#### pISSN 2320-6071 | eISSN 2320-6012

# **Original Research Article**

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2320-6012.ijrms20204869

# Evaluation of the relationship of disease activity with neutrophile to lymphocyte ratio and platelet to lymphocyte ratio in patients with peptic ulcer and gastritis

# Burcu Gulbagci<sup>1</sup>, Fettah Sametoglu<sup>2</sup>, Hasret Cengiz<sup>3</sup>, Ceyhun Varim<sup>4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, <sup>3</sup>Department of Endocrinology, <sup>4</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Sakarya University Research and Education Hospital, Sakarya, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Health Sciences University Istanbul Training and Research Hospital, İstanbul, Turkey

Received: 07 September 2020 Accepted: 08 October 2020

\*Correspondence: Dr. Ceyhun Varim,

E-mail: ceyhunvarim@yahoo.com

**Copyright:** © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# ABSTRACT

**Background:** Dyspepsia is a confusing complaint in terms of patient approach and management. Since the most important clinical diagnoses that occur with dyspeptic complaints are gastroduodenitis, peptic ulcer disease and gastric-esophageal cancers, endoscopic evaluation method is often preferred in the diagnosis. It has been shown that NLR (neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio) can be an important marker in many chronic systemic diseases, especially malignancies. Since upper gastrointestinal endoscopy is an invasive and costly procedure, NLR and PLR (Platelet to lymphocyte ratio) may be useful as a new marker to help in the diagnosis of gastritis and peptic ulcer. In our study, we aimed to investigate how NLR and PLR changes seen in patients with gastritis and peptic ulcer diseases.

**Methods:** A total of 906 patients who applied to the internal medicine clinic of our hospital between January 2016 and September 2017 were included in the study. The demographic data, drugs, endoscopy and biopsy results and hemogram parameters of the patients were obtained from the outpatient clinic records. NLR and PLR values were calculated and statistically interpreted.

**Results:** According to the endoscopy results, a total of 906 patients with 311 (34.3%) gastritis, 288 (31.8%) peptic ulcer and 307 (33.9%) normal findings were included in to the study. Male to female ratios were 55% to 45% in gastritis patients, 55,6% to 44,4% in peptic ulcer patients and 53,4% to 46,6% in normal subjects. The median age of the patients was 50 years (18-82 years). The median NLR and PLR ratios were 1.82 (0.54-8.35) and 107.8 (46.27-446.43) in the gastritis group, 1.9 (0.61-9.86) in the peptic ulcer group and 110.95 (44.03-340.65) and 1.71 (0.56-12.89) and 106.02 (40.41-397.62) in the normal group. While a significant difference was obtained between the groups in terms of NLR ((p=0.048), no significant difference was found for PLR (p=0.422).

**Conclusions:** In our study, a statistical significant difference was found between patients with gastritis and peptic ulcer and normal subjects in terms of NLR, but this difference was not at a level that could be used in clinical practice.

Keywords: Gastritis, Peptic ulcer, Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, Platelet to lymphocyte ratio

# **INTRODUCTION**

Dyspepsia is a set of symptoms that includes complaints such as discomfort and pain in the epigastric region, indigestion, fullness, bloating, early satiety, burning, nausea, and belching. The prevalence of dyspepsia is between 25-40 %.<sup>1</sup> The causes of dyspepsia are mainly functional (60%) and organic (40%). Major organic causes are gastroesophageal reflux disease (GORD) gastroduodenitis, peptic ulcer disease (PUD), upper

gastrointestinal system malignancies, hepatobiliary disorders: drug use and dietary reasons. In a study performed with upper gastrointestinal endoscopy in 3926 dyspeptic patients; 2,664 (67.3%) of them had normal endoscopic findings; however gastroduodenitis or peptic ulcer was found in 1.202 (30.6%) and upper gastrointestinal malignancy in 82(2.1%) of the patients.<sup>2</sup> The fact that they present with the same complaints as patients with malignancy shows the importance of accurate and early diagnosis. Although many new disease-specific biomarkers have been identified in recent years, most of these markers are timeconsuming and expensive. Peripheral blood cells undergo numerical and functional changes in response to inflammation.<sup>3</sup> Based on these changes, a number of inflammatory biological markers such as monocyte to lymphocyte ratio (MLR), neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and platelet to lymphocyte ratio (PLR) have been investigated in order to predict the activity and prognosis in various imflammatory gastrointestinal diseases and cancers.<sup>4</sup> These markers can be easily available from the peripheral blood count and also cheap.

