 635.8 3071 ± 98.71 1262 ± 100.6 $360.5 \pm 4.225 \pm 1.257$ 7349.98 $2.2'$ -Bifuran 68.59 ± 6.937 406.7 ± 38.41 233.6 ± 78.03 321.8 ± 6.142 $167.6 \pm 2.255 \pm 1.257$ 75 50.26 2 -Methylbenzofuran $ 139.0 \pm 19.08$ 101.6 ± 6.604 61.61 ± 6.257 8152.74 2 -Furanmethanol 2131 ± 408.7 5270 ± 382.1 2096 ± 118.6 5916 ± 464.1 1280 ± 1.257 8453.98 2 -Furfuryl-5-methylfuran 153.0 ± 29.48 688.7 ± 60.06 380.1 ± 65.47 47.8 ± 23.57 294.8 ± 1.1257 189.21 2 -Methylpropanal $ 39.23 \pm 5.756$ 20.99 ± 2.912 42.71 ± 3.692 15.65 ± 2.2 29.27Acetone 36.77 ± 6.790 47.40 ± 3.951 25.49 ± 3.514 88.42 ± 12.57 18.87 ± 1.153 4 11.65 2 -Butanone 132.1 ± 1.393 48.25 ± 6.338 23.08 ± 2.668 32.50 ± 4.322 18.04 ± 1.55 5 12.12 2 -Methylbutanal 19.09 ± 3.443 101.6 ± 13.12 48.51 ± 3.580 128.3 ± 0.579 47.23 ± 2.259 10 18.33 3 -Hexanone $ 50.66 \pm 7.312$ 26.30 ± 2.368 <th< td=""><td>59 42.77</td><td>7 Furfural</td><td>1052 ± 185.5</td><td>3846 ± 303.1</td><td>1537 ± 195.4</td><td>4317 ± 344.7</td><td>1037 ± 95.63</td></th<>	59 42.77	7 Furfural	1052 ± 185.5	3846 ± 303.1	1537 ± 195.4	4317 ± 344.7	1037 ± 95.63		
6645.31Benzofuran118.5 ± 19.35 7148.895-Methylfurfural1876 ± 365.1 7150 ± 635.8 3071 ± 98.47 7625 ± 788.2 2235 ± 1 7349.982.2'-Elftaran68.59 ± 6.937 406.7 ± 38.41 233.6 ± 78.03 321.8 ± 6.142 167.6 ± 2 7550.262-Methylbenzofuran139.0 ± 19.08 101.6 ± 6.604 61.61 ± 6 7750.472.2'-Methylenebisfuran205.6 ± 15.90 1205 ± 40.91 777.4 ± 149.7 747.6 ± 56.68 422.8 ± 5 8152.742-Furamethanol2131 ± 408.7 5270 ± 382.1 2096 ± 118.6 5916 ± 464.1 1280 ± 1 10468.38Difurfuryl ether116.2 ± 13.61 471.7 ± 63.78 330.5 ± 50.35 479.4 ± 49.76 251.1 ± 3.57 29.27Acetone36.77 ± 6.790 47.40 ± 3.951 25.49 ± 3.514 88.42 ± 12.57 18.87 ± 11.43 411.652-Butanone18.21 ± 1.393 48.25 ± 6.338 23.08 ± 2.668 53.50 ± 4.322 18.04 ± 1 512.122-Methylbutanal19.09 ± 3.443 101.6 ± 13.12 48.51 ± 3.580 128.3 ± 0.579 47.23 ± 2 1018.333-Hexanone-50.66 ± 7.312 26.30 ± 2.368 32.27 ± 0.898 16.48 ± 3 1320.00Hexanal161.6 ± 21.45 76.59 ± 10.58 123.2 ± 4.822 84.08 ± 16.42 35.4 ± 3.54 4447.62.3.4 Trimethylcyclopent-2-ene-1-one </td <td>65 45.12</td> <td>2 2-Acetylfuran</td> <td>611.6 ± 80.38</td> <td>1319 ± 79.80</td> <td>517.9 ± 38.71</td> <td>1262 ± 100.6</td> <td>360.5 ± 42.45</td>	65 45.12	2 2-Acetylfuran	611.6 ± 80.38	1319 ± 79.80	517.9 ± 38.71	1262 ± 100.6	360.5 ± 42.45		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	66 45.31	1 Benzofuran	-	-	118.5 ± 19.35	-	-		
7349.982,2'-Bifuran68.59 \pm 6.937406.7 \pm 38.41233.6 \pm 78.03321.8 \pm 6.142167.6 \pm 27550.262-Methylbenzofuran20.5 \pm 10.91101.6 \pm 6.60461.61 \pm 67750.472,2'-Methylenebisfuran205.6 \pm 15.901205 \pm 40.91777.4 \pm 149.7747.6 \pm 56.68442.8 \pm 38152.742-Furfuryl-5-methylfuran153.0 \pm 29.48688.7 \pm 60.06380.1 \pm 65.47447.8 \pm 23.57294.8 \pm 110468.38Difurfuryl ether116.2 \pm 13.61471.7 \pm 63.78330.5 \pm 50.35479.4 \pm 49.76251.1 \pm 329.212-Methylpropanal-39.23 \pm 5.75620.99 \pm 2.91242.71 \pm 3.69215.65 \pm 229.27Acetone36.77 \pm 6.79047.40 \pm 3.95125.49 \pm 3.51488.42 \pm 12.5718.87 \pm 1512.122-Methylbutanal19.09 \pm 3.443101.6 \pm 13.30 \pm 82.5633.08 \pm 2.66853.50 \pm 4.322180.4 \pm 1512.273-Methylbutanal19.09 \pm 3.443101.6 \pm 13.1248.51 \pm 3.580128.3 \pm 0.57947.23 \pm 21018.333-Hexanone-50.66 \pm 7.31226.30 \pm 2.36832.27 \pm 0.89816.48 \pm 11320.00Hexanal161.6 \pm 21.4576.5910.58123.2 \pm 4.82049.81 \pm 7.86620.91 \pm 241444.762-Methylcyclopent-2-enc-1-one30.82 \pm 4.51324.43 \pm 2.21515.806 \pm 1.7435.4 \pm 35.6-15	71 48.89	9 5-Methylfurfural	1876 ± 365.1	7150 ± 635.8	3071 ± 98.47	7625 ± 788.2	2235 ± 191.8		
7550.262-Methylbenzofuran-130.0 \pm 19.08101.6 \pm 6.60461.61 \pm 67750.472,2'-Methylenebisfuran205.6 \pm 15.901205 \pm 40.91777.4 \pm 149.7747.6 \pm 56.68442.8 \pm 38152.742-Furanmethanol2131 \pm 408.75270 \pm 382.12096 \pm 118.65916 \pm 464.11280 \pm 18453.982-Furfuryl-5-methylfuran153.0 \pm 29.48688.7 \pm 60.06380.1 \pm 65.77447.8 \pm 23.57294.8 \pm 110468.38Difurfuryl ether116.2 \pm 13.61471.7 \pm 63.78330.5 \pm 50.35479.4 \pm 49.76251.1 \pm 329.27Acctone36.77 \pm 6.79047.40 \pm 3.95125.49 \pm 3.51488.42 \pm 12.5718.87 \pm 1411.652-Butanone18.21 \pm 1.39348.25 \pm 6.33823.08 \pm 2.66853.50 \pm 4.32218.04 \pm 1512.122-Methylbutanal19.09 \pm 3.443101.6 \pm 13.1248.51 \pm 3.580128.3 \pm 0.57947.23 \pm 211018.333-Hexanone-50.66 \pm 7.31226.30 \pm 2.36832.27 \pm 0.89816.48 \pm 11320.00Hexanal161.6 \pm 21.4576.59 \pm 10.58123.2 \pm 4.82284.80 \pm 16.4235.43 \pm 11520.852-Methylcyclopent-2-en-1-one30.82 \pm 4.51324.43 \pm 2.21515.80 \pm 18.6749.81 \pm 7.8620.91 \pm 2444.762,3,4-Trimethylcyclopent-2-ene-1-one262.7 \pm 50.8277.2 \pm 4.497485.1 \pm 14.64742.4 \pm 66.74386.0	73 49.98	8 2,2'-Bifuran	68.59 ± 6.937	406.7 ± 38.41	233.6 ± 78.03	321.8 ± 6.142	167.6 ± 26.19		
7750.472.2'-Methylenebisfuran205.6 \pm 15.901205 \pm 40.91777.4 \pm 149.7747.6 \pm 56.68442.8 \pm 38152.742-Furanmethanol2131 \pm 408.75270 \pm 332.12096 \pm 118.65916 \pm 464.11280 \pm 18453.982-Furdryl-5-methylfuran153.0 \pm 9.48688.7 \pm 60.06380.1 \pm 65.47447.8 \pm 23.57294.8 \pm 110468.38Difurfuryl ether116.2 \pm 13.61471.7 \pm 63.78330.5 \pm 50.35479.4 \pm 49.76251.1 \pm 329.27Acetone36.77 \pm 6.79047.40 \pm 3.95125.49 \pm 3.51488.42 \pm 12.5718.87 \pm 1411.652-Butanone18.21 \pm 1.39348.25 \pm 6.33823.08 \pm 2.66853.50 \pm 4.32218.67 \pm 10.45512.122-Methylbutanal37.91 \pm 6.963185.7 \pm 29.2098.24 \pm 8.734280.1 \pm 25.59101.5 \pm 1612.273-Methylbutanal19.09 \pm 3.443101.6 \pm 13.1248.51 \pm 3.580128.3 \pm 0.57947.23 \pm 21018.333-Hexanone-50.66 \pm 7.31226.30823.27 \pm 0.89816.48 \pm 11520.852-Methyl-2-butenal-34.98 \pm 2.586-49.81 \pm 7.88620.91 \pm 4937.462-Methylcyclopent-2-ene-1-one30.82 \pm 4.51324.43 \pm 2.21515.80 \pm 18.6151.17 \pm 4.746-5742.301-(Acetyloxy)-2-puropanone262.7 \pm 50.82772.4 \pm 44.97485.1 \pm 14.64742.4 \pm 66.74386.0 \pm 3	75 50.26	6 2-Methylbenzofuran	-	-	139.0 ± 19.08	101.6 ± 6.604	61.61 ± 6.493		
8152.742-Furanmethanol2131 ± 408.75270 ± 382.12096 ± 118.65916 ± 464.11280 ± 118453.982-Furfuryl-5-methylfuran153.0 ± 29.48688.7 ± 60.06380.1 ± 65.47447.8 ± 23.57294.8 ± 110468.38Difurfuryl ether116.2 ± 13.61471.7 ± 63.78330.5 ± 50.35479.4 ± 49.76251.1 ± 319.212-Methylpropanal-39.23 ± 5.75620.99 ± 2.91242.71 ± 3.69215.65 ± 229.27Acetone36.77 ± 6.79047.40 ± 3.95125.49 ± 3.51488.42 ± 12.5718.87 ± 1411.652-Butanone18.21 ± 1.39348.25 ± 6.33823.08 ± 2.66853.50 ± 4.32218.04 ± 1512.122-Methylbutanal19.09 ± 3.443101.6 ± 13.1248.51 ± 3.580128.3 ± 0.57947.23 ± 21018.333-Hexanone-50.66 ± 7.31226.30 ± 2.36832.27 ± 0.89816.48 ± 11320.00Hexanal161.6 ± 21.4576.59 ± 10.58123.2 ± 4.82284.80 ± 16.4235.43 ± 11520.852-Methyl-2-butenal-30.82 ± 4.51324.43 ± 2.21515.80 ± 1.86151.17 ± 4.746-5742.301-(Acetyloxy)-2-propanone262.7 ± 50.82772.4 ± 44.97485.1 ± 14.64742.4 ± 66.74386.0 ± 36444.762.34-Timethylcyclopent-2-ene-1-0.9197.00 ± 14.8869.10 ± 7.897133.5 ± 23.56-6846.101-(Acetyloxy)-2-butanone69.79 ± 8.213192.8 ± 11.79 </td <td>77 50.47</td> <td>7 2,2'-Methylenebisfuran</td> <td>205.6 ± 15.90</td> <td>1205 ± 40.91</td> <td>777.4 ± 149.7</td> <td>747.6 ± 56.68</td> <td>442.8 ± 38.63</td>	77 50.47	7 2,2'-Methylenebisfuran	205.6 ± 15.90	1205 ± 40.91	777.4 ± 149.7	747.6 ± 56.68	442.8 ± 38.63		
8453.982-Furfuryl-5-methylfuran153.0 \pm 29.48688.7 \pm 60.06380.1 \pm 65.47447.8 \pm 23.57294.8 \pm 110468.38Difurfuryl ether116.2 \pm 13.61471.7 \pm 63.78330.5 \pm 50.35479.4 \pm 49.76251.1 \pm 329.212-Methylpropanal-39.23 \pm 5.75620.99 \pm 2.91242.71 \pm 3.69215.65 \pm 229.27Acetone36.77 \pm 6.79047.40 \pm 3.95125.49 \pm 3.51488.42 \pm 12.5718.87 \pm 1411.652-Butanone18.21 \pm 1.39348.25 \pm 6.33823.08 \pm 2.66853.50 \pm 4.32218.04 \pm 1512.122-Methylbutanal37.91 \pm 6.963185.7 \pm 29.2098.24 \pm 8.734280.1 \pm 25.59101.5 \pm 1612.273-Methylbutanal19.09 \pm 3.443101.6 \pm 13.1248.51 \pm 3.580128.3 \pm 0.57947.23 \pm 21018.333-Hexanone-50.66 \pm 7.31226.30 \pm 2.36832.27 \pm 0.88416.48 \pm 31320.00Hexanal161.6 \pm 21.4576.59 \pm 10.58123.2 \pm 4.82284.80 \pm 16.4235.43 \pm 11520.852-Methylcyclopent-2-ene-1-one30.82 \pm 4.51324.43 \pm 2.21515.80 \pm 1.86151.17 \pm 4.746-5742.301-(Acetyloxy)-2-propanone262.7 \pm 50.82772.4 \pm 44.97485.1 \pm 14.64742.4 \pm 66.74386.0 \pm 36444.762.3.4-Trimethylcyclopent-2-ene-1-one30.82 \pm 4.513192.8 \pm 11.7998.62 \pm 7.483239.5 \pm	81 52.74	4 2-Furanmethanol	2131 ± 408.7	5270 ± 382.1	2096 ± 118.6	5916 ± 464.1	1280 ± 183.2		
