



”Universal” inequalities for the eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator

Said Ilias, Ola Makhoul

► To cite this version:

Said Ilias, Ola Makhoul. ”Universal” inequalities for the eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator. Soumis (15 pages). 2009. <hal-00450666>

HAL Id: hal-00450666

<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00450666>

Submitted on 26 Jan 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

"UNIVERSAL" INEQUALITIES FOR THE EIGENVALUES OF THE BIHARMONIC OPERATOR

SAÏD ILIAS AND OLA MAKHOUL

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish universal inequalities for eigenvalues of the clamped plate problem on compact submanifolds of Euclidean spaces, of spheres and of real, complex and quaternionic projective spaces. We also prove similar results for the biharmonic operator on domains of Riemannian manifolds admitting spherical eigenmaps (this includes the compact homogeneous Riemannian spaces) and finally on domains of the hyperbolic space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension n and let Δ be the Laplacian operator on M .

In this paper, we will be concerned with the following eigenvalue problem for Dirichlet biharmonic operator, called the clamped plate problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in M , Δ^2 the biharmonic operator in M and ν is the outward unit normal. It is well known that the eigenvalues of this problem form a countable family $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \rightarrow +\infty$.

For the case when $M = \mathbb{R}^n$, in 1956, Payne, Polya and Weinberger [16] (henceforth PPW) established the following inequality, for each $k \geq 1$,

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq \frac{8(n+2)}{n^2 k} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i.$$

Implicit in the PPW work, as noticed by Ashbaugh in [1], is the better inequality

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq \frac{8(n+2)}{n^2 k^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2. \quad (1.2)$$

Date: 15 novembre 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35P15;58J50;58C40;58A10.

Key words and phrases. eigenvalues, biharmonic operator, Universal inequalities, submanifolds, eigenmap.

Later, in 1984, Hile and Yeh [12] extended ideas from earlier work on the Laplacian by Hile and Protter [11] and proved the better bound

$$\frac{n^2 k^{\frac{3}{2}}}{8(n+2)} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Implicit in their work is the stronger inequality

$$\frac{n^2 k^2}{8(n+2)} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \right),$$

which was proved independently by Hook [13] and Chen and Qian [3] in 1990 (see also [2], [4] and [5]).

In 2003, Cheng and Yang [9] obtained the following bound

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \leq \left[\frac{8(n+2)}{n^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^k \left[\lambda_i (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.3)$$

Very recently, Cheng, Ichikawa and Mametsuka [8] obtained an inequality for eigenvalues of Laplacian with any order l on a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n . In particular, they showed, for $l = 2$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{8(n+2)}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \lambda_i. \quad (1.4)$$

For the case when $M = \mathbb{S}^n$, Wang and Xia [17] showed

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 &\leq \frac{1}{n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \left(n^2 + (2n+4)\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \\ &\quad \left[\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left(n^2 + 4\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

from which they deduced, using a variant of Chebyshev inequality,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left(2(n+2)\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} + n^2 \right) \left(4\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} + n^2 \right). \quad (1.6)$$

This last inequality was also obtained, by a different method, by Cheng, Ichikawa and Mametsuka (see [7]).

On the other hand, Wang and Xia [17] also considered the problem (1.1) on domains of an n -dimensional complete minimal submanifold M of \mathbb{R}^m and proved in this case

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{8(n+2)}{n^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

from which they deduced the following generalization of inequality (1.4) to minimal Euclidean submanifolds

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{8(n+2)}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \lambda_i. \quad (1.8)$$

Recently, Cheng, Ichikawa and Mametsuka [6] extended this last inequality to any complete Riemannian submanifold M in \mathbb{R}^m and showed

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left(n^2 \delta + 2(n+2) \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left(n^2 \delta + 4 \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \right), \quad (1.9)$$

with $\delta = \text{Sup}_\Omega |H|^2$, where H is the mean curvature of M .

