

THE PERFORMANCE OF INTEGRATED
ULTRASONIC MEMBRANE ANAEROBIC SYSTEM
(IUMAS) IN TREATING SUGAR CANE
WASTEWATER

YASMEEN HAFIZ ZAKI AHMED

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that we have checked this thesis and in our, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. ABDURAHMAN HAMID NOUR

Position : PROFESSOR

Date :



PROF. DATO' DR. ROSLI BIN MOHD YUNUS
Profesor
Fakulti Teknologi Kejuruteraan Kimia dan Proses
Universiti Malaysia Pahang

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DATO' TS. DR. ROSLI BIN MOHD YUNUS

Position : PROFESSOR

Date :



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : YASMEEN HAFIZ ZAKI

ID Number : PKC17005

Date :

THE PERFORMANCE OF INTEGRATED ULTRASONIC MEMBRANE
ANAEROBIC SYSTEM (IUMAS) IN TREATING SUGAR CANE
WASTEWATER

YASMEEN HAFIZ ZAKI

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the
Doctor of Philosophy

College of Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

MAY 2021

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My humble thanks to Almighty to pursue the study period with the right health concentration and dedication, resulting in the research completion and thesis writing.

I am grateful and would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Dr. Abdurahman Hamid Nour, a role model, a friend, an inspiration and a hand of support. He was always eager to support me and provide me invaluable guidance, supports, great ideas, and suggestions that have helped me to complete this thesis. His knowledge and advice supported me to never give-up when faced life's problems during my studies.

My special thanks also go to my co-supervisor Professor Dato Dr. Rosli Bin Mohd Yunus for his supports and guidance during my PhD studies. I would also like to thank my husband Dr. Mohamed Osman and my children for their supports and helps in entire of my PhD journey. My thanks also go to my parents, sisters and brothers for their prayers and giving me the force while being far from them. Additionally, I want to express my gratitude to my dear sister Hybat Salih Mohamed Abdlrhman as well as my dear brothers Mohamed Saad Bala and Mohamed Adam Kanna for their guidance and support throughout my journey of study.

I would like to thank the honorable Dean, Deputy Dean, faculty staff and technical staff of Department of chemical engineering, college of engineering, University Malaysia Pahang.

ABSTRAK

Efluen kilang tebu (SCME) menyebabkan pencemaran alam sekitar yang teruk kerana kepekatannya yang tinggi dari segi bahan pencemar. Kaedah rawatan konvensional SCME mempunyai kelemahan dari sudut persekitaran dan ekonomi. Sebilangan besar kaedah rawatan menggunakan membran sebagai penyelesaian bagi masalah pencemaran air sisa tetapi mengalami pencemaran membran. Dalam kajian ini, potensi Sistem Membran Anerobik dibantu Ultrasonik Bersepadu (IUMAS) dalam menangani efluen kilang tebu telah dikaji. Penyelidikan ini menggunakan kadar pemuatan organik yang berbeza sebagai bekalan ke sistem, yang beroperasi secara separa berterusan pada suhu mesofilik 30°C hingga 35°C dan julat tekanan 1.5-2 bar. Tujuh keadaan mantap telah dicapai sebagai sebahagian daripada kajian kinetik yang menggunakan julat kepekatan antara 6000 mg / L hingga 25000 mg / L bagi pepejal terampai cecair campuran (MLSS). Tujuannya adalah untuk mendapatkan keadaan operasi yang optimum dan penghasilan metana yang maksimum serta membandingkan prestasi IUMAS dengan sistem anaerobik membran (MAS) dalam merawat SCME. IUMAS menunjukkan prestasi yang lebih baik berbanding MAS dalam merawat efluen kilang tebu (SCME) kerana ianya mencapai kecekapan peratusan penyinkiran yang lebih tinggi bagi COD, BOD, kekeruhan dan TSS yang masing-masing adalah 96.12%, 67%, 94.3% dan 98.8%. Peratusan metana yang tertinggi adalah 80.9% berbanding MAS pada 77.3%. Pencirian SCME dijalankan untuk menyiasat jenis bakteria yang menghasilkan gas metana dan memberikan rawatan terbaik bagi kecekapan penyinkiran menggunakan pendekatan analisis yang berbeza seperti SEM / EDX, dan FTIR. Persamaan kinetik daripada Monod, Contois dan Chena dan Hashimoto digunakan dalam UMAS untuk memerihalkan ilmu kinetik SCME. Pekali korelasi adalah pada 54% bagi model Monod, 85% bagi model Contois dan 91% bagi model Chen dan Hashimoto. Dari yang tertinggi, penyesuaian R^2 yang paling terbaik didapati pada Monod. Pekali hasil pertumbuhan Y dan kadar kerosakan mikroorganisma spesifik b masing-masing didapati pada 0.931 g VSS/g COD dan 0.0214 hari⁻¹. Kajian pengoptimuman untuk keadaan penyediaan parameter-parameter terpilih yang optimum bagi penghasilan gas metana yang tertinggi disiasat menggunakan Kaedah Tindakbalas Permukaan (RSM). Faktor penentu seperti pH, OLR, COD, dan HRT pada mulanya disaring menggunakan pendekatan faktorial 2 peringkat. Pemeriksaan menunjukkan bahawa pengaruh parameter-parameter di atas adalah signifikan. Selanjutnya, kesan bagi keempat-empat parameter operasi ini disiasat menggunakan teknik reka bentuk komposit berpusat (CCD). Hasil kajian menunjukkan keadaan optimum bagi hasil metana dari SCME adalah pH 7.1, OLR 8kg COD/m³/hari, COD HRT 5.65 hari dengan CH₄ 84.7%. Hasil yang diperoleh dalam kajian ini telah mendedahkan kebolehan sistem membran anaerobik berbantu ultrasonik (IUMAS) dalam merawat air sisa SCME. Thus, this method can be a promising source for treating all industrial wastewater.

ABSTRACT

Sugarcane mill effluent (SCME) causes severe environmental pollution due to its high concentration in term of pollutants. Conventional methods of treating SCME have disadvantages from both environmental and economic perspectives. Most of the treatment methods used the membrane as a solution to wastewater pollution problems but suffering from membrane fouling. In this study, the potentials of Integrated Ultrasonic Assisted Membrane Anaerobic System (IUMAS) in treating sugarcane mill effluent was investigated. In this research different organic loading rates were used as a fed to the system, which operated semi-continuously at mesophilic temperature 30°C to 35°C and pressure ranges of 1.5–2 bars. Seven steady states were accomplished as a part of a kinetic study that considered concentration ranges of 2500 mg/L to 6000 mg/L for mixed liquor suspended solids (MLSS). The aim was to obtain optimum operating conditions and maximum methane production as well as the performance of IUMAS comparing with membrane anaerobic system (MAS) in treating SCME. IUMAS depicted better performance as compared to MAS in treating the sugarcane mill effluent (SCME) as it achieved higher percentage removal efficiencies for COD, BOD, turbidity and TSS which were 96.12%, 67%, 94% and 98.8%, respectively. While higher percentage removal efficiencies for MAS were 93.8%, 66.3%, 73.8% and 97.4%. The highest methane percentage was 80.9 % for IUMAS compared with MAS was 77.3%. The SCME characterized to investigate by using a different analytical approach such as SEM/EDX, and FTIR. SEM morphology analysis for IUMAS, the permeate flux for the membrane filtration of SCME increased while for MAS decreased the permeate flux due to fouling problem. For FTIR in both methods obtained 5 identified peaks before treatment. However, after treatment indicated 6 and 5 identified peaks for IUMAS and MAS. Kinetic equations from Monod, Contois and Chen and Hashimoto were employed used IUMAS to describe the kinetics of SCME treatment. The correlation coefficient was 54% for Monod, 85% for Contois model and 91% for Chen and Hashimoto model. From the highest, R^2 the best fitting in Chen and Hashimoto model. The growth yield coefficient Y and the specific microorganism decay rate b were determined as 0.23 g VSS/g COD and 0.0214 day⁻¹ respectively. An optimization study for the preparation conditions of the selected optimum parameters for maximum methane gas was investigated using Response Surface Methodology (RSM). The determining factors such as pH, OLR, COD, and HRT were initially screened using 2 level factorial approach. The screening revealed that the effect of the above parameters was significant. Furthermore, the impact of these four operating parameters were investigated using the central composite design (CCD) techniques. The results presented the optimum conditions for methane yield from SCME were pH 7.1, OLR 8 kg COD/m³/day, COD HRT 5.65 day with CH₄ 84.7%. The results obtained in this study have exposed the capability of ultrasonic-assisted membrane anaerobic system (IUMAS) in treating SCME wastewater. Thus, this method can be a promising source for treating all industrial wastewater.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Research Objectives	5
1.4 Scopes of the Study	5
1.5 significance of the study	6
1.6 Thesis Layout	7
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Sugarcane	8
2.3 History of Anaerobic Digestion	10