10468.38Difurfuryl ether116.2 \pm 13.61471.7 \pm 63.78330.5 \pm 50.35479.4 \pm 49.76251.1 \pm 35Carbonyl compounds19.212-Methylpropanal-39.23 \pm 5.75620.99 \pm 2.91242.71 \pm 3.69215.65 \pm 229.27Acetone36.77 \pm 6.79047.40 \pm 3.95125.49 \pm 3.51488.42 \pm 12.5718.87 \pm 1411.652-Butanone18.21 \pm 1.39348.25 \pm 6.33823.08 \pm 2.66853.50 \pm 4.32218.04 \pm 1512.122-Methylbutanal37.91 \pm 6.963185.7 \pm 29.2098.24 \pm 8.734280.1 \pm 25.59101.5 \pm 1612.273-Methylbutanal19.09 \pm 3.443101.6 \pm 13.1248.51 \pm 3.580128.3 \pm 0.57947.23 \pm 21018.333-Hexanone-50.66 \pm 7.31226.30 \pm 2.36832.27 \pm 0.89816.48 \pm 11320.00Hexanal161.6 \pm 21.4576.59 \pm 10.58123.2 \pm 4.82284.80 \pm 16.4235.43 \pm 11520.852-Methyl-2-butenal-34.98 \pm 2.586-49.81 \pm 7.88620.91 \pm 24937.462-Methylcyclopent-2-ene- 1-one-30.82 \pm 4.51324.43 \pm 2.21515.80 \pm 1.86151.17 \pm 4.746-6444.762,3,4-Trimethylcyclopent-2-ene- 1-one-409.1 \pm 60.991009 \pm 96.13408.2 \pm 4.455863.8 \pm 125.8337.4 \pm 68051.661-(Acetyloxy)-2-butanone69.79 \pm 8.213192.8 \pm 11.799	84 53.98	8 2-Furfuryl-5-methylfuran	153.0 ± 29.48	688.7 ± 60.06	380.1 ± 65.47	447.8 ± 23.57	294.8 ± 17.45		
Carbonyl compounds19.212-Methylpropanal- 39.23 ± 5.756 20.99 ± 2.912 42.71 ± 3.692 15.65 ± 2.2 29.27Acetone 36.77 ± 6.790 47.40 ± 3.951 25.49 ± 3.514 88.42 ± 12.57 18.87 ± 1.165 411.652-Butanone 18.21 ± 1.393 48.25 ± 6.338 23.08 ± 2.668 53.50 ± 4.322 18.04 ± 1.1664 512.122-Methylbutanal 37.91 ± 6.963 185.7 ± 29.20 98.24 ± 8.734 280.1 ± 25.59 101.5 ± 1.1664 612.273-Methylbutanal 19.09 ± 3.443 101.6 ± 13.12 48.51 ± 3.580 128.3 ± 0.579 47.23 ± 2.243 1018.333-Hexanone- 50.66 ± 7.312 26.30 ± 2.368 32.27 ± 0.898 16.48 ± 1.133 1320.00Hexanal 161.6 ± 21.45 76.59 ± 10.58 123.2 ± 4.822 84.80 ± 16.42 35.43 ± 1.153 15 20.85 2-Methyl-2-butenal- 34.98 ± 2.586 - 49.81 ± 7.886 20.91 ± 2.256 42.301-(Acetyloxy)-2-ponanone 262.7 ± 50.82 772.4 ± 44.97 485.1 ± 14.64 742.4 ± 66.74 386.0 ± 3.256 64 44.76 $2.3,4$ -Trimethylcyclopent-2-enet- 1-one 47.01 ± 4.102 97.00 ± 14.88 69.10 ± 7.897 133.5 ± 23.56 -6846.101-(Acetyloxy)-2-butanone 69.79 ± 8.213 192.8 ± 11.79 98.62 ± 7.483 239.5 ± 38.96 $78.67 \pm 5.264 \pm 7.713$ 193.3 ± 19.20 161.9 ± 15.62 245.7 ± 18.78 $104.3 \pm 3.$	104 68.38	8 Difurfuryl ether	116.2 ± 13.61	471.7 ± 63.78	330.5 ± 50.35	479.4 ± 49.76	251.1 ± 32.65		
19.212-Methylpropanal- 39.23 ± 5.756 20.99 ± 2.912 42.71 ± 3.692 15.65 ± 2.552 29.27Acetone 36.77 ± 6.790 47.40 ± 3.951 25.49 ± 3.514 88.42 ± 12.57 18.87 ± 1.575 411.652-Butanone 18.21 ± 1.393 48.25 ± 6.338 23.08 ± 2.668 53.50 ± 4.322 18.04 ± 1.575 512.122-Methylbutanal 37.91 ± 6.963 185.7 ± 29.20 98.24 ± 8.734 280.1 ± 25.59 $101.5 \pm 1.5 \pm 1.516$ 612.273-Methylbutanal 19.09 ± 3.443 101.6 ± 13.12 48.51 ± 3.580 128.3 ± 0.579 47.23 ± 2.591 1018.333-Hexanone- 50.66 ± 7.312 26.30 ± 2.368 32.27 ± 0.898 16.48 ± 1.513 1320.00Hexanal 161.6 ± 21.45 76.59 ± 10.58 123.2 ± 4.822 84.80 ± 16.42 35.43 ± 1.533 1520.852-Methylcyclopent-2-en-1-one 30.82 ± 4.513 24.43 ± 2.215 15.80 ± 1.861 51.17 ± 4.746 5742.301-(Acetyloxy)-2-propanone 262.7 ± 50.82 772.4 ± 44.97 485.1 ± 14.64 742.4 ± 66.74 386.0 ± 3.565 6444.76 2.34 -Trimethylcyclopent-2-ene-1-one 47.01 ± 4.102 97.00 ± 14.88 69.10 ± 7.897 133.5 ± 23.56 -6846.101-(Acetyloxy)-2-butanone 69.79 ± 8.213 192.8 ± 11.79 98.62 ± 7.483 239.5 ± 38.96 $78.67 \pm 5.564 \pm 7.433$ 80 51.66 $1-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-1-$ propanone 100.9 ± 96.13	Carbonyl comp	npounds							
29.27Acetone 36.77 ± 6.790 47.40 ± 3.951 25.49 ± 3.514 88.42 ± 12.57 18.87 ± 1 411.652-Butanone 18.21 ± 1.393 48.25 ± 6.338 23.08 ± 2.668 53.50 ± 4.322 18.04 ± 1 512.122-Methylbutanal 37.91 ± 6.963 185.7 ± 29.20 98.24 ± 8.734 280.1 ± 25.59 101.5 ± 1 612.273-Methylbutanal 19.09 ± 3.443 101.6 ± 13.12 48.51 ± 3.580 128.3 ± 0.579 47.23 ± 2 1018.333-Hexanone $ 50.66 \pm 7.312$ 26.30 ± 2.368 32.27 ± 0.898 16.48 ± 1 1320.00Hexanal 161.6 ± 21.45 76.59 ± 10.58 123.2 ± 4.822 84.80 ± 16.42 35.43 ± 1 1520.852-Methylcyclopent-2-en-1-one 30.82 ± 4.513 24.43 ± 2.215 15.80 ± 1.861 51.17 ± 4.746 $-$ 42.301-(Acetyloxy)-2-propanone 262.7 ± 50.82 772.4 ± 44.97 485.1 ± 14.64 742.4 ± 66.74 386.0 ± 3 64 44.76 $2.3A-Trimethylcyclopent-2-ene-1-one47.01 \pm 4.10297.00 \pm 14.8869.10 \pm 7.897133.5 \pm 23.56-6846.101-(Acetyloxy)-2-butanone69.79 \pm 8.213192.8 \pm 11.7998.62 \pm 7.483239.5 \pm 38.9678.67 \pm 58051.661-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-1-propanone51.11 \pm 8.913193.3 \pm 19.20161.9 \pm 15.62245.7 \pm 18.78104.3 \pm 38855.443-Thiophenecarboxaldehyde81.95 \pm 6.049194.1 \pm 1$	1 9.21	2-Methylpropanal	-	39.23 ± 5.756	20.99 ± 2.912	42.71 ± 3.692	15.65 ± 2.433		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 9.27	Acetone	36.77 ± 6.790	47.40 ± 3.951	25.49 ± 3.514	88.42 ± 12.57	18.87 ± 1.544		
512.122-Methylbutanal 37.91 ± 6.963 185.7 ± 29.20 98.24 ± 8.734 280.1 ± 25.59 101.5 ± 1 612.273-Methylbutanal 19.09 ± 3.443 101.6 ± 13.12 48.51 ± 3.580 128.3 ± 0.579 47.23 ± 2 1018.333-Hexanone- 50.66 ± 7.312 26.30 ± 2.368 32.27 ± 0.898 16.48 ± 1 1320.00Hexanal 161.6 ± 21.45 76.59 ± 10.58 123.2 ± 4.822 84.80 ± 16.42 35.43 ± 1 15 20.85 2-Methyl-2-butenal- 34.98 ± 2.586 - 49.81 ± 7.886 20.91 ± 2 49 37.46 2-Methylcyclopent-2-en-1-one 30.82 ± 4.513 24.43 ± 2.215 15.80 ± 1.861 51.17 ± 4.746 -57 42.30 1-(Acetyloxy)-2-propanone 262.7 ± 50.82 772.4 ± 44.97 485.1 ± 14.64 742.4 ± 66.74 386.0 ± 38 64 44.76 2.34 -Trimethylcyclopent-2-ene- 1-one 47.01 ± 4.102 97.00 ± 14.88 69.10 ± 7.897 133.5 ± 23.56 -6846.101-(Acetyloxy)-2-butanone 69.79 ± 8.213 192.8 ± 11.79 98.62 ± 7.483 239.5 ± 38.96 78.67 ± 58 80 51.66 $1-Methylpyrrole-2-$ carboxaldehyde 409.1 ± 60.99 1009 ± 96.13 408.2 ± 44.55 863.8 ± 125.8 337.4 ± 68 88 55.44 3 -Thiophenecarboxaldehyde 81.95 ± 6.049 194.1 ± 13.32 128.1 ± 4.330 230.0 ± 12.46 110.1 ± 38 89 55.77 $4-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-2-$ butanone 55	4 11.65	5 2-Butanone	18.21 ± 1.393	48.25 ± 6.338	23.08 ± 2.668	53.50 ± 4.322	18.04 ± 1.360		
612.273-Methylbutanal19.09 \pm 3.443101.6 \pm 13.1248.51 \pm 3.580128.3 \pm 0.57947.23 \pm 21018.333-Hexanone-50.66 \pm 7.31226.30 \pm 2.36832.27 \pm 0.89816.48 \pm 11320.00Hexanal161.6 \pm 21.4576.59 \pm 10.58123.2 \pm 4.82284.80 \pm 16.4235.43 \pm 11520.852-Methyl-2-butenal-34.98 \pm 2.586-49.81 \pm 7.88620.91 \pm 24937.462-Methylcyclopent-2-enc-1-one30.82 \pm 4.51324.43 \pm 2.21515.80 \pm 1.86151.17 \pm 4.746-5742.301-(Acetyloxy)-2-propanone262.7 \pm 50.82772.4 \pm 44.97485.1 \pm 14.64742.4 \pm 66.74386.0 \pm 36444.762.3,4-Trimethylcyclopent-2-enc-1-one47.01 \pm 4.10297.00 \pm 14.8869.10 \pm 7.897133.5 \pm 23.56-6846.101-(Acetyloxy)-2-butanone69.79 \pm 8.213192.8 \pm 11.7998.62 \pm 7.483239.5 \pm 38.9678.67 \pm 58051.661-Methylpyrole-2- carboxaldehyde409.1 \pm 60.991009 \pm 96.13408.2 \pm 44.55863.8 \pm 125.8337.4 \pm 68554.501-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-1- propanone51.11 \pm 8.913193.3 \pm 19.20161.9 \pm 15.62245.7 \pm 18.78104.3 \pm 38955.774-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-2- butanone55.04 \pm 7.713150.9 \pm 9.911117.7 \pm 14.19168.3 \pm 13.3099.93 \pm 1	5 12.12	2 2-Methylbutanal	37.91 ± 6.963	185.7 ± 29.20	98.24 ± 8.734	280.1 ± 25.59	101.5 ± 11.28		
1018.333-Hexanone- 50.66 ± 7.312 26.30 ± 2.368 32.27 ± 0.898 16.48 ± 1 1320.00Hexanal 161.6 ± 21.45 76.59 ± 10.58 123.2 ± 4.822 84.80 ± 16.42 35.43 ± 1 1520.852-Methyl-2-butenal- 34.98 ± 2.586 - 49.81 ± 7.886 20.91 ± 2 49 37.46 2-Methylcyclopent-2-en-1-one 30.82 ± 4.513 24.43 ± 2.215 15.80 ± 1.861 51.17 ± 4.746 -57 42.30 1-(Acetyloxy)-2-propanone 262.7 ± 50.82 772.4 ± 44.97 485.1 ± 14.64 742.4 ± 66.74 386.0 ± 38 64 44.76 $2.3,4$ -Trimethylcyclopent-2-ene- 1-one 47.01 ± 4.102 97.00 ± 14.88 69.10 ± 7.897 133.5 ± 23.56 -6846.101-(Acetyloxy)-2-butanone 69.79 ± 8.213 192.8 ± 11.79 98.62 ± 7.483 239.5 ± 38.96 78.67 ± 5 80 51.66 1-Methylpyrrole-2- carboxaldehyde 409.1 ± 60.99 1009 ± 96.13 408.2 ± 44.55 863.8 ± 125.8 337.4 ± 6 85 54.50 $1-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-1-$ propanone 51.11 ± 8.913 193.3 ± 19.20 161.9 ± 15.62 245.7 ± 18.78 104.3 ± 32 88 55.44 3 -Thiophenecarboxaldehyde 81.95 ± 6.049 194.1 ± 13.32 128.1 ± 4.330 230.0 ± 12.46 110.1 ± 32 89 55.77 $4-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-2-$ butanone 55.04 ± 7.713 150.9 ± 9.911 117.7 ± 14.19 168.3 ± 13.30 99.93 ± 1	6 12.27	7 3-Methylbutanal	19.09 ± 3.443	101.6 ± 13.12	48.51 ± 3.580	128.3 ± 0.579	47.23 ± 2.425		