The goal of the first section of this article is to study the relation between eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator and the local geometry of Euclidean submanifolds M of arbitrary codimensions. The approach is based on an algebraic formula (see theorem 2.1) we proved in [14]. This approach is useful for the unification and for the generalization of all the results in the literature. In fact, using this general algebraic inequality, we obtain (see theorem 2.2) the following inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^k f(\lambda_i) \leq \frac{1}{n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k g(\lambda_i) \left(2(n+2) \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} + n^2 \delta \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i) (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} \left(4 \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} + n^2 \delta \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (1.10)$$

where f and g are two functions satisfying some functional conditions (see Definition 2.1), $\delta = \text{Sup}_\Omega |H|^2$ and H is the mean curvature of M . We note that the family of such couples of functions is large. And particular choices for f and g lead to the known results. For instance, if we take $f(x) = g(x) = (\lambda_{k+1} - x)^2$, then (1.10) becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \left(2(n+2) \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} + n^2 \delta \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left[\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left(4 \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} + n^2 \delta \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (1.11)$$

which gives easily (see remark 2.1) inequality (1.9) of Cheng, Ichikawa and Mametsuka.

In the second section, we consider the case of manifolds admitting spherical eigenmaps and obtain similar results. As a consequence, we obtain universal inequalities for the clamped plate problem on domains of any compact homogeneous Riemannian manifold.

In the last section, we show how one can easily obtain, from the algebraic techniques used in the previous sections, universal inequalities

for eigenvalues of (1.1) on domains of the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n .

We also observe that all our results hold if we add a potential to Δ^2 (i.e $\Delta^2 + q$ where q is a smooth potential). For instance, in this case instead of inequality (1.10), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^k f(\lambda_i) \leq \frac{1}{n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k g(\lambda_i) \left(2(n+2)\overline{\lambda}_i^{\frac{1}{2}} + n^2\delta \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} \left(4\overline{\lambda}_i^{\frac{1}{2}} + n^2\delta \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (1.12)$$

where $\overline{\lambda}_i = \lambda_i - \inf_{\Omega} q$.

Finally, note that the case of the clamped problem with weight :

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = \lambda \rho u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

can be easily treated with minor changes.

2. EUCLIDEAN SUBMANIFOLDS

Before stating the main result of this section, we introduce a family of couples of functions and a theorem obtained earlier in [14], which will play an essential role in the proofs of all our results.

Definition 2.1. *Let $\lambda > 0$. A couple (f, g) of functions defined on $]0, \lambda[$ belongs to \mathfrak{S}_λ provided that*

1. *f and g are positive,*
2. *f and g satisfy the following condition, for any $x, y \in]0, \lambda[$ such that $x \neq y$,*

$$\left(\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{(f(x))^2}{g(x)(\lambda - x)} + \frac{(f(y))^2}{g(y)(\lambda - y)} \right) \left(\frac{g(x) - g(y)}{x - y} \right) \leq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

A direct consequence of our definition is that g must be nonincreasing.

If we multiply f and g of \mathfrak{S}_λ by positive constants the resulting functions are also in \mathfrak{S}_λ . In the case where f and g are differentiable, one can easily deduce from (2.1) the following necessary condition:

$$\left[(\ln f(x))' \right]^2 \leq \frac{-2}{\lambda - x} (\ln g(x))'.$$

This last condition helps us to find many couples (f, g) satisfying the conditions 1) and 2) above. Among them, we mention $\left\{ \left(1, (\lambda - x)^\alpha \right) / \alpha \geq 0 \right\}$, $\left\{ \left((\lambda - x), (\lambda - x)^\beta \right) / \beta \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\}$, $\left\{ \left((\lambda - x)^\delta, (\lambda - x)^\delta \right) / 0 < \delta \leq 2 \right\}$.

