

# A Simple and Specific Method for Estimation of Lipoic Acid in Human Plasma by High Performance Liquid Chromatography

Ezhilarasi K<sup>1</sup>, Sudha V<sup>2</sup>, Geetha Ramachandran<sup>2</sup>, Dhamodharan Umapathy<sup>1</sup>, Rama Rajaram<sup>3</sup>, Indira Padmalayam<sup>4</sup>, Vijay Viswanathan<sup>1</sup> and Hemanth Kumar AK<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, Prof. M. Viswanathan Diabetes Research Centre and M.V. Hospital for Diabetes (WHO Collaborating Centre for Research, Education and Training in Diabetes), No. 4, West Madha Church Road, Royapuram, Chennai 600013, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biochemistry, National Institute for Research in Tuberculosis (Indian Council of Medical Research), No. 1, Mayor Sathiyamoorthy Road, Chetpet, Chennai 600031, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Central Leather Research Institute, Adyar, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>4</sup>Drug Discovery Division, Southern Research Institute, Birmingham, USA

## Abstract

A rapid high performance liquid chromatographic method for determination of lipoic acid in human plasma was developed and validated. The method involved extraction of lipoic acid in ethanol consisting of 50 mM disodium hydrogen phosphate: acetonitrile: methanol in the ratio of 50:30:20. The separation was done using a C18 column (150 mm) and detection was carried out using UV detection at 201 nm. The assay was found to be linear in the range of 0.78-50 µg/ml with the correlation coefficient of 0.9998. Intra and inter-day variations were determined by processing each standard concentration in duplicate for five consecutive days. The average recovery of lipoic acid from plasma was 113%. The developed method demonstrates better sensitivity, precision, accuracy, stability and linearity when compared with the methods previously used. The method is simple and can be used for the determination of lipoic acid in basic research studies as well as in standard clinical laboratories.

**Keywords:** HPLC; Lipoic acid; Antioxidant; Free radicals; Co-factor; Therapeutic agent; Thioctic acid; Vitamin E; Vitamin C


## Introduction

$\alpha$ -Lipoic acid (LA) (1, 2-dithiolane-3-valeric acid, 1, 2-dithiolane-3-pentanoic acid, 6, 8-dithiooctanic acids, thioctic acid) (Figure 1) is a crucial cofactor in the pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) and  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate dehydrogenase multienzyme complexes which are responsible for the production of acetyl-CoA in metabolic pathways [1]. It is also known as a metabolic antioxidant synthesized in human and animal cells and affords a protection to the cellular membrane by interacting with vitamin C and glutathione, which in turn recycles other antioxidants such as vitamin E to their reduced state and both oxidized and reduced forms of LA (Figure 2) reacts with reactive oxygen species and reactive nitrogen species, preventing damage due to oxidative stress in cells [2]. Many studies have proved its potential


therapy for chronic diseases associated with oxidative stress [3]. Because of its potent antioxidant properties, LA has been tested for its potential therapeutic effects in a variety of pathological conditions and used as an effective therapeutic agent in various diseases, including diabetes, mitochondrial cytopathies, cardiovascular diseases, hepatitis, cataract, radiation damage, HIV infections, also it plays a role in neurodegenerative disorders and neurovascular abnormalities associated with diabetic neuropathy and in delaying or inhibiting the development of neuropathy in diabetes [4-7].

Because of its extensive and multidisciplinary therapeutic use, a simple and accurate method for analysis of LA would be useful. Different analytical methods have been developed so far to determine the LA content in biological samples (tissue and plasma) various foodstuffs, both from animal and plant sources, in dietary supplements and in pharmaceutical preparations. Only few studies have been reported for the estimation of LA in the human body fluids in the minimum number of samples. New and rapid method should be developed to assay the LA in a large number of samples in various clinical stages to know the significance which should be economical and reproducible.

