

A Technical Review on Energy Efficient Protocol based on PEGASIS and LEACH

Mr. Tushar Chauhan
Computer Science Department
Shoolini University
Solan, India
tusharchauhan@gmail.com

Ms. Meenakshi Nayyar
Computer Science Department
Shoolini University
Solan, India
meenakshinayyar@shooliniuniversity.com

Abstract— A wireless sensor network (WSN) is a new developing technology that enables users to interconnect without any physical arrangement of their geographical location so that sometimes referred to as an arrangement of fewer networks. An ad-hoc network is an adaptive, self-organizing device in mobile, should be able to detect the presence of any other devices that perform necessary set up to facilitate communication, sharing of data and service.

The Clustering is used for the network lifetime and it is very important method in Mobile AD Hoc Networks. The scheme is used by the cluster head node which plays a very important role inside the transmitting packet process from one cluster to the other or nearest node. The power resource of each sensor node is limited in the cluster. Minimizing energy dissipation and maximizing network lifetime are important issue in the design of routing protocols for sensor networks. This paper proposes a comparison of LEACH and PEGASIS protocol which is intended to balance the energy consumption of the entire network and extend the lifetime of the network.

Keywords- Energy Efficient Protocol, LEACH, PEGASIS, Wireless Sensor Network.

I. INTRODUCTION

Advancements in technologies in the wireless communication system are achievable and successful for creating the wireless sensor networks (WSN) which dwell undersized inclination, devices are assembled subject matter and collaborate with everyone. A wireless detection device is used to make a connection as well as CPU are used for pro information process, recollection used for information retention, series for collection energy, transceiver i.e. receive and transmit communications from one way to other. All-important is the size of each sensor connection that varies with use. If we take an example in military or police investigation WSN is utilized in microscopic small devices. The Cost depends on the used parameter similar to memory size, processing speed and battery (Römer, K., & Mattern, F., 2004).

Nowadays, WSN is very commonly used in commercialized, industrial and surveillance areas. We are capable of using WSN to check a human action. When event induction, the antenna connections intelligence and throw the data to the initial place also called sink in communication connections for present in the scenario. The utilization of WSNs is growing every day as well as it faces some difficulties, the main problem is energy constraints with restricted battery lifetime. Since every connection going on energy for the activities, it is becoming the leading problem in WSNs. There is no success in the process for connection that could be communicating without using Energy. Each connection could be in the dynamic form that has transmittal arrangements along with idle mode. In the active form of connections consume energy when receiving or transmitting data. Here idle manner, connections devour approximately to the similar amount of

energy within the dynamic mode, although in dead mode; connections closure to the radio of keeping the physical phenomenon. Here steps are written that take some methods to save energy by communication process in WSNs (Raghunathan, Vijay, et al., 2002).

- I. To agenda the state of the connections (transmission, receiving, idle or sleep)
- II. To varying the transmission range linking the sensing connections
- III. By means of proficient routing and data collecting methods
- IV. To Avoid the managing of unnecessary data as in the case of overhearing

II. COMPARISON BETWEEN PEGASIS & LEACH

This phase exemplifies an abstract scrutiny of the two routing protocols – LEACH and PEGASIS. Each of the routing protocols are class-conscious assortment, i.e., few connections are given priority over the others. In LEACH native processing happens at mere affiliations known as cluster-heads and at last mixture information is transmitted to the sink connection. Whereas in PEGASIS no information assortment happens. LEACH is cluster-based hierarchy whereas PEGASIS could be a series-based approach. Once we check the network life spam, PEGASIS offers extended life spam of the network as there's a balance operative organization. The quantity of affiliation deaths in PEGASIS is lesser as compared to LEACH. Here a comparison of LEACH and PEGASIS on the idea of assorted parameter is shown. All connections are distributed indiscriminately within the simulation space of 40m X 40m.

