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#### Abstract

We prove that the solution-map $u_{0} \mapsto u$ associated with the KdV equation cannot be continuously extended in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s<-1$. The main ingredients are the well-known Kato smoothing effect for the $m K d V$ equation as well as the Miura transform.


## 1 Statement of the result

The KdV equation is a canonical dispersive equation that reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{t}+v_{x x x}-6 v v_{x}=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u(t, x)$ is a real valued function. In the end of the sixties, Miura discovered that the KdV equation is related to the defocussing mKdV equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}+u_{x x x}-6 u^{2} u_{x}=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the now so-called Miura transformation. Indeed, the Miura transformation $\Phi: u \mapsto u_{x}+u^{2}$ maps a smooth solution of the defocussing mKdV to a solution of the real-valued KdV equation

The Cauchy problem, in Sobolev spaces, associated with these equations has been extensively studied since the end of the eighties (see for instance [7], [1], [《] and references herein). The lowest Sobolev index reached for the well-posedness theory is $s_{u}(K d V)=-3 / 4$ for the KdV equation (cf. [10] or [4]) and $s_{u}(m K d V)=1 / 4$ for the $m K d V$ equations (cf. [7]). Note that the difference of one derivative between these two results agrees with the lost of one derivative in the Miura transformation. These indexes have been proved to be optimal if one requires moreover the solution-map $u_{0} \mapsto u$ to be
uniformly continuous on bounded set from $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ into $C\left([0, T] ; H^{s}(\mathbb{R})\right.$ ) (cf. [9], [2]). However, one may expect that the solution-map associated with these equations can be continuously extended below these indexes especially since they are far above the critical Sobolev indexes for the dilation symmetry that are respectively $s_{d}(K d V)=-3 / 2$ and $s_{d}(m K d V)=-1 / 2$. It is worth emphasizing that such results have been proved in the periodic case by Kappeler and Topalov (cf. [6], [5]). More precisely, they proved that the solution-maps associated to the KdV and $m \mathrm{KdV}$ equations can be uniquely continuously extended in respectively $H^{-1}(\mathbb{T})$ and $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$. Note that, in the periodic setting, these solution-maps are known to be non uniformly continuous on bounded sets of $H^{s}(\mathbb{T})$ for respectively $s<-1 / 2$ and $s<1 / 2$.

In this note we combine the Miura transforms and the so-called local Kato smoothing effect to prove that the solution-map associated with the KdV equation cannot be continuously extended in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s<-1$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $s<-1$ be given. For any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $h_{\varepsilon} \in$ $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\left\|h_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}}=\varepsilon$ such that, for any $T>0$, the solution-map $u_{0} \mapsto$ $u$ associated with the $K d V$ equation is discontinuous at $h_{\varepsilon}$ from $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ equipped with the $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ topology into $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(] 0, T[\times \mathbb{R})$.

Remark 1.1. Let $B_{H^{-1}}(0, R)$ be the ball of $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ centered at the origin with radius $R$. Actually we also prove that there exits no $R>0$ and no $T>0$ such that the flow-map $u_{0} \mapsto u$ associated with the $K d V$ equation is continuous from $B_{H^{-1}}(0, R) \cap H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, equipped with the weak topology of $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$, into $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(] 0, T[\times \mathbb{R})$.

## 2 Proof.

The idea of the proof is the following: On one hand the Miura transformation is discontinuous in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ as soon as $s<0$ so that we can find a sequence of initial data, bounded in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, that converges to 0 in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ but which Miura transform converges to some non identically vanishing element $\theta$ of $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. On the other hand, using the Kato smoothing effect, we can prove that the sequence of associated solutions $\left\{u_{N}\right\}$ to the mKdV equation converges, up to a subsequence, to some solution $u$ of the mKdV equation that belongs to $C_{w}\left(\mathbb{R} ; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and such that $u(0)=0$. This forces the sequence of associated Miura transform $\left\{\Phi\left(u_{N_{k}}\right)\right\}$ to converge towards $\Phi(u)$ in some sense. This yields the result since we can prove that $\{\Phi(u)\}$ is not
the classical solution of the KdV equation emanating from $\theta$.
Step 1- Choice of the sequence of initial data - Let $\left\{h_{N}\right\} \subset H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be the sequence defined by

$$
\hat{h}_{N}(\xi)=\chi_{[N, N+1]}(|\xi|) .
$$

Clearly $\left\{h_{N}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. It tends to 0 in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for any $s<0$ and weakly in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Let us now fix $0<\varepsilon<1$ and consider the sequence of initial data $\left\{\varepsilon h_{N}\right\}$. Straightforward calculations lead to

