

TITLE:

Long-Read-Resolved, Ecosystem-Wide Exploration of Nucleotide and Structural Microdiversity of Lake Bacterioplankton Genomes

AUTHOR(S):

Okazaki, Yusuke; Nakano, Shin-ichi; Toyoda, Atsushi; Tamaki, Hideyuki

CITATION:

Okazaki, Yusuke ...[et al]. Long-Read-Resolved, Ecosystem-Wide Exploration of Nucleotide and Structural Microdiversity of Lake Bacterioplankton Genomes. mSystems 2022, 7(4): e00433-22.

ISSUE DATE: 2022-08-30

URL: http://hdl.handle.net/2433/276074

RIGHT:

© 2022 Okazaki et al.; This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.









RESEARCH ARTICLE



Long-Read-Resolved, Ecosystem-Wide Exploration of Nucleotide and Structural Microdiversity of Lake Bacterioplankton Genomes

^{(D}Yusuke Okazaki,^{a,b} Shin-ichi Nakano,^c Atsushi Toyoda,^d ^{(D}Hideyuki Tamaki^b

Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto, Japan
^bBioproduction Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan
^cCenter for Ecological Research, Kyoto University, Otsu, Shiga, Japan
^dAdvanced Genomics Center, National Institute of Genetics, Mishima City, Shizuoka, Japan

ABSTRACT Reconstruction of metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) has become a fundamental approach in microbial ecology. However, a MAG is hardly complete and overlooks genomic microdiversity because metagenomic assembly fails to resolve microvariants among closely related genotypes. Aiming at understanding the universal factors that drive or constrain prokaryotic genome diversification, we performed an ecosystem-wide high-resolution metagenomic exploration of microdiversity by combining spatiotemporal (2 depths \times 12 months) sampling from a pelagic freshwater system, high-quality MAG reconstruction using long- and short-read metagenomic sequences, and profiling of single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and structural variants (SVs) through mapping of short and long reads to the MAGs, respectively. We reconstructed 575 MAGs, including 29 circular assemblies, providing high-quality reference genomes of freshwater bacterioplankton. Read mapping against these MAGs identified 100 to 101,781 SNVs/Mb and 0 to 305 insertions, 0 to 467 deletions, 0 to 41 duplications, and 0 to 6 inversions for each MAG. Nonsynonymous SNVs were accumulated in genes potentially involved in cell surface structural modification to evade phage recognition. Most (80.2%) deletions overlapped with a gene coding region, and genes of prokaryotic defense systems were most frequently (>8% of the genes) overlapped with a deletion. Some such deletions exhibited a monthly shift in their allele frequency, suggesting a rapid turnover of genotypes in response to phage predation. MAGs with extremely low microdiversity were either rare or opportunistic bloomers, suggesting that population persistency is key to their genomic diversification. The results concluded that prokaryotic genomic diversification is driven primarily by viral load and constrained by a population bottleneck.

IMPORTANCE Identifying intraspecies genomic diversity (microdiversity) is crucial to understanding microbial ecology and evolution. However, microdiversity among environmental assemblages is not well investigated, because most microbes are difficult to culture. In this study, we performed cultivation-independent exploration of bacterial genomic microdiversity in a lake ecosystem using a combination of short- and long-read metagenomic analyses. The results revealed the broad spectrum of genomic microdiversity among the diverse bacterial species in the ecosystem, which has been overlooked by conventional approaches. Our ecosystem-wide exploration further allowed comparative analysis among the genomes and genes and revealed factors behind microbial genomic diversification, namely, that diversification is driven primarily by resistance against viral infection and constrained by the population size.

KEYWORDS freshwater microbial ecosystem, long-read sequencing, metagenome, microdiversity

Editor Simon Lax, MIT

Copyright © 2022 Okazaki et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Yusuke Okazaki, okazaki.yusuke.e31@kyoto-u.jp.

The authors declare no conflict of interest. **Received** 10 May 2022

Accepted 6 July 2022 Published 8 August 2022

Long-Read-Resolved Microdiversity of Lake Bacteria

n microbial ecology, reconstruction of metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) from an uncultured microbial assemblage has become a routine technique that has reshaped and substantially expanded our understanding of prokaryotic diversity (1, 2). However, MAGs are hardly complete (i.e., circularly assembled) due to difficulties in assembling repetitive (e.g., rRNA genes) and hypervariable (microdiverse) regions in a genome coexisting in the same sample (3, 4). In particular, genomic microdiversity hampers metagenomic assembly and results in incompleteness or the absence of a MAG even at deep sequencing depths, which has been recognized as "the great metagenomics anomaly" (5). Moreover, a metagenomic assembler generally tries to generate a consensus long contig rather than fragmented assemblies reflecting different microvariants (3, 6). Consequently, in a metagenomic assembly, genomic microdiversity is either unassembled or masked by a consensus sequence.

Genomic microdiversity provides information essential to understanding microbial ecology and evolution. The hypervariability of genes involved in cell surface structural modification is thought to be a consequence of the virus-host arms race (7, 8). Intraspecies flexibility of the genes responsible for the availability of substrates and nutrients suggests that functionally diversified populations collectively occupy the diverse microniches (9). The degree of genomic microdiversification varies among lineages and is thought to depend on a number of ecological and evolutionary factors, such as mutation rate, generation time, population size, genetic mobility, fitness, and drift (10, 11). However, due to the aforementioned difficulties, a comprehensive investigation of genomic microdiversity covering a consortium of microbes in an ecosystem is challenging, and the universal factors that drive or constrain their genomic diversification remain to be elucidated.

To address this, the present study took a three-step approach. The first was comprehensive metagenomic sampling in an ecosystem. We targeted freshwater bacterioplankton assemblages sampled spatiotemporally (2 depths \times 12 months) at a pelagic station on Lake Biwa, a monomictic lake with an oxygenated hypolimnion that harbors one of the best-studied freshwater microbial ecosystems (12-16). The second step was long-read metagenomic assembly, which can overcome the problem of fragmented assembly by using reads longer than a repeat or hypervariable region (17-20). This was done to generate high-quality reference MAGs covering the diversity of bacterioplankton in the lake. The third step was short- and long-read metagenomic read mapping to the MAGs, in which genomic microvariants were identified as inconsistencies between a consensus assembly and mapped reads (21-23). Notably, we aimed to detect two different types of microvariants, single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and structural variants (SVs), namely, insertion, deletion, duplication, or inversion of a genomic sequence. While short-read mapping efficiently detects SNVs due to its high base accuracy (24, 25), it cannot resolve most SVs that are longer than the canonical short-read length (i.e., 150 to 250 bp). SVs are often associated with gains and losses of genes, which account for a large part of genomic and functional heterogeneity among closely related genotypes (9, 10). Here, the limitation of short-read mapping is complemented by long-read mapping, in which SVs can be located with reads discontinuously aligned to a consensus assembly (26–28). Our three-step approach allowed a high-resolution, ecosystem-wide exploration of SNVs and SVs covering the broad spectrum of prokaryotic diversity in the lake. The results were comparatively analyzed from spatiotemporal, phylogenetic, and gene functionality perspectives, aiming at characterizing factors behind the genomic microdiversification.

RESULTS

General characteristics of the rMAGs. The 24 samples were associated with broad physicochemical conditions. Thermal stratification occurred from May to December, and the prokaryotic cell abundance was 0.82 to 4.30 (average = 2.00) ×10⁶ cells mL⁻¹ (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). For each of the samples, 10.9- to 27.5-Gb long reads (N_{50} = 4,360 to 5,807 bp) were assembled, and the resulting contigs were polished using 7.0- to 9.3-Gb short reads (Table S1 and Fig. S1). From the 24 polished

mSystems



FIG 1 Overview of the 575 rMAGs. Each point represents an individual rMAG. (a and b) Distribution of the number of contigs (a) and error correction scores (POA90; proportion of open reading frames [ORFs] with >90% of the length being aligned to the reference database) (b) plotted against the read coverage. Solid red lines represent local regression (loess). Read coverage was defined as the average short-read coverage in the representative sample for each rMAG. (c) Proportion of rMAGs with different rRNA gene (i.e., 5S, 16S, and 23S) completeness grouped by read coverage value. (d) Ubiquity-abundance plot of the rMAGs. Relative abundance was defined as maximum reads per kilobase of genome per million reads sequenced (RPKMS) recorded among the 24 samples (i.e., those recorded in the representative sample of the rMAG). Ubiquity was defined as the number of samples in which short reads were mapped to >50% of the length of the rMAG sequence. Abundant and ubiquitous members are labeled. Detailed statistics for the rMAGs are available in Table S2.

contig sets, our pipeline generated 575 nonredundant representative/reference MAGs (rMAGs) covering 21 phyla of bacteria and archaea (Table S2). The number of contigs, the proportion of open reading frames (ORFs) with >90% of the length being aligned to the reference database (POA90) (indel correction score; see Materials and Methods for detail), and completeness of the rRNA genes all showed better results in rMAGs with higher short-read coverage (Fig. 1a to c). For each of the 24 samples, 45.4% to 72.1% (mean = 60.4%) of the short reads were mapped to any of the 575 rMAGs (Fig. S2), indicating that the rMAGs accounted for the majority of the extracted DNA. A ubiquity-abundance plot (Fig. 1d) demonstrated that the rMAGs included common freshwater bacterioplankton lineages known to dominate in Lake Biwa (12, 13, 29). The relative abundance of the rMAGs revealed their diverse distribution pattern across the months and depths (Fig. S3).