patients Most with dyspeptic complaints are endoscopically normal. However, the fact that treatable causes such as gastritis and PUD are seen in approximately one third of the patients and the risk of malignancy necessitates the cheap, easy, practical and less invasive procedure for patients. The effectiveness of NLR and PLR as an indicator of inflammation in many malignancies and chronic diseases has been proven. In our study we aimed to investigate whether the NLR and PLR values of the patients are associated with gastritis and peptic ulcer activity that detected endoscopically.

# **METHODS**

This study was conducted in the Internal Medicine Clinic of Health Sciences University Istanbul Training and Research Hospital. Patients who applied to the internal medicine outpatient clinic with various dyspeptic evaluated complaints and were with upper gastrointestinal (GIS) endoscopy for further examination were included in the study. The data of the patients were found by scanning the patient files. Endoscopy procedures were performed in the internal medicine endoscopy unit and biopsy materials evaluated in the pathology clinic. A total of 906 patients who applied to our outpatient clinic between January 2016 and September 2017 and met the inclusion criteria were included in the study. Inclusion criterias are; being over 18 years old, patients whose complete blood count is determined within one month before upper GIS endoscopy and finding the accessible pathology result of the endoscopy procedure. The exclusion criterias are; patients who have had upper GIS endoscopy due to gastrointestinal bleeding. Patients with active infection, drug use (steroids, chemotherapeutics, etc.), active malignancy, connective tissue disease, end-stage renal failure and chronic liver disease and patients who have passed more than a month after their complete blood count obtained and children and pregnant women.

Demographic data, endoscopic results, presence chronic inflammation and helicobacter pylori infection in pathology, use of aspirin, NSAID and other drugs and hemogram parameters were recorded.

NLR parameter was calculated by proportioning the neutrophil and lymphocyte counts and PLR parameter was calculated by proportioning thrombocyte and lymphocyte counts.

SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) 24.0 for Windows program was used for the statistical analysis of the data. Descriptive statistics were made. Median (minmax) and ratio values were used in descriptive statistics. Kruskal-Wallis, Mann Whitney U test were used in the analysis of quantitative independent data, Chi-square test was used in the analysis of qualitative independent data, and Fischer test was used when Chi-square test conditions were not met. P value <0.05 was used as the significance value.

# RESULTS

A total of 906 patients with 311 (34.3%) gastritis, 288 (31.8%) peptic ulcer and 307 (33.9%) normal findings were included in the study. Four hundred and ninety five (54.6%) of the patients were male and 411 (45.4%) were female. The male / female ratio was 55% / 45% in gastritis patients, 55.6% / 44.4% in peptic ulcer patients and 53.4% / 46.6% in subjects with normal endoscopic findings. The mean age of the gastritis and peptic ulcer patient group was 50 years (min-max; 18-82 years) and subjects with normal endoscopy was 51 years (18-82 years). No significant difference was found in terms of age and gender between the groups (p = 0.862 and p=0,942 respectively). Of the peptic ulcer patients, 147 (51.4%) had ulcers localized in the stomach, 129 (45.1%) in the duodenum, and 10 (3.5%) both in the stomach and duodenum. There were accompanying duodenitis in 52 (16.7%) of the gastritis patients. Helicobacter Pylori positivity in 206 (66.2%) of gastritis patients; It was detected in 213 (74.5%) of the peptic ulcer patients and a statistically significant difference was observed between the two groups (p=0.028). Inflammation was mildmoderate in 287 (93.2%) of gastritis patients and severe in 21 (6.8%); It was mild-moderate in 261 (91.6%) of ulcer patients, and severe in 24 (8.4%) (p=0,461) (Table 1).

Details of the hemogram parameters of patients with peptic ulcer gastritis and normal endoscopic findings are given in Table 2.