$$
\Phi\left(\varepsilon h_{N}\right)=\varepsilon^{2} \theta+\varepsilon h_{N}^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{2} \alpha_{N}
$$

where

$$
\hat{\theta}(\xi)=(1-|\xi|) \chi_{[0,1]}(|\xi|) \quad\left(\text { i.e. } \theta(x)=\frac{2}{x^{2}}(1-\cos (x)), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}\right)
$$

and

$$
\hat{\alpha}_{N}(\xi)=(1-||\xi|-2 N-1|) \chi_{[0,1]}(| | \xi|-2 N-1|)
$$

Clearly $\theta \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|\theta\|_{L^{2}} \sim 1, \alpha_{N} \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $h_{N}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s<-1$. In particular, for any $s<-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(\varepsilon h_{N}\right) \rightarrow \varepsilon^{2} \theta \text { in } H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \text { as } N \rightarrow+\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2 - Uniform bounds on the sequence of emanating solutions - Since $h_{N} \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, it follows from classical well-posedness results for mKdV (see for instance [3]) that the solution $u_{N}$ of (1.2) emanating from $\varepsilon h_{N}$ exists for all times and belongs to $C\left(\mathbb{R} ; H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Moreover, it is well known that the $L^{2}$-norm of the solution is a constant of the motion and that the so-called Kato smoothing effect holds. For sake of completeness we give hereafter a version of this smoothing effect that is suitable for our purpose (see for instance [3] for a general setting) and use it to prove some uniform continuity result.

Lemma 2.1. Let $u_{0} \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then for any $T>0$ and $R>0$ there exists $C(T, R)>0$ such that the emanating solution of (1.2) safisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-T}^{T} \int_{R}^{-R}\left|\partial_{x} u(t, x)\right|^{2} d x d t \leq C(R, T)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for any function $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with compact support in $]-R, R[$ and any interval $[t, t+\delta] \subset]-T, T[$ with $0<\delta<1$, there exists $C(\varphi, T, R)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi u(t+\delta) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi u(t) d x\right| \leq C(\varphi, R, T) \delta^{1 / 2}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{3}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\phi \equiv 1$ on $[-1,1], 0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ on $[-2,2]$ and $\operatorname{Supp} \phi \subset]-2,2\left[\right.$. For $R>1$ we set $h^{\prime}(x):=\phi(x / R)$ and $h(x):=$ $\int_{-\infty}^{x} h^{\prime}(t) d t$. Integrating (1.2) against $h u$ one obtains

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h u^{2}+3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} h^{\prime}\left(u_{x}\right)^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}} h^{\prime \prime \prime} u^{2}+3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} h^{\prime} u^{4}=0
$$

Since the $L^{2}$-norm is conserved by the flow of (1.2), we get

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h u^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}} h^{\prime}\left(u_{x}\right)^{2} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
$$

Integrating this inequality in $(-T, T)$ and using the properties of $h^{\prime}$, we thus infer that

$$
\left|\int_{-T}^{T} \int_{-R}^{R}\left(u_{x}\right)^{2}\right| \leq\left|\int_{-T}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h^{\prime}\left(u_{x}\right)^{2}\right| \leq C(T+R)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
$$

This completes the proof of (2.2). To prove (2.3) we integrate (1.2) against $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, compactly supported in $]-R, R[$, to get

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi u-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{x x x} u+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^{\prime} u^{3}=0
$$

Integrating this identity on $[t, t+\delta] \subset(-T, T)$ and using Hölder inequality in space, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi u(t+\delta) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi u(t) d x\right| \leq C(\varphi, T) \int_{t}^{t+\delta}\left[\left(\int_{-R}^{R} u^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\int_{-R}^{R}|u|^{6} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, interpolating between (2.2) and the conservation of the $L^{2}$-norm, we infer by Sobolev inequality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-T}^{T} \int_{-R}^{R}|u|^{6} d x d t \leq C(T, R)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{6} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.3) then follows from (2.4) and (2.5) by applying Hölder inequality in time.