SNVs and SVs detected in the rMAGs. SNVs and SVs were profiled for the 178 rMAGs with $>10\times$ short-read coverage in the representative sample. The results revealed the broad spectrum of genomic microdiversity across the rMAGs (Fig. 2). The number of SNVs per 1 Mb ranged from 100 to 101,781 and significantly varied among the habitat preferences (Fig. 2b). Among the four types of SVs detected, insertion (0 to 305 sites per rMAG) and deletion (0 to 467) dominated over duplication (0 to 41) and



A Self-archived copy in Kyoto University Research Information Repository https://repository.kulib.kyoto-u.ac.jp

京都大学学術情報リボジトリ KURENAI に Kvoto University Research Information Reposition

mSystems



FIG 2 Overview of SNVs and SVs among the 178 rMAGs with $>10\times$ short-read coverage. Data were from the representative sample for each rMAG. (a) Each bar represents an individual rMAG, sorted by the number of SNVs per 1 Mb. SNV types determined by inStrain are shown in different colors. The mean proportion of each SNV type among the rMAGs is shown in the color legend. (b to f) Individual rMAGs are represented by each point. (b and c) Distribution (half-violin-boxjitter plot) of the number of SNVs per 1 Mb grouped by habitat preference (b) and phylum (c). (d) Distribution of the number of the four types of SVs in an rMAG. (e and f) Distribution of the number of deletions in an rMAG grouped by habitat preference (e) and phylum (f). The same symbol (* or †) in panel b or e indicates no significant difference (P > 0.05 in the Wilcoxon rank sum test) among the groups.

inversion (0 to 6) (Fig. 2d). The numbers of insertions and deletions were strongly correlated (Pearson's r = 0.925), while they showed weaker correlations (Pearson's r = 0.241 and 0.285) with the number of SNVs (Fig. S4). Unlike SNVs, the number of SVs (deletions) did not significantly vary among the habitat preferences (Fig. 2e). Both the numbers of SNVs and the numbers of SVs (deletions) varied among and within the phyla (Fig. 2c and f).

Genes involved in SNVs and SVs. On average, 66.5%, 24.3%, and 7.5% of SNVs were synonymous, nonsynonymous, and intergenic, respectively (Fig. 2a). The nonsynonymous SNV ratio exhibited a negative correlation with the SNV numbers, and exceptionally high ratios (>35%) were observed among rMAGs (n = 15) with low SNV numbers (<7,500 per 1 Mb) (Fig. 3a). The nonsynonymous SNV ratio was positively correlated with genome size (Fig. 3b). Gene-resolved SNV frequency and the ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous polymorphism rates (pN/pS) exhibited differences among different functional categories (Fig. 4).

Among the four types of SVs, we further focused on deletions, because deletion was the most prevalent SV type (Fig. 2d) and genes overlapped with a deletion can be simply characterized on a genome. The second reason is not the case for insertion, in which the involved genes appear in the mapped long reads, which are unpolished and unannotated. Among the 9,471 deletions detected in the 178 rMAGs, 35.2% were <100 bp, followed by a long-tail distribution, with 31.7% and 3.4% being over 1 kb and 10 kb, respectively (Fig. S5).





FIG 3 Nonsynonymous SNV ratio of each rMAG plotted against the number of SNVs per 1 Mb (a) and assembled genome size (b). Data were from the representative sample for each rMAG. Plot color indicates the relative abundance (maximum RPKMS) of each rMAG defined as described in the legend for Fig. 1. Representative rMAGs with a high relative abundance or nonsynonymous SNV ratio are labeled. The orange-shaded area in panel a delineates the 15 rMAGs with outstandingly high nonsynonymous SNV ratios (>35%) and a low number of SNVs (<7,500 per 1 Mb).

On average, 80.2% of deletions overlapped with a gene coding region (Fig. 5a), and the proportion of gene coding deletions showed a wide range within and among the phyla (Fig. 5b). Gene coding deletions were most frequently overlapped with transporter genes, which reflects the large number of transporter genes in the rMAGs (Fig. S6). Normalized by the gene counts, genes associated with the prokaryotic defense system were most often (>8% of the genes) overlapped with a deletion (Fig. 6a). Among the genes affiliated with the prokaryotic defense system, those associated with the type I restriction and modification (RM) system were most abundant in deletions, followed by genes associated with toxin-antitoxin (TA) systems, other RM systems, and CRISPR-Cas systems (Fig. 6b).

DISCUSSION

Long-read metagenomes generated an ecosystem-wide, high-quality prokaryotic genome collection from Lake Biwa. Long-read metagenomics successfully reconstructed high-quality MAGs (Fig. 1) representing the majority of the prokaryotic diversity in the lake across seasons and depths (Fig. 1d; see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material), which was not possible by conventional short-read metagenomics in Lake Biwa (13) or other deep





FIG 4 Box plots indicating the distribution of the nucleotide diversity (a) and pN/pS (b) of genes among the 178 high-coverage rMAGs grouped by gene categories. Data were from the representative sample for each rMAG. The categories are sorted by the median. Both nucleotide diversity and pN/pS were determined by inStrain. The nucleotide diversity of a gene is defined as a gene-wide average of base-wise nucleotide diversity expressed as $1 - (F_A^2 + F_C^2 + F_G^2 + F_T^2)$, where F_X is the frequency of base X in the given nucleotide position.

freshwater lakes (30–32). The MAGs included 29 closed assemblies, including the first circular representatives of predominant hypolimnetic bacterioplankton lineages, namely, *Chloroflexi* CL500–11 (rMAG_38), *Nitrosoarchaeum* (rMAG_256), *Verrucomicrobia* CL120–10 (rMAG_78), *"Candidatus* Kapabacteria" LiUU-9–330 (rMAG_1819), and a member of *Nitrosomonadaceae* (rMAG_1024) (33, 34).

We should note that we aimed to generate continuous consensus contigs by merging results from different assemblers and samples rather than disjoining microvariants of each genotype. We took this "consensus-first" approach because our subsequent aim was to detect microdiversity masked by the consensus assembly through read mapping. Caveats in analyzing our rMAGs are that they may not represent a single genotype existing in the environment and they may still contain base errors left unpolished due to inadequate short-read coverage. The POA90 score suggested that fragmented ORFs introduced by





Long-Read-Resolved Microdiversity of Lake Bacteria



FIG 5 Overview of deletions among rMAGs. Three rMAGs with no deletions were removed from the analysis; the remaining 175 highcoverage rMAGs are shown. Data were from the representative sample for each rMAG. (a) Each bar represents an individual rMAG, sorted by the number of deletions. Coding (i.e., overlapping with a gene coding region) and intergenic deletions are shown in different colors. The mean proportion of each deletion type among the rMAGs is shown in the color legend. (b) Distribution of the proportion of gene coding deletions grouped by phylum. Each point represents an individual rMAG.

uncorrected indel error are common in the majority of genomes with $<10\times$ short-read coverage (Fig. 1b). In light of these limitations, we designate our MAGs as rMAGs (representative/reference MAGs) to differentiate them from those generated by conventional short-read metagenomics and focused on those with $>10\times$ short-read coverage (n = 178) for further investigation. In the downstream analyses (Fig. 2 to 6), we considered only SNVs and SVs in the representative sample for each rMAG rather than concatenating the results from multiple samples. We took this approach because the concatenation would introduce biases in comparing genomes and genes due to the uneven number of high-coverage ($>10\times$) samples among the rMAGs.

