

< Home < BERA Blog

Blog post

Part of series: Educators learning through communities of philosophical enquiry

What are we saying when we say we listen to children's voices?

Magda Costa Carvalho, Professor of Philosophy at University of the Azores • 21 Sep 2022

Listening to children is commonly associated with improving educational environments. Many educators believe that granting children more opportunities to express their voices can promote verbalisation skills and boost academic performance. In many schools, the pedagogy of the community of inquiry is adopted to meet such instrumental ends.

It is also common to talk about the importance of children's voices as a resource to improve the quality of life. Since children have thought-provoking perspectives on almost everything, their utterances are welcomed as novel, or enchanting. This stance fits with the promotion of creativity as a core competence for innovation and entrepreneurship in neoliberal societies.

Concepts such as 'inclusion' and 'rights' have permeated discourses about childhood in a striking and unquestioned way. These words have become popular slogans in movements advocating for children and their right to participation.

Discourses on listening and voice often reproduce an adultist, rationalist bias in educational relationships. What do we ask children? What do we hear in their answers? Do we really acknowledge that children have a voice of their own? Or are we just listening to what endorses our own experiences? What if using the words 'voice' and 'listen' is not enough (Lundy, 2007)? Should we return to those very same words?

Listen

Listening could mean assuming a position of 'philanthropic oversensitivity where condescension resounds alongside good intentions' (Nancy, 2007, p. 4). But it can also be an experience that goes beyond adult paternalism, focusing on the tone, timbre and resonance in the voices of children. This entails an attitude of openness to everything that comes to our ears, even when there is dissonance and noise (Johansson, 2013) – and especially when there is dissonance and noise, because it is our capacity to welcome the unexpected that will take us to voices that truly challenge us. The epistemological, ethical and political challenges of education may only begin in this kind of listening (Haynes & Murris, 2012).



Voice

Voice is often understood mainly in a metaphorical sense, meaning listening to a 'child's perspective' on certain subjects, playing down the phonetic of children's utterances. This focuses on the semantics of speech, rather than on its acoustic record, privileging the meaning over the sound. But a voice corresponds to a 'living person, throat, and chest, feelings, which sends into the air this voice, different from all other voices. A voice involves the throat, saliva, childhood, the patina of experienced life, the mind's intentions, the pleasure of giving a personal form to sound waves' (Calvino, 1988, p. 66). Neglecting the material, bodily dimension of the voice limits children's intervention to content and meaning. Forgetting the sound of their voices corroborates a mental, disembodied conception of thought (Cavarero, 2005). Is this devocalisation of the voice of childhood at the service of adults' understanding of rationality (thinking as a mental articulation of ideas, exempt from the material traces of the body who expresses it)? Is education trapped in this hegemonic perspective, aiming to purge students from the ethical and political forces of childhood?

Could we return to an educational experience of children's voice and listening that goes beyond these biases? The community of philosophical inquiry (Kennedy & Kennedy, 2012) may be the space-time that brings back an embodied and childlike construction of shared thought, but only if it embraces the challenges of returning to the very sound of children's voices. And, above all, to question what we have been doing with those voices, epistemically, ethically and, above all, politically (Murris, 2013). Throats and ears at the beginning; an open road that follows.

ommunity of ophical inquiry may be ace-time that brings back bodied and childlike uction of shared thought, ly if it embraces the ages of returning to the bund of children's voices.' When we are truly committed to listening to children, it forces us to consider what counts as knowledge and what counts as a 'worthwhile' contribution in the framework of an educational encounter. It brings us face to face with the politics of 'voice' and with presuppositions and expectations about what adults think children can or should say, and how it should be said. We realise that even when we set out to attend to children's rights, the adultist and rationalist framework of what matters in education-as-usual might be deeply exclusionary. A helpful challenge could be to ask ourselves: who is really entitled to speak in school? And are we really listening?

Calvino, I. (1988). Under the jaguar sun. Harcourt.

Cavarero, A. (2005). For more than one voice. Toward a philosophy of vocal expression. Stanford University Press.

Haynes, J., & Murris, K. (2012). 'Escuta, hospitalidade e ensino filosófico'. In I. Xavier & W. Kohan (Eds.), *Filosofar: aprender, ensinar* (pp. 173–187). Autêntica.

Johansson, V. (2013). Dissonant voices: Philosophy, children's literature, and perfectionist education. Stockholm University.

Kennedy, N., & Kennedy, D. (2012). Community of philosophical inquiry as a discursive structure and its role in school curriculum design. In N. Vansieleghem & D. Kennedy (Eds.), *Philosophy for children in transition. Problems and prospects* (pp. 97–116). Wiley–Blackwell.

Lundy, L. (2007). 'Voice' is not enough: Conceptualising Article 12 of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child. *British Educational Research Journal*, 33(6), 927–942. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01411920701657033</u>

Murris, K. (2013). The epistemic challenge of hearing child's voice. *Studies in Philosophy and Education*, 32(3), 245–259. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11217-012-9349-9

Nancy, J.-L. (2007). Listening. Fordham University Press.

Themes: Teacher education and development Communities: Curriculum, Assessment and Pedagogy • Philosophy of Education • Teacher Education and Development

About the authors



Magda Costa Carvalho, Professor

Professor of Philosophy at University of the Azores

Magda Costa Carvalho is a professor of philosophy at the University of the Azores, Portugal, a research member of NICA: Interdisciplinary Center for Childhood and Adolescence, University of the Azores, and an integrated member of the research group Philosophy and Public Space at the Institute of Philosophy, University of Porto. She holds a PhD on Henri Bergson's philosophy. Her research focuses are on Contemporary Philosophy, Philosophy for/with Children (P4/wC) and Philosophy of Childhood. She is the director of the University of the Azores's Master's programme in Philosophy for Children. She holds P4/wC training and offers sessions in a public school. She is the principal investigator of the research project 'escuto.te: childhood voices between philosophy and politics', funded by the government of the Azores.

British Educational Research Association • 9–11 Endsleigh Gardens • London WC1H 0EH 020 7612 6987 • enquiries@bera.ac.uk

© BERA 2022 • Charity Number: 1150237 • Designed and developed by Soapbox