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# Since 2002, Funders for LGBTQ Issues has published an annual fund tracking report that aggregates giving to LGBTQ communities and issues from foundations, corporations, and funding institutions in the United States, which includes both domestic and global giving. 


#### Abstract

Funders for LGBTQ Issues has more than 85 partners in our network, with many more that directly submit data about their LGBTQ grantmaking. The LGBTQ funding sector has grown dramatically since the inception of our fund tracking work. Our first report in 2002 had fewer than 1,600 grants representing $\$ 30$ million in LGBTQ funding; in 2020, we analyzed 5,707 grants representing over $\$ 200$ million in LGBTQ funding. The partnerships we have built with our members and other submitting donors, as well as the hard work of our research team, have culminated in publications that many in the field rely on for LGBTQ funding data.


We are pleased to share with you the 2019-2020 Resource Tracking Report: Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer Grantmaking by U.S. Foundations. This report represents the next iteration of work from Funders for LGBTQ Issues in our ongoing effort to document the scale of philanthropic support for LGBTQ communities and issues.

In partnership with Strength in Numbers, an LGBTQled research firm with expertise in philanthropic strategy and evaluation, our team worked to adapt our research program to address the growth in the sector and the changes to how foundations are funding. Our new approach has been guided by a commitment to sustainability, transparency, and durability to develop consistent systems and methodologies that can grow with Funders for LGBTQ Issues and respond to the changing needs of the sector. The changes we've made will enable us to more efficiently and systematically analyze the grant data, better detect
funding trends over time, and pursue new and novel analyses.

While we strove to maintain continuity with our established reporting methods and practices, this year's report - the 18th edition in our Tracking Report Series - differs from our past model in ways that are worth highlighting. For starters, this is the first time in our Tracking Report Series that we are reporting on two years of grantmaking data in a single publication. The work we have done to ensure that the fund tracking process is sustainable and that we can better respond to the future research needs of the sector took time. As a result, we determined that a publication combining 2019 and 2020 data was our best option to provide timely data to the field.

This report offers an overview of funding trends and highlights for calendar years 2019-2020, and presents a deeper analysis of 2020 data. In the methods
section, you can read more about new strategies we piloted to improve efficiency and accuracy of the grant coding process to address the increasingly unwieldy size - in both total funding and number of grants of the annual data set of known grants awarded to LGBTQ communities and issues. There are several important analyses that we were not able to complete in this iteration of the report, including geographic distribution of funding. We anticipate returning to a detailed analysis by calendar year, and will also add more complex analyses in response to sector needs in future iterations of this report.

The LGBTQ funding landscape is dominated by a set of foundations that are dedicated to this work and represent the majority of the funding for the sector. Funding from the top 10 foundations has consistently accounted for nearly two-thirds of all foundation support for LGBTQ communities and issues, according to our research. We have strong relationships with the majority of these funders and have been careful to include quality submissions from them in this report. However, we also want to acknowledge that this year's report has fewer foundations represented than in previous years.

The reason for having fewer foundations included is complicated and multifaceted-COVID-19 and cuts in resources for government systems resulted in delays in the availability of 990s and other public sources of grants data. At the same time, bandwidth for submitting and processing data has been impacted by the global pandemic, which affected us and our members and foundation supporters. Our priority in gathering data and producing these findings was to compile a purposeful data set representative of the vast majority of philanthropic support for LGBTQ communities and issues, and we believe we have achieved that goal.

Finally, readers should remember that this report includes only funding from U.S.-based foundations and corporations, not from individuals or government agencies. This reminder feels particularly pertinent given the fast pace of change in our sector and beyond. The report begins with an overview of all funding for LGBTQ communities and issues, but the bulk of the
report focuses on the approximately 80 percent of funding U.S. foundations awarded for activities in the United States. I encourage readers interested in the global LGBTQ funding landscape to consult the Global Resources Report, published by our close partners at the Global Philanthropy Project.

Our team is proud to share this report with you and stands committed to continuing to improve this resource for the field in the coming years. It is our hope that this report will continue to serve as a tool for foundation staff, sector advocates, and movement partners in our shared mission to increase philanthropic resources to support LGBTQ organizations and movements.

Sincerely,

## Andrew Wallace

Vice President of Research \& Communications, Funders for LGBTQ Issues

## OVERVIEW

This report describes United Statesbased foundation funding in support of LGTBQ communities and issues awarded in calendar years 2019-2020. In 2019, we documented 6,027 grants awarded by 186 foundations for a total of $\$ 193$ million, excluding funds awarded for the purpose of regranting. In 2020, we found 5,707 grants awarded by 211 foundations for a total of $\$ 201$ million, excluding funds awarded for the purpose of regranting. In both years, nearly 80 percent of U.S.-based foundation support for LGBTQ communities and issues was awarded for efforts in the United States, while the remaining 20 percent of funding supported efforts around the globe. We found that U.S.-based foundations awarded grants to 4,459 unique organizations in the United States and abroad.

## This report describes a decrease in support

 compared to our 2018 findings and paints a complex picture of the state of foundation support for LGBTQcommunities at a moment of continued turmoil and upheaval related to COVID-19 and escalating political unrest.

As noted in the introduction, the number of foundations included in the 2019-2020 edition of the report is lower than in previous years and reflects the impact of delays in the availability of data from previously available sources, as well as the impacts of COVID-19 on all aspects of work in the sector. This difference in sample size should be kept in mind as you compare growth over time, and we should focus on broad trends rather than year-to-year comparisons.

The field of LGBTQ funding continues to be driven by a small group of leading funders. In 2020, the top 10 funders of LGBTQ communities and issues in the United States accounted for 60 percent of all reported funding. If we were to extend this list to the top 20 donors, we would describe over 75 percent of all funding for LGBTQ communities in the U.S.

## Total Annual LGBTQ Grant Dollars, 2009-2020



[^0]| Nominal dollars (not adjusted) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 dollars (adjusted for inflation) |  |  |
| Total without OneOrlando grantmaking (not adjusted) |  |  |
| Total without OneOrlando grantmaking (adjusted for inflation) |  |  |
| 2009 | \$96,533,298 | \$116,449,925 |
| 2010 | \$96,829,756 | \$114,922,501 |
| 2011 | \$123,012,423 | \$141,529,993 |
| 2012 | \$121,412,490 | \$136,857,028 |
| 2013 | \$129,112,119 | \$143,435,125 |
| 2014 | \$153,248,693 | \$167,531,604 |
| 2015 | \$160,702,984 | \$175,472,360 |
| 2016 | \$202,312,772 | \$211,669,465 |
| 2016 (without One-Orlando) |  |  |
|  | \$172,802,772 | \$186,332,778 |
| 2017 | \$185,731,739 | \$196,097,316 |
| 2017 (without One-Orlando) |  |  |
|  | \$183,625,213 | \$193,873,226 |
| 2018 | \$209,195,237 | \$215,610,224 |
| 2019 | \$192,532,529 | \$194,866,713 |
| 2020 | \$200,808,256 | \$200,808,256 |

Over half of the top 20 donors in 2020 decreased their giving compared to 2018; however, five donors (Astraea Lesbian Foundation for Justice, Ford Foundation, Gilead Sciences, Tides Foundation, and a single anonymous donor ) increased their giving in 2020 compared to 2018, and this helped to lift the 2020 total.