2.4	Anaerobic Digestion	11
2.4.1	Hydrolysis	12
2.4.2	Acidogenesis	13
2.4.3	Acetogenesis	13
2.4.4	Methanogenesis	13
2.5	Aerobic and Anaerobic Treatments Comparison	15
2.6	Previous Studies on Anaerobic Treatment Methods	16
2.6.1	Process of Anaerobic Contact	16
2.6.2	Anaerobic Filter Process of Anaerobic Filter	17
2.6.3	The Fluidized Bed Reactor	17
2.7	Principles of Membrane Separation Anaerobic Treatment Process	18
2.8	The Membrane Processes Classification	19
2.9	Ultrafiltration Membrane	21
2.9.1	UF for Increasing the Efficiency of an Anaerobic Digester	22
2.9.2	Advantages of Membrane Process	22
2.9.3	Disadvantages	23
2.10	The Concept and Mechanism of Membrane Fouling	23
2.11	Factors Affecting Fouling Membrane	26
2.11.1	Polysaccharides the Main Membrane Foulant in MBRs a Broad Range of Polysaccharides	26
2.11.2	The effect of SRT and HRT on the Fouling of the Membrane	26
2.12	Applications of Anaerobic Membrane Bioreactor (ANMBRs) in Wastewater Treatment	27
2.13	Applications of ANMBRs in Industrial Wastewater Treatment	27
2.14	Sugar wastewater Treatment Process	29
2.15	Sugarcane Types of Wastewater.	29
2.15.1	Industrial Wastewater	30

2.16	Ultrasonic	31
	2.16.1 Cavitation	32
	2.16.2 Acoustic Cavitation	33
	2.16.3 Ultrasound-Induced Cavitation Phenomenon	34
	2.16.4 Applied the ultrasonic in wastewater treatment	34
	2.16.5 Ultrasound Disintegration Efficiency Evaluation	35
2.17	Types of Pollutants Treated by Ultrasound	35
2.18	Effect of Ultrasound	35
	2.18.1 Sludge Solubilization	35
	2.18.2 Influence Ultrasonic on Microorganisms	36
	2.18.3 Chemical Effect	36
2.19	Production of Methane (CH ₄)	36
	2.19.1 Utilization of Methane gas	37
2.20	Parameters Affecting the Biogas Process	37
	2.20.1 Temperature	37
	2.20.2 pH	38
	2.20.3 Organic Loading Rate (OLR)	38
	2.20.4 Nutrients	39
	2.20.5 Inhibition of Microorganism Growth	39
	2.20.6 Hydraulic Retention Time (HRT)	39
	2.20.7 Solids Retention Time (SRT)	40
	2.20.8 Total Suspended Solids	40
2.21	Raw Materials Renewable	40
2.22	Substrates for Biogas Production	41
2.23	Investigated Methods in Sugar Wastewater from Previous Studies	41
2.24	Methods of Characterization and Analysis	46

2.24.1	Scanning Electron Microscopy	46
2.24.2	Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Spectroscopy	47
2.25	Kinetic of Anaerobic Disintegration	47
2.25.1	Substrate Utilization Rate	47
2.26	Effect of Substrate Concentration on Microbil Growth Rate	49
2.27	Optimization Studies	50
2.27.1	Design of Experiment (DOE)	51
2.27.2	Response Surface Methodology	51
2.27.3	Graphical Analysis and Statistical	53
2.28	Research Gap	53
2.29	Summary	53
 CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		 55
3.1	Introduction	55
3.2	The Monitoring and Collection Sample	55
3.3	Methodology	57
3.4	Wastewater Preparation	57
3.5	Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	59
3.6	Total Suspended Solid (TSS)	60
3.7	pH	61
3.8	Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)	61
3.9	Volatile Suspended Solid (VSS)	62
3.10	Volatile Fatty Acids	62
3.11	Turbidity	63
3.12	Color	63
3.13	Gas Measurement	63

3.14	Scanning Electron Microscopy	64
3.15	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy	65
3.16	Batch Kinetic Studies	65
3.17	Experimental Procedure and Optimization of IUMAS and MAS parameters	66
3.17.1	One-Factor-at-a-Time Experiment	66
3.17.2	Screening of IUMAS and MAS Factors by Two - Level Factorial Design	66
3.17.3	Optimization of the Process	68
3.17.4	Model Validation and Statistical Analysis	71
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		73
4.1	Introduction	73
4.2	The Steady States Results for MAS and IUMAS	73
4.3	Effect of Organic Loading Rate	76
4.3.1	Effect of Organic Loading Rate on the Percentage Removal of COD	76
4.3.2	Effect of Organic Loading Rate On Methane Content	77
4.4	Effect of Solid Retention Time	78
4.4.1	Effect of Solid Retention Time on COD Removal	79
4.4.2	Effect of Solid Retention Time on Methane Content	80
4.5	Effect of pH on Methane Percentage	81
4.6	Effect of TSS on Methane Percentage	82
4.7	Effect the Volatile Fatty Acids on Methane Percentage	83
4.8	Performance of IUMAS Compared to MAS as Enhancement of Membrane Fouling Problems	84
4.8.1	COD Removal Efficiency	86
4.8.2	Effect the Ultrasonic on TSS and Turbidity Removal Efficiency	87

4.8.3	Effect the Ultrasound on VSS	89
4.8.4	Effect the Ultrasonication on BOD Removal Efficiency	90
4.8.5	Effect the Ultrasonication on CH ₄ % production	91
4.9	Characterization of Biomass	92
4.9.1	Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)	92
4.9.2	Energy Dispersive X-Ray (EDX) Analysis	95
4.9.3	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) Analysis	97
4.10	The Application of the Three Kinetic Models	100
4.11	Preliminary Study of Parameters on SCME using OFAT for IUMAS	105
4.11.1	Effect of pH	105
4.11.2	Effect of Organic Loading Rate	106
4.11.3	Effect of Hydraulic Retention Time	107
4.11.4	Effect of Chemical Oxygen Demand	108
4.11.5	Effect of Total Suspended Solid	109
4.12	Experimental Design of Optimization Process Using Two Level Factorial for IUMAS	110
4.12.1	The Selection and Analysis of 2 Level Factorial Design for IUMAS	114
4.13	Statistical Optimization of Operational Condition for Methane Gas Production from SCME	115
4.13.1	The Model Summary Statistics	116
4.13.2	The Statistical Analysis of the Model	118
4.13.3	Development of Regression Model Equation	119
4.14	Analysis of Response Surface Plots for SCME	121
4.14.1	Effect of pH	121
4.14.2	Effect of Organic Loading Rate	121
4.14.3	Effect of COD	122

4.14.4	Effect of HRT	122
4.14.5	Validation of Operating Conditions	126
4.15	One Factor at a Time and Full Factorial Design for MAS	126
4.15.1	Factor effects analysis	129
4.16	Statistical Optimization of Operational Condition for Methane Gas Production from SCME for MAS	134
4.16.1	Regression Analysis	135
4.16.2	Response Surface Plots for SCME used MAS	138
4.16.3	Validation of Operating Condition	140
4.17	Chapter Summary	140
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS		141
5.1	Introduction	141
5.2	Future Recommendation	143
REFERENCES		144
APPENDIX A SAMPLE APPENDIX 1		163
APPENDIX B SAMPLE APPENDIX 2		169