Median NLR was 1.82 (min-max; 0.54-8.35) in the gastritis group, 1.9 (min-max; 0.61-9.86) in the ulcer group and 1.71 in patients with normal endoscopic

findings (min-max; 0.56-12.89) (p=0.048). When the groups were compared in terms of NLR, there was a significant difference between the gastritis and peptic ulcer patient group and the normal group (p=0.084 and 0.018), while there was no significant difference between the two patient groups (p=0.455).

The median PLR in the gastritis group was 107.8 (46.27-446.43), in the ulcer group 110.95 (44.03-340.65), and in patients with normal endoscopic findings 106.02 (40.41-397.62) (p=0.422). When gastritis, peptic ulcer, and normal groups were compared in terms of PLR, no statistically significant difference was found between the three groups (p=0.993, p=0.260 and p=0.246 respectively) (Table 2).

#### Table 1: General characteristics of patient groups.

Patient charasteristics	Gastritis	Peptic ulcer	Normal	P value		
Patient number (N)	311	288	307			
Median age (min-max) (year)	50 (18-82)	50 (18-82)	51 (18-82)	0,942		
Gender N (%)						
Male	171 (55%)	160 (55.6%)	164 (53.4%)			
Female	140 (45%)	128 (44.4%)	143 (46.6%)	0,862		
Endoscopic localisation N (%)						
Gastric	259 (83.3%)	147 (51.4%)		0,000		
Duodenum	52 (16.7%)	129 (45.1%)				
Gastric+duodenum	-	10 (3.5%)				
H. Pylori positivity N (%)						
Positive	206 (66.2%)	213 (74.5%)		0,028		
Negative	105 (33.8%)	73 (25.5%)				
Chronic imflammation level N (%)						
Mild	287 (93.2%)	261 (91.6%)		0,461		
Severe	21 (6.8%)	24 (%8.4)				

#### Table 2: Hemogram parameters of the groups.

Hemogram parameters median (min-max)	Gastritis (n=311)	Peptic ulcer (n=288)	Normal (n=307)	P value
Wbc (10 <sup>9</sup> /l)	7.0 (3.61-12.62)	7.21 (2.86-14.07)	7.0 (3.29-13.72)	0,182
Hemoglobin (gr/dl)	13.7 (6.9-18.2)	13.4 (5.9-18.0)	13.2 (6.2-17.9)	0,002
Platelet (10 <sup>9</sup> /l)	246 (118-478)	247 (113-479)	244 (107-457)	0,697
Neutrophile (10 <sup>9</sup> /l)	4.07 (1.62-9.24)	4.18 (1.52-9.34)	3.84 (1.53-10.7)	0,038
Lympocyte (10 <sup>9</sup> /l)	2.25 (0.56-4.65)	2.19 (0.78-5.98)	2.21 (0.8-5.33)	0,857
MPV (fl)	10.4 (7.4-14.4)	10.4 (7.8-13.1)	10.4 (8.3-13.9)	0,814
Neutrophil to lympocyte ratio	1.82 (0.54-8.35)	1.90 (0.61-9.86)	1.71 (0.56-12.89)	0,048
Platelet to lympocyte ratio	107.8 (46.27-446.43)	110.95 (44.03-340.65)	106.02 (40.41-397.62)	0,422

For the NLR value; 1.7 is determined as the treshold value according to the current literature.<sup>5-7</sup> One hundred and twenty nine (32.4%) of 398 patients had gastritis, 115 (28.9%) had gastric ulcers and 154 (38.7%) had normal findings with NLR $\leq$ 1.7. However; of the 508 patients with NLR>1.7, 182 (35.8%) had gastritis, 173 (34.1%) had ulcers, and 153 (30.1%) had normal findings