Gilead Sciences, a corporate donor, once again increased investment and continues to hold the top spot on our list, a position it has held since 2018. In 2020, Gilead Sciences awarded $\$ 34.5$ million in support of LGBTQ communities and issues.

Compared to overall giving by U.S. foundations, support directed to LGBTQ communities and issues remains small. For every $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0}$ awarded by U.S. foundations in 2020, only 23 cents specifically supported LGBTQ issues.

## LGBTQ Funding by U.S. Foundations
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In 2020, for every \$100 dollars awarded by U.S. Foundations, only 23 cents specifically supported LGBTQ communities in the United States.

## Top Grantmakers 2019-2020

The field of LGBTQ funding continues to be driven by a small group of leading funders. The leading grantmakers for calendar years 2019-2020 are visualized in the graphic below. ${ }^{2}$

## Top Ten Funders By Total Dollar Amount, 2019-2020



[^1]
## Top Grantmakers: 2019

In 2019, the top ten funders of LGBTQ issues awarded $\$ 122.5$ million, accounting for 57 percent of all funding for LGBTQ communities and issues from U.S.-based foundations. The top twenty funders combined awarded \$155.6 million, accounting for 72 percent of the year's total.

In 2019, five of the top twenty foundations were LGBTQ-specific funders, down from eight in 2018, and the majority of the top twenty funders were private foundations.

Top 20 Funders of LGBTQ Issues, by Total Dollar Amount (2019) ${ }^{3}$


Gilead Sciences
Foster City, CA
\$29,662,791
Arcus Foundation
New York, NY \$14,725,000


Ford Foundation
New York, NY
\$8,261,961


Open Society Foundations
New York, NY \$7,810,497

Gill Foundation
Denver, CO
\$7,670,000


Tides Foundation
San Francisco, CA
\$6,606,126


Foundation for a
Just Society
New York, NY
\$6,075,000


Elton John AIDS Foundation
New York, NY \$5,747,814

Evelyn and Walter Haas, Jr. Fund San Francisco, CA \$5,072,000


Henry van Ameringen Foundation
New York, NY \$4,847,000


Astraea Lesbian
Foundation for Justice
New York, NY
\$4,307,436


Wells Fargo
San Francisco, CA \$3,980,335


The William and Flora
Hewlett Foundation
Menlo Park, CA
\$3,070,000
AIDS United
Washington, DC
\$2,991,250
M.A.C. AIDS Fund

New York, NY
\$2,907,763
Groundswell Fund
Oakland, CA
\$2,884,550
California Endowment
Los Angeles, CA
\$2,823,817
Borealis Philanthropy
Minneapolis, MN
\$2,742,560


ViiV Healthcare
Research Triangle, NC
\$2,515,087


Horizons Foundation
San Francisco, CA
\$2,225,814

Top 10 Funders of LCBTQ Issues, by Total Grants (2019)

## (1) <br> Horizons Foundation <br> San Francisco, CA <br> 469 <br>  <br> Pride Foundation <br> Seattle, WA <br> 450 <br>  <br> Our Fund <br> Wilton Manors, FL 305 <br>  <br> Tides Foundation <br> San Francisco, CA <br> 257



Astraea Lesbian Foundation for Justice New York, NY 230


Point Foundation
Los Angeles, CA
206


Gilead Sciences
Foster City, CA
192


Wells Fargo
San Francisco, CA
185


Trans Justice Funding Project
San Francisco, CA
184


Borealis Philanthropy
Minneapolis, MN
142
*This table includes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

## Top Grantmakers: 2020

In 2020, the top ten funders of LGBTQ communities and issues awarded $\$ 147.9$ million and accounted for 63 percent of all reported funding. The top twenty funders combined awarded \$182.5 million, accounting for 78 percent of the year's total.

Top 20 Funders of LGBTQ Issues,
by Total Dollar Amount (2020) ${ }^{4}$

In 2020, four of the top twenty foundations were LGBTQ-specific funders.
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## Top Grant Recipients 2019-2020

In 2019, the top 20 recipients of LGBTQ funding received a total of $\$ 42.3$ million, accounting for 22 percent of all LGBTQ dollars reported in that calendar year. In 2020, the top 20 recipients of LGBTQ funding received a total of $\$ 47.3$ million, accounting for 24 percent of all LGBTQ dollars reported in that calendar year.

The majority of the top 20 grantees in both years were nonprofit organizations focused specifically on LGBTQ communities and issues. In 2019, 15 of the top 20 organizations were LGBTQ-focused, and in 2020, 16 of the top organizations were LGBTQ-focused.

Top grantees were mostly based in the United States. Only one of the top-funded organizations between 2019-2020 was located outside of the United States.

Top 20 Grantees Receiving Foundation Support for LGBTQ Issues (2019) ${ }^{6}$

GLAAD
New York, NY
\$7,227,833
2
Transgender Law Center
Oakland, CA
\$3,753,421


National Center For
Lesbian Rights (NCLR)
San Francisco, CA
\$2,780,740


The Trevor Project
West Hollywood, CA
\$2,607,250
5 Funders For LGBTQ Issues
New York, NY
\$2,564,947
Gay Men's Health Crisis (GMHC)
New York, NY
\$2,194,500
Freedom For All Americans
Washington, DC
\$1,686,500


GLBTQ Legal Advocates
Defenders Inc
Boston, MA
\$1,664,650


Movement Strategy Center
Oakland, CA
\$1,650,285


AIDS United
Washington, DC
\$1,638,600


Astraea Lesbian
Foundation for Justice
New York, NY \$1,621,000


Neo Philanthropy
New York, NY
\$1,614,750


San Francisco AIDS
Foundation
San Francisco, CA \$1,538,100


Southerners On New Ground (SONG)
Atlanta, GA
\$1,526,500


The East African Sexual
Health And Rights Initiative
(UHAI EASHRI)
Nairobi, Kenya
\$1,475,000