REFERENCES

- A Shafie, A. H. Nour, Z. Hassan, R. M. Yunus, A. Y. (2016). *AUSTRALIAN JOURNAL OF BASIC AND The Performance Study of Ultrasonic-Assisted Membrane Anaerobic System (UMAS) in Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) Treatment*. 10(17), 20–26.
- Abbakar, M. S. A. (2015). *Ultrasonicated Membrane Anaerobic System (Umas) For Sewage Sludge Treatment*. University Malaysia Pahang.
- Abbasi, M. R., Shamiri, A., & Hussain, M. A. (2016). Dynamic modeling and molecular weight distribution of ethylene copolymerization in an industrial gas-phase fluidized-bed reactor. *Advanced Powder Technology*, 27(4), 1526–1538.
- Abbassi-guendouz, A., Brockmann, D., Trably, E., Dumas, C., Delgenès, J., Steyer, J., & Escudíé, R. (2012). Bioresource Technology Total solids content drives high solid anaerobic digestion via mass transfer limitation. *Bioresource Technology*, 111, 55–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.01.174>
- Abdalla, F., & Khalil, R. (2018). Journal of African Earth Sciences Potential effects of groundwater and surface water contamination in an urban area , Qus City , Upper Egypt. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 141, 164–178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2018.02.016>
- Abdurahman Hamid Nour, Y. H. Z. (2014). *Abdurahman, H. Nour 1 , Zafiqah, Zainal 2 Faculty of Chemical and Natural Resources Eng, University Malaysia Pahang*. 3(7).
- Abdurahman, N. H., Rosli, Y. M., & Azhari, N. H. (2016). The potential of ultrasonic membrane anaerobic system (UMAS) in treating slaughterhouse wastewater. *ARPV Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 11(4), 2653–2659. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wrd.2015.107>
- Abdurahman, N. H., Rosli, Y. M., Azhari, N. H., & Zamani, N. Z. (2011). *Ultrasonicated Membrane Anaerobic System (UMAS) for Wastewater Treatment*. 2(6).
- Adekunle, K. F., & Okolie, J. A. (2015). *A Review of Biochemical Process of Anaerobic Digestion*. (March), 205–212.
- Akin, B., Khanal, S. K., Sung, S., Grewell, D., & Van, J. H. (2006). *Ultrasound pre-treatment of waste activated sludge*. 35–42. <https://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2006.962>
- Al-Amoudi, A. S. (2010). Factors affecting natural organic matter (NOM) and scaling fouling in NF membranes: a review. *Desalination*, 259(1–3), 1–10.
- Alam, Z., Anwar, A. H. M. F., Heitz, A., & Sarker, D. C. (2018). Improving stormwater quality at source using catch basin inserts. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 228(September), 393–404. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.08.070>
- Algapani, D. E., Wang, J., Qiao, W., Su, M., Goglio, A., Wandera, S. M., ... Dong, R.

- (2017). Improving methane production and anaerobic digestion stability of food waste by extracting lipids and mixing it with sewage sludge. *Bioresource Technology*, 244, 996–1005.
- Anastopoulos, I., Bhatnagar, A., Hameed, B. H., Sik, Y., & Omirou, M. (2017). A review on waste-derived adsorbents from sugar industry for pollutant removal in water and wastewater. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 240, 179–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2017.05.063>
- Angelakis, A. N., & Snyder, S. A. (2015). Wastewater treatment and reuse: Past, present, and future. *Water*, 7, 4887–4895. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w7094887>
- Anjum, M., Al-Makishah, N. H., & Barakat, M. A. (2016). Wastewater sludge stabilization using pre-treatment methods. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 102, 615–632.
- Arami-niya, A., Mohd, W., Wan, A., Mjalli, F. S., & Abnisa, F. (2011). Chemical Engineering Research and Design Production of microporous palm shell based activated carbon for methane adsorption : Modeling and optimization using response surface methodology. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 90(6), 776–784. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2011.10.001>
- Arenas, L. T., Lima, E. C., Araci, A., Jr, S., Vagheti, J. C. P., Costa, T. M. H., & Benvenuti, E. V. (2007). Use of statistical design of experiments to evaluate the sorption capacity of 1, 4-diazoniabicyclo [2 . 2 . 2] octane / silica chloride for Cr (VI) adsorption. 297, 240–248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2006.10.050>
- Ariunbaatar, J., Panico, A., Esposito, G., Pirozzi, F., & Lens, P. N. L. (2014). Pretreatment methods to enhance anaerobic digestion of organic solid waste. *Applied Energy*, 123, 143–156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.02.035>
- Ashokkumar, M. (2015). Ultrasonics Sonochemistry Applications of ultrasound in food and bioprocessing. *Ultrasonics - Sonochemistry*, 25, 17–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2014.08.012>
- Augusto, L., Godoi, G. De, Camiloti, P. R., Bernardes, A. N., Larissa, B., Sanchez, S., ... Botta, L. S. (2019). Seasonal variation of the organic and inorganic composition of sugarcane vinasse : main implications for its environmental uses generating as byproduct a viscous phase called molasses. 29267–29282.
- Babae, A., & Shayegan, J. (n.d.). Effect of organic loading rates (OLR) on production of methane from anaerobic digestion of vegetables waste. 411–417.
- Bai, H., Zhu, J., Chen, Z., & Chu, J. (2018). Parametric analysis of a cross-flow membrane-based parallel-plate liquid desiccant dehumidification system : Numerical and experimental data. *Energy & Buildings*, 158, 494–508. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.10.018>
- Bala, J. D., Lalung, J., & Ismail, N. (2014). Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) Treatment “ Microbial. 4(6).
- Banjare, M. K. (2019). National Conference On Recent Trends In Chemistry and

Environmental Recent Trends In Chemistry and Environmental Issues in Chhattisgarh. (September).

- Boe, K. (2006). Online monitoring and control of the biogas process. *Technical University of Denmark*, 25–28.
- Bokhary, A., Tikka, A., Leitch, M., & Liao, B. (2018). Membrane fouling prevention and control strategies in pulp and paper industry applications: A review. *Journal of Membrane Science and Research*, 4(4), 181–197.
- Bolzonella, D., Pavan, P., Battistoni, P., & Cecchi, F. (2005). Mesophilic anaerobic digestion of waste activated sludge: influence of the solid retention time in the wastewater treatment process. *Process Biochemistry*, 40(3–4), 1453–1460.
- Bougrier, C., Carr, H., & Delgen, J. P. (2005). *Solubilisation of waste-activated sludge by ultrasonic treatment*. 106, 163–169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2004.11.013>
- Box, G., & Jones, S. (2016). *Designing products that are robust to the environment*. *Designing products that are robust to the environment*. 4127(June). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09544129200000034>
- Budzianowski, W. M. (2012). Sustainable biogas energy in Poland: Prospects and challenges. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16(1), 342–349. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2011.07.161>
- Bukhari, A. A. (2008). *Investigation of the electro-coagulation treatment process for the removal of total suspended solids and turbidity from municipal wastewater*. 99, 914–921. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.03.015>
- Bustos, G., Carrizales, M. A., Cervantes, E., Vecino, X., & Moldes, A. B. (2014). Treatment of wastewater from sugarcane using entrapped activated carbon. *CYTA - Journal of Food*, 12(2), 189–194. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19476337.2013.812684>
- Carotenuto, C., Guarino, G., Morrone, B., & Minale, M. (2016). Temperature and pH effect on methane production from buffalo manure anaerobic digestion. *International Journal of Heat and Technology*, 34(2), S425–S429.
- Cazier, E. A., Trably, E., Steyer, J. P., & Escudie, R. (2015). Bioresource Technology Biomass hydrolysis inhibition at high hydrogen partial pressure in solid-state anaerobic digestion. *BIORESOURCE TECHNOLOGY*, 190, 106–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.04.055>
- Chen, Y., Cheng, J. J., & Creamer, K. S. (2008). Inhibition of anaerobic digestion process: a review. *Bioresource Technology*, 99(10), 4044–4064.
- Choi, J., Han, S., Kim, J., & Lee, C. (2016). International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation Optimization of combined (acid þ thermal) pretreatment for enhanced dark fermentative H₂ production from *Chlorella vulgaris* using response surface methodology. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 108, 191–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2015.06.013>
- Crini, G., & Lichtfouse, E. (2020). Advantages and disadvantages of techniques used for