1320.00Hexanal 161.6 ± 21.45 76.59 ± 10.58 123.2 ± 4.822 84.80 ± 16.42 35.43 ± 1 1520.852-Methyl-2-butenal- 34.98 ± 2.586 - 49.81 ± 7.886 20.91 ± 2 49 37.46 2-Methylcyclopent-2-en-1-one 30.82 ± 4.513 24.43 ± 2.215 15.80 ± 1.861 51.17 ± 4.746 -5742.301-(Acetyloxy)-2-propanone 262.7 ± 50.82 772.4 ± 44.97 485.1 ± 14.64 742.4 ± 66.74 $386.0 \pm 386.0 \pm 386$	10 18.33	3 3-Hexanone	-	50.66 ± 7.312	26.30 ± 2.368	32.27 ± 0.898	16.48 ± 1.638		
1520.852-Methyl-2-butenal 34.98 ± 2.586 $ 49.81 \pm 7.886$ 20.91 ± 2 4937.462-Methylcyclopent-2-en-1-one 30.82 ± 4.513 24.43 ± 2.215 15.80 ± 1.861 51.17 ± 4.746 $-$ 5742.301-(Acetyloxy)-2-propanone 262.7 ± 50.82 772.4 ± 44.97 485.1 ± 14.64 742.4 ± 66.74 386.0 ± 386 64 44.76 $2,3,4$ -Trimethylcyclopent-2-ene- 1-one 47.01 ± 4.102 97.00 ± 14.88 69.10 ± 7.897 133.5 ± 23.56 $-$ 6846.101-(Acetyloxy)-2-butanone 69.79 ± 8.213 192.8 ± 11.79 98.62 ± 7.483 239.5 ± 38.96 78.67 ± 586 80 51.66 1-Methylpyrrole-2- carboxaldehyde 409.1 ± 60.99 1009 ± 96.13 408.2 ± 44.55 863.8 ± 125.8 337.4 ± 686 85 54.50 1-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-1- propanone 51.11 ± 8.913 193.3 ± 19.20 161.9 ± 15.62 245.7 ± 18.78 104.3 ± 386 88 55.44 3-Thiophenecarboxaldehyde 81.95 ± 6.049 194.1 ± 13.32 128.1 ± 4.330 230.0 ± 12.46 110.1 ± 386 89 55.77 $4-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-2-$ butanone 55.04 ± 7.713 150.9 ± 9.911 117.7 ± 14.19 168.3 ± 13.30 99.93 ± 186	13 20.00	0 Hexanal	161.6 ± 21.45	76.59 ± 10.58	123.2 ± 4.822	84.80 ± 16.42	35.43 ± 1.386		
4937.462-Methylcyclopent-2-en-1-one 30.82 ± 4.513 24.43 ± 2.215 15.80 ± 1.861 51.17 ± 4.746 -5742.301-(Acetyloxy)-2-propanone 262.7 ± 50.82 772.4 ± 44.97 485.1 ± 14.64 742.4 ± 66.74 $386.0 \pm 386.0 \pm $	15 20.85	5 2-Methyl-2-butenal	-	34.98 ± 2.586	-	49.81 ± 7.886	20.91 ± 2.539		
5742.301-(Acetyloxy)-2-propanone 262.7 ± 50.82 772.4 ± 44.97 485.1 ± 14.64 742.4 ± 66.74 386.0 ± 36.64 6444.76 $2,3,4$ -Trimethylcyclopent-2-ene- 1-one1-one 47.01 ± 4.102 97.00 ± 14.88 69.10 ± 7.897 133.5 ± 23.56 -6846.101-(Acetyloxy)-2-butanone 69.79 ± 8.213 192.8 ± 11.79 98.62 ± 7.483 239.5 ± 38.96 78.67 ± 58.82 8051.661-Methylpyrrole-2- carboxaldehyde 409.1 ± 60.99 1009 ± 96.13 408.2 ± 44.55 863.8 ± 125.8 337.4 ± 68.93 8554.501-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-1- propanone 51.11 ± 8.913 193.3 ± 19.20 161.9 ± 15.62 245.7 ± 18.78 104.3 ± 38.93 8855.443-Thiophenecarboxaldehyde 81.95 ± 6.049 194.1 ± 13.32 128.1 ± 4.330 230.0 ± 12.46 110.1 ± 38.93 8955.77 $4-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-2-$ butanone 55.04 ± 7.713 150.9 ± 9.911 117.7 ± 14.19 168.3 ± 13.30 99.93 ± 13.30	49 37.46	6 2-Methylcyclopent-2-en-1-one	30.82 ± 4.513	24.43 ± 2.215	15.80 ± 1.861	51.17 ± 4.746	-		
6444.76 $2.3.4$ -Irimethylcyclopent-2-ene- 1-one47.01 ± 4.102 97.00 ± 14.88 69.10 ± 7.897 133.5 ± 23.56 -6846.101-(Acetyloxy)-2-butanone69.79 ± 8.213 192.8 ± 11.79 98.62 ± 7.483 239.5 ± 38.96 78.67 ± 5.96 8051.661-Methylpyrrole-2- carboxaldehyde409.1 ± 60.99 1009 ± 96.13 408.2 ± 44.55 863.8 ± 125.8 337.4 ± 6.95 8554.501-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-1- propanone51.11 ± 8.913 193.3 ± 19.20 161.9 ± 15.62 245.7 ± 18.78 104.3 ± 3.25 8855.443-Thiophenecarboxaldehyde81.95 ± 6.049 194.1 ± 13.32 128.1 ± 4.330 230.0 ± 12.46 110.1 ± 3.25 8955.774-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-2- butanone55.04 ± 7.713 150.9 ± 9.911 117.7 ± 14.19 168.3 ± 13.30 99.93 ± 13.34	57 42.30	0 1-(Acetyloxy)-2-propanone	262.7 ± 50.82	772.4 ± 44.97	485.1 ± 14.64	742.4 ± 66.74	386.0 ± 36.64		
6846.101-(Acetyloxy)-2-butanone 69.79 ± 8.213 192.8 ± 11.79 98.62 ± 7.483 239.5 ± 38.96 78.67 ± 58.96 8051.661-Methylpyrrole-2- carboxaldehyde $1-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-1-$ propanone 1009 ± 96.13 408.2 ± 44.55 863.8 ± 125.8 337.4 ± 68.96 8554.50 $1-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-1-$ propanone 1009 ± 96.13 408.2 ± 44.55 863.8 ± 125.8 337.4 ± 68.96 8855.44 3 -Thiophenecarboxaldehyde 81.95 ± 6.049 194.1 ± 13.32 128.1 ± 4.330 230.0 ± 12.46 110.1 ± 38.96 8955.77 $4-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-2-$ butanone 55.04 ± 7.713 150.9 ± 9.911 117.7 ± 14.19 168.3 ± 13.30 99.93 ± 116.92	64 44.76	6 2,3,4- Irimethylcyclopent-2-ene- 1-one	47.01 ± 4.102	97.00 ± 14.88	69.10 ± 7.897	133.5 ± 23.56	-		
8051.66Friendly pyrtole-2- 409.1 ± 60.99 1009 ± 96.13 408.2 ± 44.55 863.8 ± 125.8 337.4 ± 669.92 8554.501-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-1- propanone $1-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-1-$ propanone 51.11 ± 8.913 193.3 ± 19.20 161.9 ± 15.62 245.7 ± 18.78 104.3 ± 32 8855.443-Thiophenecarboxaldehyde 81.95 ± 6.049 194.1 ± 13.32 128.1 ± 4.330 230.0 ± 12.46 110.1 ± 32 8955.77 $4-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-2-$ butanone 55.04 ± 7.713 150.9 ± 9.911 117.7 ± 14.19 168.3 ± 13.30 99.93 ± 125.62	68 46.10	0 1-(Acetyloxy)-2-butanone	69.79 ± 8.213	192.8 ± 11.79	98.62 ± 7.483	239.5 ± 38.96	78.67 ± 5.236		
8554.501-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-1- propanone 51.11 ± 8.913 193.3 ± 19.20 161.9 ± 15.62 245.7 ± 18.78 104.3 ± 32.52 8855.443-Thiophenecarboxaldehyde 81.95 ± 6.049 194.1 ± 13.32 128.1 ± 4.330 230.0 ± 12.46 110.1 ± 32.52 8955.77 $4-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-2-$ butanone 55.04 ± 7.713 150.9 ± 9.911 117.7 ± 14.19 168.3 ± 13.30 99.93 ± 12.46	80 51.66	6 carboxaldehyde	409.1 ± 60.99	1009 ± 96.13	408.2 ± 44.55	863.8 ± 125.8	337.4 ± 6.290		
8855.443-Thiophenecarboxaldehyde 81.95 ± 6.049 194.1 ± 13.32 128.1 ± 4.330 230.0 ± 12.46 110.1 ± 3.32 8955.774-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-2- butanone 55.04 ± 7.713 150.9 ± 9.911 117.7 ± 14.19 168.3 ± 13.30 99.93 ± 13.30 34-dimethyl pyrrole-2- 34 -dimethyl pyrrole-2- 34 -dimethyl pyrrole-2- $100.1 \pm 10.1 \pm 10.1 \pm 10.1 \pm 10.12$	85 54.50	0 1-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-1-	51.11 ± 8.913	193.3 ± 19.20	161.9 ± 15.62	245.7 ± 18.78	104.3 ± 3.237		
89 55.77 $\begin{array}{rrrr} 4-(5-Methyl-2-turanyl)-2-\\ butanone \\ 3.4-dimethylpyrrole-2-\\ \end{array}$ 55.04 \pm 7.713 150.9 \pm 9.911 117.7 \pm 14.19 168.3 \pm 13.30 99.93 \pm 1	88 55.44	4 3-Thiophenecarboxaldehyde	81.95 ± 6.049	194.1 ± 13.32	128.1 ± 4.330	230.0 ± 12.46	110.1 ± 3.956		
3 4-dimethylpyrrole-2-	89 55.77	7 4-(5-Methyl-2-furanyl)-2- butanone	55.04 ± 7.713	150.9 ± 9.911	117.7 ± 14.19	168.3 ± 13.30	99.93 ± 1.155		
96 60.67 $\frac{0.4}{\text{carboxaldehvde}}$ 79.86 ± 15.15 238.2 ± 23.10 163.8 ± 24.02 229.2 ± 28.86 133.4 ± 4	96 60.67	7 3,4-dimethylpyrrole-2- carboxaldehyde	79.86 ± 15.15	238.2 ± 23.10	163.8 ± 24.02	229.2 ± 28.86	133.4 ± 4.687		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	100 64.50	3-Ethyl-2-hydroxy-2-	48.21 ± 10.05	140.3 ± 27.11	-	142.8 ± 11.36	45.20 ± 3.894		
$101 65.20 4$ -(2-Furanyl)-3-buten-2-one $75.43 \pm 12.29 222.4 \pm 5.494 154.6 \pm 18.53 197.4 \pm 30.26 89.65 \pm 1000 10000 10$	101 65.20	0 4-(2-Furanyl)-3-buten-2-one	75.43 ± 12.29	222.4 ± 5.494	154.6 ± 18.53	197.4 ± 30.26	89.65 ± 16.57		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	109 73.79	9 1-Methylpyrrole-2- carboxaldebyde	73.36 ± 11.76	186.6 ± 24.95	137.8 ± 22.56	207.6 ± 25.33	98.89 ± 7.737		
Nitrogen compounds	Nitrogen comm	npounds							
19 23.48 1-Methylpyrrole - $56.41 \pm 9.041 43.53 \pm 1.601 113.8 + 17.90$ -	19 23.48	8 1-Methylpyrrole	-	56.41 ± 9.041	43.53 ± 1.601	113.8 ± 17.90	-		
25 25.89 Pyridine $231.5 \pm 16.62 + 465.3 \pm 17.32 + 52.99 + 672.2 + 104.2 + 180.8 + 32.99 + 104.2 + 10$	25 25.89	9 Pvridine	231.5 ± 16.62	465.3 ± 17.32	549.1 ± 52.99	672.2 ± 104.2	180.8 ± 31.07		
30 27.77 Pyrazine 19.67 ± 1.841 47.68 ± 5.511 35.46 ± 4.561 67.02 ± 8.104 -	30 27.77	7 Pyrazine	19.67 ± 1.841	47.68 ± 5.511	35.46 ± 4.561	67.02 ± 8.104	-		
38 30.99 Methylpyrazine 594.3 ± 66.29 1206 ± 62.03 621.6 ± 80.05 1252 ± 100.3 375.4 ± 50.05	38 30.99	9 Methylpyrazine	594.3 ± 66.29	1206 ± 62.03	621.6 ± 80.05	1252 ± 100.3	375.4 ± 51.81		
44 34.31 2,5-Dimethylpyrazine 4870 ± 74.89 1228 ± 89.84 631.7 ± 77.06 1075 ± 106.1 446.4 ± 5000	44 34.31	1 2,5-Dimethylpyrazine	4870 ± 74.89	1228 ± 89.84	631.7 ± 77.06	1075 ± 106.1	446.4 ± 58.79		
45 34.68 2,6-Dimethylpyrazine 534.2 \pm 75.54 1157 \pm 81.97 577.6 \pm 86.41 989.7 \pm 94.39 412.0 \pm 5	45 34.68	8 2,6-Dimethylpyrazine	534.2 ± 75.54	1157 ± 81.97	577.6 ± 86.41	989.7 ± 94.39	412.0 ± 58.61		