National Center For
Transgender Equality
Washington, DC
\$1,426,000


Movement Advancement
Project
Boulder, CO
\$1,410,000
18 Social Good Fund
Richmond, CA
\$1,341,850
19 Lambda Legal
New York, NY
\$1,283,974


Williams Institute
Los Angeles, CA
\$1,270,750
*This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting

[^3]Top 20 Grantees Receiving Foundation Support for LGBTQ Issues (2020)7


GLAAD
New York, NY \$6,531,033


Wake Forest University
Winston-Salem, NC \$5,000,000


Transgender Law Center
Oakland, CA
\$4,014,468

4
Freedom For All Americans
Washington, DC \$3,147,398


National LGBTQ Task Force
Washington, DC \$2,972,795


The Trevor Project
West Hollywood, CA \$2,488,730

7
GSA Network
Oakland, CA
\$2,350,000


Funders For LGBTQ Issues
New York, NY
\$2,212,250


National Center For
Lesbian Rights (NCLR)
San Francisco, CA \$1,930,400


Southerners On New Ground (SONG)
Atlanta, GA
\$1,845,833


Marsha P. Johnson Institute
Columbus, OH
\$1,841,900


National Black Justice
Coalition
Washington, DC
\$1,693,000
Practice Point Communications
Oldsmar, FL
\$1,624,000


New York City Anti
Violence Project
New York, NY
\$1,600,100


Gay Men's Health Crisis (GMHC)
New York, NY
\$1,468,660
Astraea Lesbian
Foundation for Justice
New York, NY
\$1,401,800


Common Counsel
Foundation
Oakland, CA
\$1,350,000


AIDS United
Washington, DC \$1,332,700

Fresh Meat Productions
San Francisco, CA
\$1,272,120


Los Angeles LGBTQ Center
Los Angeles, CA
\$1,268,167
*This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.
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## Sources of LGBTQ Funding 2019-2020

Consistent with established trends, funding from private foundations accounted for the largest share of U.S. foundation support for LGBTQ communities and issues in 2019 and 2020. Support from private foundations decreased by 21 percent from 2018 to 2020, but still accounted for 36 percent of all funding for LGBTQ communities.

Support from public foundations grew between 20182020 to account for 27 percent of all funding in 2020.

Public foundations were the second largest source of funding for LGBTQ communities.

Giving from corporate foundations grew from the $\$ 37.7$ million reported in 2018 to $\$ 42.2$ million in 2020, accounting for 18 percent of all funding in 2020. This increase is largely driven by the growth of one funder, Gilead Sciences, which in 2020 was the top named funder of LGBTQ communities and issues.

Funding from community foundations remained consistent at 5 percent of funding in 2020, and support from a single anonymous donor continued to grow, accounting for 15 percent of all funding in 2020.

Sources of LGBTQ Funding by Funder Type (2019-2020)


# DOMESTIC FUNDING OF LCBTQ ISSUES 

This section of the report provides an analysis of grantmaking supporting LGBTQ communities and issues inside the United States, which represented a full 79 percent of all funding awarded by U.S.-based foundations in 2019 and 78 percent in 2020. In 2019, U.S. foundation funding for LGBTQ communities and issues in the United States totaled \$152 million. In 2020, funding for LGBTQ communities and issues in the United States increased by 3 percent to $\$ 156$ million.

## Geographic Distribution of Funding (2019-2020)

2019

| $\$ 151,892,857$ | $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Domestic Funding | $\$ 40,639,672$ |  |

## 2020

|  | $78 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 156,908,521$ |  | $\$ 43,899,736$ |

Domestic Funding
*This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting. the U.S.


For a detailed look at funding for LGBTQ communities outside of the United States, consult the 2019-2020 Global Resources Report produced by the Global Philanthropy Project. The Global Resources Report offers a comprehensive analysis of grantmaking awarded by U.S. foundations for activities outside the United States alongside funding from international donors, governments, and multilateral agencies. Visit https://globalresourcesreport.org for more information.

# Top Domestic Funders and Grantees 2019 

In 2019, the top 20 funders of U.S. LGBTQ communities and issues accounted for over 69 percent of all reported funding.

## Top 20 Domestic Funders of LGBTQ Issues, by Total Dollar Amount (2019) ${ }^{9}$

| Gilead Sciences Foster City, CA \$26,425,743 | The William and Flora Hewlett Foundation |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Arcus FoundationNew York, NY |  |
| \$8,887,000 | AIDS United |
| Gill Foundation | Washington, DC |
| Denver, CO |  |
| \$7,210,000 | Groundswell Fund Oakland, CA |
| Elton John AIDS | \$2,869,550 |
| Foundation |  |
| New York, NY | California |
| \$5,747,814 | Endowment |
| Ford Foundation \$2,823,817 |  |
|  |  |  |
| \$5,356,961 | Borealis |
| Evelyn and Walter Minneapolis, MN |  |
| Haas, Jr. Fund | \$2,642,560 |
| \$5,072,000 | Viiv Healthcare |
| Henry van \$2,411,469 |  |
| Ameringen |  |
| Foundation New York, NY | M.A.C. AIDS Fund New York, NY |
| \$4,847,000 \$2,279,786 |  |
| Tides Horizons |  |
| Foundation | Foundation |
| San Francisco, CA | San Francisco, CA |
| \$4,457,015 |  |
| Wells Fargo John Steven Kellett | John Steven Kellett |
| San Francisco, CA 19 Foundation |  |
| \$3,979,075 Houston, TX |  |
|  | \$2,132,589 |
| Foundation for a Just Society | Pride Foundation |
| New York, NY | Seattle, WA |
| \$3,575,000 | \$2,128,740 |

*This table includes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

## Top 20 Domestic Grantees Receiving Foundation Support for LGBTQ Issues (2019) ${ }^{10}$ <br>  <br> GLAAD <br> New York, NY <br> \$7,227,833 <br>  <br> Transgender Law <br> Center <br> Oakland, CA <br> \$3,753,421 <br>  <br> National Center For <br> Lesbian Rights (NCLR) <br> San Francisco, CA \$2,780,740 <br>  <br> The Trevor <br> Project <br> West Hollywood, CA \$2,607,250 <br>  <br> Funders For LGBTQ Issues New York, NY \$2,564,947 <br> Gay Men's Health <br> Crisis (GMHC) <br> New York, NY \$2,194,500 <br> Freedom for All <br> Americans <br> Washington, DC \$1,686,500 <br> 8 <br> Movement <br> Strategy Center <br> Oakland, CA \$1,650,285 <br>  <br> AIDS United <br> Washington, DC \$1,638,600 <br>  <br> Neo Philanthropy <br> New York, NY \$1,614,750 <br> Southerners On New Ground (SONG) Atlanta, GA \$1,526,500 <br> National Center <br> For Transgender Equality <br> Washington, DC <br> \$1,426,000 <br> Movement Advancement Project <br> Boulder, CO <br> \$1,410,000 <br> Social Good Fund <br> Richmond, CA \$1,341,850 <br> Lambda Legal <br> New York, NY \$1,283,974 <br> Williams Institute <br> Los Angeles, CA \$1,270,750 <br> GSA Network <br> Oakland, CA <br> \$1,268,518 <br> Destination Tomorrow <br> New York, NY \$1,197,148 <br> National Gay And Lesbian Task Force Foundation Washington, DC \$1,147,700 <br> Human Rights <br> Campaign <br> Washington, DC \$1,065,000 <br> 