- wastewater treatment. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 17(1), 145–155. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-018-0785-9>
- Davis, R. (2015). *Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR) Spectroscopy : A Rapid Tool for Detection and Analysis of Foodborne Pathogenic Bacteria* *Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy : A rapid tool for detection and analysis of foodborne pathogenic bacteria*. (October).
- Drews, A. (2010). Membrane fouling in membrane bioreactors — Characterisation , contradictions , cause and cures. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 363(1–2), 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2010.06.046>
- Edokpayi, J. N., Odiyo, J. O., & Durowoju, O. S. (2017). Impact of Wastewater on Surface Water Quality in Developing Countries: A Case Study of South Africa. In *Water Quality*. InTech.
- Ekpeni, L. E. N., Benyounis, K. Y., Nkem-Ekpeni, F., Stokes, J., & Olabi, A.-G. (2014). Energy diversity through renewable energy source (RES)—a case study of biomass. *Energy Procedia*, 61, 1740–1747.
- Elibol, M. (2002). *Response surface methodological approach for inclusion of perfluorocarbon in actinorhodin fermentation medium*. 38.
- Finkelman, R. B., Orem, W. H., Plumlee, G. S., & Selinus, O. (2018). Applications of Geochemistry to Medical Geology. In *Environmental Geochemistry: Site Characterization, Data Analysis and Case Histories* (2nd ed.). <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63763-5.00018-5>
- Fito, J., Tefera, N., & Hulle, S. W. H. Van. (2019). Sugarcane biorefineries wastewater : bioremediation technologies for environmental sustainability. *Chemical and Biological Technologies in Agriculture*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40538-019-0144-5>
- Gellings, C. W., & Parmenter, K. E. (2016). *Energy efficiency in fertilizer production and use*.
- Gogate, P. R., & Kabadi, A. M. (2009). *A review of applications of cavitation in biochemical engineering / biotechnology*. 44, 60–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2008.10.006>
- Gundupalli, M. P., Katam, K., & Bhattacharyya, D. (n.d.). *Treatment of sugarmill effluent and simultaneous production of ethanol : Effect of pH and quantity of seed sludge*. 290–297.
- Guo, H., Yao, Z., Wang, J., Yang, Z., Ma, X., & Tang, C. Y. (2018). Polydopamine coating on a thin film composite forward osmosis membrane for enhanced mass transport and antifouling performance. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 551(September 2017), 234–242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2018.01.043>
- Guo, W., Ngo, H. H., & Li, J. (2012). A mini-review on membrane fouling. *Bioresourc Technology*, 122, 27–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.04.089>

- Gür, T. M. (2016). Comprehensive review of methane conversion in solid oxide fuel cells: Prospects for efficient electricity generation from natural gas. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, 54, 1–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecs.2015.10.004>
- Hagos, K., Zong, J., Li, D., Liu, C., & Lu, X. (2017). Anaerobic co-digestion process for biogas production: Progress, challenges and perspectives. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 76(March 2016), 1485–1496. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.11.184>
- Hamsaveni, D. R., Prapulla, S. G., & Divakar, S. (2001). *Response surface methodological approach for the synthesis of isobutyl isobutyrate*. 36, 1103–1109.
- Haris, A., Murdianto, B., & Riyanto, A. (2018). *Transforming Seismic Data into Lateral Sonic Properties using Artificial Neural Network: A Case Study of Real Data Set*. (May). <https://doi.org/10.14716/ijtech.v9i3.751>
- Harumi, M., Messias, M., Júnior, A., & Zaiat, M. (2016). *Kinetics of thermophilic acidogenesis of typical Brazilian sugarcane vinasse*. 116, 1097–1103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2016.10.043>
- Hassan, S. R., Zwain, H. M., & Dahlan, I. (2013). Development of Anaerobic Reactor for Industrial Wastewater Treatment: An Overview, Present Stage and Future Prospects. *Journal of Advanced Scientific Research*, 4(1).
- Hegde, S., Win, S. S., & Trabold, T. A. (2017). *Stabilizing the Anaerobic Digestion of Food Waste for*. 1–9.
- Hirata, M., Gotou, T., Horiuchi, S., Fujiwara, M., & Ohba, M. (2004). *Thin-film particles of graphite oxide 1: High-yield synthesis and flexibility of the particles*. 42, 2929–2937. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2004.07.003>
- Hou, D., Lu, L., Sun, D., Ge, Z., Huang, X., Cath, T. Y., & Jason, Z. (2017). *Microbial electrochemical nutrient recovery in anaerobic osmotic membrane bioreactors*. 114, 181–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.02.034>
- Hsuan, H. M., Salleh, B., & Zakaria, L. (2011). Molecular identification of *Fusarium* species in *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex from rice, sugarcane and maize from Peninsular Malaysia. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 12(10), 6722–6732.
- Hu, Q., Sun, D., Ma, Y., Qiu, B., & Guo, Z. (2017). Conductive polyaniline nanorods enhanced methane production from anaerobic wastewater treatment. *Polymer*, 120, 236–243.
- Huang, G., Chen, S., Dai, C., Sun, L., Sun, W., Tang, Y., ... Ma, H. (2017). Ultrasonics Sonochemistry Effects of ultrasound on microbial growth and enzyme activity. *Ultrasonics - Sonochemistry*, 37, 144–149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2016.12.018>

- Huang, Z., Ong, S. L., & Ng, H. Y. (2010). Submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactor for low-strength wastewater treatment: Effect of HRT and SRT on treatment performance and membrane fouling. *Water Research*, 45(2), 705–713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.08.035>
- Inyang, M., Gao, B., Pullammanappallil, P., Ding, W., & Zimmerman, A. R. (2010). Bioresource Technology Biochar from anaerobically digested sugarcane bagasse. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(22), 8868–8872. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.06.088>
- J.c.mora, S. viigneswara. and. (2017). *Energy recovery consideration for membrane separation process* (pp. 1–15). pp. 1–15.
- Jadhav, G. S., & Ghangrekar, M. M. (2009). Bioresource Technology Performance of microbial fuel cell subjected to variation in pH , temperature , external load and substrate concentration. *Bioresource Technology*, 100(2), 717–723. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2008.07.041>
- Jain, S., Jain, S., Wolf, I. T., Lee, J., & Tong, Y. W. (2015). A comprehensive review on operating parameters and different pretreatment methodologies for anaerobic digestion of municipal solid waste. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 52, 142–154.
- Jayakumar, S., Yusoff, M. M., Rahim, M. H. A., Maniam, G. P., & Govindan, N. (2017). The prospect of microalgal biodiesel using agro-industrial and industrial wastes in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 72, 33–47.
- Jegatheesan, V., Kumar, B., Chen, J., & Navaratna, D. (2016). Bioresource Technology Treatment of textile wastewater with membrane bioreactor: A critical review. *Bioresource Technology*, 204, 202–212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.01.006>
- Jim, J. (2014). *ScienceDirect Methanogenic activity optimization using the response surface methodology , during the anaerobic co-digestion of agriculture and industrial wastes . Microbial community diversity. 1.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2014.10.023>
- Johansson, Ö., Pamidi, T., Khoshkhoo, M., & Sandström, Å. (2017). *Sustainable and energy efficient leaching of tungsten (W) by ultrasound controlled cavitation.* Luleå tekniska universitet.
- Judd, S. J. (2016). The status of industrial and municipal effluent treatment with membrane bioreactor technology. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 305, 37–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.08.141>
- Karia, G. L., & Christian, R. A. (2013). *Wastewater treatment: concepts and design approach.* PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd.
- Karim, M. A., & Moss, B. L. (2017). *A Preliminary Laboratory Investigation of Methane Generation Potential from Brewery Wastewater using UASB Reactor.* 34–41