Peak	RT		Relative Concentration (μ g/L) \pm Standard Deviation						
n°	(min)	Chemical Families	Guatemala	Colombia	Brazil	Timor	Ethiopia		
46	35.09	Ethylpyrazine	$\begin{array}{r} 324.31 \pm \\ 26.88 \end{array}$	623.1 ± 82.54	405.2 ± 66.44	610.5 ± 55.32	279.3 ± 31.50		
47	35.80	2,3-Dimethylpyrazine	100.6 ± 16.08	193.4 ± 6.569	135.2 ± 20.53	201.6 ± 12.12	76.31 ± 11.12		
40 50	37.33	2 Ethyl (mothylpurgaing	-	$\frac{-}{1206}$ \pm 104.0	12.23 ± 0.496	- 1016 112 E	-		
50 E1	38.03	2 Ethyl 5 methylpyrazine,	493.0 ± 64.41	1396 ± 104.9 1056 ± 107.0	860.8 ± 130.4	1210 ± 113.5	737.2 ± 83.00		
51	20.42 20.12	Z-Euryi-S-meuryipyrazine	301.3 ± 70.70	1030 ± 127.9 1020 ± 122.0	093.0 ± 00.00	900.9 ± 100.0	390.0 ± 33.03		
52	39.13	2 (n Bronyl)nyrazine	390.7 ± 34.93	1029 ± 123.9	044.4 ± 72.31 71 45 \ 10.92	097.0 ± 93.20 105.7 \ 12.70	497.1 ± 30.04		
55 E4	40.05	2 (Disthulaurazina	44.03 ± 7.201	00.94 ± 3.030	71.43 ± 10.03	103.7 ± 12.70	44.55 ± 5.517		
54	40.84	2,6-Diethylpyrazine	91.39 ± 13.22	1329 ± 27.96	$2/4.7 \pm 25.70$	280.7 ± 28.03	233.4 ± 21.70		
55 E6	41.57	2.2 Dimethylpirazine	413.3 ± 62.74	1329 ± 130.3	1000 ± 33.40 77.42 \le 2.614	1079 ± 60.00	094.1 ± 00.24		
50	41.90	2 Mothyl 5 propulating	-	00.19 ± 7.720	77.43 ± 2.014 54.62 \pm 0.121	90.03 ± 13.41 86 75 \pm 0.278	33.39 ± 3.306 67.38 \pm 4.048		
50 61	42.30	2.2 Disthul E methylpurgzing	$=$ 51 42 \pm 6 440	-	54.05 ± 0.121	60.75 ± 9.576	07.30 ± 4.040 1127 + 0.001		
62	44.02	2.5 Diethyl 2 methylpyrazine	31.43 ± 0.440 77 78 + 12 28		- E71 2 2E 10	-	112.7 ± 9.091		
62	44.09	2. Eth angel (an etherlassing size	77.78 ± 13.28	277.9 ± 33.88	$5/1.2 \pm 55.19$	423.0 ± 31.38	290.9 ± 12.74		
63	44.49	2 Math and 2 (2	-	156.5 ± 18.49	107.1 ± 5.82	$1/0.3 \pm 32.77$	66.40 ± 1.476		
67	45.82	2-Methoxy-3-(2- methylpropyl)pyrazine	-	-	258.5 ± 30.03	528.2 ± 48.95	-		
74	50.07	Isopropenylpyrazine	40.46 ± 8.075	186.7 ± 20.50	79.01 ± 12.64	178.6 ± 20.04	83.51 ± 11.45		
78	50.56	2-Acetylpyridine	-	-	-	134.0 ± 19.05	69.45 ± 8.223		
82	53.22	2-Acetyl-1-methylpyrrole	253.6 ± 48.89	847.5 ± 99.88	534.5 ± 44.33	683.6 ± 21.41	337.4 ± 33.81		
87	55.22	2-Acetyl-3-methylpyrazine	63.38 ± 8.495	237.2 ± 35.77	136.8 ± 9.104	252.8 ± 24.67	114.8 ± 9.090		
90	56.25	3-Methylpyrrole	53.57 ± 7.528	204.2 ± 22.15	87.33 ± 12.65	213.9 ± 26.46	-		
97	61.57	1-(2-Furanylmethyl)pyrrole	403.7 ± 77.24	1615 ± 89.00	1291 ± 108.4	1462 ± 92.84	977.4 ± 83.77		
103	67.99	2-Acetylpyrrole	263.2 ± 52.03	803.2 ± 156.7	291.3 ± 36.40	837.9 ± 73.19	234.3 ± 37.07		
107	70.52	Pyrrole-2-carboxaldehyde	304.7 ± 55.57	1081 ± 188.2	502.1 ± 76.74	1102 ± 44.02	483.3 ± 75.45		
Esters									
17	21.41	Methyl-2-butanoate	-	-	-	-	15.76 ± 1.038		
32	28.77	Undecyl benzoate	-	-	13.51 ± 1.205	-	-		
36	30.00	3,3-Dimethylallyl acetate	-	37.87 ± 2.202	46.03 ± 9.062	47.30 ± 1.309	32.02 ± 0.934		
69	46.38	2-Furfuryl acetate	3090 ± 497.2	7930 ± 144.8	6097 ± 737.2	8499 ± 633.6	4250 ± 270.1		
72	49.57	2-Furfuryl propanoate	205.4 ± 29.76	$638.7. \pm 22.90$	438.7 ± 33.69	504.0 ± 55.12	328.2 ± 39.06		
86	54.54	Furfuryl 3-methylbutanoate	89.78 ± 15.27	379.5 ± 46.98	198.8 ± 39.16	354.2 ± 23.96	224.8 ± 6.479		
93	59.60	Methyl-2-hydroxybenzoate	40.45 ± 6.367	251.1 ± 38.54	121.0 ± 17.56	344.2 ± 40.12	133.9 ± 8.486		
Terpen	oids								
18	22.06	β-Terpinene	28.99 ± 1.445	17.29 ± 2.617	-	-	-		
21	23.74	3-Carene	23.20 ± 3.721	-	-	-	-		
23	24.43	β-Myrcene	26.69 ± 3.975	58.45 ± 9.665	37.32 ± 2.044	39.95 ± 5.829	38.64 ± 1.532		
24	25.19	α-Terpinene	26.13 ± 3.925	-	-	-	-		
28	26.80	Limonene	194.1 ± 25.74	200.0 ± 16.20	103.3 ± 9.771	106.9 ± 16.01	164.8 ± 9.548		
34	29.65	γ-Terpinene	87.64 ± 11.33	54.32 ± 6.037	-	-	-		
35	29.83	β-cis-Ocimene	-	68.74 ± 6.549	34.20 ± 1.713	34.79 ± 5.172	30.30 ± 3.454		
39	31.30	Cymene	107.0 ± 17.59	51.05 ± 7.566	-	-	-		
76	50.35	Caryophyllene	172.7 ± 33.19	-	-	-	-		
92	59.16	2-Menthene	40.14 ± 6.087	199.9 ± 21.73	78.83 ± 11.04	244.1 ± 15.15	62.24 ± 8.815		
111	77.92	Carvacrol	96.52 ± 14.20	855.3 ± 124.3	653.5 ± 126.8	1393 ± 118.5	270.3 ± 32.90		
Volatil	e phenol	S							
22	24.05	3-Methylphenol	-	156.2 ± 17.66	126.1 ± 10.80	113.0 ± 1.997	66.73 ± 9.176		
98	63.00	Guaiacol	263.7 ± 41.87	852.5 ± 118.3	457.4 ± 26.62	903.4 ± 83.59	441.3 ± 36.06		
99	63.93	o-Cresol	85.86 ± 15.10	222.8 ± 36.49	178.5 ± 9.106	231.5 ± 19.46	151.9 ± 17.41		
105	69.00	<i>p</i> -Cresol	63.46 ± 5.820	141.5 ± 3.574	82.15 ± 3.970	167.8 ± 12.53	63.80 ± 9.344		
106	69.26	Phenol	119.9 ± 19.63	287.0 ± 28.80	142.9 ± 8.188	345.9 ± 32.88	117.3 ± 20.18		
108	72.78	<i>m</i> -Cresol	61.88 ± 5.393	164.9 ± 27.65	131.1 ± 20.10	281.9 ± 39.97	84.74 ± 12.16		
110	76.46	<i>m</i> -tert-Butylphenol	43.97 ± 0.994	-	-	-	-		
Sulphi	ır compo	ounds							
12	19.65	Dimethyldisulfide	-	33.51 ± 5.493	-	47.00 ± 6.383	15.04 ± 0.262		
14	20.67	2-Methylthiophene	-	21.62 ± 0.893	21.65 ± 1.543	-	13.39 ± 0.668		
102	66.71	2-Thiophenemethanol	72.11 ± 10.73	266.0 ± 46.03	-	-	-		