Step 3 - Convergence results and properties of the limit - From the above lemma we deduce the following convergence result :

Proposition 2.1. There exists a subsequence $\left\{u_{N_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{N}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{N_{k}} \rightarrow u & \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{2},  \tag{2.6}\\
u_{N_{k}} \rightharpoonup u & \text { weakly star in } L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} ; L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right),  \tag{2.7}\\
u_{N_{k}}^{2} \rightharpoonup u^{2} & \text { weakly star in } L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} ; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\right), \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u \in C_{w}\left(\mathbb{R} ; L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} ; H_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\right)$ satisfies the $m K d V$ equation in the distributional sense with $u(0)=0$.
Proof. We first notice that, by the conservation of the $L^{2}$-norm, $\left\{u_{N}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} ; L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right.$ ). Second, from (2.2) in Lemma 2.1, $\left\{\partial_{x} u_{N}\right\}$ is bounded in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Third, by the equation, $\left\{\partial_{t} u_{N}\right\}$ is bounded in $L_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} ; H_{\text {loc }}^{-2}\right)$. It thus follows from Aubin-Lions compactness theorem that there exist $u \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} ; L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap L_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} ; H_{\text {loc }}^{1}\right)$ and an increasing sequence of integers $\left\{N_{k}\right\}$ such that (2.6)-(2.8) hold. Now, using (2.6), we can pass to the limit on the equation to infer that $u$ satisfies the mKdV equation in the distributional sense. Moreover, it follows from (2.3) that, for any fixed $T>0$ and any fixed $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, the sequence of functions $\left\{t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi u_{N_{k}}(t) d x\right\}_{k \geq 0}$ is uniformly equi-continuous on $[-T, T]$ and thus converges in $C(-T, T)$ towards some continuous function $w$ thanks to Ascoli's theorem. (2.6) then ensures that $w=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi u(t) d x$ which proves that $u \in C_{w}\left(\mathbb{R} ; L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Finally, since $u_{N}(0)=\varepsilon h_{N} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ we infer that $u(0)=0$.

Proposition 2.2. The sequence of solutions $\left\{\Phi\left(u_{N_{k}}\right)\right\}$ of the $K d V$ equation converges weakly star in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} ; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ towards $\Phi(u)$ that satisfies : For all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \Phi(u(t, x)) d x$ is, up to modifications on a set of measure zero, a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$ with value zero at the origin.

Proof. First from (2.7)-(2.8) we infer that, for any $T>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(u_{N_{k}}\right) \rightharpoonup \Phi(u) \text { weakly star in } L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} ; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus in $D^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Now, to prove the remaining of the statement, we use an exterior regularization by a mollifier sequence $\left\{\rho_{m}\right\}$ where

$$
\rho_{m}(x)=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(y) d y\right)^{-1} m \rho(m x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, n \geq 1,
$$

and $\rho \not \equiv 0$ is a non negative $C^{\infty}$ function compactly supported in $]-1,1[$. We set $u_{m}=\rho_{m} \star u$. Since $u \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} ; L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} ; H_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\right)$ and satisfies the mKdV equation in the distributional sense, one can easily check that $u_{t} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} ; H_{\mathrm{loc}}^{-2}\right)$. It follows that

$$
\partial_{t} u_{m}+\partial_{x}^{3} u_{m}=2 \rho_{m}^{\prime} *\left(u^{3}\right)
$$

with $\partial_{t} u_{m} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Multiplying this equation with $\varphi u_{m}$ and integrating by parts on $\mathbb{R}$ we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi u_{m}^{2} d x\right)+3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^{\prime}\left(\partial_{x} u_{m}\right)^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime} u_{m}^{2}+3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^{\prime} u_{m}^{4} \\
=-4 \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\varphi^{\prime} u_{m}+\varphi \partial_{x} u\right)\left[u_{m}^{3}-\rho_{m} * u^{3}\right]
\end{array}
$$

Integrating in time between 0 and $t>0$, recalling that $u(0, \cdot)=0$, this leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi u_{m}^{2}(t) d x+3 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^{\prime}\left(\partial_{x} u_{m}\right)^{2}-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime} u_{m}^{2}+3 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^{\prime} u_{m}^{4} \\
&=-4 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\varphi^{\prime} u_{m}+\varphi \partial_{x} u_{n}\right)\left[u_{m}^{3}-\rho_{m} * u^{3}\right] \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, since $u \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} ; L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap L_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} ; H_{\text {loc }}^{1}\right)$ we infer that $\partial_{x} u_{m} \rightarrow u_{x}$ in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), u_{m} \rightarrow u$ in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{6}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $\rho_{m} * u^{3} \rightarrow u^{3}$ in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.