- Khalid, A., Arshad, M., Anjum, M., Mahmood, T., & Dawson, L. (2011). The anaerobic digestion of solid organic waste. *Waste Management*, *31*(8), 1737–1744. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.03.021>
- Khan, M. A., Ngo, H. H., Guo, W. S., Liu, Y., Nghiem, L. D., Hai, F. I., ... Wu, Y. (2016). *Bioresource Technology Optimization of process parameters for production of volatile fatty acid, biohydrogen and methane from anaerobic digestion*. *219*, 738–748. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.08.073>
- Kim, J. O., Kim, Y. H., Ryu, J. Y., Song, B. K., Kim, I. H., & Yeom, S. H. (2005). Immobilization methods for continuous hydrogen gas production biofilm formation versus granulation. *Process Biochemistry*, *40*(3–4), 1331–1337. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2004.06.008>
- Klinkner, B. A. (2014). Anaerobic digestion as a renewable energy source and waste management technology: What must be done for this technology to realize success in the United States. *U. Mass. L. Rev.*, *9*, 68.
- Koupaie, E. H., Leiva, M. B., Eskicioglu, C., & Dutil, C. (2014). Mesophilic batch anaerobic co-digestion of fruit-juice industrial waste and municipal waste sludge: Process and cost-benefit analysis. *Bioresource Technology*, *152*, 66–73.
- Kubicki, J. D., Schroeter, L. M., Itoh, M. J., Nguyen, B. N., & Apitz, S. E. (1999). Attenuated total reflectance Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy of carboxylic acids adsorbed onto mineral surfaces. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, *63*(18), 2709–2725.
- Kugathasan, S., Denson, L. A., Walters, T. D., Kim, M., Marigorta, U. M., Schirmer, M., ... Dubinsky, M. C. (2017). Prediction of complicated disease course for children newly diagnosed with Crohn ' s disease : a multicentre inception cohort study. *The Lancet*, *389*(10080), 1710–1718. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)30317-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30317-3)
- Kumar, A., Sengupta, B., Kannaujiya, M. C., Priyadarshinee, R., Singha, S., Dasguptamandal, D., & Mandal, T. (2017). Treatment of coke oven wastewater using ozone with hydrogen peroxide and activated carbon. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, *69*(December), 352–365. <https://doi.org/10.5004/dwt.2017.20336>
- Kumar, K. V., Sridevi, V., Rani, K., Sakunthala, M., & Kumar, C. S. (2013). A review on production of biogas, fundamentals, applications & its recent enhancing techniques. *Elixir Chem Engg*, *57*, 14073–14079.
- Kumar, V., Othman, N., & Asharuddin, S. (2017). Applications of Natural Coagulants to Treat Wastewater – A Review. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, *103*, 06016. <https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/201710306016>
- Küme, T., Şişman, A. R., Solak, A., Tuğlu, B., Çinkooğlu, B., & Çoker, C. (2012). The effects of different syringe volume, needle size and sample volume on blood gas analysis in syringes washed with heparin. *Biochemia Medica*, *22*(2), 189–201.

- Kwietniewska, E., & Tys, J. (2014). Process characteristics , inhibition factors and methane yields of anaerobic digestion process , with particular focus on microalgal biomass fermentation. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 34, 491–500. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.03.041>
- Ladewig, B., & Al-Shaeli, M. N. Z. (2017). Fundamentals of membrane processes. In *Fundamentals of Membrane Bioreactors* (pp. 13–37). Springer.
- Lalitha, K. (1994). *Kinetics of Biomethanation of Solid Tannery Waste and the Concept of Interactive Metabolic Control*. 47, 73–87.
- Lam, M. K., Tan, K. T., Lee, K. T., & Mohamed, A. R. (2009). Malaysian palm oil: Surviving the food versus fuel dispute for a sustainable future. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 13(6–7), 1456–1464.
- Langosch, D., Scharnagl, C., Steiner, H., & Lemberg, M. K. (2015). Understanding intramembrane proteolysis : from protein dynamics to reaction kinetics. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, 40(6), 318–327. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2015.04.001>
- Leite, W., Scandolaro, B., Bittencourt, L., Gottardo, M., & Belli, P. (2017). *Feasibility of thermophilic anaerobic processes for treating waste activated sludge under low HRT and intermittent mixing*. 201, 335–344. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.06.069>
- Li, B., & Wu, G. (2014). *Effects of Sludge Retention Times on Nutrient Removal and Nitrous Oxide Emission in Biological Nutrient Removal Processes*. 3553–3569. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph110403553>
- Li, Yangyang, Wang, Y., Yu, Z., Lu, J., Li, D., Wang, G., ... Gong, X. (2018). *Effect of inoculum and substrate / inoculum ratio on the performance and methanogenic archaeal community structure in solid state anaerobic co-digestion of tomato residues with dairy manure and corn stover*. 81, 117–127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2018.09.042>
- Li, Yue, Chen, Y., & Wu, J. (2019). Enhancement of methane production in anaerobic digestion process : A review. *Applied Energy*, 240(February), 120–137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.01.243>
- Liew Abdullah, A. G., Idris, A., Ahmadun, F. R., Baharin, B. S., Emby, F., Megat Mohd Noor, M. J., & Nour, A. H. (2005). A kinetic study of a membrane anaerobic reactor (MAR) for treatment of sewage sludge. *Desalination*, 183(1–3), 439–445. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2005.03.044>
- Lin, H., Peng, W., Zhang, M., Chen, J., Hong, H., & Zhang, Y. (2013). A review on anaerobic membrane bioreactors : Applications , membrane fouling and future perspectives. *DES*, 314, 169–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2013.01.019>
- Lin, J., Ortiz, R., Steele, T. W. J., & Stuckey, D. C. (2014). Toxicants inhibiting anaerobic digestion : A review. *Biotechnology Advances*, 32(8), 1523–1534. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2014.10.005>

- Linares-Hernández, I., Barrera-Díaz, C., Roa-Morales, G., Bilyeu, B., & Ureña-Núñez, F. (2007). A combined electrocoagulation-sorption process applied to mixed industrial wastewater. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, *144*(1–2), 240–248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.10.015>
- Liu, C., & Yuan, X. (2008). Prediction of methane yield at optimum pH for anaerobic digestion of organic fraction of municipal solid waste. *99*, 882–888. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.01.013>
- Liu, Y., Zhu, Y., Jia, H., Yong, X., Zhang, L., & Zhou, J. (2017). Bioresource Technology Effects of different bio film carriers on biogas production during anaerobic digestion of corn straw. *244*(30), 445–451. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.07.171>
- Magdalena, J. A., Greses, S., & González-fernández, C. (2019). Impact of Organic Loading Rate in Volatile Fatty Acids Production and Population Dynamics Using Microalgae Biomass as Substrate. 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-54914-4>
- Mahendran, R., Ramli, N. ., & AbdulRahman, H. (2014). Study the Effect of Using Ultrasonic Membrane Anaerobic System in Treating Sugarcane Waste and Methane Gas Production. *IJRET: International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, 2319–1163. Retrieved from http://s3.amazonaws.com/academia.edu.documents/41709170/STUDY_THE_EFFECT_OF_USING_ULTRASONIC_MEMBRANE_ANAEROBIC_SYSTEM_IN_TREATING_SUGARCANE_WASTE_AND_METHANE_GAS_PRODUCTION.pdf?AWSAccessKeyId=AKIAIWOWYYGZ2Y53UL3A&Expires=1489498745&Signature=o%2FB2cVk18b
- Management, E., & Sewage, O. F. (2015). Microbial diversity in innovative mesophilic / thermophilic temperature-phased anaerobic digestion of sludge. 7339–7348. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3061-y>
- Mao, C., Feng, Y., Wang, X., & Ren, G. (2015). Review on research achievements of biogas from anaerobic digestion. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *45*, 540–555. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.02.032>
- Meng, F., Zhang, S., Oh, Y., Zhou, Z., Shin, H. S., & Chae, S. R. (2017). Fouling in membrane bioreactors: An updated review. *Water Research*, *114*, 151–180. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.02.006>
- Methodology, R. S. (2015). ScienceDirect Investigation of the effects of initial substrate and biomass concentrations and light intensity on photofermentative hydrogen gas production by Response Surface Methodology. 0. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2015.02.093>
- Mohammed, M., Aziz, A., Raman, A., & Purushothaman, M. (2017). Applications of fluidized bed reactors in wastewater treatment e A review of the major design and operational parameters. *141*, 1492–1514. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.09.148>