*This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

[^5]
## Top Domestic Funders and Grantees 2020

In 2020, the top 20 funders of U.S. LGBTQ communities and issues accounted for 76 percent of all reported funding.
Top 20 Domestic Funders of LGBTQ Issues, by Total Dollar Amount (2020) ${ }^{11}$


Gilead Sciences
Foster City, CA
\$32,258,193


Tides Foundation
San Francisco
\$10,548,798


Ford Foundation
New York, NY \$8,408,400


Gill Foundation
Denver, CO
\$7,880,000


Arcus Foundation
New York, NY
\$7,465,000


AIDS United
Washington, DC
\$4,950,000


Foundation for a
Just Society
New York, NY
\$4,840,000


Evelyn and Walter
Haas, Jr. Fund
New York, NY
\$4,764,000
Elton John AIDS
Foundation
San Francisco, CA
\$3,901,361


Groundswell Fund
Oakland, CA
\$3,758,901

M.A.C. AIDS Fund

New York, NY
\$2,759,116


Horizons
Foundation
San Francisco, CA \$2,537,752

Wells Fargo
San Francisco, CA
\$2,507,505
San Francisco
Foundation
San Francisco, CA
\$1,942,817


Our Fund Foundation Wilton Manors, FL \$1,852,519

[^6]
## Top 20 Domestic Grantees Receiving

 Foundation Support for LGBTQ Issues (2020)

Marsha P. Johnson Institute Columbus, OH \$1,841,900

4
Freedom For All
Americans
Washington, DC
\$3,147,398


National LGBTQ
Task Force
Washington, DC
\$2,972,795


The Trevor
Project
West Hollywood, CA
\$2,488,730


GSA Network
Oakland, CA
\$2,350,000


Funders For LGBTQ Issues
New York, NY
\$2,198,500


National Center
For Lesbian
Rights (NCLR)
Washington, DC \$1,930,400


Southerners
On New Ground (SONG)

National Black
Justice Coalition
Washington, DC
\$1,693,000
Practice Point
Communications
Oldsmar, FL
\$1,624,000


New York City Anti
Violence Project
New York, NY
\$1,600,100


Gay Men's Health
Crisis (GMHC)
New York, NY
\$1,468,660


Common Counsel
Foundation
Oakland, CA
\$1,350,000


AIDS United
Washington, DC \$1,332,700


Fresh Meat
Productions
San Francisco, CA
\$1,272,120
Los Angeles LGBTQ Center
Los Angeles, CA
\$1,268,167


Lambda Legal
New York, NY
\$1,188,036

[^7]
## The field of LGBTQ foundation support in the United States is growing increasingly top-heavy, led by a small group of leading funders.

In 2020, a single foundation, Gilead Sciences, accounted for 18 percent of total foundation support for U.S. LGBTQ communities and issues. The top ten largest funders (including Gilead Sciences) are responsible for over 60 percent of funding, and the top 20 funders accounted for more than 75 percent of all U.S. foundation support for LGBTQ communities and issues in the United States.

## Funding By Relative Amount of Domestic LGBTQ Funding (2020)

| Total \$180,811,560* |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} \$ 32,258,193 \\ \mathbf{1 8 \%} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 109,094,202 \\ 60 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 137,809,136 \\ 76 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 43,002,424 \\ 24 \% \end{array}$ |
| Top Funder $ل$ |  |  | -All Others - |

## Population Focus 2019-2020

## Distribution of Domestic Grant Dollars by Sexual Orientation, Gender Identity, and Sex Characteristics

Consistent with historical trends, the majority of funding went to the LGBTQ community broadly. In 2019, $\mathbf{\$ 9 0 . 1}$ million, or 59 percent of all U.S.based foundation support for LGBTQ communities and issues, was allocated to the general LGBTQ community. In 2020, this increased to $\$ 107.1$ million, or 68 percent of overall LGBTQ funding.

While total foundation giving to LGBTQ communities in the United States has decreased from its record high in 2018, nominal giving to transgender communities has held steady, even reaching a record high of $\$ 36.1$ million in 2019. However, this accounts for a very small amount of total foundation giving. For every $\$ \mathbf{1 0 0}$ awarded by U.S. foundations, less than 5 cents supported transgender communities in both 2019 and 2020.

Distribution of Domestic Grant Dollars by Sexual Orientation, Gender Identity, and Sex Characteristics, 2019-2020


| 首 2019 | 2020 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trans People | $\$ 36,121,652$ | $24 \%$ | $\$ 30,996,642$ | $20 \%$ |
| Gay Men/Queer Men/MSM | $\$ 4,720,338$ | $3 \%$ | $\$ 9,386,675$ | $6 \%$ |
| Lesbians/Queer Women | $\$ 7,738,595$ | $5 \%$ | $\$ 3,737,266$ | $2 \%$ |
| GNC/Nonbinary People | $\$ 5,376,891$ | $4 \%$ | $\$ 3,483,995$ | $2 \%$ |
| Allies | $\$ 5,409,019$ | $4 \%$ | $\$ 1,343,434$ | $1 \%$ |
| Intersex People | $\$ 2,057,195$ | $1 \%$ | $\$ 746,500$ | $<1 \%$ |
| Two Spirit People | $\$ 63,913$ | $<1 \%$ | $\$ 90,227$ | $<1 \%$ |
| Bisexual People | $\$ 301,608$ | $<1 \%$ | $\$ 58,333$ | $<1 \%$ |
| Asexual People | $\$ 10,000$ | $<1 \%$ | $\$ 0$ | $0 \%$ |

[^8]
## Distribution of Domestic Grant Dollars Among People of Color

Consistent with historical trends, the majority of U.S. foundation funding to LGBTQ communities of color in the United States went not to a specific group, but to communities of color broadly. In 2019, $\$ 34.9$ million, 23 percent of overall LGBTQ funding, was allocated to LGBTQ communities of color broadly. In 2020, this fell to $\$ 25.7$ million, or 16 percent of overall LGBTQ funding.