Table I. Relative Table for LEACH and PEGASIS

Parameter	LEACH	PEGASIS
Type of protocol	Hierarchical	Hierarchical
Network Lifetime	High	Very high
Data Aggregation	Yes	No
Power Consumption	High	Maximum
Overhead	High	Low
Data Delivery Model	Cluster-based	Chain-based
QoS	No	No
Specified Path	Yes	Yes
Scalable	Yes	Yes
Query Based	No	No

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Since PEGASIS came into the state the network lifetime has been getting an accordant change with the assorted optimization method being adopted for the leader connectedness selection or for the selection of the routing path. Various works have been done on making the PEGASIS successful enough to satisfy the demand of the ongoing research utilizes which are congenial in employing the series based topology in the network.

(YU Yong-chang and WEI Gang, 2008) proposed an algorithm to build chain by adopting a threshold distance to decrease the formation of long link. It selects the leader by considering both the residual energy of nodes and the distance between node and base station and adjusts the reselection frequency of leader according to remaining nodes in the network. The results show that the proposed method has better performance than PEGASIS.

(Feng Sen, et al., 2011) proposed an algorithmic program to construct series, and uses weighting technique while selecting the leader connection, to facilitate the assignment all connection is weight so as to stand for its suitable level of being a principal which considers residual energy of connections and distance between a connection and base place (BS) as key parameters. The results show that the proposed method has an improved performance than EEPB on corresponding energy utilization and prolonging the duration of Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN).

(Hasan Al-Hasan, et al., 2011) proposed a hierarchical map-reading protocol for place able WSNs. The projected method used a new series building algorithm and series principal election technique that plays a very dangerous role in the energy economy. The results show that this protocol solved

the main difficulty in PEGASIS and also increased both the duration and the output of the WSN.

(Ravinder Kumar and Dr. P. S. Mundra, 2012) used the idea of Beam Star topology to partition the complete sensing field into a number of lighter areas, so that it can create numerous shorter series to decrease the data public contact delay and extra communication path. Thus unimportantly improved the data discharge of the packets as evaluate to the Charon and routing was completed between cluster heads (CH). Network is apart into two parts so that the series leader of the same casing-angle will communicate the data to the next series leader but in the same covering angle in a chronological manner. While the quantity of sensor fundamentals was reduced. So sensing time and power indulgence reduced and data delivery was enhanced.

(Wang Linping, 2010) has proposed an enhanced algorithmic rule of PEGASIS which imbalance the load on each connection and improves the network lifetime. In PEGASIS, there is only one cluster head in each series whereas in PDCH i.e. PEGASIS Double Cluster Head; there are double cluster heads which avoid the long series problem existing in PEGASIS. Simulations have been performed to compute the round of communicating to the percent of deceased connections in each surrounding of communicating. In the future work, PDCH can perform better as compared to EEPB and PEGASIS for raising the system distributed and parallel process.

(Zibouda, 2012) has projected a new protocol i.e. PEGASIS-MH in categorize to reduce the ingestion of energy to enhance the full time of sensor network. PEGASIS-MH protocol is developed for multi-hopping within the sensing field. In the case of a single hop, cluster heads that are located away from Base place are prone to depletion of energy as they utilize strong communications in order to reach BS. Therefore, multi-hop routing concept is used between the cluster heads to overcome the disadvantage which was there in single hop routing concept. The simulation has been done using NS-2 simulator and the results show that the PEGASIS-MH is far better as a comparison to other protocols such as LEACH, PEGASIS and Hierarchal PEGASIS in terms of lifetime and energy inefficiency. For QoS, fault tolerance integration will be the future work.

(Vibha Nehra and Ajay K. Sharma, 2013) proposed an algorithm by using standard reserve among the sensor connections as the criterion for sensing and thereby as long as better performance in terms of energy debauchery and amount of in order sent to BS. The sensing speed of proposed method is faster than PEGASIS. This algorithm avoids the formation of LL and provides a stable and balanced lifetime to the network. The results proved that purpose algorithm outperforms PEGASIS by achieve senior energy competence extend the existence of network.