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|$. For any two operators A and B , we denote by $[A, B]$ their commutator, defined by $[A, B] = AB - BA$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $A : \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a self-adjoint operator defined on a dense domain \mathcal{D} , which is semibounded below and has a discrete spectrum $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \dots$. Let $\{T_p : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\}_{p=1}^n$ be a collection of skew-symmetric operators, and $\{B_p : T_p(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\}_{p=1}^n$ be a collection of symmetric operators, leaving \mathcal{D} invariant. We denote by $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ a basis of orthonormal eigenvectors of A , u_i corresponding to λ_i . Let $k \geq 1$ and assume that $\lambda_{k+1} > \lambda_k$. Then, for any (f, g) in $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_{k+1}}$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p=1}^n f(\lambda_i) \langle [T_p, B_p] u_i, u_i \rangle \right)^2 \\ & \leq 4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p=1}^n g(\lambda_i) \langle [A, B_p] u_i, B_p u_i \rangle \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p=1}^n \frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} \|T_p u_i\|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Our first result is the following application of this inequality to the eigenvalues of the clamped plate problem (1.1) on a domain of a Euclidean submanifold :

Theorem 2.2. *Let $X : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be an isometric immersion of an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold M in \mathbb{R}^m . Let Ω be a bounded domain of M and consider the clamped plate problem (1.1) on it. For any $k \geq 1$ such that $\lambda_{k+1} > \lambda_k$ and for any (f, g) in $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_{k+1}}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k f(\lambda_i) & \leq \frac{2}{n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k g(\lambda_i) \left(2(n+2)\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} + n^2\delta \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \\ & \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} \left(\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{n^2}{4}\delta \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $\delta = \sup_{\Omega} |H|^2$ and H is the mean curvature vector field of the immersion X (i.e which is given by $\frac{1}{n} \text{trace } h$, where h is the second fundamental form of X).

Proof. To prove this theorem, we apply inequality (2.2) of Theorem 2.1 with $A = \Delta^2$, $B_p = X_p$ and $T_p = [\Delta, X_p]$, $p = 1, \dots, m$, where X_1, \dots, X_m are the components of the immersion X . This gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p=1}^m f(\lambda_i) \langle [[\Delta, X_p], X_p] u_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} \right)^2 \\ & \leq 4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p=1}^m g(\lambda_i) \langle [\Delta^2, X_p] u_i, X_p u_i \rangle_{L^2} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} \|[\Delta, X_p] u_i\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where u_i are the L^2 -normalized eigenfunctions. First we have, for any $p = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\Delta^2, X_p]u_i &= \Delta^2 X_p u_i + 2\nabla \Delta X_p \cdot \nabla u_i + 2\Delta(\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i) \\ &\quad + 2\Delta X_p \Delta u_i + 2\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla \Delta u_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [\Delta^2, X_p]u_i, X_p u_i \rangle_{L^2} &= \int_{\Omega} u_i^2 X_p \Delta^2 X_p + 2 \int_{\Omega} X_p u_i \nabla \Delta X_p \cdot \nabla u_i \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\Omega} X_p u_i \Delta(\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i) + 2 \int_{\Omega} X_p u_i \Delta X_p \Delta u_i \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\Omega} X_p u_i \nabla X_p \cdot \nabla \Delta u_i \tag{2.5} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \Delta X_p \Delta(X_p u_i^2) - 2 \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(X_p u_i \nabla u_i) \Delta X_p \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta(X_p u_i) \nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i + 2 \int_{\Omega} X_p \Delta X_p u_i \Delta u_i \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(X_p u_i \nabla X_p) \Delta u_i. \tag{2.6} \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [\Delta^2, X_p]u_i, X_p u_i \rangle_{L^2} &= 4 \int_{\Omega} u_i \Delta X_p \nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i + \int_{\Omega} (\Delta X_p)^2 u_i^2 \\ &\quad + 4 \int_{\Omega} (\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i)^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla X_p|^2 u_i \Delta u_i. \tag{2.7} \end{aligned}$$