There was a notable increase in funding for Black LGBTQ communities in 2020, reaching a record high of $\$ 18.7$ million. This is a 71 percent increase from 2019, and may be reflective of philanthropic response to racial justice uprisings in 2020.

However, funding for all other specific LGBTQ communities of color fell from 2019 to 2020.

## Distribution of Domestic Grant Dollars Among People of Color, 2019-2020



|  | 2019 | 2020 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Black | $\$ 10,963,303$ | $7 \%$ | $\$ 18,712,616$ | $12 \%$ |
| Latinx | $\$ 4,136,840$ | $3 \%$ | $\$ 3,929,947$ | $3 \%$ |
| AAPI | $\$ 953,368$ | $1 \%$ | $\$ 732,549$ | $<1 \%$ |
| Indigenous | $\$ 1,135,912$ | $1 \%$ | $\$ 357,770$ | $<1 \%$ |
| Middle Eastern | $\$ 56,174$ | $<1 \%$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $<1 \%$ |

[^9]
## Distribution of Domestic Grant Dollars Among Other Populations

Funding for LGBTQ children and youth, historically the most funded subpopulation, reached a new record high of $\$ 40.4$ million in 2019. This was in large part driven by giving from Gilead Sciences, which accounted for more than 30 percent of total giving to LGBTQ children and youth. In 2020, total giving to LGBTQ children and youth dropped to $\$ 27.9$ million, which is more in line with previous levels.

Similarly, the high level of funding for homeless and marginally housed LGBTQ communities in 2019 can largely be attributed to $\$ 13.3$ million from Gilead

Sciences ( 56 percent of total giving to homeless and marginally housed LGBTQ communities). In 2020, total funding for marginally housed LGBTQ communities dropped by more than 50 percent from 2019, to $\$ 10.5$ million ( 22 percent of which is from the top funder of this community, Gilead Sciences).

From 2019 to 2020, there is also a decrease in funding to LGBTQ immigrants and refugees, and LGBTQ sex workers. However, funding to LGBTQ people of faith and LGBTQ older adults increased.


|  |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Children and Youth | $\$ 40,415,356$ | $27 \%$ | $\$ 27,869,088$ | $18 \%$ |  |
| Homeless and Marginally <br> Housed People | $\$ 23,569,514$ | $16 \%$ | $\$ 10,531,155$ | $7 \%$ |  |
| People of Faith | $\$ 3,949,837$ | $3 \%$ | $\$ 7,567,408$ | $5 \%$ |  |
| Immigrants and Refugees | $\$ 10,702,767$ | $7 \%$ | $\$ 6,724,860$ | $4 \%$ |  |
| Older Adults | $\$ 3,453,658$ | $2 \%$ | $\$ 4,720,824$ | $3 \%$ |  |
| Sex Workers | $\$ 3,598,549$ | $2 \%$ | $\$ 3,122,645$ | $2 \%$ |  |
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# Deeper Dive Analysis of 2020 Funding for LGBTQ Communities in the United States 

In this section, we offer additional analysis of U.S. foundation support for LGTBQ communities and issues in the United States for the calendar year 2020. We anticipate returning to a detailed analysis by calendar year in future iterations of the report, adding more complex analysis as our work progresses.

## Geographic Level of Domestic Funding

Funds awarded for work inside the United States were primarily directed to efforts at the national level, accounting for 42 percent of support for LGBTQ communities and issues in the United States, or \$66.3 million in 2020. Activities at the local level received 23 percent, or $\$ 36$ million, followed by efforts focused on the state level, which accounted for 14 percent of
all funding. Percentages reported here are of the total grant dollars awarded in the U.S., including those for which geographic focus could not be ascertained.

In previous reports, we found a higher percentage of grants focused on work at the local level. For this report, we only coded grants as local in focus if the geographic target was specified in the grant.

Distribution of Domestic Funding for LGBTQ Issues, by Geographic Level (2020)


| Local | $\$ 36,074,036$ | $23 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| State | $\$ 21,447,094$ | $14 \%$ |
| Regional | $\$ 14,459,688$ | $9 \%$ |
| National | $\$ 66,265,304$ | $42 \%$ |
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## Funding for U.S. LGBTQ Communities and Issues by Type of Support

Consistent with established trends, funding for program or project-specific support continued to be the most common type of support type among grants for U.S. LGBTQ communities and issues in 2020, accounting for 46 percent of all domestic support awarded by U.S. foundations.

General operating support accounted for nearly 40 percent of all funding. No other support type category accounted for more than 5 percent of grants awarded in 2020.

## Distribution of Funding for U.S. LGBTQ Communities and Issues, by Type of Support (2020)



| Program Support | $\$ 71,651,210$ | $46 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| General Operating <br> Support | $\$ 61,838,041$ | $39 \%$ |
| Capacity Building | $\$ 6,435,922$ | $4 \%$ |
| Scholarship, Fellowship, <br> Awards To Individuals | $\$ 4,483,792$ | $3 \%$ |
| Other or Multiple | $\$ 5,315,077$ | $3 \%$ |
| Unspecified | $\$ 7,184,479$ | $5 \%$ |
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## Issues Addressed in Domestic Funding

Funding for civil and human rights continued to garner the largest share of funding in 2020, accounting for 35 percent of all grant dollars from U.S.-based foundations in support of LGBTQ communities and issues in 2020.

Funding to support strengthening communities, families, and visibility was the next most funded
category. This issue area, encompassing a broad range of activities including community-building programs, pride celebrations, and visibility initiatives, accounted for 23 percent of all funding. Support for health and wellbeing closely followed, accounting for 21 percent of all domestic funding in 2020, up slightly from the 20 percent reported in 2018.