- Montalvo, S., Cahn, I., Borja, R., Huiliñir, C., & Guerrero, L. (2017). Bioresource Technology Use of solid residue from thermal power plant (fly ash) for enhancing sewage sludge anaerobic digestion : In fl uence of fl y ash particle size G R A P H I C A L A B S T R A C T. *Bioresource Technology*, 244(May), 416–422. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.07.159>
- Morales, N., Val, Á., Vázquez-padín, J. R., Méndez, R., Campos, J. L., & Mosquera-corral, A. (2016). The granular biomass properties and the acclimation period affect the partial nitritation / anammox process stability at a low temperature and ammonium concentration. *Process Biochemistry*, 51(12), 2134–2142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2016.08.029>
- Murtey, M. Das, & Ramasamy, P. (2016). Sample preparations for scanning electron microscopy–life sciences. In *Modern electron microscopy in physical and life sciences*. IntechOpen.
- Mutamim, N. S. A., Noor, Z. Z., Hassan, M. A. A., Yuniarto, A., & Olsson, G. (2013). Membrane bioreactor: Applications and limitations in treating high strength industrial wastewater. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 225, 109–119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2013.02.131>
- N.Shafie, A. Y. M. (n.d.). *The Performance Study of Ultrasonic-assisted Membrane Anaerobic System (UMAS) for Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) Removal Efficiency and Methane Gas Production in Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) Treatment*. 320.
- Nagarajan, D., Kusmayadi, A., Yen, H., Dong, C., & Lee, D. (2019). Bioresource Technology Current advances in biological swine wastewater treatment using microalgae-based processes. *Bioresource Technology*, 289(May), 121718. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.121718>
- Nath, K. (2017). *Membrane separation processes*. PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd.
- Neis, U., Nickel, K., & Tiehm, A. (2018). *Enhancement of anaerobic sludge digestion by ultrasonic disintegration*. (August), 73–80.
- Nepf, H. M. (2016). _____ *Signature redacted _ Signature redacted Signature redacted*.
- Neshat, S. A., Mohammadi, M., Najafpour, G. D., & Lahijani, P. (2017). Anaerobic co-digestion of animal manures and lignocellulosic residues as a potent approach for sustainable biogas production. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 79(May), 308–322. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.137>
- Nges, I. A., & Liu, J. (2010). Effects of solid retention time on anaerobic digestion of dewatered-sewage sludge in mesophilic and thermophilic conditions. *Renewable Energy*, 35(10), 2200–2206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2010.02.022>
- Nguyen, T. A. H., Ngo, H. H., Guo, W. S., Pham, T. Q., Cao, T. H., & Nguyen, T. H. H. (2019). Applicability of zirconium loaded okara in the removal and recovery of phosphorus from municipal wastewater. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 266(1), 12004. IOP Publishing.

- NH Abdurahman, N. A. (2013). *Kinetics Study of Sewage Sludge Treatment by Ultrasonic Membrane Anaerobic System (UMAS)*. (February).
- Norasmah, M. M., Zulkafli, H., Mara, U. T., & Alam, S. (n.d.). *Kinetics Study of Membrane Anaerobic System (MAS) in Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) Treatment*.
- Nour, A. H., & Nour, A. H. (2017). Production of Biogas and Performance Evaluation of Ultrasonic Membrane Anaerobic System (UMAS) for Palm Oil Mill Effluent Treatment (POME). In *Biological Wastewater Treatment and Resource Recovery*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/67602>
- Nour, A. H., Zaki, Y. H., Mohamed, H. S., & Rassem, H. H. (n.d.). The Potentials of an Integrated Ultrasonic Membrane Anaerobic System (IUMAS) in Treating Sugar Cane Wastewater. *Indonesian Journal of Chemistry*.
- Oh, S. Y., Yoo, D. Il, Shin, Y., & Seo, G. (2005). FTIR analysis of cellulose treated with sodium hydroxide and carbon dioxide. *Carbohydrate Research*, 340(3), 417–428.
- Oil, P., & Effluent, M. (2017). *Kinetic study for Ultrasonic assisted Membrane Anaerobic System (UMAS) Kinetic Study for Ultrasonic assisted Membrane Anaerobic System (UMAS) Treating Decanter Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME)*. (July).
- Or, D., & Tuller, M. (2002). *Cavitation during desaturation of porous media under tension*. 38(5), 1–4.
- Oz, N. A., & Yarimtepe, C. C. (2014). *Ultrasound assisted biogas production from landfill leachate*. 34, 1165–1170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2014.03.003>
- Pang, Y. L., Abdullah, A. Z., & Bhatia, S. (2011). Review on sonochemical methods in the presence of catalysts and chemical additives for treatment of organic pollutants in wastewater. *DES*, 277(1–3), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2011.04.049>
- Parawira, W., Murto, M., Zvauya, R., & Mattiasson, B. (2006). *Comparative performance of a UASB reactor and an anaerobic packed-bed reactor when treating potato waste leachate*. 31, 893–903. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2005.05.013>
- Paredes, M. G., Güereca, L. P., Molina, L. T., & Noyola, A. (2019). Science of the Total Environment Methane emissions from anaerobic sludge digesters in Mexico : On-site determination vs . IPCC Tier 1 method. *Science of the Total Environment*, 656, 468–474. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.373>
- Patinvoh, R. J., Osadolor, O. A., Chandolias, K., Horváth, I. S., & Taherzadeh, M. J. (2017). Bioresource Technology Innovative pretreatment strategies for biogas production. *Bioresource Technology*, 224, 13–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.11.083>
- Paula, H. M. De, Sangoi, M., Ilha, D. O., Sarmiento, A. P., & Andrade, L. S. (2018). Dosage optimization of Moringa oleifera seed and traditional chemical coagulants solutions for concrete plant wastewater treatment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 174, 123–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.10.311>

- Pavlostathis, S. G., & Giraldo-Gomez, E. (1991). Kinetics of anaerobic treatment. *Water Science and Technology*, 24(8), 35–59.
- Peng, C., Zhai, Y., Zhu, Y., Xu, B., Wang, T., Li, C., & Zeng, G. (2016). Production of char from sewage sludge employing hydrothermal carbonization: Char properties, combustion behavior and thermal characteristics. *Fuel*, 176, 110–118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2016.02.068>
- Poddar, P. K., & Sahu, O. (2017). Quality and management of wastewater in sugar industry. *Applied Water Science*, 7(1), 461–468. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-015-0264-4>
- Poh, P. E., & Chong, M. F. (2009). *Bioresource Technology Development of anaerobic digestion methods for palm oil mill effluent (POME) treatment*. 100, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2008.06.022>
- Ponsá, S., Gea, T., Alern, L., Cerezo, J., & Sánchez, A. (2008). Comparison of aerobic and anaerobic stability indices through a MSW biological treatment process. *Waste Management*, 28(12), 2735–2742.
- Prakash, J., Sharma, R., Ray, S., & Koul, S. (2018). Wastewater : A Potential Bioenergy Resource. *Indian Journal of Microbiology*, 58(2), 127–137. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12088-017-0703-z>
- Proce, Â., Gonze, E., Fourel, L., Gonthier, Y., Boldo, P., & Bernis, A. (1999). *Wastewater pretreatment with ultrasonic irradiation to reduce toxicity*. 73, 93–100.
- Qu, X., Alvarez, P. J. J., & Li, Q. (2013). Applications of nanotechnology in water and wastewater treatment. *Water Research*, 47(12), 3931–3946. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.09.058>
- Ramos, I., & Fdz-Polanco, M. (2014). Microaerobic control of biogas sulphide content during sewage sludge digestion by using biogas production and hydrogen sulphide concentration. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 250, 303–311.
- Rasouli, M., Ajabshirchi, Y., & Nosrati, M. (2015). *Process Optimization and Modeling of Anaerobic Digestion of Cow Manure for Enhanced Biogas Yield in a Mixed Plug-flow Reactor using Response Surface Methodology* *Process Optimization and Modeling of Anaerobic Digestion of Cow Manure for Enhanced Biogas Yield in a Mixed Plug-flow Reactor using Response Surface Methodology*. (November 2016). <https://doi.org/10.13005/bbra/1909>
- Ratnasari, E. K., Mentari, M., Dewi, R. K., & Ginardi, R. V. H. (2014). Sugarcane leaf disease detection and severity estimation based on segmented spots image. *Proceedings of International Conference on Information, Communication Technology and System (ICTS) 2014*, 93–98. IEEE.
- Rea, J., & Slocum, A. (2014). *Kinetic Modeling and Experimentation of Anaerobic Digestion by Kinetic Modeling and Experimentation of Anaerobic Digestion*. 1–58.
- Res, W., & No, V. (1995). *Pergamon IN WATER A N D WASTEWATER TREATMENT*. 29(10), 2227–2245.