Moreover, there exists a mesurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ with mes $(E)=0$ such that $u(t) \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R} / E$. For any $t \in \mathbb{R} / E$ this ensures that $u_{m}(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and thus passing to the limit in (2.10) as $m$ goes to infinity we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi u^{2}(t) d x=-3 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^{\prime}\left(\partial_{x} u\right)^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime} u^{2}-3 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^{\prime} u^{4} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that, up to a modification on $E, t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi u^{2}(t) d x$ is a continuous function with value zero at the origin.

Recalling that $u \in C_{w}\left(\mathbb{R} ; L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ with $u(0)=0$ and that $\Phi(u)=u^{\prime}+u^{2}$, this completes the proof of the proposition.

- Conclusion - Let us fixed $s<-1$ and $\varepsilon>0$. From (2.1), $\Phi\left(\varepsilon h_{N}\right) \rightarrow \varepsilon^{2} \theta \neq 0$ in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$, with $\|\theta\|_{L^{2}} \sim 1$, and the solution $v$ to (1.1) emanating from $\varepsilon^{2} \theta$ belongs to $C\left(\mathbb{R} ; H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Let $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\phi \equiv 1$ on $[-1,1], 0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ on $[-2,2]$ and $\operatorname{Supp} \phi \subset]-2,2\left[\right.$. Setting $\varphi:=\phi \theta \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ we thus infer that there exists $r_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) v(t, x) d x \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} \int_{-1}^{1}|\theta(x)|^{2} d x>0 \text { for any } t \in\right]-r_{0}, r_{0}[ \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, according to Proposition 2.2, there exists $u \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} ; L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap$ $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} ; H_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\right)$ and an increasing sequence of integers $\left\{N_{k}\right\}$ such that $u_{N_{k}} \rightharpoonup$
$u$ weakly star in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} ; L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and such that the sequence of solutions $\left\{v_{N_{k}}\right\}:=\left\{\Phi\left(u_{N_{k}}\right)\right\}$ of KdV , emanating from $\left\{\Phi\left(\varepsilon h_{N}\right)\right\}$, tends weakly star towards $\Phi(u)$ in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} ; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Moreover, there exists $0<r<r_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \Phi(u(t, x)) d x\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4} \int_{-1}^{1}|\theta(x)|^{2} d x \text { for a.e. } t \in\right] 0, r[. \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Gathering (2.12) and (2.13) this ensures that for almost every $t \in] 0, r[$,

$$
v(t) \neq \Phi(u(t)) \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R} .
$$

which completes the proof of the theorem. Finally note that Remark 1.1 follows from the fact that $\left\|\Phi\left(\varepsilon h_{N}\right)\right\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})} \sim \varepsilon$, for all $n \geq 1$, and $\Phi\left(\varepsilon h_{N}\right) \rightharpoonup$ $\varepsilon^{2} \theta$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$.
Acknowledgements: L.M. was partially supported by the ANR project "Equa-Disp". He would like to thank Didier Pilod for his careful reading of a preliminary version of this work.

## References

[1] J. Bourgain, Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and application to nonlinear evolution equations, I. Schriidinger equations II. The KdV equation, GAFA, 3 (1993), 209-262.
[2] M. Christ, J. Colliander, and T. Tao, Asymptotics, frequency modulation and low regularity ill-posedness for canonical defocusing equations, Amer. J. Math., 125 (2003), no. 6, 1235-1293.
[3] J. Ginibre, Y. Tsutsumi and G. Velo, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for the generalized Korteweg de Vries equation, Math. Z., 203 (1990), no. 1, 9-36
[4] Z. Guo, Global well-posedness of Korteweg-de Vries equation in $H^{-3 / 4}(\mathbb{R})$, J. Math. Pures Appl., 91 (2009), 583-597.
[5] T. Kappeler and P. Topalov, Global well-posedness of $m K d V$ in $L^{2}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 30 (2005), no. 1-3, 435-449.
[6] T. Kappeler and P. Topalov, Global wellposedness of $K d V$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, Duke Math. J. 135 (2006), no. 2, 327-360.
[7] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via the contraction principle, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 46 (1993), no. 4, 527-620.
[8] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, A bilinear estimate with applications to the KdV equation, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 9, no. 2 (1996), 573-603.
[9] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, On the ill-posedness of some canonical dispersive equations, Duke Math. J., 106, no. 3 (2001), 617633.
[10] N. Kishimoto, Well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the Kortewegde Vries equation at the critical regularity, Differential Integral Equations 22 (2009), no. 5-6, 447-464.