Peak	RT	Chaminal Familia	Relative Concentration (μ g/L) \pm Standard Deviation						
n°	(min)	Chemical Families	Guatemala	Colombia	Brazil	Timor	Ethiopia		
Alcoho	ls								
42	33.30	3-Methylbenzyl alcohol	-	-	15.21 ± 1.480	-	-		
43	33.44	2-Heptanol	-	-	49.17 ± 8.216	48.71 ± 5.321	40.74 ± 4.082		
60	43.31	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	131.5 ± 24.21	129.0 ± 24.51	95.27 ± 4.130	-	-		
70	46.98	1-Octanol	-	-	80.54 ± 12.42	-	-		
Acids									
83	53.51	3-Methylbutanoic acid	418.9 ± 48.21	437.0 ± 77.70	-	297.7 ± 46.61	95.85 ± 16.71		
94	59.90	(Pyrrol-3-yl) acetic acid	-	182.2 ± 15.59	93.53 ± 9.126	276.5 ± 45.85	115.9 ± 7.289		
95	60.13	3-Methyl-2-butenoic acid	59.71 ± 5.449	105.8 ± 9.025	-	132.0 ± 11.38	73.68 ± 11.98		
Others		-							
7	13.49	2,2,4,6,6-Pentamethylheptane	15.3 ± 1.552	-	-	-	-		
9	15.30	Decane	31.96 ± 3.145	16.74 ± 1.903	8.31 ± 0.4633	-	-		
16	21.14	2,3,6-Trimethyl-1,5-heptadiene	-	28.64 ± 3.653	21.54 ± 2.496	-	19.32 ± 2.044		
20	23.52	<i>p</i> -Xylene	20.14 ± 2.173	-	-	-	-		
26	26.14	Dodecane	53.13 ± 4.700	127.3 ± 14.52	64.57 ± 6.056	62.26 ± 12.11	-		
27	26.33	Trimethyloxazole	25.72 ± 4.273	39.29 ± 2.386	43.27 ± 7.174	-	47.78 ± 5.174		
37	30.61	Styrene	-	53.67 ± 0.409	33.55 ± 3.740	31.48 ± 1.251	32.17 ± 3.835		
40	31.71	2,7-Dimethyloxepine	-	58.62 ± 7.032	31.20 ± 1.046	-	-		
41	32.34	3-Methylanisole	-	252.9 ± 20.84	133.1 ± 3.533	111.7 ± 16.03	81.05 ± 5.853		
79	51.04	1-Acetyl-2-methylcyclopentene	108.3 ± 18.89	327.0 ± 48.98	164.3 ± 21.58	265.4 ± 28.01	136.5 ± 12.05		
91	57.86	Cyclopentene	45.19 ± 4.663	-	-	-	-		