The methods so far reported by various studies include the use of the different extraction procedure to improve the recovery and of different



**Figure 1:** The chemical structure of LA (carbon 3 of the ring is the chiral center).



**Figure 2:** The oxidation and reduction structure of LA.

**\*Corresponding author:** Hemanth Kumar AK, Department of Biochemistry and Clinical Pharmacology, National Institute for Research in Tuberculosis (Indian Council of Medical Research), Mayor Sathiyamoorthy Road, Chetpet, Chennai 600031, Tamil Nadu, India, Tel: 91-44-28369650; Fax: 91-44-28362528; E-mail: [akhemanth20@gmail.com](mailto:akhemanth20@gmail.com)

Received September 22, 2014; Accepted October 28, 2014; Published October 31, 2014

**Citation:** Ezhilarasi K, Sudha V, Ramachandran G, Umapathy D, Rajaram R, et al. (2014) A Simple and Specific Method for Estimation of Lipoic Acid in Human Plasma by High Performance Liquid Chromatography. J Chromatograph Separat Techniq 5: 245. doi:10.4172/2157-7064.1000245

**Copyright:** © 2014 Ezhilarasi K, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

analytical techniques to improve the sensitivity of measurements. However, most of these methods faced limitations either in terms of recoveries or sensitivities. This required the development of a rapid and reliable method to determine LA with better sensitivity in human plasma. Among the different available forms, free alpha-LA is of more interest due to its more pharmacological and therapeutic function.

The microbial assay, which was the first one to be reported for the determination of LA in human serum suffered from reproducibility [8,9]. Methods using liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC–MS) [10,11] and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) have been reported; however, these methods are quite expensive and unaffordable for many laboratories [12-14].

High performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) is one of the most widely used analytical methods with different detection modes including ultraviolet [15], fluorescence [16,17] and electrochemical detection (ECD) [18-20]. Although HPLC with fluorescence detection was reliable for the determination of LA in biological fluids, the sample pre-treatment and intensive extraction involves derivatization which is tedious and cumbersome [12,16]. The HPLC method using an ECD with a dual gold-mercury electrode was found to be highly sensitive, but the electrodes lost sensitivity after 30–50 injections and had to be reconditioned [14,21].

However, there is still a need to improve the chromatographic conditions for better sensitivity, simple sample preparation and shorter run time. UV detector is a very common and primarily used detector for HPLC analysis. Since LA contains a carboxyl group it can be detected by using UV detector. Hence the study is aimed to develop and validate a simple, specific and reproducible method for quantitation of LA in human plasma by HPLC.

## Experimental

### Reagents and standards

Alpha-LA pure powder was purchased from Sigma Chemical Company, India; methanol, acetonitrile, chloroform, ethanol (HPLC grade), and disodium hydrogen phosphate ( $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$ ) were purchased from Qualigens India. Deionized water was processed through a Milli-Q water purification system (Millipore, USA). Pooled human plasma was obtained from a Blood Bank in Chennai, India.

### Instrumentation

Chromatographic analysis was performed using HPLC system (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) consisted of two pumps (LC-10ATvp) and an auto sampler (SIL-HTA) with built in system controller (SCL-10Avp). Class VP-LC workstation was used for data collection and acquisition. The analytical column was a C18, 250×4.6 mm ID, 5 $\mu$  particle size protected by a compatible guard column (Lichrospher 100 RP-18e, Merck, Germany). The mobile phase comprised of 50mM disodium hydrogen phosphate buffer (pH 2.7 adjusted with 1N HCl): acetonitrile: methanol in the ratio of 50:30:20. Prior to the preparation of mobile phase, the solvents were degassed separately using a Millipore vacuum pump with 0.45  $\mu$ m filter paper. Sonication was done for 10 min to remove dissolved gas. The UV detector was set at 201 nm. The chromatogram was run for 5 minutes at a flow rate of 1 ml/min, with column oven temperature maintained at 40°C. Unknown concentrations were derived from linear regression analysis of the peak height of the analyte vs. concentration curve. The linearity was verified using estimates of correlation coefficient (r).

### Preparation of standard solution

Stock standard solution of (1mg/ml) of LA was prepared by dissolving the pure powder in methanol. The working standards of LA were prepared in pooled human plasma. The concentrations of LA in the working standards were 0.78, 1.56, 3.125, 6.25, 12.5, 25 and 50  $\mu$ g/ml.

### Sample preparation

Three hundred microlitres of the blank/standard was mixed with 300  $\mu$ l of ethanol and 1.8 ml of chloroform, vortexed for 2 min and centrifuged for 5 min at 2500 rpm. Six hundred microlitres of the supernatant was separated and evaporated in nitrogen evaporator for 10 min. The dried residue was reconstituted with 100  $\mu$ l of the mobile phase and 50  $\mu$ l of the sample was directly injected into the HPLC column.