Since X is an isometric immersion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} nH &= (\Delta X_1, \dots, \Delta X_m), \quad \sum_{p=1}^m u_i \Delta X_p \nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i = 0 \\ &\quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{p=1}^m (\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i)^2 = |\nabla u_i|^2. \tag{2.8} \end{aligned}$$

Incorporating these identities in (2.7) and summing on p , from 1 to m , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=1}^m \langle [\Delta^2, X_p]u_i, X_p u_i \rangle_{L^2} &= 4 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2 - 2n \int_{\Omega} u_i \Delta u_i + n^2 \int_{\Omega} |H|^2 u_i^2 \\ &= 2(n+2) \int_{\Omega} u_i (-\Delta u_i) + n^2 \int_{\Omega} |H|^2 u_i^2 \\ &\leq 2(n+2) \left[\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{\Omega} u_i^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + n^2 \int_{\Omega} |H|^2 u_i^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 2(n+2) \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} + n^2 \int_{\Omega} |H|^2 u_i^2 \\ &\leq 2(n+2) \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} + n^2 \delta, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to obtain (2.9) and where $\delta = \sup_{\Omega} |H|^2$.

On the other hand, we have

$$[\Delta, X_p]u_i = 2\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i + u_i \Delta X_p,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=1}^m \| [\Delta, X_p]u_i \|_{L^2}^2 &= \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \left(2\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i + u_i \Delta X_p \right)^2 \\ &= 4 \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i \right)^2 + 4 \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} u_i \Delta X_p \nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} (\Delta X_p)^2 u_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using identities (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=1}^m \| [\Delta, X_p]u_i \|_{L^2}^2 &= 4 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2 + n^2 \int_{\Omega} |H|^2 u_i^2 \\ &= 4 \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i) \cdot u_i + n^2 \int_{\Omega} |H|^2 u_i^2 \\ &\leq 4 \left[\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{\Omega} u_i^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + n^2 \delta \\ &= 4 \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} + n^2 \delta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

A direct calculation gives

$$\langle [[\Delta, X_p], X_p]u_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} = \int_{\Omega} \left(\Delta(X_p^2 u_i) - 2X_p \Delta(X_p u_i) + X_p^2 \Delta u_i \right) u_i = 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla X_p|^2 u_i^2.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{p=1}^m \langle [[\Delta, X_p], X_p] u_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} = 2 \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} |\nabla X_p|^2 u_i^2 = 2n. \quad (2.12)$$

To conclude, we simply use the estimates (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) together with inequality (2.4). \square

Remark 2.1. • *As indicated in the end of the introduction, Theorem 2.2 holds for a general operator $\Delta^2 + q$, where q is a smooth potential. Indeed, this is an immediate consequence of the fact that $[\Delta^2 + q, X_p] = [\Delta^2, X_p]$ and all the proof of Theorem 2.2 works in this situation. The only modification is in the estimation of the term $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2$. In fact, in this case, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2 &\leq \left[\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{\Omega} u_i^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left[\lambda_i - \int_{\Omega} q u_i^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\overline{\lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{\lambda_i} = \lambda_i - \inf_{\Omega} q$.

Taking into account this modification in inequalities (2.9) and (2.11), we obtain inequality (1.12).

- If $f(x) = g(x) = (\lambda_{k+1} - x)^2$, then inequality (2.3) extends inequality (1.7) of Wang and Xia to any Riemannian submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^m . We also observe that, by using a Chebyshev inequality (for instance the one of Lemma 1 in [8]), inequality (1.9) of Cheng, Ishikawa and Mametsuka can be easily deduced from inequality (2.3).
- If $f(x) = g(x)^2 = (\lambda_{k+1} - x)$, then inequality (2.3) generalizes inequality (1.3) of Cheng and Yang to the case of Euclidean submanifolds.