Table 1. Cont.

The GC-MS chromatograms of spent coffee grounds from different geographical origins were mapped in Figure S1 (Supplementary Materials).

A total of 111 VOMs was tentatively identified in the spent coffee grounds samples, 60 of which are common to all samples. These VOMs consisted of 29 nitrogen compounds, 20 carbonyl compounds, 16 furanic compounds, 11 terpenoids, 7 esters, 7 volatile phenols, 4 alcohols, 3 acids, 3 sulfur compounds, and 11 others.

In semi-quantitative terms, furanic compounds $(13,150 \pm 903.0 \ \mu g/L$ for total relative concentration of volatile fingerprint), nitrogen compounds $(11,125 \pm 733.3 \ \mu g/L)$, esters $(6862 \pm 426.5 \ \mu g/L)$, carbonyl compounds $(2772 \pm 122.3 \ \mu g/L)$, volatile phenols $(1310 \pm 85.6 \ \mu g/L)$ and terpenoids $(1122 \pm 89.6 \ \mu g/L)$ were the chemical families with the highest contribution to the volatile fingerprint of spent coffee grounds. The contribution of the remaining chemical families to the total volatile fingerprint was lower than 2%. Figure 3 shows the distribution of the VOMs, according to chemical family. Significant statistical differences were observed (Figure 3) in terms of relative concentration among chemical families identified in spent coffee grounds from different coffee investigated samples.

Furanic and nitrogen compounds found in coffee are mainly products of the Maillard reaction as well as thermal and Strecker degradations of their precursors (carbohydrates and proteins) [14], which occur during the roasting of the coffee beans. Furan compounds have been shown to have potential as alternative commodity chemicals to fossil-fuel-based platform chemicals, through the oxidation, dehydration, and hydrogenation process of functionalities attached to their furan ring [15], producing a variety of value-added chemicals [16]. Spent coffee grounds from Timor seem to be the richest in furanic compounds (21,953 \pm 1373 µg/L), followed by spent coffee grounds produced in Colombia (21,091 \pm 1298.9 µg/L), Brazil (9722 \pm 840.5 µg/L), Guatemala (6531 \pm 919.9 µg/L) and Ethiopia (6453 \pm 517.1 µg/L).

Furfural (2359 \pm 224.9 µg/L of the total relative concentration of volatile fingerprint), 5-methylfurfural (4391 \pm 415.6 µg/L) and 2-furanmethanol (3339 \pm 311.3 µg/L) were the most abundant furanic compounds identified in all spent coffee grounds. Furfural can be transformed into useful fuels and chemicals used in oil refining, plastics production, and the pharmaceutical and agrochemical industries [17]. This furanic compound was

identified in all the spent coffee ground samples analyzed in high relative concentrations but was more abundant in spent coffee grounds from Colombia and Timor, Table 1. On the other hand, benzofuran was only detected in spent coffee grounds from Brazil, whereas vinyl furan was not detected only in spent coffee grounds from Guatemala.



Figure 3. Relative concentration (μ g/L) of the volatile organic metabolites identified grouped by major (**a**) and minor (**b**) chemical families for the spent coffee grounds. Different superscript letters in the same chemical family indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) among spent coffee grounds from different geographical origins.

Nitrogen compounds represent the second most abundant chemical group identified in the analyzed samples, of which the majority consisted of pyrazines and pyrroles. Pyrazine and its derivatives have various applications as ingredients in pesticides, insecticides, dyes, pharmaceutical products, corrosion inhibitors, organic photovoltaics, and organic light emitting diodes [18]. Moreover, alkylated pyrazines are used as flavoring agents in the food industry due to their strong aromatic properties, while methoxylated pyrazines in the perfume industry are used to improve the odor of cosmetics and toiletries [19]. On the other hand, pyrrole and its derivatives have been proved to play a significant role in material science, as a component of optoelectronic equipment, and in pharmaceutical chemistry, since several pyrrole-based drugs have been discovered [20]. The nitrogen compounds' contribution to the total volatile fingerprint is similar between the spent coffee grounds from Ethiopia and Brazil, 33.27 and 32.72%, respectively. Nevertheless, this chemical family has a less contribution to the total volatile fingerprint in spent coffee grounds from Colombia (28.81%), Guatemala (28.74%) and Timor (27.58%). 2-Ethyl-6-methylpyrazine (941.2 \pm 103.4 µg/L of the total relative concentration of volatile fingerprint), 3-ethyl-2,5-

dimethylpyrazine (960.4 \pm 83.11 µg/L) and 1-(2-furanylmethyl)pyrrole (1150 \pm 90.24 µg/L) were the most abundant nitrogen compounds in spent coffee grounds independently of geographical origin.

Esters, synthesized through the oxidation of fatty acids, contribute to the fruity and floral flavor of spent coffee grounds, and depending on their specific properties have a wide range of applications in the cosmetic (e.g., emollients in creams, surfactants in shampoos, antioxidants in antiaging creams, fragrances in perfumes) (Khan and Rathod, 2015) and food (e.g., flavor and aroma of diversity of food-related products) industry [21]. Spent coffee grounds from Timor seem to be the richest in esters (9749 \pm 599.0 µg/L), followed by spent coffee grounds produced in Colombia (9237 \pm 195.5 µg/L), Brazil (6915 \pm 652.3 µg/L), Guatemala (3426 \pm 436.0 µg/L) and Ethiopia (4985 \pm 249.8 µg/L). 2-Furfuryl acetate (5973 \pm 456.6 µg/L of the total relative concentration of volatile finger-print) was the predominant esters identified in all spent coffee grounds independently of geographical origin.

Carbonyl compounds (aldehydes and ketones) were a similar contribution to the total volatile fingerprint among the spent coffee grounds from Guatemala (7.97%), Ethiopia (7.64%), Timor (7.43%), Colombia (7.18%) and Brazil (7.10%). 1-Methylpyrrole-2-carboxaldehyde $(605.4 \pm 66.74 \ \mu g/L \text{ of the total relative concentration of volatile fingerprint) and 1-(acetyloxy)-$ 2-propanone (529.7 \pm 42.76 μ g/L) were the most abundant carbonyl compounds identified in spent coffee grounds analyzed. 2-Methylpropanal and 3-hexanone were not detected in spent coffee grounds from Guatemala, 2-methylcyclopent-2-en-1-one and 2,3,4-trimethylcyclopent-2-en-1-one in spent coffee grounds from Ethiopia, and 3-ethyl-2-hydrodoxy-2-cyclopenten-1-one in spent coffee grounds from Brazil. Terpenoids are compounds produced by plants (phytochemicals) and are one of the largest classes of natural compounds, owing to their chemical diversity. Therefore, they can have a wide range of industrial applications, such as flavors, fragrances, high-grade lubricants, biofuels, agricultural chemicals, and medicines [22]. The terpenoids contribution to the total volatile fingerprint is similar among the spent coffee grounds from Brazil (2.83%), Colombia (2.70%) and Ethiopia (2.46%), being highest in spent coffee grounds from Guatemala (4.11%). Carvacrol (653.6 \pm 83.32 µg/L of the total relative concentration of volatile fingerprint), limonene (153.8 \pm 15.45 μ g/L) and 2-menthene (125.0 \pm 12.56 μ g/L) were the most abundant terpenoids in spent coffee grounds independently of geographical origin. Carvacrol has been progressively employed in the food and pharmaceutical industries due to its strong antibacterial and anti-inflammatory properties [23]. Nevertheless, carvacrol is volatile, easily oxidized, and difficult to dissolve in water, and for this reason, several studies have been developed to improve its stability through encapsulation methods [16,23]. Limonene was used as a green and non-toxic solvent alternative to produce polystyrene fiber matrix by electrospinning [16] and applied in the agri-food industry as an antimicrobial, herbicidal, and antioxidant agent [24].