(R. Installation and L. Sivakumar, 2014) present two possible routing algorithms were implemented. The proposed method outperforms PEGASIS and EEPB by eliminating the visual projection of dynamic cluster formation, minimizing the reserve non-cluster heads must transmit, restrictive a number of transmissions and receives among all connections, and using only one transmission to the BS per round. Distributing the energy load among the connections increased the lifetime

and quality of the network. Results show that proposed method performs better than PEGASIS and EEPB.

(Madhuri Gupta, 2014) presented modification formulation for series configuration in the WSN which is an adapted description of series based PEGASIS protocol. The procedure of forming series is modified in order to obtain a series of multiple degree connections. This loom considered the quantity of connectivity and remaining energy of all connection. It achieved the target of the change of state in energy consumption and prolongs the lifetime of the network. Simulations results achieved 50% better results in average energy consumption by the network under the defined scenario. It reduced the connection death rate as energy dissipation gets reduced by 50% for the defined specification.

IV. CRITICAL EVALUATION

Author's Name & Title	Research Problem	Conclusion
Shagan Paul Kaur and Amandeep Kaur, 2014 & Review of (PEGASIS) for Network Optimization in WSN	In this author facilitate for future researches such that various techniques of improving energy efficiency in PEGASIS can be probe quickly.	Here presented modifications in PEGASIS network for optimization and challenges during focusing on factors like as energy efficiency, scalability, reliability, mobility, flexibility, QoS maintain Data latency and Node Deployment.
Sunita Rani, Tarun Gulati, 2012 & An Improved PEGASIS Protocol to Enhance Energy Utilization in Wireless Sensor Network	WSN is an ad hoc network. Here every sensor is clear with restricted energy. Every node composed the data than convey to the base station. The data is transferred over the network and each sensor consume some energy in receiving data, sending data. The life span of the network depends on how much energy spent in each transmission. The protocol plays important roll, which can lessen the delay while offering high energy effectiveness and a	The planned system will recover the obtainable PEGASIS protocol. The planned work is implementing on WSN to improve the network life in case of a chain-based protocol. The main difficulty with cluster network is to discover the next neighbor for communication. Here the upgrading is done for presented PEGASIS protocol.
		long span of network lifetime.
		In this work we have comprise one parameter to select the next neighbor. The work is about to identify an energy capable aggregative path to communicate over the network.
		In this planned project clustering based routing protocols for WSNs have been discussed. In cluster-based routing, particular nodes called cluster heads form a wireless backbone to the sink. Each cluster heads collects data from the sensors belonging to its cluster and forwards it to the sink. In heterogeneous networks, cluster heads have powerful energy devices in contrast to homogeneous networks where all nodes have a uniform and limited resource energy. So, it is essential to avoid quick depletion of cluster heads. Hence, the cluster head role rotates, i.e., each node works as a cluster head for a limited period of time.
		The performance has been evaluated using simulations for MANET reactive routing protocols like AODV, DSR and DYMO in QualNet 4.5 software. Presentation evaluations metrics like packet delivery ratio (PDR), throughput, average end to end delay, energy per good put bit, network lifetime of battery model and total energy consumption which includes transmission, reception, idle, sleep mode etc. were considered. From the simulation studies and analysis, it can be seen that on an average DSR and DYMO performs better than AODV for different traffic load rates. Hence, it suits most of the application of WSNs which require constant monitoring and sending sensed data packets to a sink at regular intervals of time.
		Sanatan Mohanty, 2010 & Energy Efficient Routing Algorithms for WSNs and Performance Evaluation of Quality of Service for IEEE 802.15.4 Networks
		These papers present a routing protocol for the applications of (WSN). PEGASIS
		In this paper, we suggest energy efficient PEGASIS routing scheme to increase network