Using the standard embeddings of the rank one compact symmetric spaces in a Euclidean space (see for instance Lemma 3.1 in [10] for the values of $|H|^2$ of these embeddings), we can extend easily the previous theorem to domains or submanifolds of these symmetric spaces and obtain

Theorem 2.3. *Let \bar{M} be the sphere \mathbb{S}^m , the real projective space $\mathbb{R}P^m$, the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^m$ or the quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{Q}P^m$ endowed with their respective metrics. Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension n and let $X : M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ be an isometric immersion of mean curvature H . Consider the clamped plate problem on a bounded domain Ω of M . For any $k \geq 1$ such that*

$\lambda_{k+1} > \lambda_k$ and for any $(f, g) \in \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_{k+1}}$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^k f(\lambda_i) \leq \frac{2}{n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k g(\lambda_i) \left[2(n+2)\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} + n^2\delta' \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} \left[\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{n^2}{4}\delta' \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$\delta' = \sup(|H|^2 + 1) \text{ if } \bar{M} = \mathbb{S}^m, \\ \delta' = \sup(|H|^2 + d(n)), \text{ where } d(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{2(n+1)}{n} & \text{if } \bar{M} = \mathbb{R}P^m, \\ \frac{2(n+2)}{n} & \text{if } \bar{M} = \mathbb{C}P^m, \\ \frac{2(n+4)}{n} & \text{if } \bar{M} = \mathbb{Q}P^m. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.2. • We observe (as in Remark 3.2 of [10]) that in some special geometrical situations, the constant $d(n)$ in the inequality of Theorem 2.3 can be replaced by a sharper one. For instance, when $\bar{M} = \mathbb{C}P^m$ and

- M is odd-dimensional, then one can replace $d(n)$ by $d'(n) = \frac{2}{n}(n+2 - \frac{1}{n})$,
- $X(M)$ is totally real, then $d(n)$ can be replaced by $d'(n) = \frac{2(n+1)}{n}$.
- When $f(x) = g(x) = (\lambda_{k+1} - x)^2$, and \bar{M} is a sphere, (2.13) generalizes to submanifolds inequality (1.5) established by Wang and Xia for spherical domains.
- As for Theorem 2.2, the result of Theorem 2.3 holds for a more general operator $\Delta^2 + q$, with the same modification (i.e. $\bar{\lambda}_i^{\frac{1}{2}}$ instead of $\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}}$).

3. MANIFOLDS ADMITTING SPHERICAL EIGENMAPS

In this section, as before, we let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and Ω be a bounded domain of M . A map $X : (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ is called an eigenmap if its components X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m are all eigenfunctions associated to the same eigenvalue λ of the Laplacian of (M, g) . This is equivalent to say that the map X is a harmonic map from (M, g) into \mathbb{S}^{m-1} with constant energy λ (i.e. $\sum_{p=1}^m |\nabla X_p|^2 = \lambda$). The most important examples of such manifolds M are the compact homogeneous Riemannian manifolds. In fact, they admit eigenmaps for all the positive eigenvalues of their Laplacian (see [15]).

Theorem 3.1. *Let λ be an eigenvalue of the Laplacian of (M, g) and suppose that (M, g) admits an eigenmap X associated to this eigenvalue λ . Let Ω be a bounded domain of M and consider the clamped plate*

problem (1.1) on it. For any $k \geq 1$ such that $\lambda_{k+1} > \lambda_k$ and for any $(f, g) \in \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_{k+1}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^k f(\lambda_i) \\ & \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^k g(\lambda_i) \left(\lambda + 6\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} \left(\lambda + 4\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we apply Theorem 2.1 with $A = \Delta^2$, $B_p = X_p$ and $T_p = [\Delta, X_p]$, $p = 1, \dots, m$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p=1}^m f(\lambda_i) \langle [[\Delta, X_p], X_p] u_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} \right)^2 \\ & \leq 4 \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p=1}^m g(\lambda_i) \langle [\Delta^2, X_p] u_i, X_p u_i \rangle_{L^2} \right] \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} \| [\Delta, X_p] u_i \|_{L^2}^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a complete L^2 -orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of Δ^2 associated to $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. As before, we have

$$\sum_{p=1}^m \langle [[\Delta, X_p], X_p] u_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} = 2 \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} |\nabla X_p|^2 u_i^2.$$

