Structure-Based Optimization of a Non-β-lactam Lead Results in Inhibitors That Do Not Up-Regulate β -Lactamase Expression in Cell Culture

Donatella Tondi^{1,2&}, Federica Morandi^{1,2}, Richard Bonnet³, Brian K. Shoichet^{1*}, Maria Paola Costi^{2*}

¹Dept. of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, UCSF, 600 16th Street San Francisco, CA 94143-2240, ²Dip. di Scienze Farmaceutiche, Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Via Campi 183, 41100, Modena, Italy, ³Lab. de Bactériologie Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Faculte' de Médecine, 28 Place Henri-Dunant, 63001 Clermont-Ferrand Cedex France. & tondid@unimore.it

Abstract.

Bacterial expression of β -lactamases is the most widespread resistance mechanism to β -lactam antibiotics. There is a pressing need for novel, non- β -lactam inhibitors of these enzymes.

Our lead, compound 1, is chemically dissimilar to β -lactams and is a noncovalent, competitive inhibitor of the enzyme. However, at 26 μ M its activity is modest (Figure 1).

Using the X-ray structure of the AmpC/1 complex as a template, 14 analogues were designed and synthesized. Among these, compound 10, had a Ki of 1 μ M, 26-fold better than the lead.

The structures of AmpC in complex with compound 10 and an analogue, compound 11, were determined by X-ray crystallography to 1.97 and 1.96 Å, respectively.

Compound 10 was active in cell culture, reversing resistance to the third generation cephalosporin ceftazidime in bacterial pathogens expressing AmpC. In contrast to β-lactam-based inhibitors compound 10 did not up-regulate β -lactamase expression in cell culture but simply inhibited the enzyme expressed by the resistant bacteria. Its escape from this resistance mechanism derives from its dissimilarity to β -lactam antibiotics.

Introduction.

 β -lactamases catalyze the hydrolysis of β -lactam antibiotics and are the most widespread resistance mechanism to these drugs (Figure 2). β-lactam based inhibitors and "β-lactamase resistant" β -lactams have been introduced to overcome this problem, but they resemble the substrates, and bacteria have rapidly become resistant to these anti-resistance drugs.

Among several bacterial resistance mechanisms, the most serious adaptations is represented by β lactamases themselves. Mutant variants β -lactamase have arisen that can evade β -lactam-based β lactamase inhibitors (i.e. clavulanic acid). Enzymes that are naturally resistant to current β lactamase inhibitors, including the class C β -Lactamases such as AmpC, have become increasingly prominent.

There is a pressing need for novel β -lactamase inhibitors. Such inhibitors would not be hydrolyzable by β -Lactamases and would not be recognized by the suite of bacterial resistance mechanisms mobilized against β -lactam-based β -lactamase inhibitors.



Y150





Figure 1. Confronting β -lactam and novel inhibitor of AmpC

Design and Synthesis.

We recently reported the discovery through virtual screening of a novel, non covalent inhibitor of AmpC, compound 1 (Figure 1). Though novel, the inhibitor was weak (Ki 26 μM) and its activity in vivo was poor. The crystallographic complex of AmpC-1 revealed that compound 1 is highly complementary to the enzyme in the region where it bind, but leaves part of the binding site open. We wished to investigate which groups in the lead inhibitor **1** were critical for binding, and should be maintained, and where we could improve affinity through chemical elaboration.

In the design of 14 new derivatives, while exploring the structure-activity relationship pf the series, we considered both structural complementarity and bioavailability.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of 3-((4-chloroanilin)sulfonyl)thiophene-2-carboxylic acid derivatives **a**: Py dry, 50°C, N₂**. b**: NaOH 2N, 80 °C, HCl dil.

Figure 2. β -lactam hydrolysis by serine β -lactamases

X-ray crystallography.