- Reungsang, A., Sittijunda, S., & Sreela-or, C. (2016). Methane production from acidic effluent discharged after the hydrogen fermentation of sugarcane juice using batch fermentation and UASB reactor. *Renewable Energy*, 86, 1224–1231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.09.051>
- Reza, M., Prorot, A., Casellas, M., & Dagot, C. (2009). *Pre-treatment of activated sludge : Effect of sonication on aerobic and anaerobic digestibility*. 148, 327–335. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2008.09.003>
- Rezakazemi, M., Niazi, Z., Mirfendereski, M., Shirazian, S., Mohammadi, T., & Pak, A. (2011). CFD simulation of natural gas sweetening in a gas–liquid hollow-fiber membrane contactor. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 168(3), 1217–1226.
- Rincón, B., Bujalance, L., Feroso, F. G., Martín, A., & Borja, R. (2013). Biochemical methane potential of two-phase olive mill solid waste: influence of thermal pretreatment on the process kinetics. *Bioresource Technology*, 140, 249–255.
- Roslina, T., Yusof, T., Man, H. C., Aini, N., Rahman, A., & Hafid, H. S. (2014). *Optimization of Methane Gas Production From Co-Digestion of Food Waste and Poultry Manure Using Artificial Neural Network and Response Surface Methodology*. 6(7), 27–37. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v6n7p27>
- Sahu, O. P., & Chaudhari, P. K. (2015). The characteristics, effects, and treatment of wastewater in sugarcane industry. *Water Quality, Exposure and Health*, 7(3), 435–444.
- Said, M., Hasan, H. A., Tusirin, M., & Nor, M. (2015). *Removal of COD, TSS and colour from palm oil mill effluent (POME) using montmorillonite*. 3994(October), 0–8. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2015.1036778>
- Salsabil, M. R., Laurent, J., Casellas, M., & Dagot, C. (2010). *Techno-economic evaluation of thermal treatment, ozonation and sonication for the reduction of wastewater biomass volume before aerobic or anaerobic digestion*. 174, 323–333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.09.054>
- Santos, F. S., Ricci, B. C., França, L. S., & Amaral, M. C. S. (2017). Bioresource Technology Sugarcane vinasse treatment by two-stage anaerobic membrane bioreactor: Effect of hydraulic retention time on changes in efficiency, biogas production and membrane fouling. *Bioresource Technology*, 245(June), 342–350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.08.126>
- Sargolzaei, J., Moghaddam, A. H., & Shayegan, J. (2011). *Statistical assessment of starch removal from starchy wastewater using membrane technology*. *Statistical assessment of starch removal from starchy wastewater using membrane technology*. (September). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11814-011-0050-4>
- Sarker, S., Lamb, J. J., Hjelme, D. R., & Lien, K. M. (2019). *applied sciences A Review of the Role of Critical Parameters in the Design and Operation of Biogas Production Plants in*.

- Sathish, S., & Vivekanandan, S. (2016). Parametric optimization for floating drum anaerobic bio-digester using Response Surface Methodology and Artificial Neural Network. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 55(4), 3297–3307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2016.08.010>
- Seneesrisakul, K., Sutabutr, T., & Chavadej, S. (2018). *The Effect of Temperature on the Methanogenic Activity in Relation to Micronutrient Availability*. 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en11051057>
- Sevda, S., Dominguez-benetton, X., Vanbroekhoven, K., & Wever, H. De. (2013). High strength wastewater treatment accompanied by power generation using air cathode microbial fuel cell. *Applied Energy*, 105, 194–206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.12.037>
- Shafie, N. F. A., Uloi, J. M., Yahya, A., Som, A. M., Nour, A. H., Hassan, Z., & Yunus, R. M. (n.d.). *The Performance and Kinetics Study of Ultrasonic-assisted Membrane Anaerobic System (UMAS) in Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) Treatment*. 0–4.
- Shakib, N., & Rashid, M. (2019). Biogas Production Optimization from POME by Using Anaerobic Digestion Process. *Journal of Applied Science & Process Engineering*, 6(2), 369–377.
- Shateri-khalilabad, M. (2013). *One-pot sonochemical synthesis of superhydrophobic organic – inorganic hybrid coatings on cotton cellulose*. 3039–3051. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-013-0040-2>
- Shen, P., Fei, H., Shuquan, S., Junya, Z., Zhineng, C., Junfang, L., ... Bo, W. (2014). *Bioresource Technology Using pig manure to promote fermentation of sugarcane molasses alcohol wastewater and its effects on microbial community structure*. 155, 323–329. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.12.073>
- Shi, Xiafu, Tal, G., Hankins, N. P., & Gitis, V. (2014). Journal of Water Process Engineering Fouling and cleaning of ultrafiltration membranes : A review. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 1, 121–138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2014.04.003>
- Shi, Xiao-shuang, Dong, J., Yu, J., Yin, H., Hu, S., Huang, S., & Yuan, X. (2017). *Effect of Hydraulic Retention Time on Anaerobic Digestion of Wheat Straw in the Semicontinuous Continuous Stirred-Tank Reactors*. 2017.
- Shi, Xueqing, Huang, S., Shan, T., & Leong, S. (2020). Chemosphere A method to eliminate bromide interference on standard COD test for bromide-rich industrial wastewater. *Chemosphere*, 240, 124804. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124804>
- Shrestha, S., Fonoll, X., Kumar, S., & Raskin, L. (2017). Bioresource Technology Biological strategies for enhanced hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass during anaerobic digestion: Current status and future perspectives. *Bioresource Technology*, 245(August), 1245–1257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.08.089>

- Siddique, M. N. I., Munaim, M. S. A., & Wahid, Z. B. A. (2017). The combined effect of ultrasonic and microwave pre-treatment on bio-methane generation from co-digestion of petrochemical wastewater. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *145*, 303–309.
- Siddique, N. I., Sakinah, M., Munaim, A., Bin, Z., & Wahid, A. (2017). The combined effect of ultrasonic and microwave pre-treatment on bio-methane generation from co-digestion of petrochemical wastewater. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *145*, 303–309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.01.061>
- Siegert, I., & Banks, C. (2005). *The effect of volatile fatty acid additions on the anaerobic digestion of cellulose and glucose in batch reactors*. *40*, 3412–3418. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2005.01.025>
- Sievers, M., Schl, O., Onyeche, T., Bormann, H., & Schr, C. (2002). *Ultrasound stimulation of micro-organisms for enhanced biodegradation*. *40*, 25–29.
- Simelane, S., Ngila, J. C., & Dlamini, L. N. (2017). Environmental Nanotechnology , Monitoring & Management The fate , behaviour and effect of WO 3 nanoparticles on the functionality of an aerobic treatment unit. *Environmental Nanotechnology, Monitoring & Management*, *8*(April), 199–208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enmm.2017.07.007>
- Singh, R. L., & Singh, P. K. (2017). Global Environmental Problems. In *Principles and Applications of Environmental Biotechnology for a Sustainable Future* (pp. 13–41). Springer.
- Sivagami, K., Anand, D., Divyapriya, G., & Nambi, I. (2019). Ultrasonics - Sonochemistry Treatment of petroleum oil spill sludge using the combined ultrasound and Fenton oxidation process. *Ultrasonics - Sonochemistry*, *51*(July 2018), 340–349. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2018.09.007>
- Skarmeas, D., Lisboa, A., & Saridakis, C. (2016). Export performance as a function of market learning capabilities and intrapreneurship: SEM and FsQCA findings. *Journal of Business Research*, *69*(11), 5342–5347.
- Srivastava, S., Verma, P. C., Chaudhry, V., Singh, N., Abhilash, P. C., Kumar, K. V, ... Singh, N. (2013). Influence of inoculation of arsenic-resistant *Staphylococcus arlettae* on growth and arsenic uptake in *Brassica juncea* (L .) Czern . Var . R-46. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, *262*, 1039–1047. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.08.019>
- Stuckey, D. C. (2012). Bioresource Technology Recent developments in anaerobic membrane reactors. *Bioresource Technology*, *122*, 137–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.05.138>
- Sun, H., Guo, J., Wu, S., Liu, F., & Dong, R. (2017). Development and validation of a simplified titration method for monitoring volatile fatty acids in anaerobic digestion. *Waste Management*, *67*, 43–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.05.015>