(p=0.024) (Table 3). When the groups were compared in pairs, a statistically significant difference was found between the two patient groups and the normal group while there was no significant difference between the two patient groups (p=0,030 between the normal and gastritic group p=0.012 between the normal and ulcer groups; p=0.700 was found between the gastritis and ulcer

group). When the cut-off value was taken as 2; of 556 patients with NLR $\leq$ 2;190 (34.2%) had gastritis, 161 (29%) had ulcers, and 205 (36.9%) had normal findings and of 350 patients with NLR> 2, 121 (34.6%) had gastritis, 127 (36.3%) had ulcers, and 102 (29.1%) had normal findings (p = 0.024) (Table 3). When the groups were compared according to this threshold value, a significant change was found only in peptic ulcer patients (p=0,006) When the cut-off value was taken as 3; 274 (33.9%) of 809 patients had gastritis, 250 (30.9%) had ulcers and 285 (35.2%) had normal findings. Of 97 patients with NLR> 3; 37 (38.1%) had gastritis, 38 (39.2%) had ulcers, and 22 (22.7%) had normal findings (p=0.042) (Table 3). When the groups were compared in pairs over this threshold value, a significant difference

was found between both patient groups and normals, while no significant difference was found between the peptic ulcer and gastritis groups (p=0,045, p=0,015, and p=0,632 respectively).

The patient groups were compared, taking 106 as the PLR threshold value. Of 433 patients with PLR<106, 152 (35.1%) had gastritis, 129 (29.8%) had ulcers and 152 (35.1%) had normal endoscopic findings; of 471 patients with PLR $\geq$ 106, 159 (33.8%) had gastritis, 159 (33.8%) had ulcers, and 153 (32.5%) had normal findings (p=0.429). When the groups were compared in terms of PLR value, there was no significant difference between all three groups (p=0,811, p=0,219 and p=0,317 respectively) (Table 3).

Table 3: Comparison of the groups according to various NLR and PLR cut off values.

Cut off value	Gastritis N (%)	Peptic ulcer N (%)	Normal N (%)	P value	
NLR (≤1.7) (n:398)	129 (32.4%)	115 (28.9%)	154 (38.7%)	P:0.024	
NLR (>1.7) (n=508)	182 (35.8%)	173 (34.1%)	153 (30.1%)	P:0.024	
NLR (≤2) (n=556)	190 (34.2%)	161 (29%)	205 (36.9%)	P:0.024	
NLR (>2) (n=350)	121 (34.6%)	127 (36.3%)	102 (29.1%)	F.0.024	
NLR (≤3) (n=809)	274 (33.9%)	250 (30.9%)	285 (35.2%)	P:0.042	
NLR (>3) (n=97)	37 (38.1%)	38 (39.2%)	22 (22.7%)	P:0.042	
PLR (≤106) (n=433)	152 (35.1%)	129 (29.8%)	152 (35.1%)	P:0.429	
PLR (>106) (n=471)	159 (33.8%)	159 (33.8%)	153 (32.5%)	F.0.429	

## DISCUSSION

Since the most important clinical diagnoses emerging as dyspepsia are gastric-esophageal cancers and peptic ulcer disease, the first consideration in approaching these patients is whether they have or not the alarm symptoms. Onset of complaints over 55 years old, unexplained weight loss, progressive dysphagia, presence of a family history of gastric cancer should be considered as alarm symptoms.<sup>1,8</sup> While the primary approach is to evaluate with upper GIS endoscopy in patients with alarm symptoms, upper GIS endoscopy is frequently preferred in the management of dyspepsia in patients without alarm symptoms and in cases of H. Pylori positivity.9 Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio and platelet to lymphocyte ratio are the parameters with proven efficacy as a simple, cheap and reliable indicator of inflammation that can be obtained from complete blood count.<sup>10</sup> The prognostic and predictive value of NLR and PLR in many malignancies such as pancreas, colon, stomach, breast, hepatocellular carcinoma has been demonstrated.11-13 It has also been shown that NLR can be an important marker in showing the exacerbation and progression of systemic diseases such as restless legs syndrome, subacute thyroiditis, Behçet's disease.<sup>14-16</sup>

In a study in which NLR was evaluated as a non-invasive marker to predict advanced stage disease in patients with chronic hepatitis B, no difference was found between the patients and the healthy control group. However a negative and significant difference was found with the Histological Activity Index (HAI) obtained from the biopsy.<sup>17</sup>

In many studies in patients with stomach cancer, it has been shown that the pre-operative NLR is both correlated with the disease activity and stage. The NLR median values of the patients in these studies were 2.58, 2.95 and 2.7, and these values have been shown to be significant in terms of determining prognosis.<sup>18-20</sup> These values are higher than patients with gastritis and peptic ulcer with normal endoscopy included in our study. Although we did not include the gastric cancer patients in our study and because of it we don't know the negative predictive value of NLR; calculation of the NLR value and especially high detection in elderly patients who cannot tolerate endoscopy but are symptomatic can be evaluated carefully in terms of malignancy.