3.2. Potential Applications of Spent Coffee Grounds Volatile Compounds

In nature, VOMs, perform numerous functions for the communication between insects and/or plants for (insect) mating or even, because of their pleasant smell or taste, as fragrances and flavors. In fruits and vegetables [25], these secondary metabolites are generated from fatty acids or amino acid precursors during ripening, whiles in other foods several pathways can explain its formation such as the levurian metabolism in fermented beverages, the oxidation/reduction processes during storage/aging, the Maillard and Strecker reactions which occurs as a result of some thermal procedures to which some foods are subjected, the coffee roasting and wine heating (*estufagem* in Madeira wine), for instance, with the formation of compounds that impact the aroma of different products such as furan, sulfur, and nitrogen-containing compounds [26].

There is increasing demand for natural compounds which can act as food preservatives to inhibit the growth of microorganisms (Table 2), especially pathogenic microorganisms, and to control the natural spoilage process. In this context, the use of waste from the agri-

food industry constitutes an important natural, cheap, and renewable source of compounds with a potential preservative effect, among which, in addition to phytochemicals, a wide variety of VOMs can be highlighted [27].

Table 2. Potential properties of	some important volatile	e organic metabolites (VOMs) identified in
spent coffee grounds SCGs analy	yzed in this study.		

VOMs	Antidiabetic	Anti-inflammatory	Antimicrobial	Antioxidant	Antiproliferative	Antitumor	Cytotoxic	Flavors	References
Furfural		х	х	х					
5-Methylfurfural			x			х		х	
2-Furanmethanol		х	x	х					
2-Ethyl-6-methyl-pyrazine								х	
3-Ethyl-2,5-dimethylpyrazine								х	
Pyrrole-2-carboxaldehyde			х						
Hexanal			х						[25,27–35]
β-Myrcene			х	х			х		
Limonene	х	х	х	х	х	х	х		
Carvacrol		х	х	х	х	х	х		
Menthene		х	х	х					
Dimethyl disulfide				x					
Guaiacol			х	х					

Some of the VOMs identified in the spent coffee grounds analyzed have been pointed out for presenting biological activity, including antidiabetic, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, antioxidant, antiproliferative, and antitumor activities, potentiating their applications in the food industry as preservatives, additives, flavoring agents, among others (Table 2) [25,27–35]. A semi-quantitative analysis on volatile profile of spent coffee ground was performed by Page et al. [36], The most abundant chemical classes identified in the evaluated samples were furan compounds, pyrrols, phenolics, and carboxylic acids. Terpenes, alcohols, ketones, aldehydes, thiophenes, tiazoles, and benzoxazoles were also identified in minor quantities. In addition, Zambonin et al. [37] also identified pyrazines, furans, pyrrols, and phenolic compounds as the most abundant classes of compounds when studying coffee brews using HS-SPME/GC–MS.

Ten of these VOMs were indicated to have antimicrobial activity which were furfural [33], 5-methylfurfural [35], 2-furanmethanol [28], pyrrole-2-carboxaldehyde [30], hexanal, β -myrcene, limonene, guaiacol [25], carvacrol [34], and menthene [29]; eight compounds with antioxidant activity, including furfural, 2-furanmethanol [27], β -myrcene, limonene, guaiacol [25], carvacrol [34], menthene [29], and dimethyl disulfide [31]; five compounds with anti-inflammatory activity including furfural, 2-furanmethanol [27], limonene [25], carvacrol [34], and menthene [29]; three compounds with antitumor activity 5-methylfurfural [35], limonene [25], and carvacrol [34]; three compounds that present cytotoxic activity, including β -myrcene, limonene [25], and carvacrol [34]; and one compound, limonene [25], with antidiabetic activity. Additionally, three of the identified VOMs were used as flavoring agents, including 5-methylfurfural [35], 2-ethyl-6-methylpyrazine, and 3-ethyl-2,5-dimethylpyrazine [32].

These VOMs properties enhance its potential use in different areas including the food, pharmaceutical, and cosmetics industry, valuing a natural, renewable, and low-cost raw material, from a circular economy perspective.

3.3. Statistical Analysis

The one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey test (p < 0.05) performed showed that, the p values obtained proved that the identified 111 VOMs presented statistically significant differences for the analyzed spent coffee grounds from different geographical origins. Statistical analysis was applied to the spent coffee grounds through the principal component analysis (PCA) multivariate pattern recognition procedure, to determine the differences in the volatile fingerprint. Figure 4a,b present the PCA score plot and loading plot from the spent coffee grounds from different geographical origins, respectively. All spent coffee grounds were adequately separated, underlining that the total 111 VOMs identified in the five different samples allowed their discrimination.



Figure 4. PCA of the volatile fingerprint of spent coffee grounds from different geographical origin. (a) PC1 \times PC2 score scatter plot and (b) loading weight plot (attribution of the peak number is shown in Table 1).

The variances of PC1 and PC2 were 68.9 and 16%, respectively, representing 84.9% of the total variability of data. Colombia spent coffee grounds, projected in PC1 and PC2 positive, was characterized by 2-furanmethanol (81), 2-acetylfuran (65), and furfural (59), whereas the spent coffee grounds from Ethiopia and Brazil projected in PC1 and PC2 negative by trimethyloxazole (27), methyl-2-butanoate (17), 1-octanol (70), 2-methylbenzofuran (75), 2-methyl-5-propylpyrazine (58), and 2-heptanol (43). Guatemala spent coffee grounds, projected in PC1 negative and PC2 positive, was characterized by caryophyllene (76), o-cymene (39), and γ -terpinene (34), while Timor spent coffee grounds placed in PC1 positive and PC2 negative by 2-furfuryl acetate (69), carvacrol (111), and 5-methylfurfural (71). Moreover, partial least square-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) was applied (Figure 5) and the 10 most significant VOMs (VIP score > 1.5) that allowed the discrimination of the spent coffee ground samples by geographical origin were 3-methylbutanoic acid (83), 3,5-diethyl-2-methylpyrazine (62), 2-furanmethanol (81), 2-acetylpyridine (78), 3-methyl-2-butenoic acid (95), 2,2'-methylenebisfuran (77), 3-ethyl-2-hydroxy-2-cyclopenten-1-one (100), 2,7-dimethyloxepine (40), 3-methylanisole (41), and benzofuran (66) (Figure 4b).

A random permutation test with 1000 permutations was performed with PLS-DA model (Figure 5) to evaluate the robustness of the model.

The heatmap created using Pearson's correlation for the VOMs with VIP scores > 1.5 is shown in Figure 5c. The heatmap shows that most of these VOMs presented higher chromatographic area in Colombia spent coffee grounds, except for 2-acetylpyridine (78) and benzofuran (66), that presented higher values in Timor and Brazil spent coffee grounds,

respectively. In addition, as seen in Figure 5c, the volatile fingerprint of spent coffee grounds showed remarkable differences among the geographical regions. This variance could be an outcome of climate conditions factors (e.g., mean annual temperature), altitude and geographic location (e.g., longitude, latitude), which may result in an inhibition of the activity of certain odor-related enzymes [38,39].



Figure 5. PLS-DA of the volatile fingerprint of spent coffee grounds from different geographical origins (**a**) score scatter plot, and (**b**) VIP scores and (**c**) hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA). (Attribution of the peak number is shown in Table 1).

In Figure 6a goodness of fit of 0.9944 (R2 = 99.44%) and a predicted ability of 0.9813 (Q2 = 98.13%) were obtained with three significant components, based on crossing-validation. The model is not overfitted, as can be proved by the difference between R2 and Q2, which was lower than 0.3 (R2 – Q2 = 0.01). This indicates that it presented an acceptable predictive ability for the discrimination of the spent coffee ground from different geographical origin.



Figure 6. (a) 10-fold cross-validation performance and (b) model validation by permutation test based on 1000 permutations of VOCs obtained by GC-MS of spent coffee grounds samples (* means best Q2 value).

4. Conclusions

The volatile fingerprint of spent coffee grounds from different lots of coffee was established using HS-SPME/GC-MS to explore their potential to be used for industrial applications, to valorize this major coffee by-product. A total of 111 VOMs belonging to different chemical families was identified in the spent coffee grounds from different geographic origins, 60 of which were common to all samples. Furanic compounds (accounts for 34% of the total volatile fingerprint), nitrogen compounds (30%), and esters (19%), were the chemical families with the highest contribution to the volatile fingerprint of spent coffee grounds, followed by carbonyl compounds (7%), volatile phenols (4%), and terpenoids (3%). The contribution of the remaining chemical families (alcohols, sulphur compounds, acids) for the total volatile fingerprint was lower than 2%. In addition, remarkable differences in terms of the qualitative and semi-quantitative profiles were observed among the spent coffee grounds analyzed suggesting that geographical origin and climatic conditions have a substantial impact on the volatile fingerprint. A clear discrimination of spent coffee grounds from different geographical origin was observed, giving three furanic compounds, one carbonyl compound, two nitrogen compounds, and two acids as putative geographical markers.