## Distribution of Funding for U.S. LGBTQ Communities and Issues, by Issues Addressed (2020) ${ }^{12}$

| 23\% | CIVIL \& HUMAN RIGHTS | Civil and Human Rights | \$54,587,040 | 35\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Strengthening Communities, Families, and Visibility | \$36,829,460 | 23\% |
|  | STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES, FAMILIES \& VISIBILITY | Health and Wellbeing | \$33,151,414 | 21\% |
|  |  | Economic Issues | \$8,653,677 | 6\% |
| 21\% | HEALTH \&WELLBEING | Education and Safe Schools | \$8,284,909 | 5\% |
|  |  | Unspecified | \$15,402,024 | 10\% |
| 6\% | ECONOMIC ISSUES EDUCATION \& SAFE SCHOOLS |  |  |  |
| 5\% |  | Total | 156,908,521 |  |
| 10\% | UNSPECIFIED |  |  |  |

## Funding for COVID-19 in 2020

The onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in March 2020 had a profound impact on every aspect of society. Our analysis of U.S. foundation funding for LGTBQ communities and issues in 2020 found nearly \$16 million in grantmaking - $\mathbf{1 0}$ percent of all reported grantmaking in our dataset - was related to COVID-19.
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## Strategies Supported in Domestic Funding

In 2020, support for advocacy in support of LGBTQ communities and issues garnered the largest share of funding, accounting for 33 percent of all U.S. foundation support for LGBTQ communities and issues in 2020. This support strategy includes funding for governmental advocacy, community organizing, public education efforts, and/or litigation to influence policy
and/or the allocation of resources in support of LGBTQ communities.

Following advocacy, support for direct services and health care received 18 percent of all support, nearly \$30 million in funding in 2020. The remaining funds were distributed for capacity building, culture and media, philanthropy and fundraising, and research.

Distribution of Funding for U.S. LGBTQ Communities and Issues, by Strategy (2020) ${ }^{13}$


| Advocacy | $\$ 52,286,064$ | $33 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Direct Service and Health <br> Care | $\$ 28,094,494$ | $18 \%$ |
| Capacity Building | $\$ 20,952,802$ | $13 \%$ |
| Culture and Media | $\$ 18,130,688$ | $12 \%$ |
| Philanthropy and <br> Fundraising | $\$ 14,922,056$ | $10 \%$ |
| Research | $\$ 17,849,241$ | $11 \%$ |
| Unspecified | $156,908,521$ |  |
| Total |  | $3 \%$ |

[^14][^15]
# COMMUNITY FOUNDATION GRANTMAKNG FOR U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL LGBTQ COMMUNITIES \& ISSUES 

In 2019, community foundations awarded $\$ 9.0$ million (4 percent) to LGBTQ communities and issues (or $\$ 8.1$ million, 4 percent, after funds awarded for the purpose of regranting are excluded).

## Top Community Funders (2019)



Chicago Community Trust
Chicago, IL
\$1,668,592


Oregon Community Foundation
Portland, OR
\$1,395,168


California Community Foundation
Los Angeles, CA
\$752,318


The Community Foundation of Broward
Fort Lauderdale, FL
\$697,973


Boston Foundation
Boston, MA
\$529,678


Community Foundation of Northeast Florida
Jacksonville, FL
\$471,500


Seattle Foundation
Seattle, WA
\$451,500


Community Foundation for Southeast
Michigan
Detroit, MI
\$382,703
Cleveland Foundation
Cleveland, OH
\$369,750
Stonewall Community Foundation
New York, NY
\$340,966
*This table includes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

## Top Community Grantees (2019)

1
Heartland Alliance For Human Needs \& Human Rights
Chicago, IL
\$445,000
2
The Trevor Project
West Hollywood, CA
\$285,000
3 Equality Ohio Education Fund
Columbus, OH
\$280,250
4 Jacksonville Area Sexual Minority Youth
Network (JASMYN)
New York, NY
\$259,750


Rush University Medical Center
Chicago, IL
\$240,000


Equality Michigan
Detroit, MI
\$195,286


Positive Results Corporation
Gardena, CA
\$138,000
Center On Halsted
Chicago, IL
\$136,535


Outfront Kalamazoo
Kalamazoo, MI
\$132,100


Equality California Institute
Los Angeles, CA
\$126,000

[^16]In 2020, community foundations awarded $\$ 10.7$ million ( 5 percent) to LGBTQ communities and issues (or $\$ 10.1$ million, 5 percent, after funds awarded for the purpose of regranting are excluded).

## Top Community Funders (2020)



San Francisco Foundation
San Francisco, CA
\$1,942,817
2
The Chicago Community Trust
Chicago, IL
\$1,174,491
3 Oregon Community Foundation
Portland, OR
\$899,846
4 Seattle Foundation
Seattle, WA
\$863,040


California Community Foundation
Los Angeles, CA
\$751,850


Philadelphia Foundation
Philadelphia, PA
\$648,822
7
Boston Foundation
Boston, MA
\$535,028
8
Community Foundation for
Southeast Michigan
Detroit, MI
\$530,195


Greater Seattle Business Association
Seattle, WA
\$500,000
10
Community Foundation of Broward
Fort Lauderdale, FL \$485,632

[^17]Top Community Grantees (2020)

1 Community Initiatives
Oakland, CA
\$815,530
2
Los Angeles LGBT Center
Los Angeles, CA
\$459,100
Athlete Ally
New York, NY
\$300,000
(4) Chinese For Affirmative Action

San Francisco, CA
\$269,787
(5)

Center On Halsted
Chicago, IL
\$221,450
6
Equality Illinois Institute
Chicago, IL
$\$ 220,250$
7
Equality Michigan
Detroit, MI
\$216,866
8 Center For Community Solutions
San Diego, CA
\$200,000
Ingersoll Gender Center
Seattle, WA
\$195,000
10
St James Infirmary
San Francisco, CA
\$175,000
*This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

# CORPORATE FOUNDATION GRANTMAKING FOR U.S.: AND INTERNATIONAL LGBTQ COMMUNITIES \& ISSUES 

In 2019, corporate foundations awarded \$40.7 million (19 percent) to LGBTQ communities and issues (or \$39.9 million, 21 percent, after funds awarded for the purpose of regranting are excluded).

## Top Corporate Funders (2019)

Gilead Sciences
Foster City, CA
\$29,662,791
2
Wells Fargo
San Francisco, CA
\$3,980,335

M.A.C. AIDS Fund

New York, NY
\$2,907,763


ViiV Healthcare
Research Triangle, NC
\$2,515,087


Levi Strauss Foundation
San Francisco, CA
\$970,000


Citi Foundation
Long Island City, NY
\$250,000


Polk Brothers Foundation
Chicago, IL
\$180,000


Grindr for Equality
Los Angeles, CA
\$100,000
Bristol Myers Squibb
New York, NY
\$72,244


Ideal Industries Foundation
Sycamore, IL
\$12,000

## Top Corporate Grantees (2019)



GLAAD
New York, NY
\$7,201,001
2
Gay Men's Health Crisis (GMHC)
New York, NY
\$2,040,500


San Francisco AIDS Foundation
San Francisco, CA
\$1,515,000


Transgender Law Center
Oakland, CA
\$1,461,854


Movement Strategy Center
Oakland, CA
\$1,340,285


## Social Good Fund

Richmond, CA
\$1,116,850
7 Destination Tomorrow
New York, NY
\$1,108,148
Amida Care
New York, NY
\$800,000
9
Multicultural AIDS Coalition
Roxbury, MA
\$750,000

## Abounding Prosperity <br> Dallas, TX <br> \$569,600

[^18][^19]In 2020, corporate foundations awarded \$42.2 million (18 percent) to LGBTQ communities and issues (or $\$ 37.4$ million, 19 percent, after funds awarded for the purpose of regranting are excluded).