### Validation procedure

The accuracy and linearity of alpha-LA standards were evaluated by analyzing a set of standards ranging from 0.78 to 50  $\mu$ g/ml. Unknown concentrations were derived from linear regression analysis of the peak height of the analyte vs. concentration curve. The linearity was verified using estimates of correlation coefficient (r). Inter day and between intra day variations were determined by processing each standard concentration in duplicate for five consecutive days.

Precision studies were carried out on the basis of injection repeatability of spiked plasma samples. In order to evaluate the precision of the method, three different concentrations of alpha-LA were prepared in pooled plasma and analyzed in duplicate on three consecutive days.

For the recovery experiment, known concentrations of LA were prepared in pooled human plasma samples spiked with 0.78 and 3.125  $\mu$ g/ml of LA and assayed. The percentage of recovery was calculated by dividing sample differences with the added concentrations. Recovery experiments were carried out on three different occasions.

The sensitivity of the method was evaluated by quantifying the limits of quantification (LOQ) and detection (LOD) for alpha-LA. These values were estimated mathematically from the standard curve equations. The LOQ and LOD were obtained by multiplying the standard deviation (S.D.) of the Y-axis intercepts by 10 and 5 respectively.

## Results and Discussion

Several HPLC methods have been described to measure LA levels in human plasma. Some of them are quite complex and lengthy because of the extraction procedures involved in the sample preparation. Although a spectrophotometric method has been reported very recently, the sensitivity of that method is quite low and requires a large volume of sample [22].

This method was optimized under different chromatographic conditions in order to validate various parameters. Several methods, such as liquid-liquid extraction, deproteinization using solvents like chloroform, ethanol and acetonitrile for sample preparation have been used [6,11,23,24]. Among the various solvents used as mobile phase, 50 mM  $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$  (pH adjusted to 2.7): acetonitrile: methanol in the ratio of 50:30:20 produced a good resolution peak. While developing the method, the run time was kept at 8 min (Figure 3). For the selection of optimal flow rate, mobile phase was pumped at different flow rates in the range of 1–2 ml/min. Better separation of the target compound was achieved at 1 ml/min flow rate.




Figure 3: Blank plasma sample was run for 8 minutes.




Figure 4: Chromatograms of LA a) Blank b) 50µg/ml c) 0.78 µg/ml d) Healthy volunteer.

In the present study, simple extraction procedure with ethanol and chloroform was standardized which resulted in better recovery of the analyte obtained as compared in the other solvents used. However the solvent used in the study provide satisfactory extraction efficiency. Under these chromatographic conditions, sufficient resolution was obtained with a good resolution peak at a retention time of 3.5 min. Temperature is an important parameter that greatly affects the chromatographic analysis. To study its effect on the analysis of LA, column oven temperature variations were evaluated in the range of 25–45°C. The sensitivity and retention time of analyte were greatly influenced by column oven temperature. The chromatographic peak LA

was found to be sharp at 40°C and resolution of the peak decreased with increasing temperature. The UV detector was used in the range of 201–401 nm. The detector at which the analyte showed optimal response was selected as the optimized range for the determination of LA. The UV detector at 201 nm showed an optimal response and it was used for measurement of LA content. This was performed using photodiode array (PDA), though LA can be detected using UV detector, as the detection wavelength falls within the range of UV detector. The method was validated by evaluating the linearity, precision, recovery, LOD and LOQ, specificity and sensitivity. Complete separation of the target peak was achieved in 5min. Plasma LA was separated as a discrete peak at a




Figure 5: Calibration curve of alpha-LA.

| Standard concentration (µg/ml) | Inter day (n=5) Mean height ± SD (% CV) | Intra day (n=5) Mean height ± SD (% CV) |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| 0.78                           | 305.8 ± 32.78 (10.7)                    | 368 ± 39.76 (10.8)                      |
| 1.56                           | 564.8 ± 43.1 (7.6)                      | 725.2 ± 72.5 (9.9)                      |
| 3.125                          | 1587.6 ± 174.4 (10.9)                   | 1645.4 ± 177.7 (10.7)                   |
| 6.25                           | 3481.6 ± 299 (8.5)                      | 3535 ± 356.9 (10.0)                     |
| 12.5                           | 7747.2 ± 499.9 (6.4)                    | 7955.8 ± 321.5 (4.04)                   |
| 25                             | 15030.2 ± 855.7 (5.6)                   | 15537.8 ± 1049.5 (6.75)                 |
| 50                             | 34021.8 ± 1702 (5.0)                    | 32011.6 ± 1974.2 (6.16)                 |