Figure 3. A 2[Fo] - [Fc] electron density maps (blue) of the refined model of AmpC in complex with compound 10 contoured at 1σ . The simulated-annealing omit electron density maps for the inhibitors, is contoured at 3σ . Co-crystals of AmpC with 10 were grown from 1.8M KPi, pH 8.7 in hanging drops. Diffraction data were collected at the Advance Light Source (ALS, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, CA). Space group: C2. Cell constants: a=117.75Å; b=76.77Å c=97.90Å β =116.63°. Unique reflections=55,165. Total reflections=105,106. $R_{merge}=9.1\%$ (25.8%). Completeness: 93.7% (94.6%). R=16.84%, $R_{\text{free}} = 21.5\%$.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of *N*-(4-Chloro-phenyl)-phthalamic acid derivative. **a**: DMF, DIEA, PyBOP. 60°C, N₂ **b**: NaOH 2N, 80 °C, HCl dil.

Table 1. Ki values of thiophene-carboxy derivatives vs AmpC

CODE	Structure	K _i μM	CODE	Structure	K _i μM
1		26	8	S COOH COOH COOH	1.7
1 A	COOCH ₃	>>100	9		1.9
2	H N-SO ₂ -CI	310	10		1.0
3	O, O S N-CI COOH	45	11	S COOH NO ₂	14
4		340	12	$ \begin{array}{c} $	10



Microbiology.

To investigate the potential of these compounds to reverse antibiotic resistance compounds 10 was tested in bacterial cell culture for synergy with the third generation cephalosporin ceftazidime (CAZ) against pathogenic bacteria from clinical isolates.

Compound 10 increased bacterial susceptibility to CAZ, improving its MIC values by 4- to 8fold. Compound 10 synergized the activity of CAZ and the penicillin piperacillin (PIP) in disk diffusion assays but did not up-regulate its expression in cell culture, as do classic β -lactam-based inhibitors clavulanate and cefoxitin (Figure 5).



Enzymology and Binding Affinity.

Derivates that replaced the thiophene carboxylate with a methyl ester substantially reduced affinity, consistent with the importance of the ion-dipole interactions made by this carboxylate (e.g., compound 1A, Table 1). Similarly, inverting the order of the sulfonyl-amino group reduced affinity 10-20-fold (compare compounds 1 and 2 or 11 and 14, Table 1), and replacing the sulfonamide with an amide reduced affinity 8-fold, consistent with the importance of the hydrogen bond between this group and Asn152 observed crystallographically.

In an attempt to increase the affinity of compound 1, we considered derivates that improved either the apolar or polar complementarity in the distal chloro-phenyl ring of the inhibitor. This functionality is located in a more open region of the active site, flanked by the conserved Tyr221 on the "floor" of the active site, bulk solvent at the "top" of the inhibitor, and polar and apolar residues (Arg204, Gly320, Thr319, and Val211) to its side. More polar derivates, such as carboxylate and hydroxyl groups, improved affinity more substantially, up to 26-fold in compounds 7-10 (Table 1).



Figure 5.

The effects on up-regulation of a class C β -lactamase in *E*. *cloacae* by β -lactamase inhibitors: A. The β -lactam based inhibitor clavulanate (center), with piperacillin (PIP) and ceftazidime (CAZ). **B**. The β -lactam based inhibitor cefoxitin (FOX) with piperacillin and ceftazidime. C. The non- β -lactam inhibitor 10 with piperacillin and ceftazidime.

Discussion.

A cycle of structure-based design and testing led to several novel low micromolar inhibitors whose improved affinities could be analyzed on the basis of the X-ray structures subsequently determined for their complexes.

The improved affinity is mirrored by the improved activity in cell culture: compound 10 inhibit β lactamase and do not up-regulate its expression in cell culture, as do classic β-lactam-based inhibitors.

This antibiotic effect suggests that this series of inhibitors may be useful leads for further optimization. The X-ray crystal structures of compound 10 and 11 in complex with AmpC provide atomic resolution templates for future design efforts.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by GM63815 (to BKS)