Since

$$\sum_{p=1}^m |\nabla X_p|^2 = \lambda, \quad \sum_{p=1}^m X_p^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta X_p = -\lambda X_p, \quad (3.3)$$

we have

$$\sum_{p=1}^m \langle [[\Delta, X_p], X_p] u_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} = 2\lambda \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{p=1}^m \|\Delta X_p u_i\|_{L^2}^2 &= \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \left([\Delta, X_p] u_i \right)^2 \quad (3.5) \\
 &= 4 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{p=1}^m (\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i)^2 + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{p=1}^m (\Delta X_p)^2 u_i^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{p=1}^m u_i \Delta X_p \nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i \\
 &\leq 4 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{p=1}^m |\nabla X_p|^2 |\nabla u_i|^2 + \lambda^2 \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{p=1}^m X_p^2 \right) u_i^2 - 2\lambda \int_{\Omega} u_i \nabla \left(\sum_{p=1}^m X_p^2 \right) \cdot \nabla u_i \quad (3.6)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 4\lambda \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i) u_i + \lambda^2 \\
 &\leq 4\lambda \left(\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda^2 \quad (3.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= 4\lambda \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda^2, \quad (3.8)$$

where we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to obtain (3.6) and (3.7). Similarly we infer, from identities (2.7) and (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{p=1}^m \langle [\Delta^2, X_p] u_i, X_p u_i \rangle_{L^2} &= \lambda^2 \int_{\Omega} u_i^2 - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left(\sum_{p=1}^m X_p^2 \right) \cdot \nabla u_i^2 \\
 &\quad + 4 \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} (\nabla X_p \cdot \nabla u_i)^2 + 2\lambda \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i) u_i \\
 &\leq \lambda^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{p=1}^m |\nabla X_p|^2 |\nabla u_i|^2 + 2\lambda \left(\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq \lambda^2 + 4\lambda \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2\lambda \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &= \lambda^2 + 6\lambda \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.9)
 \end{aligned}$$

Incorporating (3.4), (3.8) and (3.9) in inequality (3.2), we get the statement of the theorem. \square

An immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 is the following

Corollary 3.1. *Let (M, g) be a compact homogeneous Riemannian manifold without boundary and let λ_1 be the first non zero eigenvalue of its Laplacian. Then inequality of Theorem 3.1 holds with $\lambda = \lambda_1$.*

Remark 3.1. *As before, one can get a similar result for the operator $\Delta^2 + q$.*

4. DOMAINS IN THE HYPERBOLIC SPACE

We turn next to the case of a domain Ω of a hyperbolic space. It is easy to establish a universal inequality for eigenvalues of the clamped

plate problem (1.1) on Ω in the vein of the preceding ones. Unfortunately, until now we have not succeeded in obtaining a simple generalization for the case of domains of hyperbolic submanifolds. In what follows, we take the half-space model for \mathbb{H}^n i.e

$$\mathbb{H}^n = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n; x_n > 0\}$$

with the standard metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + \dots + dx_n^2}{x_n^2}.$$

We note that in terms of the coordinates $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$, the Laplacian of \mathbb{H}^n is given by

$$\Delta = x_n^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_j} + (2-n)x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}.$$