- Tacon, A. G. J., Hasan, M. R., Allan, G., El-Sayed, A. F. M., Jackson, A., Kaushik, S. J., ... Viana, M. T. (2010). Aquaculture feeds: addressing the long-term sustainability of the sector. *Proceedings of the Global Conference on Aquaculture*, 193–232.
- Tansel, B. (2019). Persistence times of refractory materials in landfills: A review of rate limiting conditions by mass transfer and reaction kinetics. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 247(February), 88–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.06.056>
- Taylor, P., Journal, B., Strong, P. J., Burgess, J. E., & Strong, P. J. (2008). *Treatment Methods for Wine-Related and Distillery Wastewaters: A Review*. (December 2014), 37–41. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10889860802060063>
- Toczy, R. (2017). *Limits and perspectives of pulp and paper industry wastewater treatment – A review*. 78(May), 764–772. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.021>
- Toro, B., Tilmans, S., Diaz-hernandez, A., Nyman, E., & Davis, J. (2014). *The potential for financing small-scale wastewater treatment through resource recovery: experience from*. 449–459. <https://doi.org/10.2166/washdev.2014.138>
- Tran, T. T. D., & Smith, A. D. (2017). Evaluation of renewable energy technologies and their potential for technical integration and cost-effective use within the U.S. energy sector. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 80(June), 1372–1388. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.228>
- Tuziuti, T., Yasui, K., Sivakumar, M., & Iida, Y. (2006). *Influence of dissolved-air concentration on spatial distribution of bubbles for sonochemistry*. 44, 357–361. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultras.2006.05.002>
- Umar, M., Aziz, H. A., & Yusoff, M. S. (2010). *Variability of Parameters Involved in Leachate Pollution Index and Determination of LPI from Four Landfills in Malaysia. 2010*. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/747953>
- Ünal, B., Perry, V. R., Sheth, M., Gomez-Alvarez, V., Chin, K.-J., & Nüsslein, K. (2012). Trace elements affect methanogenic activity and diversity in enrichments from subsurface coal bed produced water. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 3, 175.
- Vanwonterghem, I., Jensen, P. D., Rabaey, K., & Tyson, G. W. (2015). *replicated anaerobic digesters*. 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep08496>
- Vigneswaran, S., & Mora, J. C. (2017). Energy recovery considerations for membrane separation process. *International Energy Journal*, 11(1).
- Wang, H., Zhang, Y., & Angelidaki, I. (2016). Ammonia inhibition on hydrogen enriched anaerobic digestion of manure under mesophilic and thermophilic conditions. *Water Research*, 105, 314–319. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.09.006>
- Wang, W., Yang, Q., Zheng, S., & Wu, D. (2013). Anaerobic membrane bioreactor (AnMBR) for bamboo industry wastewater treatment. *Bioresource Technology*, 149, 292–300.

- Wee, S., Tye, C., & Bhatia, S. (2008). *Membrane separation process — Pervaporation through zeolite membrane*. 63, 500–516. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2008.07.010>
- Wenten, I. G. (2016). Reverse osmosis applications : Prospect and challenges. *DES*, 391, 112–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2015.12.011>
- Wijekoon, K. C., Visvanathan, C., & Abeynayaka, A. (2010). Effect of organic loading rate on VFA production , organic matter removal and microbial activity of a two-stage thermophilic anaerobic membrane bioreactor. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(9), 5353–5360. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.12.081>
- Wilson, R. H., & Kac, M. (2001). *Developments in mid-infrared FT-IR spectroscopy of selected carbohydrates*. 44, 291–303.
- Wong, Y., Teng, T., Ong, S., Norhashimah, M., & Rafatullah, M. (2014). Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers Methane gas production from palm oil wastewater — An anaerobic methanogenic degradation process in continuous stirrer suspended closed anaerobic reactor. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*, 45(3), 896–900. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtice.2013.10.002>
- Wu, T. Y., Mohammad, A. W., Jahim, J. M., & Anuar, N. (2010). Pollution control technologies for the treatment of palm oil mill effluent (POME) through end-of-pipe processes. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 91(7), 1467–1490. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.02.008>
- Xie, B., Cheng, J., Zhou, J., Song, W., Liu, J., & Cen, K. (2008). *Production of hydrogen and methane from potatoes by two-phase anaerobic fermentation*. 99, 5942–5946. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.10.048>
- Xinhua, M. (2017). ScienceDirect Natural gas and energy revolution : A case study of Sichuan e Chongqing gas province. *Natural Gas Industry B*, 4(2), 91–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ngib.2017.07.014>
- Xiong, B., Zydney, A. L., & Kumar, M. (2016). Fouling of micro fi ltration membranes by fl owback and produced waters from the Marcellus shale gas play. *Water Research*, 99, 162–170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.04.049>
- Xu, W. J., Pan, L. Q., Sun, X. H., & Huang, J. (2012). *Effects of bioflocs on water quality , and survival , growth and digestive enzyme activities of Litopenaeus vannamei (Boone) in zero -water exchange culture tanks*. 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2012.03115.x>
- Yacob, S., Shirai, Y., Ali, M., Wakisaka, M., & Subash, S. (2006). *Start-up operation of semi-commercial closed anaerobic digester for palm oil mill effluent treatment. i*, 962–964. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2005.10.021>

- Yan, J. Q., Liao, P. H., & Lo, K. V. (1988). *Methane Production from Cheese Whey*. 17, 185–202.
- Yan, Y., Feng, L., Zhang, C., Zhu, H., & Zhou, Q. (2010). *Effect of ultrasonic specific energy on waste activated sludge solubilization and enzyme activity*. 9(12), 1776–1782.
- Yang, F., Bai, L., Li, P., Li, Q., Luo, L., & Li, W. (2019). Science of the Total Environment Improved methane production and sulfate removal by anaerobic co-digestion corn stalk and levulinic acid wastewater pretreated by calcium hydroxide. *Science of the Total Environment*, 691, 499–505. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.172>
- Yang, J. Y., Yang, X. E., He, Z. L., Li, T. Q., Shentu, J. L., & Stoffella, P. J. (2006). *Effects of pH, organic acids, and inorganic ions on lead desorption from soils*. 143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2005.11.010>
- Yang, P. Y., Chang, L. J., & Whalen, S. A. (2018). *ANAEROBIC / AEROBIC PRETREATMENT OF SUGARCANE MILL WASTEWATER FOR APPLICATION OF DRIP IRRIGATION*. 24(July), 243–250.
- Yasui, K., Tuziuti, T., & Iida, Y. (2005). *Dependence of the characteristics of bubbles on types of sonochemical reactors*. 12, 43–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2004.06.003>
- Ye, R., Jin, Q., Bohannon, B., Keller, J. K., Mcallister, S. A., & Bridgham, S. D. (2012). Soil Biology & Biochemistry pH controls over anaerobic carbon mineralization, the efficiency of methane production, and methanogenic pathways in peatlands across an ombrotrophic to minerotrophic gradient. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 54, 36–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.05.015>
- Yeh, R. Y., & Thomas, A. (1995). Color difference measurement and color removal from dye wastewaters using different adsorbents. *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology: International Research in Process, Environmental AND Clean Technology*, 63(1), 55–59.
- Yi, X. S., Shi, W. X., Yu, S. L., Li, X. H., Sun, N., & He, C. (2011). *Factorial design applied to flux decline of anionic polyacrylamide removal from water by modified polyvinylidene fluoride ultrafiltration membranes*. 274, 7–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2010.10.019>
- Yichun Zhu, Xin Li, Maoan Du, Zuwen Liu, H. L. and T. Z. (2015). *Improve bio-activity of anaerobic sludge by low energy ultrasound* Yichun Zhu, Xin Li, Maoan Du, Zuwen Liu, Hui Luo and Tao Zhang. 2221–2228. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2015.445>
- Yiying, J. I. N., Huan, L. I., Bux, M. R., Zhiyu, W., & Yongfeng, N. I. E. (2009). Combined alkaline and ultrasonic pretreatment of sludge before aerobic digestion. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 21(3), 279–284. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742\(08\)62264-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(08)62264-0)

- Young, J. C., & McCarty, P. L. (1969). The anaerobic filter for waste treatment. *Journal (Water Pollution Control Federation)*, R160–R173.
- Yurtsever, A., Calimlioglu, B., & Sahinkaya, E. (2017). Impact of SRT on the efficiency and microbial community of sequential anaerobic and aerobic membrane bioreactors for the treatment of textile industry wastewater. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 314, 378–387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.11.156>
- Zaki, Y. H., Nour, A. H., & Mohamed, H. S. (2018). Application of Membrane Bioreactor in Wastewater Treatment: A Mini Review. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies (IJIRSS)*, 1(1).
- Zawieja, I., Włodarczyk, R., & Kowalczyk, M. (2019). Biogas Generation from Sonicated Excess Sludge. *Water*, 11(10), 2127.
- Zhou, M., Yan, B., Wong, J. W. C., & Zhang, Y. (2018). Bioresource Technology Enhanced volatile fatty acids production from anaerobic fermentation of food waste : A mini-review focusing on acidogenic metabolic pathways. *Bioresource Technology*, 248, 68–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.06.121>
- Zhu, F. (2015). Impact of ultrasound on structure , physicochemical properties , modifications , and applications of starch. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 43(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2014.12.008>