The general trend that a higher read coverage resulted in a higher-quality rMAG (Fig. 1) suggests that our sequencing effort (Table S1) was unsaturated and that deeper sequencing would generate a greater number of high-quality rMAGs. However, read coverage alone was not sufficient to reconstruct a high-quality rMAG. For example, an rMAG of LD12 (*"Candidatus* Fonsibacter"), which is among the most abundant freshwater bacterioplankton lineages (35, 36), was fragmented and lacked rRNA genes, despite their extremely high read coverage (>400× in short reads). Members of *Pelagibacterales* (also known as the SAR11 clade), including LD12, harbor high genomic microdiversity in the flanking region of the rRNA gene operon that is presumably responsible for immunity against their phage (21, 35, 37, 38). Our results indicate that long-read sequencing generally deals well with "the great metagenomics anomaly" (5) but is still unable to solve the issue in extreme cases. Nonetheless, rMAGs provided an unprecedentedly high-quality lake prokaryotic genome collection, which allowed ecosystem-wide exploration of their genomic microdiversity through read mapping.

Broad spectrum of genomic microdiversity resolved by SNVs and SVs. We found more than 1,000-fold differences in the SNV frequency across the rMAGs (Fig. 2a), which is in line with a report on another freshwater system (39). The dominance of synonymous SNVs (Fig. 2a) is also in agreement with previous works in freshwater (39) and marine (21, 40) systems, supporting the idea that the bacterioplankton assemblage is generally under purifying selection, with most of the nucleotide variation being neutral. The positive correlation between the nonsynonymous SNV ratio and genome size (Fig. 3b) agrees with the hypothesis that genome streamlining is associated with strong purifying selection (41–43). We further found that the frequency of SNVs was lower (Fig. 2b) and also more temporally stable (Fig. S7) in genomes of hypolimnion inhabitants than that in genomes of epilimnion inhabitants. These results imply a lower mutation rate in the deeper water layer, presumably due to the lower UV-induced oxidative stress or the lower biological productivity owing to the lower temperature and resource availability in the hypolimnion.



mSystems





FIG 6 Genes overlapped with a deletion among the 178 high-coverage rMAGs. Data were from the representative sample for each rMAG. (a) Proportion of genes overlapped with a deletion, grouped by gene categories. The same data but shown as the number of genes are available in Fig. S6. (b) Number of prokaryotic defense system genes overlapped with a deletion, with the color indicating the type of defense system.



Long-Read-Resolved Microdiversity of Lake Bacteria

One of the major achievements of the present study was the detection of SVs in a metagenomic sample facilitated by long-read mapping. Compared to the SV analysis for an isolated clonal genome, that for metagenomic assembly generates more complex outputs as it refers to a consensus assembly derived from a highly heterogeneous population. Notably, our approach was not efficient in detecting SVs with a high allele variation or frequency, because such a highly heterogeneous region often eludes metagenomic assembly. Conversely, our approach cannot detect mobile elements that did not show heterogeneity within the 24 samples. Given these technical limitations, our goal was not to resolve all SVs but rather to discover patterns of SV distribution among environmental prokaryotic genomes under the same methodological criteria. Indeed, most SVs in a genome were consecutively detected across samples of different months (Fig. S8a), supporting the reproducibility and robustness of our analysis.

As with SNVs, we observed significant variation in SV frequency among the rMAGs (Fig. 2d). The relationship between the number of SNVs and SVs was weak because several rMAGs had an extremely high number of SVs (Fig. S4). Notably, members of Planctomycetota harbored disproportionally high numbers of SVs (Fig. 2f) and a lower frequency (55.9% to 81.0%) of coding deletions (i.e., those overlapping with an ORF) than the average (80.2%) (Fig. 5b). Further investigation found that their noncoding deletions were often associated with intergenic tandem repeats (Fig. S8b). Such duplications and deletions can be introduced by slippage of DNA polymerase during replication and can regulate the transcriptional activity or act as a recombination site (44). Planctomycetes generally harbor a large genome with a high number of genes with unknown functions (45). A recent exploration of freshwater planctomycete MAGs reported a correlation between their genome size and intergenic nucleotide length (46). Together, their intergenic plasticity might play an essential role in maintaining their genomic integrity. Although characterization of individual SVs is beyond the scope of the present study, overall, our long-read-resolved ecosystem-wide analysis reveals the ubiquity of SVs in environmental prokaryotic genomes and sheds light on their role in regulating genomic structure and function.

Genetic bottleneck as a major constraint of genomic microdiversity. The negative relationship between SNV frequency and their nonsynonymous rate (Fig. 3a) suggests that stronger purifying selection acts on a genome in which more mutations are accumulated. Based on this assumption, the lineages with a high nonsynonymous SNV ratio and a low number of SNVs may have experienced a recent population bottleneck and not mutated sufficiently to be negatively selected. In other words, their diversification process might still be dominated by random drift or positive selection. Indeed, the top 15 rMAGs with the highest nonsynonymous SNV ratio (delineated in Fig. 3a) were either continuously rare in the hypolimnion or mostly rare but predominant in a short period (boom-and-bust) in either of the water layers (Fig. S3). The former case could be the consequence of the low growth and mutation rates in the hypolimnion, which makes the genome diversification of these lineages slow enough to be observed before purifying selection dominates. Notably, among these cases, the highest nonsynonymous SNV ratio was observed in rMAG_34, which is affiliated with "Candidatus Levybacteria" (OP11), a member of the candidate phyla radiation (CPR) (47). Recently, a comprehensive exploration of freshwater CPR MAGs (48) reported exceptionally high average nucleotide identity (ANI) (99.53%) between levybacterial MAGs reconstructed from Lake Biwa (13) and Lake Baikal (31) metagenomes. We confirmed that our levybacterial rMAG also belonged to the same species (ANI > 99.5% to both). Collectively, it is possible that "Candidatus Levybacteria" recently migrated from the Eurasian continent to Lake Biwa and that their genomic microdiversity was still constrained by the genetic bottleneck.

Among the latter (boom-and-bust) cases, prominent examples were two verrucomicrobial rMAGs (rMAG_2736 and rMAG_29), which had extremely low numbers of SNVs and SVs (Fig. 3a and Table S2) and transiently dominated in either of the water layers (Fig. S3). Both rMAGs were circular, indicating that long-read metagenomes generate a complete assembly unless hampered by high microdiversity or low read coverage. The



Long-Read-Resolved Microdiversity of Lake Bacteria

boom-and-bust dynamics of Verrucomicrobia agrees with the general assumption that they are opportunistic strategists rapidly responding to a supply of carbohydrates (49, 50). Notably, rMAG_29 (taxonomically assigned to the genus "CAINDI01" by the GTDB) was among the most abundant bacterioplankton lineages in the lake during their bloom (Fig. 1d and Fig. S3), with their relative abundance (reads per kilobase of genome per million reads sequenced [RPKMS]) increasing more than 12-fold in just 1 month (1.39 in November to 16.92 in December). Because their bloom was observed from May to June and from December to January in the hypolimnion (Fig. S3), their growth was likely triggered by a supply of polysaccharides exuded from sinking phytoplankton cells derived from the spring and autumn algal blooms in the epilimnion, as observed in a previous study of the lake (51). Taken together, the ecological strategy of CAINDI01 (to rapidly exploit intermittent resources) produced periodic genetic bottlenecks and effectively eluded selective processes, which resulted in their extremely low genomic microdiversity in the lake despite their quantitative dominance. Interestingly, CAINDI01 contained as many as 236 transposase genes (annotated by Prokka), but none of them were associated with SVs, except for an inversion involving IS21 transposases (data not shown). The results further suggest that their rapid population turnover prevented invasions of mobile genetic elements (MGEs). Collectively, we conclude that a genetic bottleneck is a primary factor constraining genomic microdiversification.

Conversely, the extent of genomic microdiversification may be used to predict the existence or absence of a recent bottleneck event. For instance, rMAG_739 (Chitinophagaceae of the phylum Bacteroidetes) was the fourth-most SNV-rich rMAG, with a low nonsynonymous rate (Fig. 3a), despite the fact that these bacteria were detectable only from June to October in the epilimnion (Fig. S3). These results suggest that they did not experience a recent genetic bottleneck and thus are allochthonous, presumably maintaining their large genetic pool in the inflowing river, sediment, or the water column horizontally distant from our sampling site. It should also be noted that no sign of a recent bottleneck event was found among common and abundant freshwater bacterioplankton lineages (e.g., LD12, acl, acIV, and CL500-11). Interestingly, the two most SNV-rich members, rMAG_1314 and rMAG_102, were hypolimnion-dominating species of LD12 and acl, respectively, rather than those dominant in the epilimnion (i.e., rMAG_300 and rMAG_28) (Fig. 3a and Fig. S3). The results further support the idea that persistent rather than dominant populations exhibit higher intrapopulation sequence variation (52). Given that the hypolimnion accounts for a larger part of the lake water volume and is a less competitive habitat than the epilimnion, we hypothesize that hypolimnion inhabitants are more likely to sustain a larger and more stable population and thus are less constrained by a population bottleneck than epilimnion inhabitants.