## Top Corporate Funders (2020)

1 Gilead Sciences
Foster City, CA
\$34,491,477
2
M.A.C. AIDS Fund

New York, NY
\$3,308,287


Wells Fargo
San Francisco, CA
\$2,507,505
4
Bristol Myers Squibb
New York, NY
\$1,232,929
5
The Estee Lauder Companies
Charitable Foundation
New York, NY
\$281,970
6
Polk Brothers Foundation
Chicago, IL
\$170,000
7
Blue Shield of California Foundation
San Francisco, CA
\$100,000
8
Dow Jones Foundation
New York, NY
\$65,000
9
Synchrony Foundation
Stamford, Connecticut
\$23,750


The Centene Charitable Foundation
Saint Louis, MO
\$20,000
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## Top Corporate Grantees (2020)

## 1 GLAAD

New York, NY
$\$ 6,350,000$
2 Wake Forest University
Winston-Salem, NC
$\$ 5,000,000$
3
Practice Point Communications
Oldsmar, FL
\$1,624,000
4 Gay Men's Health Crisis (GMHC)
New York, NY
\$1,468,660
5
Transgender Law Center
Oakland, CA
\$1,411,050
( Postgraduate Institute For Medicine
Englewood, CO
\$814,955


The Trevor Project
West Hollywood, CA
\$775,000
8
Human Rights Campaign Foundation
Washington, DC
\$640,000
The Translatin@ Coalition
Los Angeles, CA
\$600,000
10

## GLSEN

New York, NY
\$500,000

[^21]
# PRIVATE FOUNDATION GRANTMAKING FOR U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL LGBTQ COMMUNITIES \& ISSUES 

In 2019, private foundations awarded $\$ 86.7$ million ( 40 percent) to LGBTQ communities and issues (or $\$ 75.4$ million, 39 percent, after funds awarded for the purpose of regranting are excluded).
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## Top Private Grantees (2019)

Funders For LGBTQ Issues
New York, NY
\$1,898,000
2 Freedom For All Americans
Washington, DC
\$1,495,000
3
Southerners On New Ground (SONG)
Atlanta, GA
\$1,125,000
4 GSA Network
Oakland, CA
\$1,100,518
5
Transgender Law Center
Oakland, CA
\$1,078,500


Astraea Lesbian Foundation for Justice
New York, NY
\$1,010,000
National Center For Lesbian Rights (NCLR)
San Francisco, CA
\$951,000
Movement Advancement Project
Boulder, CO
\$905,000
Unite For Reproductive And Gender Equity
9
(URGE)
Washington, DC
\$845,000
Regents Of The University Of California
Oakland, CA
\$820,000
*This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

In 2020, private foundations awarded $\$ 83.2$ million (36 percent) to LGBTQ communities and issues (or $\$ 69.4$ million, 35 percent, after funds awarded for the purpose of regranting are excluded).

| Top Private Funders (2020) |
| :---: |
| 1 Ford Foundation New York, NY \$16,588,400 |
| 2 Arcus Foundation New York, NY \$13,460,000 |
| 3 Gill Foundation Denver, CO \$7,880,000 |
| 4 Open Society Foundations New York, NY \$6,973,248 |
| 5 Foundation for a Just Society New York, NY $\$ 6,415,000$ |
| 6 Evelyn and Walter Haas, Jr. Fund San Francisco, CA \$4,764,000 |
| 7 The William and Flora Hewlett Foundation Menlo Park, CA \$3,128,524 |
| 8 John D. \& Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation Chicago, IL \$2,890,000 |
| 9 The California Endowment Los Angeles, CA \$2,823,037 |
| 10 <br> The Colorado Health Foundation Denver, CO \$1,387,513 |
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## Top Private Grantees (2020)



National LGBTQ Task Force
Washington, DC
\$2,760,500
2
Freedom For All Americans
Washington, DC
\$2,493,000


Transgender Law Center
Oakland, CA
\$2,072,500
4
Astraea Lesbian Foundation for Justice
New York, NY
\$1,281,800
5
Funders For LGBTQ Issues
New York, NY
\$1,243,500


GSA Network
Oakland, CA
\$1,240,000


Fresh Meat Productions
San Francisco, CA
\$1,210,000
8
Southerners On New Ground (SONG)
Atlanta, GA
\$1,065,000
National Center For Lesbian Rights (NCLR)
San Francisco, CA
\$1,005,500


Sociedad Mexicana Pro Derechos De La
Mujer, A.C.
Mexico City, Mexico
\$1,000,000

[^24]
# PUBLIC FOUNDATION GRANTMAKING FOR U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL LGBTQ COMMUNITIES \& ISSUES 

In 2019, public foundations awarded $\$ 49.6$ million ( 23 percent) to LGBTQ communities and issues (or $\$ 44.0$ million, 23 percent, after funds awarded for the purpose of regranting are excluded).

Top Public Funders (2019)


Tides Foundation
San Francisco, CA
\$6,606,126


Elton John AIDS Foundation
New York, NY
\$5,747,814


Astraea Lesbian Foundation for Justice
New York, NY
\$4,307,436


AIDS United
Washington, DC
\$2,991,250


Groundswell Fund
Oakland, CA
\$2,884,550


Borealis Philanthropy
Minneapolis, MN
\$2,742,560


Horizons Foundation
San Francisco, CA
\$2,225,814


Pride Foundation
Seattle, WA
\$2,128,740


American Jewish World Service
New York, NY
\$1,695,815


Our Fund
Wilton Manors, FL
\$1,454,583

Top Public Grantees (2019) ${ }^{14}$
1
The Trevor Project
West Hollywood, CA
\$1,602,500
2 AIDS United
Washington, DC
\$1,058,600


Human Rights Campaign
Washington, DC
\$920,000
4 The Future Perfect Project
Kingston, NY
\$750,000


The Human Rights Campaign Foundation
Washington, DC
\$610,000


Hetrick-Martin Institute (HMI)
New York, NY
\$488,750


Racial Justice Action Center (RJAC)
Atlanta, GA
\$421,500
8
Southerners On New Ground (SONG)
Atlanta, GA
\$400,500
(9) Freedom, Inc