The platelet to lymphocyte value also has been shown as a simple, inexpensive and easily available inflammation indicator in many types of cancer and in chronic diseases.<sup>11,21-23</sup> There are also studies in which the prognostic significance cannot be demonstrated.<sup>22-24</sup> In our study, when the patient groups were compared in terms of PLR treshold value as 106; no significant difference was found between the groups and the NLR value was found to be more significant than PLR. In the study conducted by Gao et al.; the NLR value was found to be more significant, as in our study.<sup>25</sup> The other complete blood count parameters such as total leukocyte count, thrombocyte count were not found statistically significant. In a study similar to our study, Güçlü et al. found these hemogram parameters nonsignificant in patients with helicobacter pylori positive and negative gastritis.<sup>26</sup> Changes with inflammation in parameters other than NLR and PLR are contradictory, and new large randomised controlled studies are needed to be used as a noninvasive marker.

#### CONCLUSION

In our current study; a significant difference was found between the NLR values of patients with gastritis and peptic ulcer and patients with normal endoscopic findings, but this difference was not at a level that could be used in clinical practice. For PLR, no statistically significant difference was found between those with peptic ulcer and gastritis and endoscopically normal subjects. The prognostic importance of NLR in patients with gastric cancer has been shown in many studies, and a more comprehensive study by including patients with gastric cancer will be more useful for clinical practice.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

*Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee* 

### REFERENCES

- 1. Talley NJ, Goodsall T, Potter M. Functional dyspepsia. Aust Prescr. 2017;40(6):209-13.
- 2. Lee SW, Chang CS, Yeh HJ, Lien HC, Lee TY, Penga YC. The Diagnostic Value of Alarm Features for Identifying Types and Stages of Upper Gastrointestinal Malignancies. Gastroenterol. Res. 2017;10(2):120-5.
- Grivennikov SI, Greten FR, Karin M. Immunity, inflammation, and cancer. Cell. 2010; 19;140(6):883-99.
- 4. Bancroft AJ, Abel EW, Mclaren M, Belch JJ. Mean platelet volume is a useful parameter: a reproducible routine method using a modified Coulter thrombocytometer. Platelets. 2000;11(7):379-87.
- 5. Hirashima M, Higuchi S, Sakamoto K, Nishiyama T, Okada H. The ratio of neutrophils to lymphocytes and the phenotypes of neutrophils in patients with early gastric cancer. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 1998;124(6):329-34.
- 6. Rashid F, Waraich N, Bhatti I, Saha S, Khan RN, Ahmed J et al. A pre-operative elevated neutrophil: lymphocyte ratio does not predict survival from oesophageal cancer resection. World J Surg Oncol. 2010;8:1.
- Shimada H, Takiguchi N, Kainuma O, Soda H, Ikeda A, Cho A et al. High preoperative neutrophillymphocyte ratio predicts poor survival in patients with gastric cancer. Gastric Cancer. 2010;13(3):170-6.