Phage predation as a major driving force of genomic microdiversification. The lowest pN/pS ratio in housekeeping genes involved in replication, transcription, translation, and oxidative phosphorylation (Fig. 4b) agreed with that of a previous study in the Baltic Sea (25) and indicated that the genes involved in core functions are under stronger purifying selection. In contrast, high pN/pS ratios were observed among genes potentially involved in cell surface structural modification, namely, glycosyltransferases, lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis, and peptidoglycan biosynthesis proteins (Fig. 4b). Hypervariability of such genes has been observed in genomes of ubiquitous marine and freshwater bacterioplankton and is considered beneficial in evading the host recognition system of their phage (7–9). Our results further demonstrate that these traits are universal in the ecosystem and suggest that phage predation is the most prevalent selective pressure generating amino acid-level gene diversity.

The SV profiling demonstrated that deletion was overrepresented in genes involved in prokaryotic defense systems, namely, RM systems, TA systems, and CRISPR-Cas systems (Fig. 6a). Among them, the three proteins making up the type I RM system (R, M, and S) were the most represented (Fig. 6b). A previous metaepigenomic exploration revealed the diversity of DNA methylated motifs and methyltransferase genes among Lake Biwa bacterioplankton assemblages (53). Interestingly, the study reported that a



A Self-archived copy in Kyoto University Research Information Repository https://repository.kulib.kyoto-u.ac.jp

京都大学学術情報リボジトリ KURENAI に Kvoto University Research Information Repository

mSystems

Long-Read-Resolved Microdiversity of Lake Bacteria

corresponding pair of a methylated motif and a methyltransferase gene is often absent in MAGs, which could be attributable to the incompleteness of MAGs or to the limited sensitivity of the method. Further, the study found that the ratio of methylation in each motif in a genome varied considerably, from 19% to 99%, for which the authors reasoned reflected the methodological limitation of modification detection power (53). Our results introduce another possible explanation for these observations: the mobility of RM-related genes within a sequence-discrete population might have resulted in the heterogeneous recovery of methylated motifs or methyltransferase genes in a MAG. The variable nature of epigenetic modification proposes another layer of genomic microdiversity, which will be key to revealing the mechanism behind the virus-host arms race.

The next most represented defense genes in deletions were those involved in TA systems (Fig. 6b), which can also act as an antiphage system (54). Recent experimental work has demonstrated that the mobility and rapid turnover of genes involved in intracellular defense machinery are essential mechanisms to maintaining the core genome in the face of phage predation (55). Our results that RM and TA systems are highly mobile (Fig. 6b) suggest the prevalence of such mechanisms in the ecosystem. In addition, SNV analysis revealed that the prokaryotic defense system was the gene category with the lowest nucleotide diversity (Fig. 4a) and among the highest pN/pS ratios (Fig. 4b), which implies that the defense genes are positively selected by phage predation. Meanwhile, both RM and TA systems can behave as selfish and addictive elements and are prone to be horizontally transferred with an MGE (54, 56, 57). Their beneficial and parasitic aspects are not mutually exclusive, and the relative contribution of the two remains unresolved. Thus, we cannot rule out the possibility that some defense genes are rather parasitic and nonbeneficial or even detrimental for the host. In any case, these genes are among the most prevalent mobile genes generating genomic heterogeneity within a sequence-discrete population.

Although not as frequent as RM and TA systems, we also found deletions associated with genes involved in the CRISPR-Cas system (Fig. 6b). Further investigation revealed individual cases in which the whole CRISPR-Cas system was involved in a deletion, and one of them further included TA system genes (Fig. S8c). Experimental studies have suggested that the CRISPR-Cas system can disseminate horizontally (58, 59) and is sometimes encoded in an MGE, which facilitates not only adaptive immunity against phages but also inter-MGE competition and guided transposition of the MGE (60–62). Our results provide evidence of the mobility of the CRISPR-Cas system in an ecosystem, although it remains unknown whether it is beneficial or parasitic for the host.

Finally, we note that our monthly investigation revealed a shift in the allele frequency of deletions or insertions involving the CRISPR-Cas system and CRISPR spacers during the study period (Fig. S&c and d). The results suggest monthly turnover of the population composition driven by the virus-host arms race. Such a rapid shift in population composition has been demonstrated from the virus side in the marine system (22). Our results are the demonstration from the host side and propose the significance of not only sympatric but also temporal microdiversity. In summary, our ecosystemwide investigation of SNVs and SVs suggests that phage predation is the major driving force of genomic microdiversification among the environmental microbial assemblage. The key question for future works is whether and how the mobility of defense genes is beneficial for the host, for which the microdiversity of the counteracting viral genome must be explored.

Conclusions. Our ecosystem-wide high-resolution approach combining spatiotemporal sampling and long- and short-read metagenomics resulted in two major achievements. First, we presented a collection of high-quality MAGs covering the majority of the prokaryotic diversity in a deep freshwater lake, which will be a valuable reference for future studies in freshwater microbial ecology. Then, the broad spectrum of SNVs and SVs masked in the MAGs were detected by short- and long-read mapping, respectively, which is the second and greater achievement of this work. Based on the results,

we conclude that genomic microdiversification is driven primarily by viral load and constrained by genetic bottlenecks.

We also demonstrated the performance and limitation of our "consensus-first" approach (Fig. 1). To push the consensus-first approach further, future works can consider gaining a deeper sequencing depth (for instance, using the PromethION platform [63, 64]) and obtaining longer sequencing reads with a more sophisticated DNA extraction method (65). Alternative possible approaches include genome-free metagenomics, which directly handles pan-metagenomic graphs without the prerequisite of a linear genomic assembly (66). The ultimate approach will be a strain-resolved assembly, which usually requires an isolated culture or single cell but was recently accomplished in a metagenomic assembly using highly accurate long reads (i.e., PacBio HiFi reads) and high-throughput chromosome conformation capture (Hi-C) (20), although it is still too costly for common application.

Lakes are physically separated unique ecosystems and thus harbor genetically isolated microbiomes (67), while those in the marine system are likely distributed globally (40, 68) presumably following the rapid circulation of global surface seawater (69). This implies that we can further perform a comparative study among different lakes, in which each lake can be considered as a replicate or control of an ecosystem. The two main factors affecting genome microdiversification (genetic bottlenecks and virus-host interactions) are both lake specific. The microbiomes in different lakes have different histories of biological interactions under different physicochemical conditions, which would result in different trajectories of genome microdiversification. For instance, we hypothesize that a larger and older lake is less affected by genetic bottlenecks in terms of time and space. That is, the extent of bacterioplankton microdiversification in Lake Biwa (the largest and oldest lake in Japan) might be the greatest among the lakes in the country but might be lower than that of Lake Baikal, the largest and oldest freshwater lake on the earth. Such interlake comparative analyses will be an effective approach to further validate the findings in the present study and to unveil the universal mechanisms in the diversification and evolution of the microbial genome.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection. Water samples were collected monthly from May 2018 to April 2019 at a pelagic station (water depth, ca. 73 m) on Lake Biwa (35°13′09.5″N, 135°59′44.7″E) from two water depths, representing the epilimnion (5 m) and the hypolimnion (65 m) (24 samples in total). Vertical profiles of chlorophyll *a* concentration, temperature, and dissolved oxygen were collected using a RINKO CTD profiler (ASTD102; JFE Advantech). The collected lake water was immediately sequentially filtered through a 200- μ m mesh, 5- μ m polycarbonate filter (TMTP14250; Merck Millipore) and a 0.22- μ m-pore-size Sterivex cartridge (SVGP01050; Merck Millipore), using a peristaltic pump system onboard. Filtration was performed until the Sterivex cartridge was clogged (1 to 2.5 L of lake water was filtered for each cartridge), and at least four Sterivex cartridges were collected for each sample. The filters were flash-frozen in a dry ice-ethanol bath, transported to the laboratory on dry ice, and stored at sampling day and processed to the freezing step within 1 h after collection. Prokaryotic cell abundance was determined for each sample using a flow cytometer (CytoFLEX; Beckman Coulter) following fixation of the water sample with 1% glutaraldehyde and staining with 0.25× SYBR green solution (S7563; Invitrogen).