Network Lifetime	protocol is a chain-based routing scheme. In this paper, a modification is being carried out in decision parameter i.e response which checks the response of nearby node before transmitting the data as well as identify the proposed algorithm for the modified PEGASIS protocol. The Main aim is to add to network lifetime as well as increase the attendances of live nodes so that more nodes will remain to exist.	lifetime of sensor networks. Our scheme achieves a balance of energy dissipation among the nodes and to increase the existence of more nodes in the network. We estimate the performance of our scheme by using simulation in Matlab.		the much effective one, here in this paper, a review of chain-based topology being termed as PEGASIS (Power efficient Gathering in Sensor Information System) has been reviewed. PEGASIS protocol is very much significant in small area network. After studying various variants of PEGASIS, the research gap is being brought under the spotlight in order to enhance network lifetime.	delay. PEGASIS can also be implied in the heterogeneous scenario which is one another area seeking its applicability.
<p>Patwant Singh & Satbir Singh, 2015 & A Review: Power efficient Gathering in Sensor Information System (PEGASIS) protocol for WSN</p>	<p>Wireless sensor network is a network consisting of a various number of small nodes deployed in the remote areas to perform the task of sensing, computation and data forwarding. These sensor nodes keep on getting drained in the energy whenever the data transmission phase comes into action. To achieve a longer lifetime these nodes are dealt with various routing techniques which makes the communication between nodes and Base Station much more economical in terms of energy consumption. Among various routing techniques, Hierarchical routing has been</p>	<p>PEGASIS protocol has suffered from various limitations but due to the incorporation of different techniques optimizations techniques like Genetic Algorithm, Particle Swarm Optimization etc., various efficient way to selection of leader node whether on the basis of residual energy or considering the distance to the Base Station, it is being able to achieve its applicability in the real time applications of today's scenario. The study done in this paper explores the PEGASIS protocol in various aspects. Future scope in the PEGASIS lies in working towards the QoS parameters like throughput and</p>	<p>Parul Bansal et al., 2014 & Comparison of LEACH and PEGASIS Hierarchical Routing Protocols in Wireless Sensor Networks</p>	<p>The competence of WSN mostly depends on the routing protocol used. Routing is a chief confront for sensor networks as it here a trade-off between sensitivity and competence. Hierarchical class of routing protocols introduces a structure on the network to achieve stability, liveliness competence and scalability. There are various protocols existing in this category.</p>	<p>In this paper, a relationship of two hierarchical routing protocols – LEACH and PEGASIS is accessible. Our analysis shows that PEGASIS performs better than LEACH in terms of network lifetime, communication operating cost and the entitlement of node deaths. PEGASIS also offers an extended lifetime of the network because of the liveliness competence. For large networks, the early death of the nodes reduces the network constancy in LEACH as compared to PEGASIS.</p>
			<p>Bhavna Patel & Jayesh munjani, 2014 & Power-Efficient Gathering in</p>	<p>It constructs the Chain among the sensor nodes in the network so that each node sends</p>	<p>In we planned Energy Efficient Clustered Chain Based Power Aware Routing protocol</p>

Sensor Information Systems for WSN: A Review	and receives data from a single neighbor. To overcome this problem we propose EECCPAR (Energy Effecient Clustered Chain Based Power Aware Routing) for WSNs. In this protocol, clusters are formed of the sensor networks.	(EECCPAR) which maximizes the network lifetime and balances the energy consumption among the sensor nodes of the network. In this protocol, we also construct chain among cluster heads (CHs). Thus data are sending to the BS through the chain. Thus it helps nodes to transmit data to a smaller distance and thus increases the existence of the network.
--	--	---