All concentrations in µg/ml. SD- standard deviation, CV- coefficient of variation

Table 1: Linearity and reproducibility of plasma alpha-LA standards.

| Added (µg/ml) | Estimated (µg/ml) Mean ± SD | Recovery (%) |
|---------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Baseline      | 1.39 ± 0.18                 |              |
| 0.78          | 1.82 ± 0.26                 | 84%          |
| Baseline      | 5.86 ± 0.38                 |              |
| 3.125         | 10.12 ± 1.06                | 113%         |

All concentrations in µg/ml, SD- standard deviation

Table 2: Recovery of alpha-LA in plasma.

| Actual concentration (µg/ml) | Found concentration (µg/ml) Ratio ±SD (RSD %) |
|------------------------------|---|
| 50                           | 51.9 ± 0.55 (3.8)                             |
| 6.25                         | 5.87 ± 0.12 (6.08)                            |
| 0.78                         | 0.64 ± 0.02 (17.9)                            |

All concentrations in µg/ml, SD- standard deviation

Table 3: Precision for plasma alpha-LA assay.

retention time of 3.5min. Representative chromatograms of blank, 50 µg/ml, 0.78 µg/ml and one healthy volunteer sample are shown in the Figure 4 a, b, c and d.

The peak for LA was observed linear at different concentration. The calibration curve of LA standard solutions constructed using seven concentrations shows good linearity in the range 0.78 to 50.0 µg/ml as shown in Figure 5. A linear relationship was observed between peak heights and the concentrations over this range with a correlation coefficient (r) of 0.9998.

The linearity, reproducibility (inter-day and intra-day) of plasma LA data is presented in Table 1. The inter-day and intra-day co-efficient variation (CV %) for standards containing 0.78-50 µg/ml ranged from 6.4to 10.9% and 4.04 to 10.8% respectively. The mean % variation

from the actual concentrations was 100.7%. Furthermore, recovery of LA at two concentrations (0.78 and 3.125 µg/ml) were 84 and 113% respectively (Table 2). The inter-day and intra-day relative standard deviation (RSD) for standards containing 0.78 to 50 µg/ml ranged from 3.8 to 17.9% (Table 3). The LOD and LOQ values were 0.39 and 0.78 µg/ml respectively.

## Conclusions

In summary, the HPLC-UV method described for the estimation of LA in human plasma is simple, sensitive, reproducible and precise with a recovery of 113%. The assay is less laborious, economical and less time consuming than other reported methods previously. Plasma concentration of LA was determined in healthy volunteers (n=10), the mean plasma LA concentration with standard deviation was 0.4570 ± 0.26 µg/ml. The validated HPLC-UV method may be applied to the measurement of LA levels in clinical practice. Further the LA concentrations can be confirmed by LC-MS quantification; thereby this method may be applied in future studies with shorter run time thereby large number of samples can be quantitated.

## Acknowledgement

The authors thank Dr. Aleyamma Thomas and Dr. Soumya Swaminathan, of NIRT for their support and Dr. M. Parthiban and Dr. K. Satyavani of Prof M Viswanathan Diabetes Research Centre for their encouragement. The technical help rendered by Ms. V.V. Sandhya and Mr. S. Venkatesh is gratefully acknowledged.