DNA extraction. DNA was extracted from the Sterivex filters (i.e., 0.22- to 5- μ m size fraction) using an AllPrep DNA/RNA minikit (catalog no. 80204; Qiagen) with a modified protocol: the filter paper removed from a Sterivex cartridge was put into a lysing matrix E tube (catalog no. 6914050; MP Biomedicals) with a mixture of 400 μ L RLT plus buffer (containing 1% β -mercaptoethanol in accordance with the kit's protocol) and 400 μ L phenol-chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1, vol/vol/vol); bead-beating was performed at 3,500 rpm for 30 s (MS-100; TOMY Digital Biology), followed by cooling on ice for 1 min, and then again at 3,500 rpm for 30 s; the supernatant after centrifugation (16,000 \times *g* for 5 min at room temperature) was mixed with 500 μ L chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (24:1, vol/vol) to remove the residual phenol and then centrifuged again; the supernatant was then used as the loading material for the AllPrep DNA spin column and processed in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. The quantity and quality of the DNA were measured using a Qubit double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) HS assay kit (catalog no. Q32851; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop 2000; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Consequently, at least 2 μ g purified DNA was obtained from each sample.

Sequencing. The extracted DNA was used for both short- and long-read shotgun metagenomic sequencing. For short-read sequencing, the DNA was sheared to 500 bp, on average, using an ultrasonicator (Covaris), and a 24-sample multiplexed library was prepared using an MGIEasy universal DNA library prep set (catalog no. 1000006986; MGI), a circularization kit (catalog no. 1000005259; MGI), and a MGISEQ-2000RS high-throughput sequencing set (catalog no. 1000013857; MGI) with seven cycles of PCR amplification. A 1×400 bp single-end sequencing was run using one lane of the MGI DNBSEQ-G400 platform. For long-read sequencing,



京都大学学術情報リボジトリ KURENAI ドレ Kyoto University Research Information Repository

long DNA molecules were purified using diluted (0.45×) AMPure XP beads, and a sequencing library was prepared using a ligation sequencing kit (LSK-109; Oxford Nanopore). Each of the 24 samples was sequenced by an R9.4.1 flow cell (FLO-MIN106D; Oxford Nanopore) using the Oxford Nanopore GridION platform for 72 h. Base calling was performed using Guppy (v3.2.10; high-accuracy mode).

Read assembly and contig polishing. Each of the 24 raw long-read libraries was assembled using two different assemblers: Flye (v2.8; –plasmids –meta) (70) and Raven (v1.5.0) (71). The assembled contigs were polished with long reads using Racon (v1.4.13) (72) and Medaka (v1.0.3) (https://github.com/nanoporetech/ medaka) and then with short reads using Pilon (v1.23) (73) and two rounds of Racon. Read mapping for polishing was performed using Minimap2 (v2.17) (74) and Bowtie2 (v2.3.5.1) (75). Quality control of short reads was performed using Cutadapt (v2.5) (76) and fastp (v0.20.0) (77). The detailed workflow and parameters are available in Fig. S1 in the supplemental material.

Binning and bin curation. Contigs longer than 2.5 kb were selected using SeqKit (v0.13.2) (78), and their read coverage across the 24 samples was calculated by mapping the quality-controlled short reads using CoverM (v0.4.0; -m metabat) (https://github.com/wwood/CoverM). The coverage table was input to MetaBAT (v2.12.1) (79) and MaxBin (v2.2.7) (80) to bin the contigs from each of the 24 Flye and Raven assemblies. The resulting 18,621 bins, containing redundancy derived from 24 samples (2 depths \times 12 months), two assemblers (Flye and Raven), and two binners (MetaBAT and MaxBin) (Fig. S1), were curated by the following procedures. Bins sharing an average nucleotide identity (ANI) of >95% were clustered using FastANI (v1.31) (81) and the hclust function (method = "average") of R v4.0.0 (https://www.r-project.org/). This resulted in 3,053 bin clusters and 1,595 singletons, hereinafter referred to as superbins. Next, bins in the same superbin were merged as follows. First, the bin quality score (BQS) was determined as (completeness - [5 \times contamination]), referring to the output of checkM (v1.1.3) (82) for each bin. Then, bins derived from the same sample (i.e., only different in the assembler or binner) were merged using quickmerge (v0.3), which bridges gaps in one assembly (acceptor) using sequences of another assembly (donor) based on alignment overlaps (83). Starting from the bin with the highest BQS as an acceptor, bins were iteratively merged by providing a donor bin in the order of BQS. For bins with the same BQS, the bin with fewer contigs was selected in priority. The "-hco" parameter was set to 20, which means that the aligned length should be more than 20 times longer than the unaligned length to merge two contigs. Next, if multiple merged bins in the same superbin (i.e., those from different samples) showed a BQS of >50, they were further merged in the same manner as described above. Notably, intersample merges did not always generate a better bin than intrasample merged bins, presumably because of the genomic compositional heterogeneity between samples. Finally, a representative bin was determined for each of the 4,648 superbins by selecting the one with the highest BQS among the original and merged bins.

Among the 4,648 representative bins, 331 consisted of a single contig. Because quickmerge does not consider genome circularity, we attempted their circularization in the following procedure. First, using nucmer (v3.1) (84), the first and last 50 kb of the contig were aligned against the set of contigs in the same superbin to find a "bridging contig" that may close the gap between the ends. Next, if a bridging contig was found, it was supplied as "new_assembly.fasta" to the circlator (v1.5.5) merge function with the "-ref_end 50000" parameter (85). If the circularization was successful, the contig was rotated to start from a *dnaA* gene using the circlator fixstart (-min_id 30) function.

Finally, the 4,648 representative bins were quality filtered at a BQS of >50, followed by dereplication using dRep (v3.0.1; -comp 0 -con 100 -sa 0.95 –SkipMash –S_algorithm fastANI) (86). This final dereplication removed redundancy that eluded the initial superbin clustering, which was not exhaustive due to the limitation of hierarchical clustering of incomplete genomes. The resulting 575 bins were designated representative/reference metagenome-assembled genomes (rMAGs).

Analysis of rMAGs. The 575 rMAGs were taxonomically classified using GTDB-Tk (v1.5.0) with the reference data version r202 (87), and the genes were annotated using prokka (v1.14.6) (88) and eggNOG-mapper (v2.1.5) (89). Annotated genes were functionally categorized according to KEGG PATHWAY and KEGG BRITE hierarchies (90) assigned to each gene by eggNOG-mapper. For further analysis, we selected the top 25 functional categories that covered 33% of the genes. To evaluate the frequency of indel errors that eluded polishing, we followed the idea of the IDEEL software, i.e., interrupted open reading frames (ORFs), which are often introduced by a frameshift, were used as an indicator of indel errors (18). Specifically, amino acid sequences for each rMAG predicted by Prodigal (v2.6.3) (91) were aligned against the UniRef90 database (release 2020_06) (92) using DIAMOND blastp (v2.0.6; -k 1 -e 1e-5) (93). Based on the results, the proportion of amino acid sequences in which >90% of the length was aligned to a UniRef90 sequence was determined for each rMAG and designated as the score for the proportion of ORFs with >90% alignment (POA90). Coveragebased abundance relative to the total sequenced DNA in each of the 24 samples was determined as reads per kilobase of genome per million reads sequenced (RPKMS), which was generated by mapping the qualitycontrolled short reads to the 575 rMAGs using bowtie2 (v2.4.2) (75), followed by counting of mapped and unmapped reads using CoverM (-min-read-percent-identity 92). The habitat preference (epilimnion or hypolimnion) of each rMAG was determined using the metric P_{epi}, which was defined as the quotient of RPKMS in the epilimnion versus the sum of the values in the epilimnion and hypolimnion [i.e., epilimnion/(epilimnion + hypolimnion)] during the stratification period (May to December). When $P_{\rm epi}$ was >0.95 or <0.05, the rMAG was determined as an epilimnion or hypolimnion specialist, respectively (13).