Theorem 4.1. *For any $k \geq 1$ such that $\lambda_{k+1} > \lambda_k$, the eigenvalues λ_i 's of the clamped problem (1.1) on the bounded domain Ω of \mathbb{H}^n must satisfy, for any $(f, g) \in \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_{k+1}}$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k f(\lambda_i) \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^k g(\lambda_i) \left(6\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} - (n-1)^2 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} \right) \left(4\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} - (n-1)^2 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. Theorem 2.1 remains valid for $A = \Delta^2$, $B_p = F = \ln x_n$ and $T_p = [\Delta, F]$, for all $p = 1, \dots, n$. Thus, denoting by u_i the eigenfunction corresponding to λ_i , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{i=1}^k f(\lambda_i) \langle [[\Delta, F], F]u_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} \right)^2 \\ & \leq 4 \left[\sum_{i=1}^k g(\lambda_i) \langle [\Delta^2, F]u_i, Fu_i \rangle_{L^2} \right] \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{(f(\lambda_i))^2}{g(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)} \right) \| [\Delta, F]u_i \|_{L^2}^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Let us start by the calculation of

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [[\Delta, F], F]u_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} &= \int_{\Omega} \left([\Delta, F](Fu_i) - F[\Delta, F]u_i \right) u_i \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\Delta(F^2u_i) - 2F\Delta(Fu_i) + F^2\Delta u_i \right) u_i. \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\Delta F = 1 - n \text{ and } |\nabla F|^2 = 1. \quad (4.3)$$

Thus a direct calculation gives

$$\langle [[\Delta, F], F]u_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} = 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla F|^2 u_i^2 = 2. \quad (4.4)$$

On the other hand, using again identities (4.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\Delta, F]u_i\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\Omega} (\Delta F u_i + 2\nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i)^2 \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\Delta F)^2 u_i^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} (\nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i)^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} \Delta F u_i \nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

$$= (1-n)^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} (\nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i)^2 + 4(1-n) \int_{\Omega} u_i \nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i. \quad (4.6)$$

But

$$\int_{\Omega} u_i \nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i = - \int_{\Omega} u_i \nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i - \int_{\Omega} u_i^2 \Delta F,$$

hence

$$\int_{\Omega} u_i \nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i = \frac{n-1}{2}. \quad (4.7)$$

Then we infer, from (4.3), (4.6) and (4.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\Delta, F]u_i\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq - (n-1)^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla F|^2 |\nabla u_i|^2 \\ &= - (n-1)^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2 \\ &= - (n-1)^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} u_i (-\Delta u_i) \\ &\leq - (n-1)^2 + 4 \left(\int_{\Omega} u_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

$$= 4\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} - (n-1)^2 \quad (4.9)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} [\Delta^2, F]u_i &= \Delta^2(Fu_i) - F\Delta^2 u_i \\ &= \Delta(\Delta F u_i + 2\nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i + F\Delta u_i) - F\Delta^2 u_i \\ &= 2(1-n)\Delta u_i + 2\Delta(\nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i) + 2\nabla F \cdot \nabla \Delta u_i, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle [\Delta^2, F]u_i, Fu_i \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= 2(1-n) \int_{\Omega} Fu_i \Delta u_i + 2 \int_{\Omega} Fu_i \Delta(\nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i) + 2 \int_{\Omega} Fu_i \nabla F \cdot \nabla \Delta u_i \\ &= 2(1-n) \int_{\Omega} Fu_i \Delta u_i + 2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta(Fu_i) \nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i - 2 \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(Fu_i \nabla F) \Delta u_i \\ &= 2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta F u_i \nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i + 4 \int_{\Omega} (\nabla F \cdot \nabla u_i)^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla F|^2 u_i \Delta u_i. \end{aligned}$$

We infer, from (4.3) and (4.7),

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle [\Delta^2, F]u_i, Fu_i \rangle_{L^2} &\leq - (n-1)^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla F|^2 |\nabla u_i|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} u_i (-\Delta u_i) \\
&= - (n-1)^2 + 6 \int_{\Omega} u_i (-\Delta u_i) \\
&\leq 6 \left(\int_{\Omega} u_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_i)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (n-1)^2 \\
&= 6\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} - (n-1)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Inequality (4.2) along with (4.4), (4.9) and (4.11) gives the statement of the theorem. \square