## References

- Packer L, Witt EH, Tritschler HJ (1995) alpha-Lipoic acid as a biological antioxidant. *Free RadicBiol Med* 19: 227-250.
- Biewenga GP, Haenen GR, Bast A (1997) The pharmacology of the antioxidant lipoic acid. *Gen Pharmacol* 29: 315-331.
- Smith AR, Shenvi SV, Widlansky M, Suh JH, Hagen TM (2004) Lipoic acid as a potential therapy for chronic diseases associated with oxidative stress. *Curr Med Chem* 11: 1135-1146.
- BalkisBudin S, Othman F, Louis SR, Abu Bakar M, Radzi M, et al. (2009) Effect of alpha lipoic acid on oxidative stress and vascular wall of diabetic rats. *Rom J MorpholEmbryol* 50: 23-30.
- Abdin A, Sarhan NI (2011) Intervention of mitochondrial dysfunction- oxidative stress-dependent apoptosis as a possible neuroprotective mechanism of a-lipoic acid against rotenone- induced parkinsonism and L-dopa toxicity. *Neurosci Res* 71:387-395.
- Trivedi RK, Kalle RR, Mamidi RN, Mullangi R, Srinivas NR (2004) Determination of lipoic acid in rat plasma by LC-MS/MS with electrospray ionization: assay development, validation and application to a pharmacokinetic study. *Biomed Chromatogr* 18: 681-686.
- Jain SK, Lim G (2000) Lipoic acid decreases lipid peroxidation and protein glycosylation and increases (Na<sup>+</sup> + K<sup>+</sup>)- and Ca<sup>++</sup>-ATPase activities in high glucose-treated human erythrocytes. *Free RadicBiol Med* 29: 1122-1128.
- Shigeta Y, Hiraizumi G, Wada M, Oji K, Yoshida T (1961) Study on the serum level of thioctic acid in patients with various diseases. *J Vitamintol* 7: 48-52.
- Natraj CV, Gandhi VM, Menon KKG (1984)Lipoic acid and diabetes: Effect of dihydrolipoic acid administration in diabetic rats and rabbits. *J Biosci* 6: 37-46.
- Satoh S, Toyo'oka T, Fukushima T, Inagaki S (2007) Simultaneous determination of alpha-lipoic acid and its reduced form by high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. *J Chromatogr B AnalytTechnol Biomed Life Sci* 854: 109-115.
- Chen J, Jiang W, Cai J, Tao W, Gao X, et al. (2005) Quantification of lipoic acid in plasma by high-performance liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr B AnalytTechnol Biomed Life Sci* 824: 249-257.
- Durrani AI, Schwartz H, Schmid W, Sontag G (2007) alpha-Lipoic acid in dietary supplements: development and comparison of HPLC-CEAD and HPLC-ESI-MS methods. *J Pharm Biomed Anal* 45: 694-699.

13. Haj-Yehia AI, Assaf P, Nassar T, Katzhendler J (2000) Determination of lipoic acid and dihydrolipoic acid in human plasma and urine by high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorimetric detection. *J Chromatogr A* 870: 381-388.
14. Durrani AI, Schwartz H, Schmid W, Sontag G (2007) alpha-Lipoic acid in dietary supplements: development and comparison of HPLC-CEAD and HPLC-ESI-MS methods. *J Pharm Biomed Anal* 45: 694-699.
15. Aboul-Enein V, Hoenen H (2005) Validated Method for Determination of alpha-Lipoic Acid in Dietary Supplement Tablets by Reversed Phase Liquid Chromatography. *J Liq Chromatogr Relat Technol* 27: 3029-3038.
16. Witt W, Rüstow B (1998) Determination of lipoic acid by precolumn derivatization with monobromobimane and reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. *J Chromatogr B Biomed Sci Appl* 705: 127-131.
17. Witt W, Rüstow B (1998) Determination of lipoic acid by precolumn derivatization with monobromobimane and reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. *J Chromatogr B Biomed Sci Appl* 705: 127-131.
18. Inoue T, Sudo M, Yoshida H, Todoroki K, Nohta H, et al. (2009) Liquid chromatographic determination of polythiols based on pre-column excimer fluorescence derivatization and its application to alpha-lipoic acid analysis. *J Chromatogr A* 1216: 7564-7569.
19. Teichert J, Preiss R (2002) High-performance liquid chromatographic assay for alpha-lipoic acid and five of its metabolites in human plasma and urine. *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci* 769: 269-281.
20. Teichert J, Preiss R (1995) Determination of lipoic acid in human plasma by high-performance liquid chromatography with electrochemical detection. *J Chromatogr B Biomed Appl* 672: 277-281.
21. Sen CK, Roy S, Khanna S, Packer L (1999) Determination of oxidized and reduced lipoic acid using high-performance liquid chromatography and coulometric detection. *Methods Enzymol* 299: 239-246.
22. Han D, Handelman GJ, Packer L (1995) Analysis of reduced and oxidized lipoic acid in biological samples by high-performance liquid chromatography. *Methods Enzymol* 251: 315-325.
23. Deepthi K, Divakar TE, Bhanumurthy N, Pavankumar D (2012) Spectrophotometric methods for the determination of lipoic acid by using MBTH and ferric chloride. *IJERT* 7: 2278-2286.
24. Montero O, Ramírez M, Sánchez-Guijo A, González C (2012) Determination of lipoic acid, Trolox methyl ether and tocopherols in human plasma by liquid-chromatography and ion-trap tandem mass spectrometry. *Biomed Chromatogr* 26: 1228-1233.