Analysis of SNVs and SVs. The gene loci and mapping results (i.e., bam files) generated above were input to inStrain (v1.0.0; profile –database_mode –pairing_filter all_reads), which provides genome- and gene-wide SNV profiles based on the short-read alignment (24). SVs were detected by mapping the raw long reads to the rMAGs using NGMLR (v0.2.7) (26) and inputting the resulting bam files to Sniffles (v1.0.12) (26). Among the five types of SVs reported by Sniffles, deletion, insertion, duplication, and inversion were further analyzed, while translocation was removed in the downstream analyses because translocation can involve multiple contigs in different bins and is hard to interpret in metagenomic data.

13

10.1128/msystems.00433-22



Long-Read-Resolved Microdiversity of Lake Bacteria

Subsequently, SVs with low (<0.1) allele frequency (reported by Sniffles) were filtered out. SVs longer than 100 kb were also removed, as they were seemingly artifacts introduced by genome circularity, which Sniffles does not account for.

The representative sample providing the highest short-read coverage among the 24 samples was determined for each rMAG. To remove low-quality data derived from low read coverage, rMAGs that showed $>10\times$ short-read coverage in the representative sample (n = 178) were selected, and SNVs and SVs in the representative sample were analyzed in detail.

Data availability. The raw sequencing reads generated in the present study are available under accession numbers DRR333363 to DRR333410 (BioProject ID PRJDB12736) as summarized in Table S1. Nucleotide fasta files of the rMAGs are available at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.19165673.v1.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. FIG S1, PDF file, 0.3 MB. FIG S2, PDF file, 0.1 MB. FIG S3, PDF file, 0.1 MB. FIG S5, PDF file, 0.1 MB. FIG S6, PDF file, 0.1 MB. FIG S7, PDF file, 0.1 MB. FIG S8, PDF file, 0.1 MB. FIG S8, PDF file, 1.2 MB. TABLE S1, XLSX file, 0.01 MB. TABLE S2, XLSX file, 0.6 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Yukiko Goda, Tetsuji Akatsuka, Yasuhiko Yamaguchi, and the crews of research vessels *Hasu*, *Hakkengo*, and *Biwakaze* for their assistance in Lake Biwa sampling. We thank Bioengineering Lab. Co., Ltd., for providing sequencing resources. Computation time was provided by the SuperComputer System, Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University.

This study was supported by Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University, a Joint Usage/Research Center, The Kyoto University Foundation, and JSPS KAKENHI grant numbers 16H06279 (PAGS), 18J00300, 19H03302, 22H00382, and 22K15182.

Y.O. and H.T. conceived the study and performed experimental work. Y.O. and S.N. performed field sampling. A.T. performed DNA sequencing. Y.O. conducted data analysis and wrote the draft. All authors contributed to finalizing the draft and approved the final version.

We declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Waite DW, Rinke C, Skarshewski A, Chaumeil P-A, Hugenholtz P. 2018. A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny substantially revises the tree of life. Nat Biotechnol 36: 996–1004. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4229.
- 2. Bowers RM, Kyrpides NC, Stepanauskas R, Harmon-Smith M, Doud D, Reddy TBK, Schulz F, Jarett J, Rivers AR, Eloe-Fadrosh EA, Tringe SG, Ivanova NN, Copeland A, Clum A, Becraft ED, Malmstrom RR, Birren B, Podar M, Bork P, Weinstock GM, Garrity GM, Dodsworth JA, Yooseph S, Sutton G, Glöckner FO, Gilbert JA, Nelson WC, Hallam SJ, Jungbluth SP, Ettema TJG, Tighe S, Konstantinidis KT, Liu W-T, Baker BJ, Rattei T, Eisen JA, Hedlund B, McMahon KD, Fierer N, Knight R, Finn R, Cochrane G, Karsch-Mizrachi I, Tyson GW, Rinke C, Lapidus A, Meyer F, Yilmaz P, Parks DH, Murat Eren A, Schriml L, Banfield JF, Genome Standards Consortium, et al. 2017. Minimum information about a single amplified genome (MISAG) and a metagenome-assembled genome (MIMAG) of bacteria and archaea. Nat Biotechnol 35:725–731. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3893.
- Olson ND, Treangen TJ, Hill CM, Cepeda-Espinoza V, Ghurye J, Koren S, Pop M. 2019. Metagenomic assembly through the lens of validation: recent advances in assessing and improving the quality of genomes assembled from metagenomes. Brief Bioinform 20:1140–1150. https://doi .org/10.1093/bib/bbx098.
- Yuan C, Lei J, Cole J, Sun Y. 2015. Reconstructing 16S rRNA genes in metagenomic data. Bioinformatics 31:i35–i43. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/ btv231.

- Ramos-Barbero MD, Martin-Cuadrado A-B, Viver T, Santos F, Martinez-Garcia M, Antón J. 2019. Recovering microbial genomes from metagenomes in hypersaline environments: the good, the bad and the ugly. Syst Appl Microbiol 42:30–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2018.11.001.
- Nurk S, Meleshko D, Korobeynikov A, Pevzner PA. 2017. metaSPAdes: a new versatile metagenomic assembler. Genome Res 27:824–834. https:// doi.org/10.1101/gr.213959.116.
- Rodriguez-Valera F, Martin-Cuadrado AB, Rodriguez-Brito B, Pašić L, Thingstad TF, Rohwer F, Mira A. 2009. Explaining microbial population genomics through phage predation. Nat Rev Microbiol 7:828–836. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2235.
- Neuenschwander SM, Ghai R, Pernthaler J, Salcher MM. 2018. Microdiversification in genome-streamlined ubiquitous freshwater Actinobacteria. ISME J 12:185–198. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.156.
- Hoetzinger M, Schmidt J, Jezberová J, Koll U, Hahn MW. 2017. Microdiversification of a pelagic polynucleobacter species is mainly driven by acquisition of genomic islands from a partially interspecific gene pool. Appl Environ Microbiol 83:e02266-16. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02266-16.
- McInerney JO, McNally A, O'Connell MJ. 2017. Why prokaryotes have pangenomes. Nat Microbiol 2:17040. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.40.
- van Rossum T, Ferretti P, Maistrenko OM, Bork P. 2020. Diversity within species: interpreting strains in microbiomes. Nat Rev Microbiol 18:491–506. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-0368-1.
- 12. Okazaki Y, Nakano S-I. 2016. Vertical partitioning of freshwater bacterioplankton community in a deep mesotrophic lake with a fully oxygenated



hypolimnion (Lake Biwa, Japan). Environ Microbiol Rep 8:780–788. https://doi .org/10.1111/1758-2229.12439.