- 8. Talley NJ, Ford AC. Functional Dyspepsia. N Engl J Med. 2015;373(19):1853-63.
- 9. Delaney BC, Wilson S, Roalfe A. Randomised controlled trial of Helicobacter pylori testing and endoscopy for dyspepsia in primary care. BMJ. 2001;322:898-901.
- Templeton AJ, McNamara MG, Šeruga B, Vera-Badillo FE, Aneja P, Ocaña A, et al. Prognostic role of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in solid tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2014;106(6):124.
- 11. Zheng J, Cai J, Li H, Zeng K, He L, Fu H, et al. Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio and Platelet to Lymphocyte Ratio as Prognostic Predictors for Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients with Various Treatments: a Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2017;44(3):967-81.
- 12. Dell'Aquila E, Cremolini C, Zeppola T, Lonardi S, Bergamo F, Masi G, et al. Prognostic and predictive role of neutrophil/lymphocytes ratio in metastatic colorectal cancer: a retrospective analysis of the TRIBE study by GONO. Ann Oncol. 2018.
- Piciucchi M, Stigliano S, Archibugi L, Zerboni G, Signoretti M, Barucca V et al. The Neutrophil/Lymphocyte Ratio at Diagnosis Is Significantly Associated with Survival in Metastatic Pancreatic Cancer Patients. Int J Mol Sci. 2017;18(4):E730.
- 14. Varım C, Acar BA, Uyanık MS, Acar T, Alagoz N, Nalbant A, et al. Association between the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, a new marker of systemic inflammation, and restless legs syndrome. Singapore Medical J. 2016 57(9), 514.
- 15. Cengiz H, Varim C, Demirci T, Cetin S. Hemogram parameters in the patients with subacute thyroiditis. Pakistan J Medic Sci. 2020;36(2):240.
- Şenel E, Acar B, Demir E. Mean Platelet Volume: A Reliable Marker of Inflammation in Recurrent Apthous Stomatitis and Behçet Disease? Indian Dermatol Online J. 2017;8(6):468-70.
- Celikbilek M, Dogan S, Gursoy S, Zararsız G, Yurci A, Ozbakır O ve ark. Noninvasive assessment of liver damage in chronic hepatitis B. World J Hepatol. 2013;5(8):43945.
- Yamanaka T, Matsumoto S, Teramukai S, Ishiwata R, Nagai Y, Fukushima M. The baseline ratio of neutrophils to lymphocytes is associated with patient prognosis in advanced gastric cancer. Oncol. 2007;73(3-4):215-20.
- 19. Mao M, Wei X, Sheng H, Chi P, Liu Y, Huang X et al. C-reactive protein/albumin and neutrophil/lymphocyte ratios and their combination predict overall survival in patients with gastric cancer. Oncol Lett. 2017;14(6):7417-24.
- Wang SC, Chou JF, Strong VE, Brennan MF, Capanu M, Coit DG. Pretreatment Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio Independently Predicts Diseasespecific Survival in Resectable Gastroesophageal Junction and Gastric Adenocarcinoma. Ann Surg. 2016;263(2):292-7.

- 21. Xu ZS, Zhang FP, Zhang Y, Ou-Yang YP, Yu XW, Wang WL et al. Prognostic role of the pre-treatment platelet-lymphocyte ratio in pancreatic cancer: a meta-analysis. Oncotarget. 2017;8(58):99003-99012.
- 22. Zhao QT, Zhang XP, Zhang H, Duan GC. Prognostic role of platelet to lymphocyte ratio in esophageal cancer: A meta-analysis. Oncotarget. 2017;8(67):112085-112093.
- Varım C, Varim P, Acar BA, Vatan MB, Uyanık MS, Kaya T, Akdemir R. Usefulness of the plateletto-lymphocyte ratio in predicting the severity of carotid artery stenosis in patients undergoing carotid angiography. The Kaohsiung J Medic Sci. 2016; 32(2):86-90.
- 24. Jia-Wei Zhang, Lei Huang, A-Man Xu. Preoperative monocyte-lymphocyte and neutrophillymphocyte but not platelet-lymphocyte ratios are predictive of clinical outcomes in resected patients with nonmetastatic Siewert type II/III adenocarcinoma of

esophagogastric junction: a prospective cohort study (the AMONP corhort) Oncotarget, 2017;34:57516.

- 25. Gao Y, Wang WJ, Zhi Q, Shen M, Jiang M, Bian X et al. Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio is a more sensitive systemic inflammatory response biomarker than platelet/lymphocyte ratio in the prognosis evaluation of unresectable pancreatic cancer. Oncotarget. 2017;8(51):88835-44.
- Guclu M, Faruq Agan A. Association of Severity of Helicobacter pylori Infection with Peripheral Blood Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio and Mean Platelet Volume. Euroasian J Hepatogastroenterol. 2017;7(1):11-6.

**Cite this article as:** Gulbagci B, Sametoglu F, Cengiz H, Varim C. Evaluation of the relationship of disease activity with neutrophile to lymphocyte ratio and platelet to lymphocyte ratio in patients with peptic ulcer and gastritis. Int J Res Med Sci 2020;8:3850-5.