REFERENCES

- [1] Alhasan, Hasan, et al. "EAPHRN: energy-aware PEGASIS-based hierarchal routing protocol for wireless sensor networks." *Journal of American Science* 7.8 (2011).
- [2] Al-Karaki, Jamal N., and Ghada Al-Mashaqbeh. "SENSORIA: A new simulation platform for wireless sensor networks." *Sensor Technologies and Applications, 2007. SensorComm 2007. International Conference on*. IEEE, 2007.
- [3] Aliouat, Zibouda, and Makhoulf Aliouat. "Effective Energy Management in Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks." *New Technologies, Mobility and Security (NTMS), 2012 5th International Conference on*. IEEE, 2012
- [4] Gupta, Madhu, and Laxmi Saraswat. "Energy aware data collection in wireless sensor network using chain based PEGASIS." *Recent Advances and Innovations in Engineering (ICRAIE), 2014*. IEEE, 2014.
- [5] Heinzelman, W. R., Chandrakasan, A., & Balakrishnan, H. (2000, January). Energy-efficient communication protocol for wireless microsensor networks. In *System sciences, 2000. Proceedings of the 33rd annual Hawaii international conference on* (pp. 10-pp). IEEE.
- [6] Lindsey, Stephanie, and Cauligi S. Raghavendra. "PEGASIS: Power-efficient gathering in sensor information systems." *Aerospace conference proceedings, 2002*. IEEE. Vol. 3. IEEE, 2002.
- [7] Linping, Wang, et al. "Improved algorithm of PEGASIS protocol introducing double cluster heads in wireless sensor network." *Computer, Mechatronics, Control and Electronic Engineering (CMCE), 2010 International Conference on*. Vol. 1. IEEE, 2010.
- [8] Mundra, P. S., and Mr Ravinder Kumar. "Improved Data Gathering Protocol for WSN." *International Journal of Electronics and Computer Science Engineering*, vol.1, no.3, pp.1208-1213, 2012.
- [9] Nehra, Vibha, and Ajay K. Sharma. "PEGASIS-E: Power Efficient Gathering in Sensor Information System Extended." *Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology* 13.15 (2013).
- [10] Raghunathan, V., Schurgers, C., Park, S., & Srivastava, M. B. (2002). Energy-aware wireless microsensor networks. *Signal Processing Magazine, IEEE*, 19(2), 40-50.
- [11] Rappaport, Theodore S. *Wireless communications: principles and practice*. Vol. 2. New Jersey: prentice hall PTR, 1996.
- [12] R. Sittalatchoumy, L. Sivakumar, "Performance Enhancement of Energy Efficient Protocols for application in WSN," *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, Vol. 60 No.1, 2014.
- [13] Römer, K., & Mattern, F. (2004). The design space of wireless sensor networks. *Wireless Communications, IEEE*, 11(6), 54-61.
- [14] Sen, Feng, Qi Bing, and Tang Liangrui. "An improved energy-efficient pegasis-based protocol in wireless sensor networks." *Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery (FSKD), 2011 Eighth International Conference On*. Vol. 4. IEEE, 2011.
- [15] Steele, Raymond, H. Ahmadi, and A. Krishna. "Mobile Radio Communications." *IEEE Proceedings*. Vol. 82. No. 9. [New York, NY]: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, [1963-, 1994].
- [16] Yu, Y. Ch. and Gang Wei. "An improved PEGASIS algorithm in wireless sensor network." *Acta Electronica Sinica* 36.7 (2008): 1309-1313.

V. CONCLUSION

In the paper, a relationship of two hierarchical routing protocols – LEACH and PEGASIS is bestowed. Our study shows that PEGASIS performs higher than LEACH of network time period, communication and therefore share of node deaths. PEGASIS additionally put associate degree comprehensive time period of the network thanks to the energy potency. For giant networks, the first death of the nodes reduces the network constancy in LEACH as compared to PEGASIS.

In LEACH, native processing happens at mere nodes known as cluster-heads and eventually aggregate information is transmitted to the descend node. Whereas in PEGASIS, no information aggregation happens. LEACH is cluster-based hierarchy whereas PEGASIS can be a chain-based approach. After we ensure the network time period, PEGASIS puts comprehensive time period of the network as there's a balance in energy distribution. The number of node deaths in PEGASIS is smaller as compared to LEACH.