Madison, WI
\$337,850
Funders For LGBTQ Issues
New York, NY
\$299,947
*This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

[^25]In 2020, public foundations awarded $\$ 63.1$ million ( 27 percent) to LGBTQ communities and issues (or $\$ 55.9$ million, 28 percent, after funds awarded for the purpose of regranting are excluded).

| Top Public Funders (2020) |
| :---: |
| 1 Tides Foundation San Francisco, CA \$13,827,476 |
| Elton John AIDS Foundation <br> 2 New York, NY \$7,149,732 |
| 3 Astraea Lesbian Foundation for Justice New York, NY \$6,292,717 |
| 4 AIDS United Washington, DC \$4,950,000 |
| 5 Groundswell Fund Oakland, CA \$3,758,901 |
| 6 Borealis Philanthropy Minneapolis, MN \$3,243,010 |
| 7 Horizons Foundation San Francisco, CA \$3,149,063 |
| 8 American Jewish World Service New York, NY \$1,857,977 |
| 9 Our Fund Foundation Wilton Manors, FL \$1,852,519 |
| 10 <br> Pride Foundation Seattle, WA \$1,831,850 |

*This table includes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

Top Public Grantees (2020) ${ }^{15}$

1 Marsha P. Johnson Institute
Columbus, OH
\$1,841,900
2
National Black Justice Coalition
Washington, DC
\$1,643,000
3
The Trevor Project
West Hollywood, CA
\$1,397,120
4 Human Rights Campaign
Washington, DC
\$975,000
5
AIDS United
Washington, DC
\$720,700
6 Southerners On New Ground (SONG)
Atlanta, GA
\$676,500
7 Belong To Youth Services
Dublin, Ireland
\$566,691
8
All Out Action Fund
New York, NY
\$495,961
9
Freedom, Inc
Madison, WI
\$449,900
1 Williams Institute
Los Angeles, CA
\$434,415
*This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.
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## METHODOLOGY

This report describes the amount and type of giving by U.S. foundations for LGBTQ communities and issues, including subsets of the community (e.g. transgender women, LGBTQ youth). The report does not include grants to organizations or projects that are generally inclusive of LGBTQ people.

The data were collected in three ways: foundations could submit grant-level information for each year using a template provided by Funders for LGBTQ Issues, grants could be found through a keyword search of a dataset of approximately 62,000 990 submissions for the years 2019 and 2020 (obtained from Open990), or grants could be identified using published annual reports. The dataset was deduplicated by funder name; in other words, if funders submitted directly, their grants were not included in searches of 990s or online searches. Multi-year grants are counted in full in the year they were awarded. This method best reflects a foundation's priorities in the year of reporting, though does contribute to fluctuations from year to year. Grants were included in the dataset if foundations indicated in the grant description (or by selecting LGBTQ population categories in the 2020 template) that they were awarded for the specific purpose of supporting LGBTQ communities or issues. Grants that lacked specificity in their grant description could also be included in the dataset if they were awarded to an organization whose mission was solely focused on LGBTQ communities or issues (methods for identifying these missions were slightly different in 2019 vs. 2020).

Grants were assigned to LGBTQ populations (e.g. asexual, lesbian etc.), other populations (e.g. Black, youth), issues, and strategies using keyword searches of grant descriptions and grantee missions. Where keywords indicated that grants had multiple LGBTQ populations, multiple other populations, multiple issues, or multiple strategies, grant amounts were weighted by the inverse of the number of populations (or issues or strategies) the grant addressed. For details on how population, issue, and strategy weights were created, please contact the authors of the report.
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[^0]:    *Inflation numbers are based on the Bureau of Labor Statistics Consumer Price Index. This figure excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

[^1]:    (2) In contrast to other sections of this report, these lists of top funders - and all subsequent top funder lists - include dollars awarded for regranting, so as to capture the full amount of funding flowing from (or through) each funder.

[^2]:    (4) This list excludes anonymous donors. Had we included them, a single anonymous donor would top the list of $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ donors, awarding $\mathbf{\$ 3 4 , 8 6 1 , 2 5 0}$ in $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$.
    (5) If named in this list, a single anonymous donor would appear as number ten on this list, with 139 grants in 2020.

[^3]:    (6) In 2019, multiple anonymous grantees received a total of $\$ 4.2$ million benefitting LGBTQ communities. If we combined anonymous grantees, they would be \#2 on this list.

[^4]:    (7) In 2020, multiple anonymous grantees received a total of $\$ 3.4$ million benefitting LGBTQ communities. If we combined anonymous grantees, they would be \#4 on this list.

[^5]:    (9) If included in this list of top donors, a single anonymous donor would appear as the second-largest donor on this list, with \$19.7 million in domestic funding in 2019.
     be sixth on this list.

[^6]:    *This table includes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

[^7]:    *This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

[^8]:    *This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

[^9]:    *This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

[^10]:    *This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

[^11]:    *This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

[^12]:    *This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting

[^13]:    (12) For 10 percent of grants, we were not able to identify an issue area through automated coding or manual review. Grants coded to multiple issues were weighted with the inverse of the number of issues to which they were coded; for example, if a grant was coded to economic issues and to education and safe schools ( 2 issues), each category included $1 / 2$ ( $50 \%$ ) of the funding for that grant. COVID funding is not included in this weighting; for example, if a grant was coded to economic issues, education and safe schools, and COVID, then economic issues and education and safe schools would each include $1 / 2(50 \%)$ of the funding for that grant and COVID would receive $100 \%$ of funding for that grant.

[^14]:    *This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

[^15]:    (13) For $11 \%$ of grants, we were not able to identify a strategy through automated coding or manual review. Grants coded to multiple strategies were weighted with the inverse of the number of strategies to which they were coded; for example, if a grant was coded to advocacy and to capacity building ( 2 issues), each category included $1 / 2$ ( $50 \%$ ) of the funding for that grant.

[^16]:    *This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

[^17]:    *This table includes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

[^18]:    *This table includes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting

[^19]:    *This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

[^20]:    *This table includes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

[^21]:    *This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

[^22]:    *This table includes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

[^23]:    *This table includes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

[^24]:    *This table excludes funds awarded for the purpose of regranting.

[^25]:    (14) In 2019, multiple anonymous grantees received a total of $\$ 4.2$ million from public foundations. If we combined anonymous grantees, they would be \#1 on this list.

[^26]:    (15) In 2020, multiple anonymous grantees received a total of $\$ 3.4$ million from public foundations. If we combined anonymous grantees, they would be \#1 on this list.