Remark 4.1. • *It will be interesting to look for an extension of Theorem 4.1 to domains of hyperbolic submanifolds.*

- *Note that our method works for any bounded domain Ω of a Riemannian manifold admitting a function such that $|\nabla h|$ is constant and $|\Delta h| \leq C$, where C is a constant.*
- *As before, we observe that we have the same statement as in Theorem 4.1 for the operator $\Delta^2 + q$ (it suffices to replace $\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by $\overline{\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}}}$).*

REFERENCES

- [1] M. S. Ashbaugh. Isoperimetric and universal inequalities for eigenvalues. In *Spectral theory and geometry (Edinburgh, 1998)*, volume 273 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 95–139. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] Z. Chen and C. Qian. Hile-Yeh estimates of eigenvalues of polyharmonic operators. *Acta Math. Sinica (N.S.)*, 9(4):432–437, 1993. A Chinese summary appears in *Acta Math. Sinica* **37** (1994), no. 5, 719.
- [3] Z. C. Chen and C. L. Qian. Estimates for discrete spectrum of the laplacian operator with any order. *J. China Univ. Sci. Tech.*, 20:259–266, 1990.
- [4] Z. C. Chen and C. L. Qian. On the difference of consecutive eigenvalues of uniformly elliptic operators of higher orders. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B*, 14(4):435–442, 1993. A Chinese summary appears in *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A* **14** (1993), no. 6, 740.
- [5] Z. C. Chen and C. L. Qian. On the upper bound of eigenvalues for elliptic equations with higher orders. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 186(3):821–834, 1994.
- [6] Q.-M. Cheng, T. Ichikawa, and S. Mametsuka. Estimates for eigenvalues of a clamped plate problem on riemannian manifolds, preprint 2009.
- [7] Q.-M. Cheng, T. Ichikawa, and S. Mametsuka. Estimates for eigenvalues of the poly-laplacian with any order in a unit sphere, preprint 2009.
- [8] Q.-M. Cheng, T. Ichikawa, and S. Mametsuka. Inequalities for eigenvalues of laplacian with any order, preprint 2009.
- [9] Q.-M. Cheng and H.C. Yang. Inequalities for eigenvalues of a clamped plate problem. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 358(6):2625–2635 (electronic), 2006.

- [10] A. El Soufi, E.M. Harrell II, and S. Ilias. Universal inequalities for the eigenvalues of laplace and schrodinger operators on submanifolds. *arXiv, to appear in Transactions of the AMS*.
- [11] G. N. Hile and M. H. Protter. Inequalities for eigenvalues of the Laplacian. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 29(4):523–538, 1980.
- [12] G. N. Hile and R. Z. Yeh. Inequalities for eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator. *Pacific J. Math.*, 112(1):115–133, 1984.
- [13] S. M. Hook. Domain-independent upper bounds for eigenvalues of elliptic operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 318(2):615–642, 1990.
- [14] S. Ilias and O. Makhoul. Universal inequalities for the eigenvalues of a power of the laplace operator, to appear in *manuscripta mathematica*.
- [15] P. Li. Eigenvalue estimates on homogeneous manifolds. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 55(3):347–363, 1980.
- [16] L. E. Payne, G. Pólya, and H. F. Weinberger. On the ratio of consecutive eigenvalues. *J. Math. Phys.*, 35:289–298, 1956.
- [17] Q. Wang and C. Xia. Universal bounds for eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator on riemannian manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 245:334–352, 2007.

S. ILIAS, O. MAKHOUL: UNIVERSITÉ FRANÇOIS RABELAIS DE TOURS, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET PHYSIQUE THÉORIQUE, UMR-CNRS 6083, PARC DE GRANDMONT, 37200 TOURS, FRANCE

E-mail address: `ilias@univ-tours.fr`, `ola.makhoul@lmpt.univ-tours.fr`