- Okazaki Y, Nishimura Y, Yoshida T, Ogata H, Nakano S. 2019. Genome-resolved viral and cellular metagenomes revealed potential key virus-host interactions in a deep freshwater lake. Environ Microbiol 21:4740–4754. https://doi.org/10 .1111/1462-2920.14816.
- Shen S, Shimizu Y. 2021. Seasonal variation in viral infection rates and cell sizes of infected prokaryotes in a large and deep freshwater lake (Lake Biwa, Japan). Front Microbiol 12:624980. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.624980.
- Mukherjee I, Hodoki Y, Nakano S. 2017. Seasonal dynamics of heterotrophic and plastidic protists in the water column of Lake Biwa, Japan. Aquat Microb Ecol 80:123–137. https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01843.
- Cai J, Hodoki Y, Nakano S. 2021. Phylogenetic diversity of the picocyanobacterial community from a novel winter bloom in Lake Biwa. Limnology (Tokyo) 22:161–167. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10201-020-00649-5.
- Moss EL, Maghini DG, Bhatt AS. 2020. Complete, closed bacterial genomes from microbiomes using nanopore sequencing. Nat Biotechnol 38:701–707. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-0422-6.
- Stewart RD, Auffret MD, Warr A, Walker AW, Roehe R, Watson M. 2019. Compendium of 4,941 rumen metagenome-assembled genomes for rumen microbiome biology and enzyme discovery. Nat Biotechnol 37: 953–961. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0202-3.
- Singleton CM, Petriglieri F, Kristensen JM, Kirkegaard RH, Michaelsen TY, Andersen MH, Kondrotaite Z, Karst SM, Dueholm MS, Nielsen PH, Albertsen M. 2021. Connecting structure to function with the recovery of over 1000 high-quality metagenome-assembled genomes from activated sludge using long-read sequencing. Nat Commun 12:2009. https://doi .org/10.1038/s41467-021-22203-2.
- Bickhart DM, Kolmogorov M, Tseng E, Portik DM, Korobeynikov A, Tolstoganov I, Uritskiy G, Liachko I, Sullivan ST, Shin SB, Zorea A, Andreu VP, Panke-Buisse K, Medema MH, Mizrahi I, Pevzner PA, Smith TPL. 2022. Generating lineage-resolved, complete metagenome-assembled genomes from complex microbial communities. Nat Biotechnol 40:711–719. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-021 -01130-z.
- López-Pérez M, Haro-Moreno JM, Coutinho FH, Martinez-Garcia M, Rodriguez-Valera F. 2020. The evolutionary success of the marine bacterium SAR11 analyzed through a metagenomic perspective. mSystems 5: e00605-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00605-20.
- 22. Ignacio-Espinoza JC, Ahlgren NA, Fuhrman JA. 2020. Long-term stability and Red Queen-like strain dynamics in marine viruses. Nat Microbiol 5: 265–271. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0628-x.
- Garcia SL, Stevens SLR, Crary B, Martinez-Garcia M, Stepanauskas R, Woyke T, Tringe SG, Andersson SGE, Bertilsson S, Malmstrom RR, McMahon KD. 2018. Contrasting patterns of genome-level diversity across distinct co-occurring bacterial populations. ISME J 12:742–755. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-017-0001-0.
- Olm MR, Crits-Christoph A, Bouma-Gregson K, Firek BA, Morowitz MJ, Banfield JF. 2021. inStrain profiles population microdiversity from metagenomic data and sensitively detects shared microbial strains. Nat Biotechnol 39:727–736. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-00797-0.
- Sjöqvist C, Delgado LF, Alneberg J, Andersson AF. 2021. Ecologically coherent population structure of uncultivated bacterioplankton. ISME J 15:3034–3049. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-00985-z.
- Sedlazeck FJ, Rescheneder P, Smolka M, Fang H, Nattestad M, von Haeseler A, Schatz MC. 2018. Accurate detection of complex structural variations using single-molecule sequencing. Nat Methods 15:461–468. https://doi.org/10 .1038/s41592-018-0001-7.
- Heller D, Vingron M. 2019. SVIM: structural variant identification using mapped long reads. Bioinformatics 35:2907–2915. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/btz041.
- Ho SS, Urban AE, Mills RE. 2020. Structural variation in the sequencing era. Nat Rev Genet 21:171–189. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-019-0180-9.
- 29. Okazaki Y, Hodoki Y, Nakano SI. 2013. Seasonal dominance of CL500-11 bacterioplankton (phylum Chloroflexi) in the oxygenated hypolimnion of Lake Biwa. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 83:82–92. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574 -6941.2012.01451.x.
- Tran PQ, Bachand SC, McIntyre PB, Kraemer BM, Vadeboncoeur Y, Kimirei IA, Tamatamah R, McMahon KD, Anantharaman K. 2021. Depth-discrete metagenomics reveals the roles of microbes in biogeochemical cycling in the tropical freshwater Lake Tanganyika. ISME J 15:1971–1986. https://doi .org/10.1038/s41396-021-00898-x.
- Cabello-Yeves PJ, Zemskaya TI, Zakharenko AS, Sakirko MV, Ivanov VG, Ghai R, Rodriguez-Valera F. 2020. Microbiome of the deep Lake Baikal, a unique oxic bathypelagic habitat. Limnol Oceanogr 65:1471–1488. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11401.

- Xing P, Tao Y, Luo J, Wang L, Li B, Li H, Wu QL. 2020. Stratification of microbiomes during the holomictic period of Lake Fuxian, an alpine monomictic lake. Limnol Oceanogr 65:S134–S148. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11346.
- Okazaki Y, Fujinaga S, Tanaka A, Kohzu A, Oyagi H, Nakano S. 2017. Ubiquity and quantitative significance of bacterioplankton lineages inhabiting the oxygenated hypolimnion of deep freshwater lakes. ISME J 11:2279–2293. https:// doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.89.
- 34. Okazaki Y, Salcher MM, Callieri C, Nakano S. 2018. The broad habitat spectrum of the CL500-11 lineage (phylum Chloroflexi), a dominant bacterioplankton in oxygenated hypolimnia of deep freshwater lakes. Front Microbiol 9:2891. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02891.
- Henson MW, Lanclos VC, Faircloth BC, Thrash JC. 2018. Cultivation and genomics of the first freshwater SAR11 (LD12) isolate. ISME J 12:1846–1860. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0092-2.
- Salcher MM, Pernthaler J, Posch T. 2011. Seasonal bloom dynamics and ecophysiology of the freshwater sister clade of SAR11 bacteria "that rule the waves" (LD12). ISME J 5:1242–1252. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.8.
- Grote J, Thrash JC, Huggett MJ, Landry ZC, Carini P, Giovannoni SJ, Rappé MS. 2012. Streamlining and core genome conservation among highly divergent members of the SAR11 clade. mBio 3:e00252-12. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/mBio.00252-12.
- Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka K, Viklund J, Zhao W, Ast J, Sczyrba A, Woyke T, McMahon K, Bertilsson S, Stepanauskas R, Andersson SGE. 2013. Singlecell genomics reveal low recombination frequencies in freshwater bacteria of the SAR11 clade. Genome Biol 14:R130. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb -2013-14-11-r130.
- Bendall ML, Stevens SL, Chan L-K, Malfatti S, Schwientek P, Tremblay J, Schackwitz W, Martin J, Pati A, Bushnell B, Froula J, Kang D, Tringe SG, Bertilsson S, Moran MA, Shade A, Newton RJ, McMahon KD, Malmstrom RR. 2016. Genome-wide selective sweeps and gene-specific sweeps in natural bacterial populations. ISME J 10:1589–1601. https://doi.org/10 .1038/ismej.2015.241.
- Delmont TO, Kiefl E, Kilinc O, Esen OC, Uysal I, Rappé MS, Giovannoni S, Eren AM. 2019. Single-amino acid variants reveal evolutionary processes that shape the biogeography of a global SAR11 subclade. Elife 8:e46497. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.46497.
- Sun Z, Blanchard JL. 2014. Strong genome-wide selection early in the evolution of Prochlorococcus resulted in a reduced genome through the loss of a large number of small effect genes. PLoS One 9:e88837. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0088837.
- 42. Kirchberger PC, Schmidt ML, Ochman H. 2020. The ingenuity of bacterial genomes. Annu Rev Microbiol 74:815–834. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev -micro-020518-115822.
- Martinez-Gutierrez CA, Aylward FO. 2019. Strong purifying selection is associated with genome streamlining in epipelagic Marinimicrobia. Genome Biol Evol 11:2887–2894. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evz201.
- Zhou K, Aertsen A, Michiels CW. 2014. The role of variable DNA tandem repeats in bacterial adaptation. FEMS Microbiol Rev 38:119–141. https:// doi.org/10.1111/1574-6976.12036.
- Wiegand S, Jogler M, Jogler C. 2018. On the maverick Planctomycetes. FEMS Microbiol Rev 42:739–760. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuy029.
- Andrei A-Ş, Salcher MM, Mehrshad M, Rychtecký P, Znachor P, Ghai R. 2019. Niche-directed evolution modulates genome architecture in freshwater Planctomycetes. ISME J 13:1056–1071. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0332-5.
- Brown CT, Hug LA, Thomas BC, Sharon I, Castelle CJ, Singh A, Wilkins MJ, Wrighton KC, Williams KH, Banfield JF. 2015. Unusual biology across a group comprising more than 15% of domain Bacteria. Nature 523: 208–211. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14486.
- Chiriac M-C, Bulzu P-A, Andrei A-S, Okazaki Y, Nakano S, Haber M, Kavagutti VS, Layoun P, Ghai R, Salcher MM. 2022. Ecogenomics sheds light on diverse lifestyle strategies in freshwater CPR. Microbiome 10:84. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-022-01274-3.
- He S, Stevens SLR, Chan L-K, Bertilsson S, Glavina del Rio T, Tringe SG, Malmstrom RR, McMahon KD. 2017. Ecophysiology of freshwater Verrucomicrobia inferred from metagenome-assembled genomes. mSphere 2: e00277-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00277-17.
- Cabello-Yeves PJ, Ghai R, Mehrshad M, Picazo A, Camacho A, Rodriguez-Valera F. 2017. Reconstruction of diverse verrucomicrobial genomes from metagenome datasets of freshwater reservoirs. Front Microbiol 8:2131. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02131.
- Kagami M, Gurung TB, Yoshida T, Urabe J. 2006. To sink or to be lysed? Contrasting fate of two large phytoplankton species in Lake Biwa. Limnol Oceanogr 51:2775–2786. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.6.2775.