In sum, the most abundant chemical families (furanic compounds, nitrogen compounds, esters, terpenoids) identified in the analyzed spent coffee grounds showed great potential to be used as raw material for applications in food (as an ingredient and/or value-added components) and/or in non-food products (e.g., pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, food packing), supporting its valorization based on circular economy concept closing the loop of coffee value chain, toward the valorization of coffee by-product. The outcome of this research work represents a noteworthy contribution to circular economy, as it confirms spent coffee grounds can be used for a diversity of industrial applications and have high availability throughout the year, in addition to its low price. The evaluation of the bioactive potential of spent coffee grounds is being evaluated in terms of antioxidant, antiproliferative and antihypertensive is being carried out in addition to the identification of the polyphenols responsible for the related activity. The isolation of the bioactive compounds followed by their nanoencapsulation will be a strategy for its application in pharmaceutical formulations. In addition, future works to produce natural flavoring products for deployment in the food and beverage industry, are under consideration.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/foods11121731/s1, Figure S1: Chromatograms obtained through HS-SPME/GC-MS for spent coffee grounds from different geographical origin (attribution of the peak number is shown in Table 1).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: C.A., R.P. and J.S.C.; methodology: C.A., R.P. and J.S.C.; writing—original draft preparation: C.A., R.P. and J.S.C.; writing—review and editing; C.A., R.P. and J.S.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by FCT-Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia through the CQM Base Fund—UIDB/00674/2020, and Programmatic Fund—UIDP/00674/2020, by Interreg MAC 2014–2020 Cooperacion territorial through AD4MAC project (MAC2/1.1b/350), and by ARDITI-Agência Regional para o Desenvolvimento da Investigação Tecnologia e Inovação, through the project M1420-01-0145-FEDER-000005—Centro de Química da Madeira—CQM+ (Madeira 14-20 Program). The authors also acknowledge FCT and Madeira 14-2020 program to the Portuguese Mass Spectrometry Network (RNEM) through PROEQUIPRAM program, (M14-20 M1420-01-0145-FEDER-000008).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not Applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not Applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Rattan, S.; Parande, A.K.; Nagaraju, V.D.; Ghiwari, G.K. A comprehensive review on utilization of wastewater from coffee processing. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2015, 22, 6461–6472. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Crossley, O.P.; Thorpe, R.B.; Lee, J. Phosphorus recovery from process waste water made by the hydrothermal carbonisation of spent coffee grounds. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2020, 301, 122664. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. ICO International Coffee Organization. Trade Statistics Tables. Available online: https://www.ico.org/trade_statistics.asp? section=Statistics (accessed on 3 April 2022).
- Brand, D.; Pandey, A.; Roussos, S.; Soccol, C.R. Biological detoxification of coffee husk by filamentous fungi using a solid state fermentation system. *Enzym. Microb. Technol.* 2000, 27, 127–133. [CrossRef]
- 5. La Scalia, G.; Saeli, M.; Miglietta, P.P.; Micale, R. Coffee biowaste valorization within circular economy: An evaluation method of spent coffee grounds potentials for mortar production. *Int. J. Life Cycle Assess.* **2021**, *26*, 1805–1815. [CrossRef]
- Gaidukova, G.; Platnieks, O.; Aunins, A.; Barkane, A.; Ingrao, C.; Gaidukovs, S. Spent coffee waste as a renewable source for the production of sustainable poly(butylene succinate) biocomposites from a circular economy perspective. *RSC Adv.* 2021, 11, 18580–18589. [CrossRef]
- Plaza, M.G.; González, A.S.; Pevida, C.; Pis, J.J.; Rubiera, F. Valorisation of spent coffee grounds as CO₂ adsorbents for postcombustion capture applications. *Appl. Energy* 2012, *99*, 272–279. [CrossRef]
- Garcia, C.V.; Kim, Y.T. Spent coffee grounds and coffee silverskin as potential materials for packaging: A review. J. Polym. Environ. 2021, 29, 2372–2384. [CrossRef]
- 9. Zuo, J.; Tang, W.; Xu, Y. Anti-Hepatitis B Virus Activity of Chlorogenic Acid and Its Related Compounds; Elsevier Inc.: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2015; ISBN 9780124167162.
- 10. Saberian, M.; Li, J.; Donnoli, A.; Bonderenko, E.; Oliva, P.; Gill, B.; Lockrey, S.; Siddique, R. Recycling of spent coffee grounds in construction materials: A review. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2021**, *289*, 125837. [CrossRef]
- Cervera-Mata, A.; Pastoriza, S.; Rufián-Henares, J.Á.; Párraga, J.; Martín-García, J.M.; Delgado, G. Impact of spent coffee grounds as organic amendment on soil fertility and lettuce growth in two Mediterranean agricultural soils. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.* 2018, 64, 790–804. [CrossRef]
- 12. Cervera-Mata, A.; Delgado, G.; Fernández-Arteaga, A.; Fornasier, F.; Mondini, C. Spent coffee grounds by-products and their influence on soil C–N dynamics. *J. Environ. Manag.* 2022, 302, 114075. [CrossRef]
- 13. Ma, J.; Zhang, M.; Zhang, H.; Wang, Y.; Li, F.; Hu, N.; Dai, Z.; Ding, Y.; Ding, D. Efficient removal of U(VI) in acidic environment with spent coffee grounds derived hydrogel. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2022**, *426*, 127786. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Wang, X.; Wang, Y.; Hu, G.; Hong, D.; Guo, T.; Li, J.; Li, Z.; Qiu, M. Review on factors affecting coffee volatiles: From seed to cup. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2021**, *102*, 1341–1352. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Kwon, Y.; Schouten, K.J.P.; Van Der Waal, J.C.; De Jong, E.; Koper, M.T.M. Electrocatalytic conversion of furanic compounds. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 6704–6717. [CrossRef]
- 16. Wang, P.; Wu, Y. A review on colloidal delivery vehicles using carvacrol as a model bioactive compound. *Food Hydrocoll.* **2021**, 120, 106922. [CrossRef]
- 17. Scapin, E.; Rambo, M.K.D.; Viana, G.C.C.; Borges, M.S.; Rambo, M.C.D.; Carneiro, C. Production of furanic compounds and organic acids from Brazilian Pequi (*Caryocar brasiliensis* Camb.) residues using green chemistry. *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *31*, 1383–1391. [CrossRef]
- 18. Obot, I.B.; Umoren, S.A.; Ankah, N.K. Pyrazine derivatives as green oil field corrosion inhibitors for steel. *J. Mol. Liq.* **2019**, 277, 749–761. [CrossRef]
- 19. Mortzfeld, F.B.; Hashem, C.; Vranková, K.; Winkler, M.; Rudroff, F. Pyrazines: Synthesis and industrial application of these valuable flavor and fragrance compounds. *Biotechnol. J.* **2020**, *15*, 2000064. [CrossRef]
- 20. Borah, B.; Dwivedi, K.D.; Chowhan, L.R. Recent advances in metal-and organocatalyzed asymmetric functionalization of pyrroles. *Asian J. Org. Chem.* **2021**, *10*, 2709–2762. [CrossRef]
- 21. Neta, N.S.; Teixeira, J.A.; Rodrigues, L.R. Sugar ester surfactants: Enzymatic synthesis and applications in food industry. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2014**, *55*, 595–610. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, Z.; Kempinski, C.; Chappell, J. Extraction and analysis of terpenes/terpenoids. *Curr. Protoc. Plant Biol.* 2016, 1, 345–358. [CrossRef]
- Liu, T.; Feng, C.; Li, Z.; Gu, Z.; Ban, X.; Hong, Y.; Cheng, L.; Li, C. Efficient formation of carvacrol inclusion complexes during β-cyclodextrin glycosyltransferase-catalyzed cyclodextrin synthesis. *Food Control* 2021, 130, 108296. [CrossRef]
- Ibáñez, M.D.; Sanchez-Ballester, N.M.; Blázquez, M.A. Encapsulated limonene: A pleasant lemon-like aroma with promising application in the agri-food industry. A review. *Molecules* 2020, 25, 2598. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Aguiar, J.; Gonçalves, J.L.; Alves, V.L.; Câmara, J.S. Relationship between volatile composition and bioactive potential of vegetables and fruits of regular consumption—An integrative approach. *Molecules* 2021, 26, 3653. [CrossRef]
- Perestrelo, R.; Silva, C.; Câmara, J.S. Madeira wine volatile profile. A platform to establish madeirawine aroma descriptors. *Molecules* 2019, 24, 3028. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Kamiyama, M. Antioxidant/anti-inflammatory activities and chemical composition of extracts from the mushroom trametes versicolor. *Int. J. Nutr. Food Sci.* 2013, 2, 85. [CrossRef]

- 28. Chai, W.M.; Liu, X.; Hu, Y.H.; Feng, H.L.; Jia, Y.L.; Guo, Y.J.; Zhou, H.T.; Chen, Q.X. Antityrosinase and antimicrobial activities of furfuryl alcohol, furfural and furoic acid. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2013**, *57*, 151–155. [CrossRef]
- Sekine, N.; Shibutani, S. Chemical structures of p-menthane monoterpenes with special reference to their effect on seed germination and termite mortality. J. Wood Sci. 2013, 59, 229–237. [CrossRef]
- Yurttaş, L.; Özkay, Y.; Kaplancıklı, Z.A.; Tunalı, Y.; Yurtta, L.; Özkay, Y.; Kaplancıklı, Z.A.; Karaca, H. Synthesis and antimicrobial activity of some new hydrazone-bridged thiazole-pyrrole derivatives. *Enzym. Inhib. Med. Chem.* 2013, 28, 830–835. [CrossRef]
- Morales-López, J.; Centeno-Álvarez, M.; Nieto-Camacho, A.; López, M.G.; Pérez-Hernández, E.; Pérez-Hernández, N.; Fernández-Martínez, E. Evaluation of antioxidant and hepatoprotective effects of white cabbage essential oil. *Pharm. Biol.* 2016, 55, 233–241. [CrossRef]
- 32. Maga, J.A. Pyrazine Update. Food Rev. Int. 2009, 8, 479-558. [CrossRef]
- 33. Jung, K.-H.; Yoo, S.K.; Moon, S.-K.; Lee, U.-S. Furfural from pine needle extract inhibits the growth of a plant pathogenic fungus, alternaria mali. *Mycobiology* **2007**, *35*, 39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Can Baser, K. Biological and pharmacological activities of carvacrol and carvacrol bearing essential oils. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 2008, 14, 3106–3119. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Yang, W.; Sen, A. Direct catalytic synthesis of 5-Methylfurfural from biomass-derived carbohydrates. *ChemSusChem* **2011**, *4*, 349–352. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Page, J.C.; Arruda, N.P.; Freitas, S.P. Crude ethanolic extract from spent coffee grounds: Volatile and functional properties. *Waste Manag.* 2017, 69, 463–469. [CrossRef]
- 37. Zambonin, C.G.; Giuseppe, L.; Di Benedetto, G.L.; Palmisano, F. Solid-phase microextraction–gas chromatography mass spectrometry and multivariate analysis for the characterization of roasted coffees. *Talanta* **2005**, *66*, 261–265. [CrossRef]
- Bertrand, B.; Boulanger, R.; Dussert, S.; Ribeyre, F.; Berthiot, L.; Descroix, F.; Joët, T. Climatic factors directly impact the volatile organic compound fingerprint in green Arabica coffee bean as well as coffee beverage quality. *Food Chem.* 2012, 135, 2575–2583. [CrossRef]
- Qin, L.; Wei, Q.P.; Kang, W.H.; Zhang, Q.; Sun, J.; Liu, S.Z. Comparison of volatile compounds in "Fuji" apples in the different regions in China. *Food Sci. Technol. Res.* 2017, 23, 79–89. [CrossRef]