- Long-Read-Resolved Microdiversity of Lake Bacteria
- Meziti A, Tsementzi D, Rodriguez-R LM, Hatt JK, Karayanni H, Kormas KA, Konstantinidis KT. 2019. Quantifying the changes in genetic diversity within sequence-discrete bacterial populations across a spatial and temporal riverine gradient. ISME J 13:767–779. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0307-6.
- Hiraoka S, Okazaki Y, Anda M, Toyoda A, Nakano S, Iwasaki W. 2019. Metaepigenomic analysis reveals the unexplored diversity of DNA methylation in an environmental prokaryotic community. Nat Commun 10:159. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-08103-y.
- Jurenas D, Fraikin N, Goormaghtigh F, van Melderen L. 2022. Biology and evolution of bacterial toxin-antitoxin systems. Nat Rev Microbiol 20: 335–350. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-021-00661-1.
- Hussain FA, Dubert J, Elsherbini J, Murphy M, VanInsberghe D, Arevalo P, Kauffman K, Rodino-Janeiro BK, Gavin H, Gomez A, Lopatina A, Le Roux F, Polz MF. 2021. Rapid evolutionary turnover of mobile genetic elements drives bacterial resistance to phages. Science 374:488–492. https://doi .org/10.1126/science.abb1083.
- Kobayashi I. 2001. Behavior of restriction-modification systems as selfish mobile elements and their impact on genome evolution. Nucleic Acids Res 29:3742–3756. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/29.18.3742.
- Koonin E. v, Makarova KS, Wolf YI, Krupovic M. 2020. Evolutionary entanglement of mobile genetic elements and host defence systems: guns for hire. Nat Rev Genet 21:119–131. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-019-0172-9.
- Watson BNJ, Staals RHJ, Fineran PC. 2018. CRISPR-Cas-mediated phage resistance enhances horizontal gene transfer by transduction. mBio 9: e02406-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02406-17.
- Godde JS, Bickerton A. 2006. The repetitive DNA elements called CRISPRs and their associated genes: evidence of horizontal transfer among prokaryotes. J Mol Evol 62:718–729. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-005-0223-z.
- Klompe SE, Vo PLH, Halpin-Healy TS, Sternberg SH. 2019. Transposonencoded CRISPR–Cas systems direct RNA-guided DNA integration. Nature 571:219–225. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1323-z.
- Pinilla-Redondo R, Russel J, Mayo-Muñoz D, Shah SA, Garrett RA, Nesme J, Madsen JS, Fineran PC, Sørensen SJ. 2021. CRISPR-Cas systems are widespread accessory elements across bacterial and archaeal plasmids. Nucleic Acids Res 50:4315–4328. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab859.
- 62. Mohanraju P, Saha C, van Baarlen P, Louwen R, Staals RHJ, van der Oost J. 2022. Alternative functions of CRISPR-Cas systems in the evolutionary arms race. Nat Rev Microbiol 20:351–364. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579 -021-00663-z.
- Nicholls SM, Quick JC, Tang S, Loman NJ. 2019. Ultra-deep, long-read nanopore sequencing of mock microbial community standards. Gigascience 8:giz043. https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giz043.
- 64. Yahara K, Suzuki M, Hirabayashi A, Suda W, Hattori M, Suzuki Y, Okazaki Y. 2021. Long-read metagenomics using PromethION uncovers oral bacteriophages and their interaction with host bacteria. Nat Commun 12:27. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20199-9.
- Trigodet F, Lolans K, Fogarty E, Shaiber A, Morrison HG, Barreiro L, Jabri B, Eren AM. 2022. High molecular weight DNA extraction strategies for long-read sequencing of complex metagenomes. Mol Ecol Resour 22: 1786–1802. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13588.
- Coleman I, Korem T. 2021. Embracing metagenomic complexity with a genome-free approach. mSystems 6:e00816-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/ mSystems.00816-21.
- Okazaki Y, Fujinaga S, Salcher MM, Callieri C, Tanaka A, Kohzu A, Oyagi H, Tamaki H, Nakano S. 2021. Microdiversity and phylogeographic diversification of bacterioplankton in pelagic freshwater systems revealed through long-read amplicon sequencing. Microbiome 9:24. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-020 -00974-y.
- Haro-Moreno JM, Rodriguez-Valera F, Rosselli R, Martinez-Hernandez F, Roda-Garcia JJ, Gomez ML, Fornas O, Martinez-Garcia M, López-Pérez M. 2020. Ecogenomics of the SAR11 clade. Environ Microbiol 22:1748–1763. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14896.
- Jönsson BF, Watson JR. 2016. The timescales of global surface-ocean connectivity. Nat Commun 7:11239. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11239.
- Kolmogorov M, Yuan J, Lin Y, Pevzner PA. 2019. Assembly of long, errorprone reads using repeat graphs. Nat Biotechnol 37:540–546. https://doi .org/10.1038/s41587-019-0072-8.
- 71. Vaser R, Šikić M. 2021. Time- and memory-efficient genome assembly with Raven. Nat Comput Sci 1:332–336. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588 -021-00073-4.

- Vaser R, Sović I, Nagarajan N, Šikić M. 2017. Fast and accurate de novo genome assembly from long uncorrected reads. Genome Res 27:737–746. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.214270.116.
- Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A, Sakthikumar S, Cuomo CA, Zeng Q, Wortman J, Young SK, Earl AM. 2014. Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. PLoS One 9:e112963. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal .pone.0112963.
- Li H. 2018. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics 34:3094–3100. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty191.
- 75. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9:357–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923.
- Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet j 17:10–12. https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200.
- 77. Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. 2018. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. Bioinformatics 34:i884–i890. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/bty560.
- Shen W, Le S, Li Y, Hu F. 2016. SeqKit: a cross-platform and ultrafast toolkit for FASTA/Q file manipulation. PLoS One 11:e0163962. https://doi.org/10 .1371/journal.pone.0163962.
- Kang DD, Li F, Kirton E, Thomas A, Egan R, An H, Wang Z. 2019. MetaBAT 2: an adaptive binning algorithm for robust and efficient genome reconstruction from metagenome assemblies. PeerJ 7:e7359. https://doi.org/10 .7717/peerj.7359.
- Wu YW, Simmons BA, Singer SW. 2016. MaxBin 2.0: an automated binning algorithm to recover genomes from multiple metagenomic datasets. Bioinformatics 32:605–607. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv638.
- Jain C, Rodriguez-R LM, Phillippy AM, Konstantinidis KT, Aluru S. 2018. High throughput ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries. Nat Commun 9:5114. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07641-9.
- Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2015. CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. Genome Res 25:1043–1055. https:// doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114.
- Chakraborty M, Baldwin-Brown JG, Long AD, Emerson JJ. 2016. Contiguous and accurate de novo assembly of metazoan genomes with modest long read coverage. Nucleic Acids Res 44:gkw654. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw654.
- Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL, Smoot M, Shumway M, Antonescu C, Salzberg SL. 2004. Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. Genome Biol 5:R12. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2004-5-2-r12.
- Hunt M, de Silva N, Otto TD, Parkhill J, Keane JA, Harris SR. 2015. Circlator: automated circularization of genome assemblies using long sequencing reads. Genome Biol 16:294. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-015-0849-0.
- Olm MR, Brown CT, Brooks B, Banfield JF. 2017. dRep: a tool for fast and accurate genomic comparisons that enables improved genome recovery from metagenomes through de-replication. ISME J 11:2864–2868. https:// doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.126.
- Chaumeil P-A, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P, Parks DH. 2019. GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes with the Genome Taxonomy Database. Bioinformatics 36:1925–1927. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz848.
- Seemann T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics 30:2068–2069. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153.
- Cantalapiedra CP, Hernández-Plaza A, Letunic I, Bork P, Huerta-Cepas J. 2021. eggNOG-mapper v2: functional annotation, orthology assignments, and domain prediction at the metagenomic scale. Mol Biol Evol 38: 5825–5829. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab293.
- Kanehisa M, Goto S. 2000. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 28:27–30. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.1.27.
- Hyatt D, Chen G-L, LoCascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. 2010. Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. BMC Bioinformatics 11:119. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105 -11-119.
- Suzek BE, Huang H, McGarvey P, Mazumder R, Wu CH. 2007. UniRef: comprehensive and non-redundant UniProt reference clusters. Bioinformatics 23:1282–1288. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm098.
- Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson DH. 2015. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. Nat Methods 12:59–60. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth .3176.